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#### Abstract

M otivated by mapping from a stochastic system w ith spatially random rates, we consider disordered non-conserving free-ferm ion system $s$ using a scaling procedure for the equations of $m$ otion. T his approach dem onstrates disorder-induced localization acting in com petition w ith the asym m etric driving. W e discuss the resulting im plications for the original stochastic system.


PACS num bers: $02.50 \mathrm{Ey}, 05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 05.40 .-\mathrm{a}, 75.10 \mathrm{Jm}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The role of disorder in stochastic non-equilibrium system s is a topic of uch recent interest (see e.g., [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and references therein). Th is is exem pli ed by studies of the asym $m$ etric sim ple exclusion process (A SEP), a one-dim ensional lattice gas model exhibiting a phase transition for open boundary conditions. The e ect of quenched particle-disordered hop rates in this system was investigated in, e.9., $[6,7,8]$ and the case of quenched spatial disorder has been clari ed by a num ber of works (see, e.g., [2, $9,10,11]$ ) including via a linearization transform ation and scaling approach [12].

In this paperwe study a related non-equilibrium model w th applications in catalysis| the partially asym $m$ etric exclusion process com bined w ith deposition and evaporation of dim ers (or equivalently pair annihilation and creation). Studies of the pure m odel e.g., [13, 14, 15] suggest that, unlike the ASEP, for adsonption and desonption rates which do not vanish in the them odynam ic lim it, there is no steady-state phase transition even with open boundary conditions [16, 17]. H ow ever, the e ect of disorder on both steady-state properties (such as the density) and dynam ics is still of interest.

Here we dem onstrate that, for spatially disordered rates obeying a particular condition, one can approach this problem via a pow erfulm apping to free-ferm ion system s . In the pure case, the resulting free-ferm ion system can be treated by Fourier and Bogoliubov transform ations [13, 18]. The sim plest disordered scenario, the case of a single defect, is known to produce the stochastic analogue of localized $m$ odes [19]. O ne $m$ ight also expect to $m$ ake progress for the case of dilution, by breaking the chain up into nite uniform sections (com pare the treatm ent of the di usion-only problem in 20]; a sim ilar break-up into e ective pure segm ents also occurs in [5]). In this paper we are chie $y$ interested in $m$ ore general disordered cases where there is no translational invari-

[^0]ance and therefore one can not utilize the usual Fourier and B ogoliubov transform ations. H ow ever, w ork by M erz and Chalker involving doubling the num ber of ferm ion operators [21], o ers the possibility of using a type of a localized B ogoliubov transform ation follow ed by num erical calculation. A nother potential $w$ ay to $m$ ake progress is to derive and work w ith the linear dynam ic equations and this is the approach pursued in the present work.

O ur scaling approach is based on a m ethod developed by P im entel and Stinchcom be [22] to treat the equation ofm otion ofa 1D M attis-transform ed Edw ards-A nderson H eisenberg spin glass and later applied by the present authors to the ColeH opf transform ed ASEP [12]. Essentially, one looks at the evolution of param eters after $a \mathrm{~b}=2$ decim ation of the equation of m otion. For extended states the param eters are found to evolve chaotically under the scaling whereas for localized states they decay exponentially allow ing the identi cation of a localization length. Application of this $m$ ethod to the present problem contributes to understanding of disordered freeferm ions as well as illustrating a potential way to $m$ ake progress w ith the disordered stochastic model.

Theplan of the rest ofthe paper is as follow s. In Sec. II we de ne ourm odel, introduce the quantum H am iltonian form alism and dem onstrate the free-ferm ion reduction for a particular choice ofdisorder. W e also discuss the known pure results. In Sec. III, we derive the coupled equations ofm otions for the disordered free-ferm ion case. Then, in Sec.IV we exploit the fact that these equations are linear to apply scaling techniques based on those in [12, 22]. As in those works, we nd that disorder induces localization e ects and in Sec. V we tentatively discuss the consequences for the original stochastic system . Finally, Sec. VI contains conclusions and suggestions for future work.

## II. MODELAND MAPPINGS

Let us start by considering the pure partially asym $m$ etric exchusion process w ith dim er evaporation and deposition, show $n$ schem atically in $F$ ig. (1). Sub ject to the exclusion constraints, particles hop to the right (left) w ith rate p (q), and pairs of particles are deposited (evapo-


FIG. 1: Partially asym metric exclusion process $w$ ith dim er evaporation and deposition (pure m odel). Filled circles indicate particles; open circles denote vacancies.
rated) w ith rate " ("0). This provides a sim ple model for adsorption-desorption processes and catalytic surface reactions.

In the special case $p+q="+{ }^{0}$, the system can be $m$ apped via a Jordan-W igner transform ation [23] and a B ogoliubov-Valatin transform ation [24, 25] to a freeferm ion problem and hence solved exactly [13, 14, 18, 26]. $T$ he results are ofm ore general signi cance since, by duality transform ations and spin rotations, the quantum H am iltonian can also be m apped to other stochastic system s 15, 27], inchuding som e which are experim entally realizable [28]. A subcase is $p=q="=10$ which $m$ aps to the Ising m odel and so is well understood [18].

