Inclusion of phonon exchange in a nuclear matrix element

Irfan Chaudhary and Peter Hagelstein^y Research Laboratory of Electronics Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139,USA

Abstract

Phonon exchange with nuclei in the course of fusion reactions that occur in a solid have not been analyzed previously. This problem has become of interest in connection with claims of observations of anom alies in metal deuterides. If the strong force interaction were dependent only on position (and not spin or isospin), then the coupling with phonons can be developed directly. Since a nuclear interaction can change the lattice constituents, the initial and nal state lattices can be di erent, and we must include this in the form ulation. For more realistic strong force models with spin and isospin dependence, we can use correlated nuclear wavefunctions which are made up of products of space, spin and isospin components. In this case, the spin and isospin algebra can be done analytically, producing channel-dependent potentials that are only space dependent. The form ulation that results can be used for quantitative estim ates of phonon exchange.

PACS numbers: 63.10.+a, 63.20.-e, 63.20 Kr, 63.90.+t, 25.10.+s, 02.20.-a

I. IN TRODUCTION

Few claims have resulted in as much controversy as the claim of the observation of an excess heat e ect of nuclear origin in metal deuterides made by Fleischmann, Pons, and Hawkins in 1989.¹ Reviews of work on this problem were conducted by the Department of Energy (in the United States) in 1989² and in 2004.³ The conclusions of the 1989 review were very negative. The 2004 review was quite di erent in how it was carried out, what material was reviewed, and in the results. On the speci c question of the existence of an excess power e ect, which was the central issue of the review material presented to DoE,⁴ there were more positive comments than negative comments volunteered from the review ers.⁵ This is signi cant, as DoE did not charge the reviewers to consider this question speci cally. Am ong many other comments that were made, several of the reviewers suggested that more work on this problem be submitted to mainstream journals.

Our interest in this paper is not focused on the question of the existence of an excess heat e ect, although this issue has motivated our investigations. We are interested instead in theoretical issues which must be better understood in order for theory to be more relevant to the problem. For most physicists, the theoretical problem was adequately addressed by Huizenga, who argued that three \miracles" were required for a theoretical explanation.⁶

The rst \m iracle" involves the question of reaction rate. The excess heat e ect in plies reaction rates on the order of $10^{12} \sec^{1}$, tens of orders of m agnitude larger than the fastest fusion rates possible in m olecular D₂ or HD.

The second \mbox{m} iracle" concerns the branching ratio. F leischm ann initially speculated that ⁴H e was being m ade from two deuterons. Such a result is seem ingly m iraculous as the branching ratio for this reaction channel is lower by about seven orders of m agnitude than the prim ary n+ ³H e and p+t reaction channels.

The third \m iracle" involves the requirement that the reaction energy be expressed through channels not involving energetic reaction products.

If one believes that these issues are insum ountable, then one would require that any claim of excess heat of nuclear origin must be accompanied by measurable energetic reaction products. This view was adopted by Huizenga, and by the 1989 ERAB Panel. This view is also widely held among the physics community at this time.

A. M otivation

However, other views are possible. Fleischmann's initial speculation was that a new physical process was involved, one that behaves di erently from what is described in the textbooks. It seems that if we are trying to understand whether an excess heat e ect

can exist, we should be asking whether there can be interactions between nuclei and a condensed m atter environment. For example, suppose that phonons are exchanged in the course of a nuclear reaction that occurs in a lattice. Could this phonon exchange result in a modi cation of the selection nules? And if phonon exchange occurs, would that not constitute a new channel into which reaction energy might go? Nuclear physics relies heavily on the notion of an equivalent vacuum reaction in order to understand quantitatively fusion reactions. Huizenga's three \m iracles" re ect such a view. For example, the second \m iracle" is essentially a statement of energy, linearm om entum, and angularm om entum conservation, all of which are conserved in vacuum. Is it obvious that conservation laws in a condensed m atter environment always produce the same reaction pathways with the same branching ratios; and, if so, can this be proven? In the case of the third \m iracle," the reaction energy must be expressed as an energetic product in vacuum, since no other kinds of channels exist. But is it obvious that this must also be the case in a condensed m atter environment, where low energy channels do exist? Once again, can this be proven? In any event, all of these questions ought to be capable of being addressed by theory.

The current lack of such a theory in the mainstream literature is underscored in comments made by som e reviewers in the 2004 DOE review. For example, a reviewer with expertise in m etal deuterides and phonons w rote: \To create a coupling between nuclear interaction and phonons at such a low energy region (namely, the electrom agnetic interaction) is beyond one's in agination at the moment." A nother review erw ith expertise in nuclear theory wrote: \I am convinced that simple order-of-m agnitude estimates of this kind could quickly rule out any of the exotic mechanisms proposed ... " This reviewer argues that the matrix element for the emission of a large number of phonons would scale as $(kR)^{2L}$, where k is the phonon wavenumber. A side from the fact that the emission of a large number of phonons in a single process was not put forth as a proposed reaction mechanism in the review, the use (or m isuse) by this reviewer of a phonon wavenumber in this way underscores the need for a relevant theory. We nd ourselves then in a position where a better understanding of phonon exchange in fusion reactions is required for a sensible discussion of these and related issues. Thism otivates us to consider in thism anuscript how to calculate phonon exchange in perhaps the simplest application; that of an interaction matrix element. Phonon exchange due to recoil in neutron capture reactions was analyzed by Lamb, 7 and this analysis has been used subsequently for phonon exchange in gam m a reactions. In the M ossbauer e ect, an anom alous zero-phonon exchange e ect occurs if the recoil is su ciently gentle.⁸ In our m atrix elements recoil is involved in plicitly, and phonon exchange due to the local change in lattice structure will appear explicitly.

B. Excitation transfer scheme

In the following sections of this manuscript, we develop a formulation suitable for including phonon exchange in nuclear reaction matrix elements. Once armed with this tool, we are in a position to develop calculations relevant to candidate reaction schemes that involve phonon exchange (of which quite a few have been proposed over the years). Our current interest is focused on a particular scheme in which coupling occurs between nuclei at di erent sites as a result of phonon exchange with a common phonon mode. In this scheme, two deuterons fuse locally with the reaction energy transferred elsewhere through a second-order o -resonant excitation transfer e ect. The system atics of this e ect in the idealized case of two-level systems coupled to a common oscillator is discussed in a recent analysis.9 In essence, the reaction energy is transferred to excited states in host lattice nuclei through an initial slow excitation transfer step, and then subsequent rapid excitation transfer occurs am ong these nuclei. The reaction energy is transferred to the phonon m ode in this scheme a few phonons at a time in a very large number of fast excitation transfer reactions. The scheme seems to be closely related to experiment, and the reaction rate predicted appears to be consistent with experiment to within uncertainties in the deuteron-deuteron screening. The evaluation of this scheme requires the ability to evaluate phonon exchange in fusion reactions as discussed in the present manuscript.

Huizenga's arguments are based ultimately on fundamental notions relevant to vacuum reactions, local energy and momentum conservation, and the use of Golden Rule reaction rate estimates, as discussed above. In this new excitation transfer scheme, the energy of the coupled system is conserved, but local energy is transferred elsewhere. In addition, the associated reaction dynamics do not follow simple Golden Rule predictions. Huizenga's arguments simply do not apply to this kind of scheme.

C. Overview of the paper

This paper is organized as follows. In section II we discuss the basic lattice-nuclear coupling (assuming a simplified nuclear potential model that depends only on position), and argue that it is very similar to the more familiar electron-phonon and neutron-phonon couplings. However, realistic nuclear potentials depend on spin, isospin, and parity. In Section III we show that for realistic nuclear potentials, by rst doing the spin and isospin algebra analytically, the ideas developed in Section III are relevant. In Section IV, using the H am ada-Johnston nuclear potential, we explicitly carry out the program outlined in Section III for one particular matrix element relevant to a deuteron-deuteron fusion reaction. This particular interaction is chosen because it is one of the simplest realistic nuclear potentials. In Section V we extend this vacuum result to include the lattice. W e in display and in Section V I an explicit expression for one of the integrals that appears in the interaction matrix element in

4

term s of phonon coordinates. This result is closely related to the discussion in Section II.A sum m ary and conclusions is given in Section VII.

A though the essential issues involved in phonon exchange can be seen with the sim pli ed position-dependent potential, we need to work with nuclear wavefunctions that depend on spin and isospin when using a more realistic nuclear potential model. The construction of these general four-body correlated nuclear wavefunction requires the use of certain aspects of representation theory, and may be unfamiliar to some of the readers. As a result, we have included material in a set of appendices that provide further discussion of the wavefunction construction and usage in matrix element calculations.

II. PHONON INTERACTIONS

We are interested in the basic issue of phonon exchange in association with nuclear reactions in a lattice. The formulation that follows in subsequent sections is complicated, and it makes sense to review phonon exchange in more familiar circum stances. In condensed matterphysics, phonon exchange is of interest in the study of electron scattering and neutron scattering. In both cases, phonon exchange com es about through a formulation in which the center of mass coordinates of the nuclei are taken to be phonon operators.

