

The effect of electron-electron interactions on the conditions of surface state existence

Jarosław Kłos
*Surface Physics Division, Faculty of Physics,
 Adam Mickiewicz University,
 ul.Umultowska 85, 61-614 Poznań, Poland*

Electronic surface states in one-dimensional two-band TBA model are studied by use of the Green function method. The local density of states (LDOS) at successive atoms in a semi-infinite chain, even in the case of atoms distant from the surface, is found to be clearly different from that observed in an unperturbed (infinite) chain¹. The surface atom occupancy is calculated self-consistently², with the effect of electron-electron interactions taken into account. The electron-electron interactions are shown to have a significant impact on the conditions of surface state existence.

I. INTRODUCTION

The presence of electronic surface states has a substantial effect on the properties of solids. Many apparently surprising features of mesoscopic and nanoscopic systems - in which surface effects are particularly conspicuous, the surface representing a significant part of the whole - can be elucidated by the conditions of existence of surface states.

Adsorption and reconstruction processes render the description of real surfaces difficult. The properties of real systems can often be precisely reproduced through numerical simulations, which, however, do not provide the explanation of the mechanism of generation of surface states and their effect on bulk states.

One of the basic models used for description of electronic properties of solids is the tight binding approximation (TBA) model. The pioneering studies on the conditions of electronic surface state existence, based on a single-band model of finite crystal, were reported by Goodwin³. The single-band model was then generalized by Artman⁴, who introduced a double-band model to investigate the existence of two types of surface states: Shockley states, which are induced only by breaking the translational symmetry of the crystal, and Tamm states, generated as a result of introducing an additional perturbation⁵. A breakthrough was marked by the paper by Kalkstein and Soven⁶, in which Green's function formalism was used for the determination of properties of surface and bulk states in a semi-infinite crystal with perturbed surface.

The problem of multi-electron effects and their impact on surface state existence conditions has not yet been exhaustively discussed. Papers on chemisorption, which is a related issue, are available, though^{2,7}. As in the case of chemisorption, the simplest way of including the impact of the multi-electron effects on the conditions of surface state existence consists in incorporating interaction of electron with charge density into the Coulomb model. Introducing the Hartree potential into the TBA model of a semi-infinite crystal amounts (in the simplest case) to surface atom site energy renormalization.

This study is focused on surface states in a 1D semi-




FIG. 1: The model of semi-infinite 1D crystal with two-atom unit cell. The surface site ($n = 0$) is occupied by an adatom with site energy α_e' . The alternating resonance integral sign is a consequence of interaction between orbitals s and p .

infinite atom chain being a model of ionic crystal with two atoms in the unit cell⁸. Multi-electron effects are taken into account in Hartree approximation only. The surface atom occupancy and site energy values are found through self-consistent calculations using Green's function formalism⁶.

II. MODEL

The model assumes non-zero resonance integral values for neighboring sites only. Orbitals s and p are alternately attributed to successive chain sites. Hence, resonance integrals for successive site pairs alternate in sign, taking values β or $-\beta$. Site energy associated with s or p orbital is denoted α_e or α_o , respectively (cf. Fig.1).

A wave function in the TBA model is assumed to be a linear combination of atomic functions:

$$\psi_i = \sum_n (c_{2n} \psi_{ni} + c_{2n+1} \psi_{n+1i}); \quad (1)$$

the sum involving all the two-atom unit cells. Expressed in the atomic function basis, the Hamiltonian of an infinite (unperturbed) chain has the following form:

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_n (\alpha_e \psi_{ni} \psi_{2n} + \alpha_o \psi_{n+1i} \psi_{2n+1} + \beta \psi_{ni} \psi_{2n+1} + \alpha_o \psi_{n+1i} \psi_{2n}); \quad (2)$$




FIG. 2: Surface state levels around the upper band (gray area). The solid and dotted lines represent the state levels found with or without the electron-electron interactions taken into account, respectively. The dashed lines delimit the region in which no surface states exist.