The aim of the present work is to study the spatiallydisordered version of this $m$ odel, $w$ th rates $p_{1}, q_{1}, "_{1}$ and $"_{1}^{0}$ for processes on the bond betw een sites $l$ and $l+1$. In this section we dem onstrate that the $m$ apping to freeferm ions is still valid in the case where $p_{1}+q_{1}="_{1}+"_{1}^{0}$ for each 1 .
$T$ he generalized pair process param eterized by $p_{1}, q_{1}, "_{1}$ and ${ }_{1}^{0}$ can be conveniently represented using the quantum $H$ am iltonian form alism [29]. $I_{p}$ this approach one de nes a probability vector $f i={ }_{n} P_{n}$ ini $w$ ith jni the basis vector associated w ith the particle con guration $n=\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ;::: ; n_{L}\right)$ and $P_{n}$ the probability $m$ easure on the set of all such con gurations. $P$ i obeys the norm alization condition hs? $i=1$ where hsj $={ }_{n} \mathrm{hn} j$ and $\mathrm{hn} \mathrm{n}^{0} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}^{0}$. $W$ ithin this form alism the $m$ aster equation for the tim e evolution resem bles a Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} P(t) i=\quad H P(t) i \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H } \underset{X}{=}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& \frac{1}{4}\left(p_{1}+q_{1}+"_{1}+n_{1}^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(p_{1}+q_{1}+"_{1} \quad n_{1}^{0}\right)_{1}^{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the \spin-ip" operators correspond to particle creation and annihilation processes while the projection operators $z$ are needed to account for the probability that con gurations do not change. C onservation of prob-
ability im poses the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hsfi }=0 \text { : } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the general four-param eter $m$ odel de ned by (2) contains $m$ any other im portant $m$ odels as subcases, e.g., the $\operatorname{ASEP}\left(q_{1}="_{1}="_{1}^{0}=0\right)$, sym $m$ etric di usion ( $p_{1}=q_{1}, "_{1}="_{1}^{0}=0$ ), etc. Further progress depends on what boundary term $s$ are im posed and how tractable the resultant quantum spin $m$ odelis. In particular, a Jordan-W igner transform ation to ferm ion operators $c_{1}^{y}, c_{1}$ gives both an easily treatable quadratic part (arising from and ${ }^{z}$ term $s$ ) and a more di cult quartic part (from the ${ }^{z}{ }^{z}$ term $s$ ). The quartic term $s$ w ill clearly be zero for the special case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{q}_{1}="_{1}+"_{1}^{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this gives the disordered free-ferm ion model which w ill be explored in m ore detail in the rem ainder of this paper.

For this particular case, we now outline the details of the transform ation to ferm ion operators (com pare G rynberg et al. [18] for the pure $m$ odel). $W$ riting ${ }^{z}$ in term $s$ of ${ }^{+}$and im posing periodic boundary conditions, Eq. (2) becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& \left.+\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
\mathrm{p}_{1} & 1 & \mathrm{p}_{1}+"_{1} & "_{1}^{0} & 1
\end{array}\right)_{1}^{+} \begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & "_{1}
\end{array}\right] \text { (5) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then applying the usual Jordan-w igner transform ation [23]

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad 1 \\
& { }_{1}^{+}=c_{1}^{y} \exp @_{i}^{X} \quad c_{j}^{y} C_{j}^{A}  \tag{6}\\
& 0 \quad j<1 \quad 1 \\
& 1=\exp { }^{@} \quad i_{j<1}^{X} C_{j}^{y} C_{j} A C_{1} ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

yields the H am iltonian in term s of ferm ion operators ${ }^{1}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rllll}
H_{F F}= & X_{1}{ }_{\left[p_{1} C_{1} c_{1+1}\right.}^{y} & q_{1} c_{1}^{y} C_{1+1} & "_{1}\left(c_{1}^{y} c_{l+1}^{y}\right. & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

T he study of the disordered free-ferm ion H am ittonian (8) is the central aim of this paper; we now digress to sum $m$ arize the know $n$ pure results.

In the pure case one can exploit translational invariance via a Fourier transform ation and then use a

[^1]B ogoliubov-type sim ilarity transform ation [24, 25] (w ell de ned only for " and " ${ }^{0}$ non-zero) to diagonalize the H am iltonian. The details are given in [13, 18]; here we sim ply quote the resulting H am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{FF}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{+} \mathrm{k} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith spectrum

$$
k="+"^{0}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(") & \left."^{0}\right) \cos k+i(p \tag{10}
\end{array} \quad \text { q) } \sin k:\right.
$$

The im aginary part of $k$ im plies ballistic $m$ otion while the real part indicates that excitations decay with time constant $\left["+"^{0}+\left(" \quad "^{0}\right)\right.$ cosk] ${ }^{1}$. For " and $"^{0}$ nonzero, the spectrum is gapped giving exponentially fast kinetics. By $m$ apping back from this free-ferm ion $m$ odel, one can obtain the steady-state density pro le for the originalpure stochastic problem . T he result [18]

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{p^{1}}{1+\frac{1}{n_{0}=\mathbf{n}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is in agreem ent $w$ ith $m$ ean- eld calculation (one can show that the system is spatially uncorrelated). O ther works have calculated dynam ic correlation functions [13, 14], shock evolution [30], persistence probability, etc. N ote that non-steady-state properties of the stochastic system can be understood by $m$ apping to the $G$ lauberm odel [31] and treating the asym $m$ etric hopping as biased di usion of dom ain walls [32].