A. Phonon exchange associated with electronic transitions

For example, electron-phonon interactions can be developed ultimately starting from the C oulomb interaction written in the form 10

$$\frac{Z_{j}e^{2}}{\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{j} \times_{k}j}$$
(1)

where the x_k are the electron coordinates, and the Z_j are the electron charges on the ions. The $\hat{R_j}$ are the nuclear center of mass coordinates, which are dynamical, and which we think of in terms of lattice operators (\hat{q}_n)

$$\hat{R}_{j} = R_{j}(\hat{q}_{1}; \hat{q}_{2};) \qquad (2)$$

1

In the event that we adopt a description of the lattice in terms of product states, then a matrix element of the Coulomb interaction m ight be written as

$$M_{fi} = \prod_{f}^{L} (fqg)_{f} (fxg) \left(\frac{X}{jk} - \frac{Z_{j}e^{2}}{\hat{K}_{j} - x_{k}j} - \frac{L}{i} (fqg)_{i} (fxg) \right)$$
(3)

In this way of thinking about the problem, we could de ne a phonon interaction by integrating over electronic coordinates

$$\hat{\nabla}_{fi}(fqg) = \int_{f} (fxg) \frac{X}{j^{k}} \frac{Z_{j}e^{2}}{\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{j} \times k^{j}} \int_{i} (fxg)$$
(4)

Phonon exchange in this case can be developed then from lattice matrix elements of this operator

$$M_{fi} = \int_{f}^{L} (fqg) j \hat{\gamma}_{fi}(fqg) j \int_{i}^{L} (fqg)$$
(5)

In the event that the electronic transition results in a change in the local force constants, the initial and nal state lattice m ay di er enough that this should be rejected in the matrix element.

B. Phonon exchange associated with neutron interactions

A similar approach can be used in the case of neutron scattering. In the case of a simple position-dependent interaction

$$V_0 \xrightarrow{3} (\hat{R}_j r_n)$$
(6)

we can develop the phonon interaction according to

$$\hat{\nabla}_{fi}(fqg) = \int_{f} (\mathbf{r}_{n}) V_{0} \qquad \overset{X}{3} (\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{j} \mathbf{r}_{n}) \int_{i} (\mathbf{r}_{n})$$
(7)

In these equations r_n is the neutron coordinate. W ith a know ledge of the phonon interaction, we can analyze phonon exchange using M _{fi} m atrix elements as calculated above.

C. Phonon exchange in a sim pli ed m odel for nuclear reactions

W e m ight choose to work with an approximate position-dependent nuclear interaction m odel that is a function of spatial coordinates alone

$$v_n$$
 (jr r j) (8)

where and refer to nucleon (neutron and proton) coordinates. The interaction matrix element in this case can be written as

$$M_{fi} = \int_{f}^{L} (frg) V_{n} (jr r j) \int_{i}^{L} (frg)$$
(9)

where the integration here is taken over individual nucleon coordinates. A nuclear reaction may result in the initial lattice being di erent than the nal lattice. For example, if two deuterons reacted to form ${}^{3}\text{H} \text{e+} n$, the initial lattice would contain the two deuterons while the nal lattice would have ${}^{3}\text{H} \text{e}$ and a possibly free neutron. We note that in a norm al version of this reaction, the nal state products would y o as energetic nuclear products; we consider this possibility to be included within the form ulation under discussion.

However, this description does not yet make clear the situation with respect to phonon exchange. For this, we need to rewrite the integral in terms of phonon coordinates rather than in terms of nucleon coordinates. To make progress, we can express individual nucleon coordinates that are associated with nuclei in terms of the nuclear center of mass coordinates R and relative internal nuclear coordinates

Since a nuclear reaction m ay result in a change in nuclei between the initial states and the nalstates, the set of center of m ass coordinates m ay be di erent. Consequently, the nuclear interaction m atrix element can be written form ally as

$$M_{fi} = \int_{f}^{L} (fR^{f}g; f^{f}g) = v_{n}(jr r) \int_{i}^{L} (fR^{i}g; f^{i}g)^{Y} (r^{i}r^{f}) df^{i}gdf^{f}gdfR^{i}gdfR^{f}g$$

$$(11)$$

We integrate over all initial and nal state center of mass and internal coordinates, and require that the individual nucleon coordinates be the same in the initial and nal states.

Once we have a description in terms of the nuclear center of mass coordinates, we can rewrite the integrations in terms of phonon coordinates. To simplify things, we might assume product states of the form

In this case, the matrix element becomes

$$M_{fi} = Z_h \qquad i X f_{f}(fq^{f}g)_{f}(f^{f}g) \qquad v_n(jr r) i (fq^{i}g)_{i}(f^{i}g)^{Y} \qquad (r^{i} r^{f})df^{i}gdf^{f}gdfq^{i}gdfq^{f}g < (13)$$

For a nal lattice with N ions, this can be thought of as

7

$$Z M_{fi} = \int_{f}^{L} (q^{f}) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{fi} (q^{f}; q^{i}) \quad \int_{i}^{L} (q^{i}) \quad {}^{(3N-3)} (q^{f} A q^{i} b) dq^{i} dq^{f}$$
(14)

in which the phonon interaction operator $\hat{v}_{fi}(q^f;q^i)$ is developed from an appropriate integration over the internal nuclear coordinates. M ore simply, we may write

$$M_{fi} = \int_{f}^{L} (q^{f}) \quad \hat{\nabla}_{fi} (q^{f}; q^{i}) \quad \lim_{i} (q^{i}) dq^{i}$$
(15)

together with the associated constraint

$$q^{f} = A \quad q^{i} + b \tag{16}$$

Due to the change in the lattice structure, the phonon mode structure can be altered.^{11,12} The associated matrix element written in terms of initial state and nal state coordinates [equation (15)] is common in papers on Franck-C ondon factors in polyatom ic molecules.¹³

D. Discussion

Phonon exchange in electron and neutron scattering can be implemented using the local nuclear center of mass operators as phonon operators. This leads to a straightforward description of matrix elements that include phonon exchange, as well as the development of phonon exchange operators. In the case of a nuclear reaction, we can de ne a nuclear interaction matrix element simply enough under the assumption of a position-dependent potential as long as we work with nucleon coordinates. However, when the integral is rewritten in term s of phonon mode amplitudes, the resulting expression ismore complicated. The underlying ideas and approach are very similar. The important message here is that a nuclear interaction matrix element expressed in terms of the nucleons of the lattice is completely straightforward, and it is only the bookkeeping associated with the assembly of nucleons into nuclei, and nuclei into phonons, that adds som e complication to the problem.

There are basic di erences between the new problem and the well known electron and neutron scattering problem swhich deserve to be noted.

The energy scales in the case of nuclear reactions are not matched; typical nuclear reaction energies are on M eV scale, whereas the maximum phonon energies are typically 100 m eV or less.

A nuclear reaction involving a change in the nucleon content of the product nuclei will likely produce phonon exchange, if for no other reason than the fact that such reactions will produce a change in the structure of the lattice.

III. FORMAL CALCULATION W ITH A REALISTIC POTENTIAL

For quantitative results, we will need to use a realistic nuclear potential. In atom ic physics and solid state physics, we are familiar with wavefunctions that have both spin and space dependence. Nuclear wavefunctions have in addition isospin dependence. In the isospin scheme, a nucleon can be in an isospin down state j#i in which case it is a neutron, or it can be in an isospin up state j"i in which case it is a proton. It was recognized early on in nuclear physics that the nucleon-nucleon potential is of short range in space, and depends explicitly on both spin and isospin. Over the past 60 years, increasingly accurate nuclear potentialm odels have been developed. Early models were based in part on eld theoretical models for the one-pion exchange, and in part on few parameter empirical models that were t to scattering data. In recent years, the most accurate nuclear potential models have been constructed from a diagram matic analysis of an elective eld theory.^{14,15} For the purposes of the present paper, we will adopt an early potentialm odel (the H am ada-Johnston potential⁶), which has the advantage for our discussion of being relatively simple in form.

A. Ham ada-Johnston potential

The H am ada-Johnston potential between nucleon 1 and nucleon 2 appears in the literature written as

$$V_{HJ}(1;2) = V_{C}(1;2) + V_{T}(1;2)S_{12} + V_{LS}(1;2)(LS) + V_{LL}(1;2)L_{12}$$
(17)

This potential is made up of four basic term s: a central potential V_C ; a tensor interaction $V_T S_{12}$; a spin-orbit term V_{LS} (LS); and a generalized centripetal potential term $V_{LL} L_{12}$. These are discussed further in Appendix A. The central and tensor terms have isospin dependence, whereas the spin-orbit and generalized centripetal term s do not. A san example, we consider the tensor term in more detail (which we use as an example in Appendix D). Explicitly it is given by

$$V_{T}$$
 (1;2) $S_{12} = (1_{2}) y_{T} (r_{12}) 3 \frac{(1_{1} r_{12}) (2_{2} r_{12})}{r_{12}^{2}} 1_{2}$ (18)

with = m c =, where m is the mass of the pion. The radial potential is parameterized according to

$$y_{\rm T}(\mathbf{x}) = 0.08 \frac{{\rm m} {\rm c}^2}{3} + \frac{3}{{\rm x}} + \frac{3}{{\rm x}^2} + \frac{{\rm e}^{\rm x}}{{\rm x}} + a_{\rm T} \frac{{\rm e}^{\rm x}}{{\rm x}} + b_{\rm T} \frac{{\rm e}^{-2{\rm x}}}{{\rm x}^2}$$
 (19)

where a_T and b_T are parameters which have been t separately for singlet-triplet spin and even-odd parity (angular momentum) channels. Hence, y_T has an additional implicit dependence on spin and parity: $y_T = y_T$ where can be even-triplet (et), even-singlet (es), odd-triplet(ot) or odd-singlet(os).

