# Metal-insulator transition in $Nd_{1-x}Eu_xNiO_3$ compounds

# M T Escote<sup>1</sup>, V B Barbeta<sup>2</sup>, R F Jardim<sup>3</sup> and J Campo<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Instituto de Quimica, Universidade Estadual de São Paulo, Campus Araraquara, CP 353, 14801-970, Araraquara, SP, Brazil
- $^2$  Departamento de Física, Centro Universitário da FEI, Av. Humberto de A. C. Branco 3972, 09850-901, S. B. Campo, SP, Brazil
- $^3$ Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, CP 66318, 05315-970, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
- <sup>4</sup> Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, C/ Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

E-mail: rjardim@if.usp.br

**Abstract.** Polycrystalline  $Nd_{1-x}Eu_xNiO_3$  (0  $\leq x \leq 0.5$ ) compounds were synthesized in order to investigate the character of the metal-insulator (MI) phase transition in this series. Samples were prepared through the sol-gel route and subjected to heat treatments at ~1000 °C under oxygen pressures as high as 80 bar. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Neutron Powder Diffraction (NPD), electrical resistivity  $\rho(T)$ , and Magnetization M(T) measurements were performed on these compounds. The results of NPD and XRD indicated that the samples crystallize in an orthorhombic distorted perovskite structure, space group Pbnm. The analysis of the structural parameters revealed a sudden and small expansion of  $\sim 0.2\%$  of the unit cell volume when electronic localization occurs. This expansion was attributed to a small increase of  $\sim 0.003$  Å of the average Ni-O distance and a simultaneous decrease of  $\sim -0.5^{\circ}$  of the Ni-O-Ni superexchange angle. The  $\rho(T)$  measurements revealed a MI transition occurring at temperatures ranging from  $T_{\rm MI}\sim 193$  to 336 K for samples with x=0 and 0.50, respectively. These measurements also show a large thermal hysteresis in NdNiO3 during heating and cooling processes suggesting a first-order character of the phase transition at  $T_{\rm MI}$ . The width of this thermal hysteresis was found to decrease appreciably for the sample  $Nd_{0.7}Eu_{0.3}NiO_3$ . The results indicate that cation disorder associated with increasing substitution of Nd by Eu is responsible for changing the first order character of the transition in NdNiO<sub>3</sub>.

PACS numbers: 71.30.+h, 64.70.-p, 75.50.Ee, 61.50.Ks

#### 1. Introduction

Since the discovery of high  $T_c$  superconductivity in copper oxides a great interest has been renewed in transition-metal oxide systems [1]. The perovskites with general formula  $RNiO_3$  (R = rare earth) are typical examples which have been investigated due to their interesting transport and magnetic properties [2-15]. Moreover, these compounds provide a remarkable opportunity to study the relationship between structural changes and physical properties in perovskite-like oxides [6, 11, 14]. Perhaps the most interesting property of these series is the occurrence of a temperature-driven metal-insulator (MI) transition in compounds with  $R \neq \text{La } [2, 3, 4]$ . In fact, electrical resistivity measurements performed on polycrystalline and thin films of LaNiO<sub>3</sub> revealed a metal-like behavior down to 1.5 K [3, 15]. However, in other members of this family, as PrNiO<sub>3</sub> and NdNiO<sub>3</sub>, a very sharp MI transition is frequently verified [3]. The temperature  $T_{\rm MI}$ , in which the MI transition occurs, has been found to increase systematically as the size of the rare earth ion decreases being 130 K for PrNiO<sub>3</sub> and reaching values as high as 480 K in EuNiO<sub>3</sub> [3]. These compounds crystallize, in the itinerant-electron phase, in an orthorhombically distorted perovskite structure (space group Pbnm). A higher distortion of the perovskite structure is often observed when the rare-earth ion size is decreased due to the tilt of the  $NiO_6$  octahedra and a consequent reduction of the superexchange Ni-O-Ni angle [2]. These observed features seem to indicate that the MI transition is close related to both the degree of distortion of the ideal perovskite structure and the Ni-O-Ni bond angle [5, 6].

High-resolution Neutron Powder Diffraction (NPD) experiments performed on PrNiO<sub>3</sub>, NdNiO<sub>3</sub>, and SmNiO<sub>3</sub> compounds have revealed that the MI transition is accompanied by a small structural change on the unit cells [6]. The data also indicate that the unit cell volume undergoes a subtle increase when the system evolves toward the insulator regime due to a slight increase in the average Ni-O distance [6]. This effect induces additional tilts of the NiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra, which also implies in a subtle decrease of the Ni-O-Ni bond angle.

Some recent results in smaller rare earth ions (R = Ho, Y, Er and Lu) suggested a change in the crystal symmetry, from orthorhombic Pbnm to monoclinic  $P2_1/n$ , when the system evolves from the metallic to the insulating state, due to a charge disproportionation of Ni<sup>3+</sup> cations [13]. Results of electron diffraction and Raman scattering indicated a symmetry break also for NdNiO<sub>3</sub> [16]. A direct observation of charge order in epitaxial NdNiO<sub>3</sub> films, using resonant X-ray scattering, was also reported [17]. These results have led to the proposition that charge disproportionation and the structural phase transition from orthorhombic Pbnm to monoclinic  $P2_1/n$ should occur for all the rare-earth family at  $T_{\rm MI}$ , although it would be difficult to discriminate the two types of Ni sites. This charge ordered state would also explain the unusual propagation vector observed in neutron experiments, and it would make models with orbital order obsolete [17]. On the other hand, Mössbauer spectroscopy measurements in the Nd<sub>0.98</sub>Fe<sub>0.02</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> compound, performed by Presniakov et al [18], have showed the existence of only one Ni chemical specimen, indicating that there is no charge disproportionation in the insulating phase and, therefore, there is no change in the lattice symmetry for this compound at  $T_{\rm MI}$ .