III. RESULTS

Computations were performed at $\mu = 1$ ($\epsilon_0 = 2$). Fig.2 shows the surface state energy, X_s , plotted versus the surface perturbation. No Shockley states are found to exist in the model discussed⁵, as no surface states are found in the absence of perturbation. Tamm states, induced through modifying the surface atom site energy, ϵ_0 , are found to emerge from the upper energy band (associated with ϵ_1).

The surface states emerging from the bottom edge of the band are induced by arbitrarily small perturbation value. For surface states to be induced above the upper band, however, the perturbation value must be positive and fulfill the condition $\epsilon_0 > 1 + 2(\sqrt{5} - 1)$. Perturbation values from the interval $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1 + 2(\sqrt{5} - 1)$ correspond to surface state non-existence. The solid and dotted lines in Fig.2 represent surface state levels found with or without the electron-electron interactions taken into account, respectively. Clearly, the multi-electron effects (in the Hartree approximation) boost the surface state energy levels, resulting in weakened or strengthened localization of surface states below or above the upper band, respectively. However, the electron-electron interactions have no effect on the interval of surface perturbation parameter values at which surface states are found to exist.

The effect of the surface on the electronic states in the considered chain is the most evident in the LDOS spectrum. Fig.3 shows the LDOS plots obtained for the four sites closest to the surface ($n = 0 :: 3$). Three different perturbation values are assumed, corresponding to surface state appearing below the upper band ($\epsilon_0 = 0.75$), not induced at all ($\epsilon_0 = 0.5$), and induced above the upper band ($\epsilon_0 = 1$). The solid and dotted lines represent the LDOS calculated with multi-electron effects taken into account or neglected, respectively. As a result of including the multi-electron effects, the LDOS in the upper band is increased; at the same time, the occupancy of the surface state below the band decreases, and the state moves towards the band edge. An opposite effect is found to occur for the surface state above the upper band: the LDOS in the band is found to decrease, while the surface state occupancy increases and the state moves inwards the gap. Comparing relative occupancy changes at successive sites, one notes stronger localization in states closer to the band edge. Because of band asymmetry, the surface state occupancy should be compared between sites either even or odd. With multi-electron effects taken into account, the occupancy ratio of site ($n = 0$) (the surface atom) to site $n = 2$ is found to increase or decrease for states above or below the upper band, respectively. Note that even in the absence of surface states the presence of the surface still affects the LDOS spectrum. The van Hove singularities at the band edges are eliminated, and LDOS minima appear inside the bands. Even for sites very distant from the surface, the LDOS differs significantly from that in an infinite (unperturbed) chain¹.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Focused on the effect of electron-electron interactions on the conditions of surface state existence (in the Hartree approximation), the above-presented study shows that the localization of surface states generated above or below the upper energy band can be increased or decreased, respectively, by the electron-electron interactions in the considered model. However, the interval of surface perturbation parameter values corresponding to surface state existence is found to remain unaffected by taking these interactions into account in the calculations.

Electronic address: klos@amu.edu.pl

¹ S. M. Bose and E-Ni Foo, Phys. Rev B **10**, (1974), 3534.
² D. S. Newns, Phys. Rev. **178**, (1968), 1123.
³ E. T. Goodwin, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **35**, (1939), 221; (1939), 232.
⁴ K. Artman, Z. Phys. **131**, (1952), 244.

⁵ J. Zak, Phys. Rev. B **32**, (1985), 2218.

⁶ D. Kalkstein, P. Soven, Surf. Sci. **26**, (1971), 85.

⁷ D. W. Schranz, S. G. Davison, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **67**, (1998), 377.

⁸ J. D. Levine, S. G. Davison, Phys. Rev. **174**, (1968), 911.




FIG. 3: The local density of states, ($\rho(x)$), at successive chain sites starting from the surface ($n = 0; 1; 2; 3$). Results obtained at three different perturbation values, (Δ_e), are grouped into columns. The dashed line represents the LDOS for infinite chain. The dotted and solid lines represent the LDOS found for semi-infinite chain with electron-electron interactions neglected or taken into account, respectively. The arrows indicate the surface state occupancy.