B. W avefunctions

Because realistic nuclear potentials have explicit dependences on spin and isospin, one requires nuclear wavefunctions that have well de ned spin and isospin dependences. In the nuclear physics literature, there are a variety of approaches to this problem, ranging from determ inantal wavefunctions built up from single nucleon orbitals to more complicated correlated wavefunctions. For the development of the results in this paper, we will adopt a basic construction of correlated wavefunctions in terms of products of spatial, spin-dependent, and isospin-dependent pieces, each of which is determined by group theory.

As is custom any in nuclear physics, we choose our wavefunctions to satisfy the generalized Pauli exclusion principle, which requires that the total wavefunction (including space, spin and isospin parts) has to be antisymmetric with respect to nucleon exchange. A group theoretical construction of the antisymmetric wavefunctions is possible by summing over products of many-particle spatial functions, spin functions, and isospin functions, each of which belong to appropriate representations of the symmetric group, S (4).¹⁷ In the case of the spin and isospin functions, the symmetric group representations correspond to eigenfunctions of total spin and isospin, as a consequence of the Schur-W eyl duality.^{18,19} Hence the antisymmetric wavefunctions have well-de ned total spin and isospin. This is discussed in Appendix B.

In general, such correlated wavefunctions can be written in the form

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{j} s_{j} (f g) t_{j} (f g)_{j} (frg)$$
(20)

where s_j (f g) are the spin functions, t_j (f g) are the isospin functions, and $_j$ (frg) are the spatial functions; all of which belong to certain representations of the symmetric group as indicated above. The C_j are products of the C lebsch-G ordan coe cients of S (4).

C. Form alm atrix elem ent calculation

G iven the discussion above, the basic calculation of an interaction matrix element is straightforward. We begin with initial and nalstates de ned as

We then form ally calculate the matrix element to give

* +
X
$$V_{HJ}(;)^{0} =$$

* $V_{HJ}(;)^{0} =$
* $X C_{j}s_{j}(fg)t_{j}(fg)^{j}(frg)^{0}(frg$

In writing this, we have integrated form ally over spin and isospin coordinates to develop the interaction matrix element into simpler spatial matrix elements involving individually position-dependent potentials $V_R^{\ j;k}$ given by

$$V_{R}^{j,k} (frg) = C_{j}C_{k} s_{j}(fg)t_{j}(fg) V_{HJ}(;) s_{k}^{0}(fg)t_{k}^{0}(fg)$$
(23)

In this way we can reduce the spin and isospin dependent nuclear matrix element into a set of simpler matrix elements, each one of which involves a position-dependent potential.

IV. BASIC VACUUM MATRIX ELEMENT CALCULATION

Before attempting a calculation of a speci c interaction matrix element in the lattice case, it is appropriate to rst exam ine the simpler equivalent calculation in the vacuum case. For this speci c calculation, we will select a four-body problem in which there are two deuterons in the initial state, and in which the nal state contains a three nucleon body (3 He or t) and a one nucleon body (n or p).

A. Vacuum nuclear wavefunctions

The speci c matrix element that we select for our example involves a quintet (S = $2;M_s = 1$) initial state $_i$ with two deuterons (the deuterons each have spin 1), and a singlet (S = $0;M_s = 0$) nal state which is a linear combination of ${}^{3}H + p$ and ${}^{3}He + n$. The reason for this is that a linear combination of states with di erent total isospin (T = 0 and T = 1) are required to resolve a single proton or neutron in the nal state channel. For simplicity, we have adopted initial and nal state wavefunctions with total isospin T = 0. As we discussed brie y above, nuclear wavefunctions can be constructed from linear combinations of products of basis vectors of representations of the symmetric group. For the case of four-body wavefunctions, we have indexed the speci c basis vectors in terms of their associated Yam anouch isymbol in Appendix B.W e have also listed the results of a system atic construction of all such four-body wavefunctions with total isospin zero in Appendix C.

The initial state is constructed according to

$$_{i} = _{6} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} [_{5}s_{10}t_{6} \quad _{6}s_{10}t_{5}]$$
 (24)

where $_6$ is the notation used in system atic construction of Appendix B.Each of the fourparticle spin (s), isospin (t), and spatial () functions are individually basis vectors of representations of the symmetric group listed in Appendix B.The nal state is constructed according to

$$f = 1 = 10 \frac{p}{2} [s_5 t_6 \ s_6 t_5]$$
 (25)

where ₁ is also the notation used in Appendix B.W e have selected these states in particular due to the close correspondence between the physical states discussed below, and the group theoretical Yam anouchi basis states for these channels. In the case of other channels, a linear superposition of Yam anouchi basis states may be required.

B. Matrix element in terms of Yam anouch i basis functions

Them atrix element can be evaluated using the approach discussed above. We rst express the matrix elements in terms of V_{HJ} (1;2), since the Yam anouch i basis functions are either symmetric or antisymmetric under 1 \$ 2. We write

$$\begin{array}{c} & & + \\ X \\ f & V_{HJ}(;) \\ & i \end{array} = 6h_{f} \mathbf{j} Y_{HJ}(1;2) \mathbf{j}_{i} \mathbf{i} \end{array}$$
(26)

U sing the initial and nal states in the form listed above, we obtain four di erent contributions to the matrix element

$$M_{fi} = 3 hs_{5}t_{6} 10 y_{HJ} (1;2) j_{B10}t_{6} 5i hs_{5}t_{6} 10 y_{HJ} (1;2) j_{B10}t_{5} 6i$$

*

$$hs_{6}t_{5}_{10}y_{HJ}(1;2)j_{510}t_{6}_{51} + hs_{6}t_{5}_{10}y_{HJ}(1;2)j_{510}t_{5}_{61}$$
(27)

Because of the symmetry of the interaction and the wavefunctions, only one term survives the spin and isospin algebra. The details of this calculation for the tensor term are worked out in Appendix D. The generalized centripetal term can be worked out in a completely analogous way. The net result is that

$$M_{fi} = h_{10} y_{R}^{10;5} (1;2) j_{5} i$$
(28)

where

$$V_{R}^{10;5}(1;2) = 18^{p} \frac{1}{3} \frac{(x_{12} + iy_{12}) z_{12}}{r_{12}^{2}} y_{T}^{et}(r_{12}) + \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{2} (\hat{L}_{+} \hat{L}_{z} + \hat{L}_{z} \hat{L}_{+}) y_{LL}^{et}(r_{12})$$
(29)

where \hat{L}_z and \hat{L}_+ are relative 12 (read as one-two) angular momentum operators.

We have already noted that the Yam anouchi basis is naturally purely symmetric or antisymmetric under the exchange 1 \$ 2 (This is further discussed in Appendix D). As a consequence, the calculation of the many-body matrix element leads to the simplest algebraic result when specied in terms of 12 terms. Interactions between all particles are represented, since the $_{10}$ and $_5$ representations include permutations of the particle num – bering. Hence, the use of a position-dependent interaction potential given in terms of r_{12} is appropriate, and implies the use of r_{12} as a favored relative coordinate to use in the evaluation of the spatial matrix element.

C. Physical wavefunctions

The group theoretical machinery that we used in the evaluation above results in a compact expression given in terms of spatial Yam anouch i basis functions. As such, they have certain symmetries with respect to particle interchange. These must be implemented in the construction of the spatial wavefunctions. This can be accomplished by using an appropriate superposition of permutations of wavefunctions with no particular symmetry.

For example, suppose we begin with an unsymmetrized \physical" wavefunction for the two deuteron initial state

$$(12;34) = {}_{d}(\mathbf{r}_{2} \ \mathbf{r}_{1}) {}_{d}(\mathbf{r}_{4} \ \mathbf{r}_{3}) \mathbf{F}_{2;2} \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}_{1} + \mathbf{r}_{2}}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{r}_{3} + \mathbf{r}_{4}}{2}$$
(30)

In this wavefunction, nucleons 1 and 2 m ake up one deuteron given by $_{\rm d}$ (r₂ r₁), and nucleons 3 and 4 m ake up another deuteron $_{\rm d}$ (r₄ r₃). The generalized channel separation function F_{2,2} is the probability amplitude associated with the two center of m ass coordinates of the deuterons. As discussed in Appendix E, we can use this unsymmetrized physical wavefunction as the basis for the construction of the associated Y am anouchi 5 function, which leads to

$$_{5} = p \frac{1}{12} 2 (12;34) + 2 (34;21) (23;14) (14;23) (13;24) (24;13) (31)$$

This generates the appropriate Yam anouchi basis vector for use in the matrix element expression above.

In the case of the nal state channel, we begin with the physical wavefunction

$$(123;4) = _{3He}(r_3 r_2;r_2 r_1) F_{3;1} \frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3}{3};r_4$$
(32)

where $_{3H e}$ is the $^{3}H e$ wavefunction speci ed in terms of two relative internal coordinates, and where $F_{3;1}$ is the generalized channel function for the center of mass for the $^{3}H e$ and neutron. From this physical wavefunction, we can construct the associated Yam anouchi $_{10}$ function according to

$$_{10} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (123;4) + (124;3) + (134;2) + (234;1) (33)

This function can be used in the group theoretical matrix element form ula above.

V. LATTICE RESULT

Let us now consider exactly the same physical process taking place inside the lattice. We are interested in the calculation of the interaction matrix element with wavefunctions that contain both nuclear and lattice coordinates. Perhaps the simplest way to approach the problem is to make use of the vacuum results from the last section, but expand the de nition of the initial and nal states to include the lattice.