Concerning the magnetic properties of these nickelates, muon-spin relaxation experiments carried out on  $RNiO_3$  perovskites (R = Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu) [3] have revealed the occurrence of an antiferromagnetic ordering of the Ni<sup>3+</sup> sub-lattice. For Pr and Nd compounds, the magnetic ordering occurs at temperatures  $T_N$  close to  $T_{MI}$ , whereas

other members of this family display  $T_{\rm N} < T_{\rm MI}$ . Such a behavior has been confirmed by neutron powder diffraction experiments [19]. The magnetic ordering of the Ni sub-lattice exhibits an unusual antiferromagnetic order [20] that has been interpreted as the result of a spin density wave (SDW) phase [11]. Furthermore, a combination of transport and thermal characterizations have suggested that the character of the MI transition is of first order in compounds that display  $T_{\rm N} \sim T_{\rm MI}$ , and of second order for compounds with  $T_{\rm N} < T_{\rm MI}$  [21]. In fact, high-resolution PES photoemission measurements by Vobornik et al [9] have revealed two different electronic regimes for the RNiO<sub>3</sub> compounds with  $T_{\rm N} \sim T_{\rm MI}$  and  $T_{\rm N} < T_{\rm MI}$ . However, a more profound discussion regarding this point requires consideration about the mechanism responsible for the MI transition, which is still a point of controversy in these nickelates. In fact, a complete understanding of the MI transition in this series certainly requires extra experimental data.

Within this scenario, this work focuses on the preparation and characterization of polycrystalline samples of  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{NiO}_3$  ( $0 \le x \le 0.5$ ). The interrelations between the structural and physical properties of these compounds have been studied. The results have enabled the discussion of the changes observed in compounds where the antiferromagnetic ordering occurs essentially at the same temperature  $T_\mathrm{N} \sim 200~\mathrm{K}$  but the MI transition varies systematically from  $\sim 193$  to 336 K. In addition, the change of the character of the MI transition is discussed within the framework of the critical phenomena scenario from first to second order when the Eu content is increased.

## 2. Experimental Procedure

## 2.1. Samples Preparation

Polycrystalline samples of  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{NiO}_3$  ( $0 \le x \le 0.5$ ) were prepared by using solgel precursors, sintered at high temperatures ( $\sim 1000$  °C), and under oxygen pressures up to 80 bar. Details of the route employed and the sintering process for preparing these samples are described elsewhere [22]. Samples of  $\mathrm{NdAlO}_3$  and  $\mathrm{EuAlO}_3$  were also prepared in order to subtract the contribution to the magnetic susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  arising from the  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x$ -ions. These samples were produced by mixing appropriate amounts of  $\mathrm{Nd}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ ,  $\mathrm{Eu}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ , and  $\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ . The intimate mixtures were sintered at  $\sim 1400$  °C in air for  $\sim 100$  h.

# 2.2. Samples characterization

All samples were characterized by means of X-ray Diffraction (XRD) measurements in a Brucker D8 Advanced diffractometer using Cu  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.540\,56$  Å). Typical  $2\theta$  angular scans ranging between 20 and  $100^{\circ}$ , in steps of  $0.02^{\circ}$ , and accumulation time  $\sim 10$  s, were used in these experiments. Data were collected at room temperature (RT) and MgO was used as an internal standard. The cell parameters were calculated from the corrected peak positions for all identified reflections between  $20^{\circ} \leq 2\theta \leq 100^{\circ}$ .

Structural characterizations were also made by means of Neutron Powder Diffraction (NPD) measurements in two selected samples: NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub>. The experiments were performed in the high-flux and medium resolution D20 diffractometer at the Institut Laue-Langevin (Grenoble). The D20 instrument is equipped with a PSD-detector spanning an angular range from 1 to  $160^{\circ}$  ( $2\theta$ ) with a wavelength

 $\lambda=1.2989$  Å (Cu(200) monochromator). An "orange" cryostat was used for the measurements performed at low temperatures. The data were taken after first cooling the sample from RT down to  $\sim\!50$  K. Then the sample was warmed up (10 min/K) and the NPD data were collected at several temperatures up to 320 K. The accumulation time per spectra was 10 min and the temperature stability is estimated as being close to 0.5 K. In order to minimize the neutron absorption by Eu, the Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> sample was placed in a hollow vanadium can.

All the diffraction patterns were analyzed by the Rietveld method using the FullProf program [23]. The data for  $2\theta > 100^{\circ}$  were excluded in the refinements due to the low resolution of the D20 diffractometer in this angular range.

The temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity  $\rho(T)$  was measured by the standard dc four-probe method in the temperature range from 77 to 400 K. Four copper electrical leads were attached with Ag epoxy to gold film contact pads on bar shaped samples, and the sample temperature was measured using a Pt thermometer. The temperature  $T_{\rm MI}$ , in which the metal-insulator transition occurs, was defined as the temperature of the maximum in the  $(1/\rho)(\mathrm{d}\rho/\mathrm{d}T)$  against T curves taken upon heating.

Magnetization M(T) measurements were taken in a commercial MPMS superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer from Quantum Design. Zero-Field-Cooled (ZFC) and Field-Cooled (FC) runs were performed, with temperature ranging from 5 to 400 K and under dc magnetic fields as high as 10 kOe.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 3.1. Crystal Structure

Figure 1 displays some of the XRD patterns of  $Nd_{1-x}Eu_xNiO_3$  compounds obtained at RT. The data showed no extra reflections belonging to impurity phases. In addition, the systematic observation of the peak related to the  $K_{\beta}$  radiation for the most intense reflection occurring close to  $2\theta \sim 30^{\circ}$  strongly indicates that the samples have a high degree of crystallinity.

All peaks of the X-ray patterns were indexed with the orthorhombic distorted perovskite structure, space group Pbnm [24]. In this crystal structure, atoms are placed at the following Wyckoff positions: R (R = Nd or Eu) and O(1) at 4c (x, y, 1/4); Ni at 4b (1/2, 0, 0); and O(2) at 8d (x, y, z). The actual Nd substitution by Eu was inferred, for instance, by the systematic changes observed in the (022) and (202) peaks with increasing Eu content, as displayed in the inset of figure 1. The data first reveal a systematic shift of the reflections to higher  $2\theta$  values, as expected for a substitution of Nd by the smaller Eu ion. In addition, the separation of the peaks is hardly seen for samples with x = 0 and 0.25, but there is a clear broadening. For the sample with x = 0.50, both (022) and (202) peaks are well separated in  $2\theta$ .

Structural refinements were performed in all diagrams by using as starting parameters those reported in the literature for both NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and EuNiO<sub>3</sub> compounds [6, 25]. The refined cell parameters (a, b, and c), the unit cell volume (V), and the atomic positions were found to be in agreement with those expected for Nd<sub>1-x</sub>Eu<sub>x</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> samples  $(0 \le x \le 0.5)$ , i. e., the structural parameters were between those of NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and EuNiO<sub>3</sub> compounds. The resulting values of a, b, c, and V are plotted as a function of Eu concentration in figure 2. As a general trend, V, and the cell parameters a and c, decrease as the Eu content evolves, and an increase of the




Figure 1. XRD patterns obtained at RT for  $Nd_{1-x}Eu_xNiO_3$ , x=0, 0.25, and 0.50. The inset displays the evolution of the peaks (022) and (202) for increasing Eu content. The symbol "\*" indicates the peaks corresponding to the MgO internal standard.


cell parameter b is observed. This is the expected behavior due to the smaller size of the Eu ion compared to the Nd ion. For  $x \cong 0.30$ , a change in the volume V of the unit cell takes place and is certainly related to the occurrence of the MI transition at RT.