A. W avefunctions for lattice and nuclei

We now augment the de nition of the physical wavefunctions to include the lattice coordinates. For example, in the new physical initial state wavefunction, we include the center of mass coordinates of the other nuclei to obtain

$$(12;34;fRg) = _{d}(r_{2} r_{1})_{d}(r_{4} r_{3})F_{2;2}^{L} \frac{r_{1} + r_{2}}{2}; \frac{r_{3} + r_{4}}{2};fRg$$
(34)

The other nuclei in the lattice are spectators in the sense that they do not participate in nucleon rearrangem ent associated with the reaction. Consequently, the appearance of the associated degrees of freedom into the lattice channel separation factor is an appropriate generalization of the generalized channel separation factor from the vacuum case. We still require the nucleons that participate in the reaction to be described by a wavefunction that is totally antisymmetric under the exchange of nucleons. The vacuum formulation that we discussed above accomplished this in the absence of spectator nuclei. There seems s to be no reason that we cannot adopt precisely the same kind of formulation here (this is discussed in Appendix F). Hence, we form the appropriate Y am anouch spatial basis function for the initial state m ade up of the sam e superposition of physical states that are augmented with spectator coordinates. This leads to

$$\sum_{5}^{L} = \frac{p}{12} 2 (12;34;fRg) + 2 (34;21;fRg) (23;14;fRg)$$

$$(14;23;fRg) (13;24;fRg) (24;13;fRg) (35)$$

F inal state wavefunctions can be developed similarly. The physical wavefunction for the nal state is

$$(123;4;fRg) = _{3He}(r_3 r_2;r_2 r_1) F_{3;1}^{L} \frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3}{3};r_4;fRg$$
(36)

The appropriate nalstate Yam anouch i basis is form ed through

$${}^{\rm L}_{10} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (123;4;{\rm fR}\,{\rm g}) + \quad (124;3;{\rm fR}\,{\rm g}) + \quad (134;2;{\rm fR}\,{\rm g}) + \quad (234;1;{\rm fR}\,{\rm g}) \quad (37)$$

B. Matrix element

We can take advantage of the sam e group theoretical construction to evaluate the m atrix element when spectator nuclei are present, since we only required total antisymm etrization on the four nucleons involved in the local strong force interaction. Consequently, we may write

$$M_{fi} = h_{10}^{L} y_{R}^{10;5} j_{5}^{L} i$$
(38)

We see in this result a very strong connection between the vacuum result and the lattice result. In general we can system atically extend vacuum calculations to the lattice case through the addition of the lattice nuclear center of m ass coordinates as spectator degrees of freedom.

C. M atrix element in terms of physical wavefunctions

We have made use of representations of the symmetric group in order to construct nuclear wavefunctions and to evaluate the interaction matrix element. In the case of the spatial wavefunctions, these basis functions are made up of several dierent permutations of the physical wavefunction. If we make use of these expansions, we can recast the interaction matrix element in terms of matrix elements involving physical wavefunctions. This will in general produce a large number of terms.

In the speci c case of the tensor matrix element that we have been using as an example, we obtain twenty four terms in total. We may write

$$M_{fi} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{12}} \sum_{3He}^{Z} (r_{3} r_{2}; r_{2} r_{1}) F_{3;1}^{L} \frac{r_{1} + r_{2} + r_{3}}{3}; r_{4}; fRg \quad V_{R}^{10;5} (r_{2} r_{1}) d(r_{2} r_{1}) d(r_{4} r_{3})$$

$$F_{2;2}^{L} \frac{r_{1} + r_{2}}{2}; \frac{r_{3} + r_{4}}{2}; fRg \quad d^{3}r_{1} d^{3}r_{2} d^{3}r_{3} d^{3}r_{4} d^{3N} fRg + (39)$$

where the represent the other twenty three terms in the expansion (see Appendix G).

VI. MATRIX ELEMENT IN TERMS OF PHONON COORD INATES

A prim ary goal of this paper is to develop an expression for one of the integrals that appear in the matrix element explicitly in terms of phonon coordinates, similar to what we discussed in Section II.We begin by de ning the integral I according to

$$I = \sum_{3He} (r_3 r_2; r_2 r_1) F_{3;1}^{L} \frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3}{3}; r_4; fRg \quad V_R^{10;5} (r_2 r_1) d(r_2 r_1) d(r_4 r_3)$$
$$F_{2;2}^{L} \frac{r_1 + r_2}{2}; \frac{r_3 + r_4}{2}; fRg \quad d^3r_1 d^3r_2 d^3r_3 d^3r_4 d^{3N} fRg \qquad (40)$$

A. Integral in term s of center of m ass and relative coordinates

This integral includes integrations over nucleon coordinates as well as the center of mass coordinates of the spectator nuclei. We would like to recast the integral in terms of center of mass coordinates and relative coordinates, to set things up for a transformation to phonon mode coordinates. We may write

$$I = {}^{2} h \\ I = {}^{3He} \left({}^{f}_{32}; {}^{f}_{21} \right) F_{3;1}^{L} R_{3He}^{f}; r_{4}^{f}; fRg {}^{i} V \left({}^{f}_{21} \right) {}_{d} \left({}^{i}_{21} \right) {}_{d} \left({}^{i}_{43} \right) F_{2;2}^{L} R_{d1}^{i}; R_{d2}^{i}; fRg \\ {}^{(3)} \frac{1}{3} {}^{f}_{32} \frac{2}{3} {}^{f}_{21} + R_{3He}^{f} \left({}^{1}_{2} {}^{i}_{21} + R_{d1}^{i} \right) {}^{(3)} \frac{1}{3} {}^{f}_{32} + \frac{1}{3} {}^{f}_{21} + R_{3He}^{f} \left({}^{1}_{2} {}^{i}_{21} + R_{d1}^{i} \right) \\ {}^{(3)} \frac{2}{3} {}^{f}_{32} + \frac{1}{3} {}^{f}_{21} + R_{3He}^{f} \left({}^{1}_{2} {}^{i}_{21} + R_{d1}^{i} \right) {}^{(3)} r_{4}^{f} \left({}^{1}_{2} {}^{i}_{43} + R_{d2}^{i} \right) \\ {}^{d^{3}} {}^{f}_{32} {}^{d^{3}} {}^{f}_{21} {}^{d^{3}} R_{3He}^{f} {}^{d^{3}} {}^{i}_{21} {}^{d^{3}} R_{d1}^{i} {}^{d^{3}} R_{d1}^{i} {}^{d^{3}} R_{d2}^{i} {}^{d^{3N}} {}^{3} fRg \qquad (41)$$

where we have de ned the relative coordinates

and the center of mass coordinates

$$R_{d1}^{i} = \frac{r_{1}^{i} + r_{2}^{i}}{2} \qquad R_{d2}^{i} = \frac{r_{3}^{i} + r_{4}^{i}}{2} \qquad R_{3He}^{f} = \frac{r_{1}^{t} + r_{2}^{t} + r_{3}^{t}}{3}$$
(43)

B. Deuteron-deuteron separation

W hen the two deuterons are close enough for strong force interactions to take place, then the C oulom b repulsion is su ciently strong that this interaction dom inates over the forces from other atom s. A lthough we can use a phonon description in this case, it would not be the most natural, and the C oulom b forces would require the presence of very high-order phonon operators. Hence, it may be more convenient to adopt the point of view that the two deuterons appear to the lattice as an equivalent ${}^{4}He$ nucleus when they are a few ferm is apart. The separation between them may best be handled then as part of the microscopic nuclear problem. In this case, we may write

$$I = {}^{Z} h \\ {}^{3He} \left({}^{f}_{32}; {}^{f}_{21} \right) F_{3;1}^{L} R_{3He}^{f}; r_{4}^{f}; fRg {}^{i} V \left({}^{f}_{21} \right) d \left({}^{i}_{21} \right) d \left({}^{i}_{43} \right) \\ F_{2;2}^{L} R_{4He}^{i} {}^{i}_{He} \left({}^{1}_{2} r_{dd}^{i}; R_{4He}^{i} + {}^{1}_{2} r_{dd}^{i}; fRg {}^{(3)} {}^{3}_{1} {}^{1}_{3} {}^{f}_{32} {}^{2}_{3} {}^{f}_{21} + R_{3He}^{f} {}^{i}_{4He} {}^{i}_{21} + R_{4He}^{i}_{4He} {}^{1}_{2} r_{dd}^{i} \right) \\ {}^{(3)} {}^{i}_{3} {}^{f}_{32} + {}^{1}_{3} {}^{f}_{21} + R_{3He}^{f} {}^{i}_{4He} {}^{i}_{21} + R_{4He}^{i}_{4He} {}^{1}_{2} r_{dd}^{i} \right) \\ {}^{(3)} {}^{2}_{3} {}^{f}_{32} + {}^{1}_{3} {}^{f}_{21} + R_{3He}^{f} {}^{i}_{4He} {}^{i}_{4He} {}^{1}_{2} r_{dd}^{i} \right) \\ {}^{(3)} {}^{2}_{3} {}^{f}_{32} + {}^{1}_{3} {}^{f}_{21} + R_{3He}^{f} {}^{i}_{4He} {}^{i}_{4He} {}^{1}_{2} r_{dd}^{i} \right) \\ {}^{(3)} {}^{r}_{4} {}^{f}_{4} {}^{i}_{4} {}^{1}_{2} {}^{i}_{43} + R_{4He}^{i}_{4He} {}^{1}_{2} r_{dd}^{i} \right) {}^{d^{3}} {}^{f}_{32} {}^{d^{3}}_{21} {}^{d^{3}}_{3He} {}^{d^{3}}_{4} r_{d}^{d^{3}} {}^{i}_{21} {}^{d^{3}}_{43} {}^{d^{3}}_{4He} {}^{d^{3}}_{4He} {}^{d^{3}}_{4He} {}^{d^{3}}_{dd} {}^{d^{3N}} {}^{3}_{4Hee} {}^{3}_{dd} {}^{d^{3N}} {}^{3}_{4Hee} {}^{d^{3}}_{dd} {}^{3}_{4Hee} {}^{d^{3}}$$

In writing this, we have m ade use of the initial state relative and center of m ass coordinates

$$R_{4He}^{i} = \frac{1}{2} R_{d1}^{i} + R_{d2}^{i} \qquad r_{dd}^{i} = R_{d2}^{i} R_{d1}^{i} \qquad (45)$$

Note that in casting the matrix element in this way we are not assuming that the microscopic 4 H e nuclear wavefunction is the same as the microscopic nuclear wavefunction for two deuterons. Instead, we recognize in these expressions that there is little di erence from the point of view of the rest of the lattice between two deuterons localized on the ferm i scale (which the rest of the lattice sees as a charge 2 and mass 4 object), and a 4 H e nucleus (which the rest of the lattice also sees as a charge 2 and mass 4 object). Due to the C oulom b repulsion between the two deuterons when they are close, the associated relative wavefunction is far into a tunneling regime. We have the choice to describe this tunneling in terms of deuteron coordinates as phonon operators, which is complicated, or else to describe this tunneling via a relative nuclear coordinate based on a 4 H e center of mass coordinate, which is much simpler. The form all problem is not changed with this replacement, although the approximations used in the evaluation of the resulting expressions could be di erent.

C. Transform ation to phonon coordinates

We are now in a position to make the transformation to phonon coordinates. In the initial state, a nuclear center of mass coordinates can be expressed in terms of phonon coordinates in general according to

$$\hat{R}_{j}^{i} = R_{j}^{i;0} + u_{j}^{i} \ln \hat{R}_{n}^{i}$$
(46)

Consequently, we may transform from initial state center of mass coordinates to initial state phonon coordinates

$$R_{4He}^{i}; fRg ! R_{L}^{i}; fq_{g}^{i}$$
 (47)

where R_{L}^{i} is the center of m ass of the initial state lattice. A similar transformation is possible for the nal state center of m ass coordinates, which we indicate by

$$R_{3He}^{f}; fRg ! R_{L}^{f}; fq^{f}g$$
 (48)

where R $_{\rm L}^{\rm f}$ is the center of mass of the nal state lattice.

$$I = {}^{Z} h \\ I = {}^{3H} e^{\left(\frac{f}{32}; \frac{f}{21}\right)} F_{3,1}^{L} \hat{R}_{3He}^{f}; r_{4}^{f}; f\hat{R}^{f}g^{i} V^{\left(\frac{f}{21}\right)} d^{\left(\frac{i}{21}\right)} d^{\left(\frac{i}{43}\right)} \\ F_{2,2}^{L} \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}; \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}; f\hat{R}^{i}g^{-3} R_{L}^{f} R^{i}_{L} R_{L}^{i} \\ {}^{(3)} \frac{1}{3} \frac{f}{32} \frac{2}{3} \frac{f}{21} + \hat{R}_{3He}^{f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{21} + \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} - \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}\right) \\ {}^{(3)} \frac{1}{3} \frac{f}{32} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{f}{21} + \hat{R}_{3He}^{f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{21} + \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} - \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}\right) \\ {}^{(3)} \frac{2}{3} \frac{f}{32} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{f}{21} + \hat{R}_{3He}^{f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{43} + \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}\right) \\ {}^{(3)} \frac{2}{3} \frac{f}{32} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{f}{21} + \hat{R}_{3He}^{f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{43} + \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}\right) \\ {}^{(3)} r_{4}^{f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{43} + \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}\right) \\ {}^{(3)} r_{4}^{f} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{43} + \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}\right) \\ {}^{(3)} R_{L}^{f} d^{3}R_{L}^{i} d^{3} \frac{f}{32} d^{3} \frac{f}{21} d^{3}r_{4}^{f} d^{3} \frac{i}{21} d^{3} \frac{i}{43} d^{3}r_{dd}^{i} d^{3N-3} q^{f} d^{3N-3} q^{i} \\ \end{array}$$

where

$$\hat{R}_{3He}^{f} = \hat{R}_{3He}^{f} q^{f}; R_{L}^{f}$$
(50)

$$\hat{R}_{k}^{f} = \hat{R}_{k}^{f} q^{f}; R_{L}^{f}$$
(51)

$$\hat{R}_{4He}^{i} = \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} q^{i}; R_{L}^{i}$$
(52)

$$\hat{R}_{k}^{i} = \hat{R}_{k}^{i} q^{i}; R_{L}^{i}$$
(53)

${\tt D}$. Integral in term ${\tt s}$ of generalized lattice wavefunctions

The generalized lattice channel separation factors are the same as lattice wavefunctions augmented with additional degrees of freedom. Let us adopt a notation of the form

$$F_{2;2}^{L} \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} = \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}; \hat{R}_{4He}^{i} + \frac{1}{2} r_{dd}^{i}; f\hat{R}^{i}g ! \frac{L}{i} (q^{i}; r_{dd}^{i})$$
(54)

$$F_{3;1}^{L} \hat{R}_{3He}^{f}; r_{4}^{f}; f \hat{R}^{f} g ! _{f} (q^{f}; r_{4}^{f})$$
(55)

The generalized lattice wavefunctions include an explicit dependence on phonon coordinates, as well as an explicit dependence on a microscopic nuclear degree of freedom. In the initial state, this extra degree of freedom is the deuteron-deuteron separation; in the nal state it is the position of the neutron. Im plicit in this description is an assumption that the lattice center of m ass is preserved, which is an additional assumption here that is consistent with our focus on phonon exchange (we do not mean to imply here that no coupling is possible with the lattice center of m ass coordinate). With this notation, we may write

$$I = \int_{f}^{L} (q^{f}; r_{4}^{f}) \hat{\nabla}_{fi}(q^{f}; q^{i}) \int_{i}^{L} (q^{i}; r_{dd}^{i}) (3N - 3) (q^{f} - A - q^{i} - b) d^{3}r_{4}^{f} d^{3}r_{dd}^{i} dq^{f} dq^{i}$$
(56)

where the interaction potential is

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\text{Z}}{\text{h}}_{\text{fi}}(\textbf{q}^{\text{f}};\textbf{q}^{\text{i}}) &= \overset{\text{Z}}{\overset{\text{h}}}_{3\text{H}e} \begin{pmatrix} f_{32}; f_{21} \end{pmatrix}^{\text{i}} \vee \begin{pmatrix} f_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{43} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} i_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{43} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} i_{3} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{32} & f_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{32} & f_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{31} & f_{21} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{31} & f_{32} & f_{32} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{31} & f_{32} & f_{32} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{31} & f_{32} & f_{32} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{31} & f_{31} & f_{32} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{32} & f_{32} & f_{32} & f_{32} \\ i_{31} & f_{32} & f_{32} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{d}} \begin{pmatrix} i_{31} & f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{31} & f_{32} \\ \begin{pmatrix} i_{31} & f_{4} & i_{31} & f_{41} & f_{41}$$

W e m ay integrate over the nal state phonon coordinates to obtain

$$I = \int_{f}^{Z} h \left(q^{f}; r_{4}^{f}\right) \hat{v}_{fi}(q^{f}; q^{i}) \left(q^{i}; r_{dd}^{i}\right) d^{3}r_{4}^{f} d^{3}r_{dd}^{i} dq^{i}$$
(58)

in which the nalstate phonon coordinates are expressed in term s of initial state coordinates as

$$q^{f} = A \quad q^{i} + b \tag{59}$$

Equations (57) and (58) are the primary results that we nd in the particular integral involved in the speci c deuteron-deuteron matrix element considered as our example. Sim ilar results can be readily obtained for other integrals appearing in this matrix element, and also for other matrix elements as well.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The analysis presented in this paper is motivated by claims of excess power production in experiments involving metal deuterides that have been reported over the years since the original claim of F leischmann and Pons in 1989. The existence of such an elect in plies new physical mechanisms, in particular mechanisms which lead to a coupling between condensed matter degrees of freedom and nuclear degrees of freedom. There has been confusion and conjecture about how energy or angular momentum exchange with the lattice works, and no relevant formulation or calculations have been reported in the mainstream literature. In recent years, our focus has been on a particular scheme involving excitation transfer, idealized models for which are discussed in detail in a recent manuscript.⁹ The formulation discussed in this paper leads to formulas that can be used for a quantitative evaluation of this model, and other models that have been proposed which also involve coupling with phonons.

The nuclear part of the calculation requires spin and isospin degrees of freedom in addition to the spatial degrees of freedom . We developed nuclear wavefunctions based on Yam anouchi basis functions for each degree of freedom individually, which allows us to integrate out the spin and isospin degrees of freedom separately in a convenient way. This leads to a straightforward expansion of the interaction matrix element in terms of a sum of spatial integrals which contain di erent permutations of particle num bering.