In order to study the thermal evolution of the crystal structure, characterizations through NPD as a function of temperature were carried out on two selected samples: NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub>. An initial analysis of the NPD patterns of both samples at RT revealed that the materials were stoichiometric and single phase, as inferred from the absence of peaks belonging to extra phases. These results agree with the analysis performed previously through XRD.

All NPD diagrams were also analyzed through Rietveld refinements. First, the RT patterns of  $Nd_{1-x}Eu_xNiO_3$  samples were analyzed and then the sequential FullProf program was used for all other temperatures. In these refinements, the starting structural parameters were those listed for  $NdNiO_3$  [2].

A typical example of one of these refinements is shown in figure 3 that exhibits the NPD pattern for the sample of NdNiO<sub>3</sub> at T=300 K and the one calculated. This figure displays all the reflections belonging to the desired phase which were indexed on the basis of the orthorhombic GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type perovskite [2]. The excellent quality of the fitting was confirmed by the  $R_{\rm Bragg}$  reliability factor of  $\sim 3$ , as displayed in table 1. This table also contains the refined atomic positions, cell parameters, factors B, the average Ni-O and R-O distances, and the superexchange angle  $\theta$  along with reliability factors for both samples at three selected temperatures.

The results of NPD at RT for the NdNiO<sub>3</sub> sample are in excellent agreement with those previously reported for the same compound [6]. For the Eu-substituted sample



**Figure 2.** Room temperature cell parameters a, b, and c, and unit cell volume V of polycrystalline samples of  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{NiO}_3$ , as a function of Eu content x, obtained through XRD data.

the results are adequate, since they would be associated with a material having cell parameters between those observed in NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and EuNiO<sub>3</sub> compounds [6, 25]. The  $R_{\rm Bragg}$  values for the Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> patterns, taken at several temperatures, were greater than those for the unsubstituted sample. Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning that excellent Rietveld refinements in all NPD diagrams were obtained by adopting the orthorhombic GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type perovskite. Obviously, due to the increasing disorder related to the partial substitution of Nd by Eu, the reliability factors  $R_{\rm Bragg}$  for the Eusubstituted sample were greater than those for the NdNiO<sub>3</sub> compound. However, the values of  $R_{\rm Bragg}$  between 5 and 6 in Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> are similar to the ones obtained for the NdNiO<sub>3</sub> compound elsewhere [6].

From figures 4 and 5, it is possible to observe a clear decrease of the cell parameters a and c, and an increase of the cell parameter b with increasing Eu content. This behavior, as expected due to the nature of the substitution, is more pronounced in the unit cell volume which exhibits a decrease from  $\sim$ 221 Å<sup>3</sup> just above  $T_{\rm MI}$  for NdNiO<sub>3</sub> to  $\sim$ 220 Å<sup>3</sup> in Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub>. It is also observed that the Ni-O-Ni angle  $\theta$  decreases and the Ni-O distance increases with increasing Eu substitution (figure 6).

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show a small discontinuity of the cell parameters at temperatures where the MI transition takes place at  $\sim$ 200 K and  $\sim$ 270 K for NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. The changes in the cell parameters b and c at  $T_{\rm MI}$  were noticeable and accounted for  $\sim$ 0.1% and 0.06%, respectively. On the other hand, the observed change in the magnitude of the cell parameter a at  $T_{\rm MI}$  was found to be much smaller, yielding  $\sim$ 0.03%.


These results are similar to those found in  $PrNiO_3$ ,  $NdNiO_3$ , and  $SmNiO_3$  by García-Muñoz *et al* [6], except for the case of the lattice parameter a in  $NdNiO_3$ . They found a decrease in the lattice parameter a when the transition to the insulating phase takes place. Our results for this sample seems to be more accurate, and agree

**Table 1.** Structural parameters obtained via Rietveld analysis for the NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> compounds for three different temperatures. The average interatomic distances and the superexchange angle  $\theta$  for the NiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra are also displayed.

Sample	$ m NdNiO_3$			No	$d_{0.7}Eu_{0.3}NiO_3$	
T(K)	170	214	301	230	280	300
a(Å)	5.395(1)	5.394(2)	5.399(2)	5.365(3)	5.362(3)	5.363(2)
$b( ext{Å})$	5.383(1)	5.377(1)	5.380(1)	5.401(2)	5.398(2)	5.396(2)
c(A)	7.616(2)	7.612(2)	7.617(2)	7.615(3)	7.612(3)	7.612(3)
$V(Å^3)$	221.16(1)	220.79(2)	221.25(2)	220.64(3)	220.32(3)	220.25(3)
$\overline{R}$						
X	0.997(2)	0.998(2)	0.997(2)	0.993(2)	0.993(2)	0.993(2)
У	0.0370(6)	0.0349(7)	0.0345(7)	0.0400(1)	0.0397(1)	0.0388(1)
$B(Å^2)$	0.56(6)	0.14(6)	0.21(6)	0.30(8)	0.32(9)	0.34(9)
Ni						
$B(Å^2)$	0.15(4)	0.18(4)	0.22(4)	0.22(6)	0.26(6)	0.25(6)
$\overline{\mathrm{O}(1)}$						
X	0.076(2)	0.074(2)	0.075(2)	0.073(2)	0.072(2)	0.073(2)
У	0.490(1)	0.491(1)	0.491(1)	0.483(2)	0.484(2)	0.484(2)
$B(Å^2)$	0.12(3)	0.10(4)	0.12(8)	0.39(9)	0.42(9)	0.41(9)
O(2)						
X	0.715(1)	0.716(1)	0.715(1)	0.714(1)	0.714(1)	0.714(1)
У	0.289(1)	0.287(1)	0.286(1)	0.294(1)	0.294(1)	0.294(1)
Z	0.0353(9)	0.0348(9)	0.0342(9)	0.0389(1)	0.0390(1)	0.0384(1)
$B(Å^2)$	0.41(6)	0.41(6)	0.53(8)	0.35(9)	0.38(9)	0.40(9)
$\langle \mathrm{Ni} - \mathrm{O}(1) \rangle (\mathring{A})$	1.948(5)	1.945(5)	1.947(5)	1.945(5)	1.943(5)	1.943(5)
$\langle { m Ni-O(2)}  angle ({ m \AA})$	1.945(5)	1.940(5)	1.942(5)	1.950(5)	1.949(5)	1.948(5)
$\overline{\langle {\rm Ni} - {\rm O} \rangle (\mathring{\rm A})}$	1.946(5)	1.942(5)	1.944(5)	1.949(5)	1.947(5)	1.946(5)
$\langle R-\mathcal{O}\rangle(\mathring{\mathcal{A}})$	2.521(5)	2.525(5)	2.528(5)	2.502(2)	2.503(2)	2.505(2)
θ (°)	156.5(1)	157.0(1)	157.1(1)	155.2(2)	155.8(2)	155.6(2)
$R_{\rm Bragg}$	2.8	2.9	3.0	6.0	5.4	5.7