The lattice part of the problem com es into the calculation initially as spectator degrees of freedom in the generalized channel separation factor. In essence, the microscopic part of the calculation at this level is completely equivalent to the vacuum calculation, since the spectator nuclei are not involved locally in the strong force interaction. The lattice does com e into the problem in a more fundamental way when we replace the nuclear center of mass degrees of freedom with phonon coordinates. Since the reacting nuclei in this form ulation are taken to be part of the lattice in both initial and nal states, the lattice is changed as a result of the reaction. We chose a description in which both the initial and nal state product nuclei are assumed to be included in the associated lattice de nitions. This leads to integrals which contain both initial state and nal state lattice wavefunctions, where the lattice structure is di erent in the two cases.

APPENDIX A: THE NUCLEAR POTENTIAL

W e m ake use of the H am ada-Johnston potential as our m odel nuclear potential in this paper. It is one of the simplest isospin-preserving nuclear potentials to give reasonable results. The H am ada-Johnston potential between nucleon 1 and nucleon 2 can be written as

$$V_{H_{J}}(1;2) = V_{C}(1;2) + V_{T}(1;2)S_{12} + V_{LS}(1;2)(L S) + V_{LL}(1;2)L_{12}$$
 (A1)

where L and S are the relative angular m om entum and and the total spin of the two nuclei. The individual potentials are de ned as

$$V_{C} (1;2) = (_{1} _{2}) (_{1} _{2}) y_{C} (r_{12})$$

$$V_{T} (1;2) = (_{1} _{2}) y_{T} (r_{12})$$

$$S_{12} = 3 \frac{(_{1} _{12}) (_{2} r_{12})}{r_{12}^{2}} \qquad 1 \quad 2$$

$$V_{LS} (1;2) = y_{LS} (r_{12}) (L \quad S)$$

$$V_{LL} (1;2) = y_{LL} (r_{12})$$

$$L_{12} = (_{1} _{2}) L^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} (_{1} \ L) (_{2} \ L) \quad \frac{1}{2} (_{2} \ L) (_{1} \ L)$$

The spatial functions are given by

$$y_{C} (x) = 0.08 \frac{m}{3} \frac{c^{2}}{3} Y (x) f1 + a_{C} Y (x) + b_{C} Y^{2} (x) g$$

$$y_{T} (x) = 0.08 \frac{m}{3} \frac{c^{2}}{3} Z (x) f1 + a_{T} Y (x) + b_{T} Y^{2} (x) g$$

$$y_{LS} (x) = m c^{2} G_{LS} Y^{2} (x) f1 + b_{LS} Y (x) g$$

$$y_{LL} (x) = m c^{2} G_{LL} x^{2} Z (x) f1 + a_{LL} Y (x) + b_{LL} Y^{2} (x) g$$

where m is the pion mass, stands for odd-singlet (os), even-singlet (es), odd-triplet (ot) or even-triplet (et). The functions Y (x) and Z (x) have the de nitions

$$Y(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x}$$
 $Z(x) = 1 + \frac{3}{x} + \frac{3}{x^2} + Y(x)$

APPENDIX B:SYMMETRIC GROUP

The sym metric group S (n), the group of permutations of n objects, plays an important role in our calculation. It does so, in three important ways

C lebsch-G ordan coe cients are used to construct the antisymmetric wavefunctions.

Schur-W eyl duality lets us build wavefunctions with a well-de ned spin (and isospin) and which also transform as Yam anouch i basis vectors under S (4).

Induction coe cients of S (4) are utilized to construct Yam anouchi basis vectors for the spatial part.

In quantum mechanics, we are familiar with the transformation properties of the spherical harmonics. In the case of $Y_{\rm im}$'s the l labels the irreducible representation of SO (3) and m labels the basis vector. Similarly for the symmetric group, we get two labels: the Young diagram s and the Yam anouchi symbols^{20,21}, where the Young diagram s label the irreducible representation of S (4) and the Yam anouchi symbols label the basis vectors. The index scheme we use for the Yam anouchi symbols is de ned in Table I.

Yam anouchiSym bol	index	Yam anouchi sym bol	index
4321	1	2121	6
3211	2	2111	7
3121	3	1211	8
1321	4	1121	9
2211	5	1111	10

TABLE I: De nition of indices for the Yam anouch i sym bols

1. Clebsch-Gordan coe cients

The general form ula for constructing antisym metric wavefunctions is given by

where the C 's are the C lebsch-G ordan coe cients of S (4), [f]'s are the Young diagram s, Y 's are the Yam anouchi sym bols, f and Υ are the conjugate Young diagram s and Yam anouchi sym bols and $[1^4]$ represents the completely antisymmetric one dimensional representation with one Yam anouchi basis vector (index 1). The C lebsch-G ordan coe cients of S (4) are available in the literature^{20,21,22,23}.

As a specic example, we construct the basis function $_i = _6$. Using our indexing scheme of Table I above and Table 4.13 of Chen et al^{23} , we see that

$$C_{10;5}^5 = 1$$
 $C_{10;6}^6 = 1$ (B2)

Hence the spin-isospin part of Equation B1 yields

$$(st)_5 = s_{10}t_5$$
 $(st)_6 = s_{10}t_6$ (B3)

where the subscripts in $(st)_5$ or $(st)_6$ again refer to the Yam anouch i basis for the particular spin-isospin combination. In addition, we have

$$C_{5,6}^{1} = \frac{1}{p \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \qquad C_{6,5}^{1} = \frac{1}{p \cdot \frac{1}{2}}$$
 (B4)

Therefore, the totally antisymm etric wavefunction can be written as

$$_{6} = \frac{1}{p_{-}^{2}} _{5} (st)_{6} \frac{1}{p_{-}^{2}} _{6} (st)_{5} = \frac{1}{p_{-}^{2}} [_{5}s_{10}t_{6} _{6}s_{10}t_{5}]$$
 (B5)

2. Schur-W eyl duality

The Schur-W eyl duality relates certain representations of SU (m) or GL (m) to the representations of S (n). For our purposes, since we are only interested in the spin-half (or isospin-half) case, the Schur-W eyl duality is particularly simple. It states that there are no spin (or isospin) wavefunctions corresponding to the index 1,2,3 or 4. It also allow s us to construct the rest of the wavefunctions very simply. In the usual spectroscopic notation, with the nucleon number as a subscript and referring to spin angular momentum.

$$s_{5} = j_{1} {}_{2} (S_{12} = 1); {}_{3} (S_{123} = \frac{1}{2}); {}_{4} S = 0i$$

$$s_{6} = j_{1} {}_{2} (S_{12} = 0); {}_{3} (S_{123} = \frac{1}{2}); {}_{4} S = 0i$$

$$s_{7} = j_{1} {}_{2} (S_{12} = 1); {}_{3} (S_{123} = \frac{3}{2}); {}_{4} S = 1i$$

$$s_{8} = j_{1} {}_{2} (S_{12} = 1); {}_{3} (S_{123} = \frac{1}{2}); {}_{4} S = 1i$$

$$s_{9} = j_{1} {}_{2} (S_{12} = 0); {}_{3} (S_{123} = \frac{1}{2}); {}_{4} S = 1i$$

$$s_{10} = j_{1} {}_{2} (S_{12} = 1); {}_{3} (S_{123} = \frac{3}{2}); {}_{4} S = 2i$$
(B 6)

Here on the RHS, we are suppressing the value of M_S because di erent M_S values transform in the same way under the symmetric group. Exactly the same results apply to the isospin wavefunctions, since they are also angular momentum 1/2 representations of SU (2).

3. Induction Coe cients

In the previous subsection we explicitly constructed the spin/isospin Yam anouchiwavefunctions using angular momentum addition. We still have to construct the spatial Yam anouchiwavefunctions. One way to do it to use induction coe cients of the symmetric group, which are tabulated and discussed in Chen et al.²³. The relevant formula for our purposes is

$${}^{n}([f];Y^{f}) = X_{[g];Y^{g};[h];Y^{h};!} {}^{n1}([g];Y^{g};!_{1}) {}^{n2}([h];Y^{h};!_{2})$$
(B7)

Here n = n1 + n2, [f] and Y^f are the Young diagram and the Yam anouch isymbol for an nparticle spatial wavefunction, [g] and Y^g are the Young diagram and Yam anouch isymbol for the n1-particle wavefunction and [h] and Y^h are the Young diagram and Yam anouch isymbol for the n2-particle wavefunction and ! = (!₁;!₂) represents a permutation of f1;2; ;ng.

As a concrete example let us consider n = 4 case with n1 = n2 = 2. Suppose we want to construct a ₆, starting with two two-body spatial wavefunctions, and ⁰, which are completely symmetric. Hence the summation over the Yam anouch i symbols is trivial and we only need to sum over various !'s corresponding to dimensional orderings of f1;2;3;4g. We can use Table 4.17 of Chen et al^{23} to see that (suppressing the trivial Yam anouch i symbols)

$$S_{f2;3;1;4g}^{6} = \frac{1}{2} \quad S_{f1;4;2;3g}^{6} = \frac{1}{2} \quad S_{f1;3;2;4g}^{6} = \frac{1}{2} \quad S_{f2;4;1;3g}^{6} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (B8)$$

Hence

$$_{6} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (2;3) & {}^{0}(1;4) & (1;4) & {}^{0}(2;3) + (1;3) & {}^{0}(2;4) + (2;4) & {}^{0}(1;3) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (B9)

W e can use this approach to develop a complete set of results needed for the case of two deuterons as used in this paper. For the initial state, we may write

$${}_{5} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{12}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & (12;34) + 2 & (34;21) & (23;14) & (14;23) & (13;24) & (24;13) \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}_{6} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} & (23;14) & (14;23) + & (13;24) + & (24;13) \end{bmatrix}$$
(B10)

where (12;34) is any spatial wavefunction which is symmetric under the 1 \$ 2 and 3 \$ 4 exchange. For the nal state, we are using a three-nucleon body and a one nucleon body. The Yam anouchiwavefunctions are

where the (123;4) is any wavefunction symmetric under any permutation of f1;2;3g.