with those obtained by Lacorre et al [2] in samples of both PrNiO<sub>3</sub> and NdNiO<sub>3</sub>.

Within our experimental resolution, no vestiges of change in the crystal symmetry (orthorhombic, space group Pbnm) across the MI transition has been observed for both samples. This points out for a kind of isomorphic transition, as frequently attributed to  $RNiO_3$  (R=Nd and Pr) compounds at  $T_{MI}$  [6]. The absence of a change in the crystal symmetry across the MI transition in Nd-based nickelates is in agreement with recent Mössbauer spectroscopy data in NdNi<sub>0.98</sub>Fe<sub>0.02</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [18]. The authors could not find any evidence of more than one nickel chemical specie, therefore indicating the absence of charge disproportionation in the light Fe-substituted NdNiO<sub>3</sub> compound. Such a




**Figure 3.** Observed (open circles), calculated (solid line), and the difference profile (bottom line) of the room temperature NPD pattern for the NdNiO<sub>3</sub> sample.

result strongly suggests crystallographic equivalent Ni sites below  $T_{\rm MI}$  in NdNiO<sub>3</sub>.

Figure 5(a) exhibits a smooth thermal contraction of the unit cell volume with decreasing temperature for the NdNiO<sub>3</sub> compound. An abrupt and small increase of this value close to the MI transition temperature  $T_{\rm ND}\sim 193~{\rm K}~(\sim T_{\rm MI})$  is also observed. Similar behavior occurs for the Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> sample, as seen in figure 5(b). In this case, the change in the unit cell volume takes place at  $T_{\rm ND}\sim 273~{\rm K}$ , a temperature similar to  $T_{\rm MI}\sim 270~{\rm K}$  obtained from the  $\rho(T)$  data. The unit cell volume expansions were estimated to be  $\Delta V/V_0\sim 0.22\%$  and 0.18% for x=0 and 0.30, respectively. The result for x=0 is in excellent agreement with the value of  $\Delta V/V_0=0.23\%$  reported previously [6]. The value of  $\Delta V/V_0=0.18\%$ , for the sample with x=0.30, is in line with the expected decrease of  $\Delta V/V_0$  that is observed when the ionic radius is decreased.

The partial substitution of Nd by Eu also results in an appreciable change of the temperature width  $\Delta T$  in which the MI transition occurs. This is clearly observed from the temperature dependence of the unit cell volume across the MI transition. From the data shown in figure 5, we have estimated  $\Delta T \sim 15$  and 35 K for samples with x=0 and 0.30, respectively. This remarkable difference in  $\Delta T$  points to a change in the nature of the phase transition in this series.


Changes in the cell parameters and a small increase in the unit cell volume  $(\Delta V/V_0 \sim 0.2\%)$  when the insulating phase is established were detected. These changes are certainly related to the increase in the Ni-O bond length induced by electronic localization. The small change in the unit cell volume is in agreement with the ten-times smaller change of  $\Delta V/V_0 \sim 0.02\%$  observed in BaVS<sub>3</sub>, a compounds that undergoes an isomorphic MI transition [26]. On the other hand, the widely studied V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system exhibits a much larger change in the unit cell volume at the MI



**Figure 4.** Temperature dependence of cell parameters a, b, and c for (a) NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and (b) Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> showing the thermal expansion across the MI transition. The data were taken from NPD measurements upon warming.

transition ( $\sim 3.5\%$ ), a feature that is accompanied by an actual change in the lattice symmetry from monoclinic to trigonal [27]. In the latter case, the MI transition seems to be more complicated, due to the role played by the structural changes in driving the MI transition. In any event, it seems that in the series studied here the relatively small changes in the unit cell volume are in fact induced by the electronic localization across the MI transition and not the driving mechanism for the transition.

Table 2 displays the thermal expansion coefficients  $\alpha_{\rm L}$  and  $\alpha_{\rm V}$  calculated for both samples above  $T_{\rm MI}$ . The first one was estimated from the linear temperature dependence of the cell parameters a, b, and c above  $T_{\rm MI}$ , and the  $\alpha_{\rm V}$  coefficients from the combined  $\alpha_{\rm L}$  values. These coefficients were also obtained for the insulating phase (not shown) in a similar fashion. The thermal expansion coefficient related to the cell parameter  $b, \alpha_{\rm L}^b$ , was found to exhibit the smallest overall thermal expansion, but the largest discontinuity at the phase transition. These results seem to be in good agreement with those already obtained by García-Muñoz et al [6] in both PrNiO<sub>3</sub> and SmNiO<sub>3</sub>. In addition, increasing Eu content resulted in an increase of both  $\alpha_{\rm L}^a$  and  $\alpha_{\rm L}^c$  values and a decrease of  $\alpha_{\rm L}^b$ . It is well known that the variation of structural



**Figure 5.** Temperature dependence of the unit cell volume V for (a) NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and (b)Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub>. The data were taken from NPD measurements upon warming.


**Table 2.** Linear  $(\alpha_{\rm L})$  and volumetric  $(\alpha_{\rm V})$  thermal expansion coefficients calculated for the metallic phase in both compounds: NdNiO<sub>3</sub> (x=0) and Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> (x=0.30).

x	$\alpha_{\rm L}^a$ (10 <sup>-6</sup> )K <sup>-1</sup>	$\alpha_{\rm L}^{b}$ (10 <sup>-6</sup> )K <sup>-1</sup>	$\alpha_{\rm L}^{c}$ (10 <sup>-6</sup> )K <sup>-1</sup>	$\alpha_V = (10^{-5}) \mathrm{K}^{-1}$
0	13.2	4.3	8.8	2.62
0.30	14.7	1.8	12.9	2.48

parameters could be a response for the subtle change in the structural arrangement [6]. In fact, the expansion of the unit cell at  $T_{\rm MI}$ , when the system evolves to the insulating state, is accompanied by at least two major changes in the unit cell parameters: an increase of the average Ni-O distance  $d_{\rm Ni-O}$ , and a decrease of the Ni-O-Ni bond-angle  $\theta$ .

The average Ni-O distance  $(d_{\text{Ni-O}})$  and the superexchange angle  $(\theta)$  were thus calculated in order to verify the structural changes across the MI transition for the

two samples analyzed. The temperature dependence of  $d_{\rm Ni-O}$  and  $\theta$  obtained for both samples are depicted in figure 6. The temperature dependence of the  $d_{\rm Ni-O}$  across the MI transition exhibits similar behavior for both compounds. A small expansion of  $d_{\rm Ni-O}$  at  $T_{\rm MI}$  was verified when the temperature is decreased. The changes in the  $\langle {\rm Ni-O} \rangle$  distance were estimated to be  $\Delta d_{\rm Ni-O} \sim 0.003$  Å for both compounds, a value comparable to those found in NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and PrNiO<sub>3</sub> [6]. However, it is worth mentioning that the change in  $d_{\rm Ni-O}$  at  $T_{\rm MI}$  for the sample with x=0 is much sharper in temperature than for the sample with x=0.30. The  $\langle {\rm Ni-O} \rangle$  distance has been estimated to be  $d_{\rm Ni-O} \sim 1.94$  Å, a value that is in excellent agreement with previous results for NdNiO<sub>3</sub> [6].



**Figure 6.** Average Ni-O distance  $\langle \text{Ni-O} \rangle$  and Ni-O-Ni superexchange angle  $(\theta)$  for samples of (a) NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and (b) Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> obtained from Rietveld refinements of the NPD data.

The temperature dependence of the superexchange angle  $\theta$  also exhibits a smooth contraction  $\Delta\theta$  close to  $T_{\rm MI}$  with decreasing temperature, as usually observed in other nickelates [2, 3]. An estimate of this angular change at  $T_{\rm MI}$  yielded values of  $\Delta\theta \sim -0.5$  and  $-0.4^{\circ}$  for the samples of NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. However, these changes in  $\Delta\theta$  across the MI transition can be estimated by considering that

such a change is due solely to steric effects, or more appropriately, to the decrease of the average Ni-O distance at  $T_{\rm MI}$  [6].

Within this context, it is possible to relate the degree of distortion of the ideal perovskite structure, characterized by the tolerance factor t, along with the superexchange angle  $\theta$ . The tolerance factor is defined as

$$t = \frac{d_{\text{R-O}}}{d_{\text{Ni-O}}\sqrt{2}} \tag{1}$$

where  $d_{\rm R-O}$  is the average (Nd/Eu)-O distance and  $d_{\rm Ni-O}$  is the average Ni-O distance. Therefore, a linear approximation which correlates  $\theta$  and t resulted in  $\theta \sim 267.3t$ . Differentiating this relation and the expression for the tolerance factor, and combining both results, the expected variation of the  $\theta$  angle ( $\Delta\theta$ ) across the MI transition is given by

$$\Delta \theta = -267.3 \frac{d_{\text{R-O}}}{d_{\text{Ni-O}}^2 \sqrt{2}} \Delta d_{\text{Ni-O}}$$
(2)

where  $\Delta d_{\text{Ni-O}}$  is the variation of  $d_{\text{Ni-O}}$  at temperatures close to  $T_{\text{MI}}$ .

By using such an approximation,  $\Delta\theta$  values of  $\sim -0.38$  and  $-0.32^{\circ}$  were estimated for the samples with x=0 and 0.30, respectively. The very good agreement between the measured and estimated values of  $\Delta\theta$  indicates that the expansion in the structural and geometrical parameters could be understood as a steric response to the increase of the Ni-O distance caused by the electronic localization occurring at  $T_{\rm MI}$ . The values here obtained for both samples were slightly smaller than  $\Delta\theta \approx -0.46^{\circ}$  obtained before for NdNiO<sub>3</sub> [6], but with the same sign and the same order of magnitude.

#### 3.2. Electrical Resistivity

The most striking feature displayed by this series is the occurrence of a metal-insulator (MI) transition in a wide range of temperature. Such a transition is easily seen in the temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity,  $\rho(T)$ , for the samples of  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{NiO}_3$  ( $0 \le x \le 0.5$ ), as shown in figure 7. The overall behavior of the  $\rho(T)$  data is essentially the same for all samples and they exhibit four important features: (1) a continuous increase in the MI transition temperature with increasing Eu content; (2) a metallic-like behavior above  $T_{\mathrm{MI}}$ , with a linear temperature dependence of  $\rho(T)$ ; (3) a fairly rapid increase of  $\rho(T)$  at  $T_{\mathrm{MI}}$ , related to the temperature-driven MI transition; and (4) a clear thermal hysteresis occurring in a temperature interval  $\Delta T_{\mathrm{MI}}$  close to  $T_{\mathrm{MI}}$ , which decreases appreciably as  $T_{\mathrm{MI}}$  increases.

The temperature in which the MI transition occurs is rather sensitive to Eu concentration, as can be inferred from the data of figure 7. The  $\rho(T)$  data reveal that  $T_{\rm MI}$  increases continuously with increasing Eu concentration, ranging from  $\sim 193$  K in NdNiO<sub>3</sub> to 336 K in Nd<sub>0.5</sub>Eu<sub>0.5</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> sample. The computed  $T_{\rm MI}$  values are displayed in table 3. The NdNiO<sub>3</sub> sample was found to exhibit  $T_{\rm MI} \sim 193$  K, a value in excellent agreement with others listed in literature [10]. Increasing Eu content resulted in a systematic increase of  $T_{\rm MI}$ , a behavior consistent with intermediary values of  $T_{\rm MI}$  ranging between  $T_{\rm MI} \sim 196$  K for NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and  $T_{\rm MI} \sim 480$  K for EuNiO<sub>3</sub> [10].