Hence we see that by using induction coe cients, we can take spatial wavefunctions which belongs to certain representations of subgroups of S (4) and use them to construct Y am anouch ibasis vectors e.g. for the case of two deuterons, we started with (12;34) which is sym m etric under the exchange of 1 \$ 2 or 3 \$ 4, and constructed spatial wavefunctions with Y am anouch i basis index 5 or 6.

APPENDIX C:YAM ANOUCHIBASIS STATES

Below we explicitly write down the T = 0 basis states. P lease note that the subscripts on the LHS of the equations are merely ways of enumerating the possible wavefunctions, where as the subscripts on the RHS are the indices for the Yam anouch symbols (see Table I). S = 0

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 1 &=& 1 \\ 1 &=& 10 \\ \hline p &=& 1 \\$$

S = 1

$$A = \frac{1}{p-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \right] \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2$$

$$S = 2$$

$$_{6} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} s_{10}t_{6} & s_{10}t_{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

APPENDIX D:THE TENSOR MATRIX ELEMENT

We have calculated a complete set of such Yam anouch imatrix elements for the T = 0 case. There are too many cases to be presented here. We therefore focus on a specic example by calculating the tensor force matrix element of $_1 (M_s = 0)$ and $_6 (M_s = 1)$. The main issue with all these calculations is that the parameters in most of the nuclear potentials are t separately to spin singlet-triplet and even-odd spatial parity (for example, in the Ham ada-Johnston potential the y functions are parameterized by a and b parameters, which depend on the spin and parity). The most convenient way of dealing with these issues is to use projection operators to separate the various parity and spin channels. However we can, without the use of projection operators, directly exploit the properties of the interaction and the wavefunctions to evaluate these matrix elements.

1. Exchange properties of the interaction and wavefunctions

F inst of all, since we are using completely antisymmetric wavefunctions, the tensor part of the matrix element calculation simplies to

*
X
f
$$V_{T}$$
 (;)S
 $i = h_{1}$ (0)j V_{T} (i; j)S_{ij}j₆ (1)i
 $i = 6h_{1}$ (0) jV_{T} (1; 2)S₁₂j₆ (1)i (D1)

Here the values 0 and 1 in the parentheses represent the M $_{\rm S}$ values of the initial and nal wavefunctions. So now, as discussed in the main text, the tensor interaction can be evaluated by

From the explicit form of V_T (1;2)S₁₂, we can see that it is symmetric under the exchange 1 \$ 2, individually for spin, isospin and space parts. If we look at the explicit form of the spin wavefunctions in Appendix B we can see that since particles 1 and 2 couple to form a triplet, s₅, s₇, s₈ and s₁₀ are even under 1 \$ 2. Sim ilarly since particles 1 and 2 couple to form a singlet, s₆ and s₉ are odd under 1 \$ 2. The same applies to the isospin wavefunctions. Being even or odd under the exchange 1 \$ 2 in this case has nothing to do with angular momentum algebra, but is a property of the Yam anouchi symbol. Hence the spatial wavefunctions 5, 7, 8 and 10 are even under 1 \$ 2 and 6 and 9 are odd under

1 \$ 2. As a concrete example, this can easily be seen by explicitly looking at the $_6$ from Appendix B

$$_{6} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (2;3) & {}^{0}(1;4) & (1;4) & {}^{0}(2;3) + (1;3) & {}^{0}(2;4) + (2;4) & {}^{0}(1;3) \end{bmatrix} \quad (D 3)$$

This 6, under the exchange 1 \$ 2, transform s to

$$_{6}! \frac{1}{2}[(1;3)^{0}(2;4)^{0}(1;3) + (2;3)^{0}(1;4) + (1;4)^{0}(2;3)] = _{6} (D4)$$

Because of the symmetry of the interaction under 1 \$ 2, individually for space, spin and isospin parts, we only get non-zero couplings when the space, spin and isospin have the same parity (under 1 \$ 2) for both the initial and the nalwavefunction. Hence we can see that

$$hs_{5}t_{6} \ _{10} y_{T} (1;2)S_{12} \dot{p}_{10}t_{5} \ _{6}i = 0$$

$$hs_{6}t_{5} \ _{10} y_{T} (1;2)S_{12} \dot{p}_{10}t_{6} \ _{5}i = 0$$

$$hs_{6}t_{5} \ _{10} y_{T} (1;2)S_{12} \dot{p}_{10}t_{5} \ _{6}i = 0$$

The only non-zero matrix element is $hs_5t_{6}_{10}$ y_T (1;2) S_{12} $\dot{s}_{10}t_{6}_{5}$ 51.

2. M atrix elem ent calculation

We have reduced our matrix element calculation to just calculating hs_5t_{6-10} y_T (1;2) S_{12} $\dot{p}_{10}t_{6-5}$ i. We can evaluate the spin and isospin pieces separately

$$ht_6 j_1 : _2 j_6 i = 3$$
 (D 5)

hs₅ (M_s = 0)
$$j_{5_{12}} j_{5_{10}}$$
 (M_s = 1) $i = 2^{p} - \frac{x_{12} + iy_{12}}{r_{12}^{2}}$ (D 6)

Since the s_{10} and s_5 are triplets and $_{10}$ and $_5$ are even, the y_T (r_{12}) should be the one parameterized by even parity and triplet spin. Hence y_T (r_{12}) = y_T^{et} (r_{12}) where et stands for \even, triplet".

W e can assemble these results to obtain

* X
1 (0) V_T (i; j)S_{1;j} 6 (1) =
$$18^{p}\overline{3}^{Z}$$
 (x₁₂ + iy₁₂) z₁₂ y_T^{et} (r₁₂) 5 d³r₁ d³r₄
1 i < j 4 5 d³r₁ d³r₄
(D 7)

where $1_0 = 1_0$ (r₁; ; ;) and 5 = 5 (r₁; ; ;) are functions of spatial coordinates above.

APPENDIX E:VACUUM CASE ANTISYMMETRIZATION

In Appendix D we saw that it was straightforward to calculate the matrix element of the tensor force in terms of the Yam anouchi basis. This is in general true for all nuclear interactions. Perhaps the simplest way of carrying out realistic physical calculations is to express initial and nalstate wavefunctions in terms of the Yam anouchi basis. Then we can use the Yam anouchi basis matrix element results to calculate the nuclear matrix elements with physical initial and nalstates.

For an isospin preserving, T = 0 reaction, in which two deuterons interact to form a three-nucleon body and a one nucleon body the relevant states are:

 $_{2,2}$: One initial 2 + 2 state of two deuterons in a quintet spin 2 state. We should in general consider the deuterons to be in a singlet or triplet as well. However, we are focusing on a single example here. In this enum eration of states, we suppress the various M_s values.

 $_{3;1}$: Two nal3 + 1 states (since these can be singlets or triplets). These are a linear superpositions of the 3 H + p and 3 H e + n states.

1. Physical wavefunctions

The wavefunctions discussed above are form all Y am anouch iob jects which are very general. We need to focus on speci c wavefunctions for the dimension (T = 0) channels in order to proceed. For simplicity, we adopt a wavefunction for two deuterons that are in a quintet (spin 2) state. In this case, we can use

$$_{2;2} = Af (12;34)s_{10}t_6g$$
 (E1)

Here, A is an antisymmetrizer, and (12;34) is the spatial part of the deuteron wavefunctions. This wavefunction can be taken to be of the form

$$(12;34) = _{d}(\mathbf{r}_{2} \mathbf{r}_{1})_{d}(\mathbf{r}_{4} \mathbf{r}_{3})\mathbf{F}_{2;2} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{1} + \mathbf{r}_{2}}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{r}_{3} + \mathbf{r}_{4}}{2}$$
(E2)

There are two kinds of 3 + 1 wavefunctions, including singlet and triplet states. The singlet S = 0 states can be written as

$$_{3;1} = A$$
 (123;4) $\frac{1}{2}$ (s₅t₆ s₆t₅) (E3)

The 3+1 triplet S = 1 states can be written as

$${}^{0}_{3;1} = A \qquad (123;4) \frac{1}{2} (s_8 t_6 s_9 t_5)$$
 (E4)

The spatial part of $_{3;1}$ and $_{3;1}^{0}$ is of the form

$$(123;4) = _{3He}(r_3 r_2;r_2 r_1) F_{3;1} \frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3}{3};r_4$$
(E5)

2. Physical wavefunctions in term s of Y am anouchi basis states

All the physical wavefunctions for the initial and nal states can be expressed as linear combinations of the Yam anouchi functions $_1$, $_4$ and $_6$. This is so because of group theoretical considerations and our assumptions that the spatial part of the deuteron, triton and helium wavefunctions are symmetric under the exchange of any two particles. The results in particular are

$$_{2;2} = _{6}$$
 (E 6)

with

$${}_{5} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{12}} [2 (12;34) + 2 (34;21) (23;14) (14;23) (13;24) (24;13)] \quad (E7)$$

$${}_{6} = \frac{1}{2} [(23;14) (14;23) + (13;24) + (24;13)] \quad (E8)$$

In the case of the singlet 3+1 channel, the antisymmetrizer acts to produce a single Yamanouchibasis state

$$_{3,1} = _1$$
 (E 9)

where the associated fully symmetric spatial part $_{10}$ can be expressed as

$$_{10} = \frac{1}{2} [(123;4) + (124;3) + (134;2) + (234;1)]$$
 (E10)

In the case of the triplet 3+1 channel, the antisymmetrizer acts to produce a single Ya-manouchi basis state

$$^{0}_{3;1} = _{4}$$
 (E 11)

where the associated mixed symmetry spatial parts 7, 8, and 9 are

$$_{7} = p \frac{1}{12} [3 (123;4) (124;3) (134;2) (234;1)]$$
 (E12)

$$_{8} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{6}} [2 (124;3) (134;2) (234;1)]$$
 (E13)

$$p_{9} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} [(134;2) (234;1)]$$
 (E14)

APPEND IX F:LATTICE CASE ANTISYMMETRIZATION

In this appendix we consider a partial antisymm etrization in the case of lattice wavefunctions. We retain the basic vacuum description for the four nucleons of the vacuum case, and extend the description to include the other nuclei as spectators.