The  $\rho(T)$  data in the metallic regime,  $T > T_{\rm MI}$ , was described as  $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT$  for all samples studied. Such a behavior of  $\rho(T)$  is typical of electron-phonon scattering




Figure 7. Temperature dependence of electrical resistivity  $\rho(T)$  of  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{NiO}_3$  (0  $\leq x \leq$  0.5) compounds. The data were taken during the heating and the cooling processes, as indicated by the arrows. The inset displays the  $\Delta T_{\mathrm{MI}}$  against x curve.

where  $\rho_0$  is the residual electrical resistivity and A is related to the electron-phonon coupling constant  $\lambda_{\rm tr}$ . Assuming that the linear dependence of the electrical resistivity is due entirely to electron-phonon scattering, then  $\lambda_{\rm tr}$  can be obtained by using [28]

$$\lambda_{\rm tr} = 0.246(\hbar\omega_{\rm p})^2 A. \tag{3}$$

Considering the plasmon energy  $\hbar\omega_{\rm p}\approx 1~{\rm eV}$ , the same value obtained for LaNiO<sub>3</sub> [29], then  $\lambda_{\rm tr}$  can be estimated for all samples. It is also possible to estimate the mean free path l by doing

$$l = \frac{4.95 \times 10^{-4} v_{\rm F}}{(\hbar \omega_{\rm p})^2 \rho} \tag{4}$$

where  $v_{\rm F}$  (Fermi velocity) was assumed to be of the same magnitude of similar oxides  $(v_{\rm F} \sim 2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm/s} \text{ for } \text{La}_{1.825} \text{Sr}_{0.175} \text{CuO}_4)$  [30].

From fits of  $\rho(T)$  data we have obtained rising values of both  $\rho_0$  and A with increasing Eu content. All these values, as well as the values of  $\lambda_{\rm tr}$ , and l for all samples, are summarized in table 3. The mean free path l was estimated for a temperature just above the transition temperature  $T_{\rm MI}$ . The values of  $\rho_0$ , and A are of the same magnitude of those found in other related nickelates as, for instance,  $\rho_0 = 4.6 \times 10^{-4} \,\Omega{\rm cm}$  and  $A = 2.7 \times 10^{-6} \,\Omega{\rm cm}/{\rm K}$  obtained in SmNiO<sub>3</sub> [8]. It is important to mention that the values of  $\rho_0$  are expected to be dependent on the heat treatments during the sample preparation as well as on the oxygen nonstoichiometry [22, 31].

Just below  $T_{\rm MI}$ , the electrical resistivity jumps by three or four orders of magnitude and the electrical resistivity of the system is better described by an Arrhenius-type activation process  $\rho(T) = \rho_{\rm s} \exp(E_{\rm g}/k_{\rm B}T)$ , where  $\rho_{\rm s}$  is the temperature

**Table 3.** Values of  $T_{\rm MI}$ ,  $\rho_0$ , A,  $\lambda_{\rm tr}$  and l for Nd<sub>1-x</sub>Eu<sub>x</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub>.  $T_{\rm MI}$  was obtained from  $(1/\rho)({\rm d}\rho/{\rm d}T)$  against T curves, and  $\rho_0$  and A were obtained through the linear fitting of the experimental data in the metallic regime. The mean free path l values were estimated for a temperature just above the MI transition.

x	$T_{\rm MI}$ (K)	$\rho_0$ $(10^{-4} \Omega \text{cm})$	$A$ $(10^{-6} \Omega \text{cm/K})$	$\lambda_{ m tr}$	l (Å)
0	193	0.82	1.70	0.42	16.0
0.10	215	1.04	1.30	0.32	19.7
0.15	226	0.97	2.32	0.57	18.8
0.25	254	1.47	1.37	0.34	12.9
0.30	270	3.28	2.45	0.60	10.8
0.35	293	4.76	2.59	0.64	8.4
0.40	304	7.88	3.54	0.87	5.5
0.50	336	20.2	5.42	1.33	2.8

independent electrical resistivity,  $E_{\rm g}$  is the energy gap, and  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann constant. We have fitted the low temperature data and obtained the activation energy  $E_{\rm g} \sim 44$  and 73 meV for NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> compounds, respectively. The activation energy is similar to that obtained by Granados et al [32] (25-28 meV) in the same compound with x=0, although their results showed a smooth curvature in the lgR against 1/T curves, indicating that a simple activated behavior is only a rough approximation of the insulating phase. There are indications that the activation energy is also strongly dependent on the preparation process, since a small oxygen nonstoichiometry affects deeply the behavior of the insulating phase [31].

Examining further the  $\rho(T)$  curves in figure 7, one can note that the thermal hysteresis  $\Delta T_{\rm MI}$  is noticeable only in samples with x < 0.30. In fact, it occurs in a temperature interval  $\Delta T_{\rm MI}$  as large as 58 K in NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and is much less pronounced, or nearly absent, for the compound Nd<sub>0.65</sub>Eu<sub>0.35</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> where  $\Delta T_{\rm MI} \sim 2$  K (see inset of figure 7). The hysteretic behavior observed here, at least for the parent compound, is certainly related to the first-order character of the MI transition and reflects the temperature interval  $\Delta T_{\rm MI}$  in which both phases metallic (disordered) and insulating (ordered) coexist [11, 19]. The  $\Delta T_{\rm MI}$  against x plot shown in the inset of figure 7 also indicates that the width  $\Delta T_{\rm MI}$  of this thermal hysteresis approaches zero for Eu content  $x \sim 0.25$ . This strongly suggest that the partial substitution of Nd by Eu modifies the character of this transition from first to second order in samples with Eu content higher than  $\sim 0.25$ . Similar behavior has been observed in Nd<sub>0.5</sub>Sm<sub>0.5</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> which exhibited absence of thermal hysteresis in  $\rho(T)$  measurements, and the MI transition was characterized as a second order phase transition [21]. This proposition has to be further explored since a decrease in  $\Delta T_{\rm MI}$  is also expected as  $T_{\rm MI}$  increases.

It should be mentioned that Nikulin  $et\ al\ [31]$  could not observe any noticeable thermal hysteresis in electrical resistivity measurements performed in SmNiO3. This behavior was explained as a result of the kinetics of the M-I phase transition, since the phase transition for SmNiO3 occurs at temperatures much higher than that for NdNiO3. The kinetics of the phase transformation is believed to be much slower for the compound with Nd than that for the compound with Sm, resulting in a noticeable thermal hysteresis only for the NdNiO3 compound.

Figure 8 shows the temperature dependence of the logarithmic derivative of the electrical resistivity curves,  $(1/\rho)(d\rho/dT)$  against T taken upon heating. The peaks provide a better means of defining the value of  $T_{\rm MI}$ , as opposed to the simple inspection

of the  $\rho(T)$  curves [33]. The MI transition is sharper for the parent compound, a feature that manifests itself in the width of the peak in  $(1/\rho)(d\rho/dT)$ .




Figure 8. Temperature dependence of the  $(1/\rho)(d\rho/dT)$ , obtained during heating process.

The broadening and the decrease of the peak intensity in  $(1/\rho)(d\rho/dT)$  with increasing Eu content suggest a continuous transition from the metallic to the insulating state. In addition, the trend shown in figure 8 indicates that increasing substitution of Nd by Eu in NdNiO<sub>3</sub> moves the transition away from a well defined value of  $T_{\rm MI}$ . This behavior is certainly related to the increase of disorder which is also responsible for the observed increase in the value of  $\rho_0$  with increasing Eu content (see table 3). Such a partial substitution is analogous to an increase of the quenched bond randomness observed in systems as LaMnO<sub>3</sub> [34]. In other words, the coexistence between the ordered and disordered phases at the phase transition disappears at a finite threshold amount of Eu. In fact, neutron diffraction data have also suggested an increase of the crystalline disorder in the Nd<sub>0.7</sub>Eu<sub>0.3</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> sample, as inferred from the temperature interval in which the MI transition occurs (see figures 5 and 6).

### 3.3. Magnetization

Magnetization measurements M(T) were performed in all samples of  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{NiO}_3$  under magnetic fields H up to 10 kOe. The magnetic susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  was obtained by doing  $\chi(T) = M(T)M_m/Hm$ , where  $M_m$  is the molecular mass and m is the mass of the sample.

In order to understand better the magnetic behavior of these systems, specifically the behavior of the Ni sublattice which orders antiferromagnetically, the magnetic contribution of the rare earth ions to the susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  was subtracted from the original data. This subtraction was performed through measurements of magnetization in samples with similar crystal structure but without evidence for magnetic ordering of the metal. In this case, samples of NdAlO<sub>3</sub> and EuAlO<sub>3</sub> were

prepared, where the Ni ion has been fully replaced by Al.

Once the corresponding  $\chi(T)$  curves for the Al substituted samples were obtained, they were fitted to a Curie-Weiss law in the high temperature region (T > 100 K). Hence, it was possible to obtain the magnetic susceptibility for each ion separately  $(Nd^{3+} \text{ and Eu}^{3+})$ . Finally, the contribution of the Ni ions  $(Ni^{3+})$  to the total observed susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  could be written as

$$\chi^{\text{Ni}^{3+}} = \chi(T) - \chi^{\text{Nd}^{3+}} \cdot (1-x) - \chi^{\text{Eu}^{3+}} \cdot (x). \tag{5}$$

Figure 9 displays a typical set of  $\chi(T)$  curves after performing the subtraction discussed above. The data belonging to the samples with  $x=0,\,0.10,\,$  and 0.15 display a clear peak near  $\sim\!200$  K, corresponding to the antiferromagnetic ordering of the Ni<sup>3+</sup> sublattice. For samples with x=0 and  $x=0.10,\,T_{\rm N}\sim195$  K and for  $x=0.15,\,T_{\rm N}\sim220$  K.

The curves of  $\chi(T)$  for the samples where  $T_{\rm N}$  is well defined  $(x=0,\,x=0.10$  and x=0.15) were fit by the form  $\chi(T)=\chi_0+C/(T-\theta_c)$ , where C is the Curie constant,  $\theta_c$  is the Curie-Weiss temperature and  $\chi_0$  is a temperature-independent contribution to the susceptibility. By using the Curie constant C obtained in these fits, the values obtained for  $\mu_{\rm eff}$  were 1.75, 1.73, and 1.79  $\mu_{\rm B}$  for the samples with  $x=0,\,0.10$ , and 0.15 respectively. These values are in excellent agreement with  $\mu_{\rm eff}\sim 1.76\mu_{\rm B}$  expected for the free Ni<sup>3+</sup> ion at  $T>T_{\rm N}$ .




Figure 9. Curves of  $\chi(T)$  for  $\mathrm{Nd}_{1-x}\mathrm{Eu}_x\mathrm{NiO}_3$  ( $0 \le x \le 0.5$ ) after the subtraction of the contribution of the rare earth ions. The inset shows the curves of  $1/\chi(T)$ .

The expected peak of the magnetic order of the Ni sublattice is hardly seen in curves belonging to samples with  $x \geq 0.3$ . This might be explained by the fact that increasing Nd substitution by Eu results in a stronger effect of the Crystalline-Electric Field (CEF). Therefore, higher values of  $\chi(T)$  are obtained, hiding the observation of the magnetic order of the Ni sublattice. Besides, the magnetic contribution of the

CEF of the Eu<sup>3+</sup> is bigger than that of Nd<sup>3+</sup> ions, which makes the separation of the Ni sublattice contribution more difficult than the one performed above.

The magnitude of  $\chi$  increases monotonically when the temperature is decreased below  $T_{\rm N}$ . This indicates that the thermal behavior of the Ni sublattice can not be understood within the framework of a conventional antiferromagnet. One possible explanation for this anomalous behavior would be the presence of a canted-spin ferromagnetism. In fact, a small irreversibility observed in our measurements in ZFC and FC curves (not shown) would be an indication of weak ferromagnetism. However, the irreversibility was observed to be almost field independent, which seems to be inconsistent with the canted-spin picture.

On the other hand, the low temperature behavior of the magnetic susceptibility could be a result of two magnetic phases that coexist below  $T_{\rm N}$ : one antiferromagnetic phase, where the magnetic susceptibility decreases when the temperature decreases, and a paramagnetic phase, where the magnetic susceptibility increases further when the temperature decreases. This anomalous behavior in the magnetic susceptibility has been reported previously by Zhou et al [35] in measurements performed in NdNiO<sub>3</sub> and Nd<sub>0.5</sub>Sm<sub>0.5</sub>NiO<sub>3</sub> and was attributed to a charge disproportionation in the Ni sublattice, resulting into alternating diamagnetic and paramagnetic Ni sites. This point needs to be better clarified, and experiments are under way to address that.

#### Conclusions

In summary, we have produced high quality polycrystalline samples of  $Nd_{1-x}Eu_xNiO_3$  ( $0 \le x \le 0.5$ ). From XRD and NPD results we have found single-phase samples that crystallize in the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type orthorhombically distorted perovskite structure (space group Pbnm). The thermal evolution of the structural parameters across the MI transition revealed small unit cell changes in temperatures close to the MI transition. Within our experimental resolution, it was not possible to observe any kind of structural phase transition at  $T_{\rm MI}$  through the analysis of the NPD data. The MI transition temperatures from NPD ( $T_{\rm ND}$ ) were in good agreement with those found through electrical resistivity ( $T_{\rm MI}$ ) measurements.