1. PhysicalW avefunctions

We can implement this program in the case of the initial states to write

$$_{2;2}^{L} = Af (12;34;fRg)s_{10}t_{6}g$$
 (F1)

Here, A is the antisymmetrizer acting on particles 1, 2, 3 and 4 only, and (12;34; fR g) is the spatial part of the deuteron and the lattice wavefunctions. This wavefunction can be taken to be of the form

$$(12;34) = _{d}(\mathbf{r}_{2} \mathbf{r}_{1})_{d}(\mathbf{r}_{4} \mathbf{r}_{3}) \mathbf{F}_{2;2}^{L} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{1} + \mathbf{r}_{2}}{2}; \frac{\mathbf{r}_{3} + \mathbf{r}_{4}}{2}; \mathbf{fR} g \qquad (F2)$$

There are two kinds of 3 + 1 wavefunctions, including singlet and triplet states. The singlet S = 0 states can be written as

$$_{3;1}^{L} = A$$
 (123;4; fR g) $\frac{1}{2}$ (s₅t₆ s₆t₅) (F3)

The 3+1 triplet S = 1 states can be written as

$$^{\text{CL}}_{3;1} = A \qquad (123;4;\text{fR g})\frac{1}{2}(s_8t_6 \quad s_9t_5)$$
 (F4)

The spatial part of $\frac{L}{3,1}$ and $\frac{CL}{3,1}$ is of the form

$$(123;4;fRg) = _{3He}(r_3 r_2;r_2 r_1) F_{3;1}^{L} \frac{r_1 + r_2 + r_3}{3};r_4;fRg$$
(F5)

2. Physical wavefunctions in term s of Y am anouchi basis states

Now since our antisymm etrizer, acts only on the nuclear coordinates, and not on the fR g, we see that the results of the vacuum case hold except that the spatial parts of the wavefunctions get augmented. For example, we may write

$${}^{\rm L}_{2;2} = {}_{6}$$
 (F 6)

with

$$5 = \frac{1}{12} [2 (12;34;fRg) + 2 (34;21;fRg) (23;14;fRg) (14;23;fRg) (F7) (13;24;fRg) (24;13;fRg)] (F8)$$

$$6 = \frac{1}{2} [(23;14;fRg) (14;23;fRg) + (13;24;fRg) + (24;13;fRg)] (F9)$$

In the case of the singlet 3+1 channel, we obtain a similar connection to the Yam anouchi basis as in the vacuum case

$$_{3;1}^{L} = 1$$
 (F10)

where the spatial part is now augmented to include the spectator nuclei

$$_{10} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(123;4;fRg) + (124;3;fRg) + (134;2;fRg) + (234;1;fRg) \right]$$
(F11)

The triplet 3+1 channel becom es

$$_{3;1}^{\text{CL}} = _{4}$$
 (F12)

where

$$7 = \frac{1}{P_{12}} [3 \ (123;4;fRg) \ (124;3;fRg) \ (134;2;fRg) \ (234;1;fRg)] \ (F13)$$

$$8 = \frac{1}{P_{\overline{6}}} [2 \ (124;3;fRg) \ (134;2;fRg) \ (234;1;fRg)] \ (F14)$$

$$9 = \frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}} [\ (134;2;fRg) \ (234;1;fRg)] \ (F15)$$

APPENDIX G: INTEGRALS RESULTING FROM PERMUTATIONS

D ue to the antisym m etrization, we generate a total of twenty four terms in the m atrix element example in the m ain body of the paper. These are

$$P \frac{12}{12} h_{3,1} M_{s} = 0) J (1;2) j_{2,2} M_{z^{s}} = 1) i = (123;4) v(1;2) (12;34) + (123;4) v(1;2) \frac{1}{2} v(1;4) \frac{1}{2} v(4;1)] (12;43) Z Z (123;4) v(1;2) (14;23) + (123;4) v(4;1) (41;23) + (123;4) v(1;2) (34;12) Z Z (123;4) v(1;2) \frac{1}{2} v(1;4) \frac{1}{2} v(4;1)] (43;12) + (123;4) [v(1;2) + v(1;4)] (23;14) Z Z (123;4) [v(1;2) + v(1;4)] (23;14) Z Z (123;4) [v(1;2)] (24;13) Z Z (123;4) [\frac{1}{2} v(1;4) \frac{1}{2} v(4;1)] (42;13) + (123;4) [v(1;2)] (13;24) Z (123;4) [\frac{1}{2} v(1;4) \frac{1}{2} v(4;1)] (13;42)$$
(G 1)

where V (1;2) is some general nuclear potential which contains spin and isospin dependent terms, and where v(i; j) is the spatial interaction between particles i and j which is obtained by carrying out the spin and isospin algebra. In the special case that V (1;2) = V_{HJ} (1;2), then v(i; j) = $V_{R}^{10;5}$ (i; j).

Some of these terms are an enable to a simple interpretation in terms of stripping reactions. O there can be considered as higher-order exchange terms. However, in nuclear reactions, it is not necessary that higher order exchange terms are necessarily less important than simple direct or exchange interactions. Hence, in any realistic computation we will need to sum over all of these terms. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

Support for I. Chaudhary was provided by the K immelFund, the Bose Foundation, the G riswold G ift Program and by a Darpa subcontract.

E lectronic address: irfanc@mit.edu

- ^y E lectronic address: plh@ m it.edu
- ¹ M.Fleischmann, S.Pons, and M.Hawkins, Electrochemically induced nuclear fusion of deuterium.J.Electroanal.Chem., 261 301 (1989).See also the errata, J.Electroanal.Chem., 263 187 (1990).
- ² J.Huizenga, N.Ram sey, et al., Cold Fusion Research, a Report of the Energy Research Advisory Board to the United States Department of Energy, Department of Energy document DOE/S-0073, November 1989.
- ³ Report of the Review of Low Energy Nuclear Reactions, US Department of Energy, December 1, 2004 (unpublished).
- ⁴ P.L.Hagelstein, M.C.H.McKubre, D.J.Nagel, T.A.Chubb, and R.J.Hekman, Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Cold Fusion, Marseilles, France, Nov. 2004, ed. J.P.Biberian, World Scientic, p. 23 (2006).
- ⁵ 2004 U.S. Department of Energy Cold Fusion Review, Reviewer Comments, U.S. Department of Energy, 2004 (unpublished).
- ⁶ J.R.Huizenga, Cold Fusion, the Scienti c Fiasco of the Century, University of Rochester Press, Rochester, N.Y., (1992). See pages 111-114.
- ⁷ W .E.Lamb, Phys. Rev. 55 190 (1939).
- ⁸ R.L.Mossbauer, Z.Physik 151, 124 (1958).
- ⁹ P.L.Hagelstein, and I.U.Chaudhary, submitted to Phys. Rev. B.ArX iv cond-m at/0612306.
- ¹⁰ J. Callaway, Quantum Theory of the Solid State, A cadem ic Press, Boston (1991). See section 7.1.2 Energy band formulation of the electron-phonon interaction.
- ¹¹ F.Duschinsky, Acta Physicochim ica 7 551 (1937).
- ¹² T.E.Sharp and H.M.Rosenstock, J.Chem. Phys. 41 3453 (1964).
- ¹³ T.R.Faulkner and F.S.Richardson, J.Chem. Phys. 70 1201 (1979).
- ¹⁴ R.M achleidt, Advances in Nuclear Physics 19 189 (1989).
- ¹⁵ E.Epelbaum, H.Kamada, A.Nogga, H.W itala, W.Glockle, and UlfG.Meissner, Phys.Rev. Lett. 86 4787 (2001).
- ¹⁶ T.Ham ada and I.D.Johnston, Nucl. Phys. 34 382 (1962).
- ¹⁷ H.M ahm oud and R.K.Cooper, Annals of Physics 26 222 (1964).
- ¹⁸ J. P. Elliott and P. G. Dawber, Symmetry in Physics, Vol. 2: Further applications. Oxford University Press, (1985). See Chapter 18: The unitary group U_N .
- ¹⁹ W. Fulton and J. Harris, Representation Theory: A First Course, Springer, New York, (1991).
- ²⁰ M. Hamermesh, Group Theory and its Applications to Physical Problems, Addison-Wesley,(1962).
- ²¹ Fl. Stancu G roup Theory in Subnuclear Physics, Oxford University Press (1996).

- ²² Fl.Stancu and S.Pepin Few-Body Syst., Suppl. 26, 113 (1999).
- ²³ J.Q.Chen, J.P ing and F.W ang Group Representation Theory for Physicists, W orld Scientic Publishing Company, (2002).