The magnetic susceptibility data indicate, after subtracting the magnetic contribution of the rare earth ions, that  $\chi$  increases below  $T_{\rm N}$  for all samples, suggesting a possible coexistence of two phases: a paramagnetic phase and an antiferromagnetic one. However, this point still needs to be better clarified.

The substitution of Nd by Eu in the NdNiO<sub>3</sub> compound causes a broadening in the variation of the unit cell volume across the MI transition, which is also reflected in both the temperature dependence of the Ni-O distance and the superexchange angle. It is also observed an increase of  $\rho_0$  in the electrical resistivity curves, as the Eu content increases, and that the transition becomes less defined. When the Eu content is higher than  $\sim$ 0.25, the thermal hysteresis observed in the electrical resistivity curves, between the heating and cooling process, is suppressed. These results indicate that crystalline disorder, induced by the progressive substitution of Nd by Eu, is changing the first-order character of the phase transition observed across the MI boundary.

# Acknowledgments

The authors have benefited from the technical assistance of Walter Soares de Lima. This work was supported by the Brazilian agency Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa

do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) under Grant No. 05/53241-9. One of us (M.T.E.) acknowledges FAPESP fellow under Grant No. 97/11369-0 and R.F.J. the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) fellow under Grant No. 303272/2004-0. Institut Laue Langevin (Grenoble) is acknowledged for the allocated beam time on the D20 diffractometer.

#### References

- [1] J. G. Bednorz, and K. A. Müller, Z. Phys. B 64, 189 (1986).
- [2] P. Lacorre, J. B. Torrance, J. Pannetier, A. I. Nazzal, P. W. Wang, and T. C. Huang, J. Solid State Chem. 91, 225 (1991).
- [3] J. B. Torrance, P. Lacorre, A. I. Nazzal, E. J. Ansaldo, and C. Niedermayer, Phys. Rev. B 45, 8209 (1992).
- X. Q. Xu, J. L. Peng, Z. Y. Li, H. L. Ju, and R. L. Greene, Phys. Rev. B 48, 112 (1993).
- [5] J. L. García-Muñoz, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, and P. Lacorre, Europhys. Lett. 20, 241 (1992).
- [6] J. L. García-Muñoz, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, P. Lacorre, and J. B. Torrance, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4414 (1992).
- [7] M. T. Escote, PhD Thesis, Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil (2002).
- [8] J. Pérez-Cacho, J. Blasco, J. García, M. Castro, and J. Stankiewicv, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 11, 405 (1999).
- [9] I. Vobornik, L. Perfetti, M. Zacchigna, M. Grioni, G. Margaritondo, J. Mesot, M. Medarde, and P. Lacorre, Phys. Rev. B 60, R8426 (1999).
- [10] M. Medarde, P. Lacorre, K. Conder, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, S. Rosenkranz, F. Fauth, and A. Furrer, Physica B 241-243, 751 (1998).
- [11] M. L. Medarde, J. Phys.: Cond. Matter 9, 1679 (1997), and references therein.
- [12] J. A. Alonso, J. L. García-Muñoz, M. T. Fernández-Díaz, M. A. G. Aranda, M. J. Martínez-Lope, and M. T. Casais, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3871 (1999).
- [13] J. A. Alonso, M. J. Martínez-Lope, M. T. Casais, J. L. García-Muñoz, M. T. Fernández-Díaz, and M. A. G. Aranda, Phys. Rev. B 64, 94102 (2001).
- [14] J.-S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough, and B. Dabrowski, Phys. Rev. B 70, 81102(R) (2004).
- [15] M. T. Escote, and R. F. Jardim, J. Magn. Magn. Mat. 226, 249 (2001).
- [16] M. Zaghrioui A. Bulou, P. Lacorre, and P. Laffez, Phys. Rev. B 64, 81102 (2001).
- [17] U. Staub, G. I. Meijer, F. Fauth, R. Allenspach, J. G. Bednorz, J. Karpinski, S. M. Kazakov, L. Paolasini, and F. d'Acapito, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 126402 (2002).
- [18] I. Presniakov, G. Demazeau, A. Baranov, A. Sobolev, and K. Pokholok, Phys. Rev. B 71, 054409 (2005).
- [19] J. L. García-Muñoz, J. Rodríguez-Carvajal, and P. Lacorre, Phys. Rev. B 50, 978 (1994).
- [20] J. L. García-Muñoz, P. Lacorre, and R. Cywinski, Phys. Rev. B 51, 15197 (1995).
- [21] J.-S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough, B. Dabrowski, P. W. Klamut, and Z. Bukowski, Phys. Rev. B 61, 4401 (2000).
- [22] M. T. Escote, A. M. L. da Silva, J. R. Matos, and R. F. Jardim, J. Solid State Chem. 151, 298 (2000); M. T. Escote, and R. F. Jardim, Radiat. Eff. Defects Solids 147, 101 (1998).
- [23] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Physica B 192, 55 (1993).
- [24] G. Demazeau, A. Marbeuf, M. Pochard, and P. Hagenmuller, J. Solid State Chem. 13, 582 (1971).
- [25] J. A. Alonso, M. J. Martinez-Lope, and I. Rasines, J. Solid State Chem. 120, 170 (1995).
- [26] T. Graf, D. Mandrus, J. M. Lawrence, J. D. Thompson, P. C. Canfield, S.-W. Cheong, and L. W. Rupp, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 51, 2037 (1995).
- [27] J. B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. B 45, 8209 (1992).
- [28] M. T.Escote, V. A. Meza, R. F. Jardim, L. Ben-Dor, M. S. Torikachvili, and A. H. Lacerda, Phys. Rev. B 66, 144503 (2002).
- [29] J. P. Kemp, and P. A. Cox, Solid State Comm. 75, 731 (1990).
- [30] M. Gurvitch, and A. T. Fiory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1337 (1987).
- [31] I. V. Nikulin, M. A. Novojilov, A. R. Kaul, S. N. Mudretsova, and S. V. Kondrashov, Mater. Res. Bull. 39, 775 (2004).
- [32] X. Granados, J. Fontcuberta, X. Obradors, L. Mañosa, and J. B. Torrance, Phys. Rev. B 46, 11666 (1993).
- [33] M. E. Fisher, and J. S. Langer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 665 (1968).
- [34] E. Dagotto, T. Hotta, and A. Moreo, Phys. Rep. 344, 1 (2001).

 $[35]\,$  J.-S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough, B. Dabrowski, P. W. Klamut, and Z. Bukowski, Phys. Rev. Lett.  $\bf 84,\,526$  (2000).