In the disordered case where there is no translational invariance a di erent approach is required | in the next section, we dem onstrate how to derive the equations of $m$ otion starting from the free-ferm ion H am iltonian (8).

## III. EQUATIONSOFMOTION

Let us rst consider the expectation value of a general observable X , i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h X i(t)=h s j X f i: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use angular brackets to denote this stochastic average over histories. U sing the $\backslash$ Schrodinger equation" (1) one sees that the tim e evolution of XX i is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ h X i}{@ t}=h[H ; X] i \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbb{H}$; X ] the usual com $m$ utator.
For the free-ferm ion Ham iltonian (8) we can use the ferm ion anti-com $m$ utation relations, together $w$ ith the identity $[A ; B C]=£ A ; B g C \quad B f A ; C$, to calculate the com m utators $\left[C_{j} ; H\right]$ and $\left[C_{j}^{y} ; H\right]$ and hence obtain coupled dynam ic equations for the operators (in the H eisenberg
representation) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ c_{j}}{@ t}=p_{j} 1 C_{j} 1+q_{j} C_{j+1} \quad "_{j} 1 C_{j 1}^{y}+"_{j} C_{j+1}^{y} \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{lll}
p_{j} & 1 & p_{j}+"_{j} \quad "_{j}^{0} \quad 1
\end{array}\right) C_{j} ;  \tag{14}\\
& \frac{\varrho c_{j}^{y}}{@ t}=p_{j} C_{j+1}^{y} \quad q_{j} 1 C_{j}^{y} 1+"_{j}^{0} C_{j} \quad 1 \quad "_{j}^{0} C_{j+1} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
p_{j} & 1 & p_{j}+"_{j} \quad "_{j}^{0}
\end{array}\right) c_{j}^{y}: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that due to the non $H$ erm icity of $H$ these equations are not sim ply H em itian conjugates of each other. The equations are linear thus raising the possibility of using scaling techniques like those in [12, 22]| this w ill be the sub ject of the next section.

A s a prelim inary to this scaling approach, we rst assum e that $c_{j}$ and $c_{j}^{y}$ can be written as superpositions of operators w ith exponential tim e dependence:

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{j}={ }_{j}(!) e^{!t} ;  \tag{16}\\
c_{j}^{y}=x_{j}^{!}(!) e^{!t}:
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting into Eqs. (14) and (15) and equating com ponents with the sam e tim e dependence yields

w ith $E_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}p_{j} & 1 & p_{j}+"_{j} \quad "_{j}^{0} \quad 1\end{array}\right)$.
$N$ ote that for the pure case we can carry out a Fourier transform ation by writing $j(!)=e^{i k j} k, \quad j(!)=$ $e^{i k j} k$. As expected, we then nd that for each Fourier com ponent, Eqs. (18) and (19) have solutions w ith ! = $k$ or $!=k$, where $k$ is the pure spectrum (10). In other words the operators $c_{j}$ and $c_{j}^{y}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{j}={ }^{X} e^{i k j}\left(k_{k} e^{k t}+{ }_{k}^{0} e^{k^{t}}\right) ;  \tag{20}\\
& C_{j}^{y}=X_{k}^{k} e^{i k j}\left(k_{k} e^{k^{t}}+{ }_{k}^{0} e^{k^{t}}\right) ; \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& k=i k \frac{" 1}{"} \cot \frac{k}{2} \text {; }  \tag{22}\\
& { }_{k}^{0}=\quad i{ }_{k}^{0} \tan \frac{k}{2}: \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The Bogoliubov angle can be obtained from the relations (22) and (23); in the pure case this approach thus provides an altemative route to direct transform ation of the H am iltonian (8).

A s an aside, we rem ark that Eqs. (18) and (19) can also be cast in the form of a transfer $m$ atrix $m$ apping.

A nalysing products of disordered transfer $m$ atrices then provides a w ay to investigate possible localization e ects. $T$ his is a particularly attractive approach for sim plem odels such as binary disorder. In the next section we develop instead, a general num erical scaling approach for arbitrary distributions of disorder.

IV . SCALIN G
A. Procedure

In the general disordered case it is not obvious how to solve Eqs. (18) and (19) explicitly but their form is rem iniscent of an equation which appears in the disordered ASEP (in a mean-eld description) after a linearizing (C ole $H$ opf) transform ation so we can develop a scaling $m$ ethod sim ilar to the one used in that case [12] (com pare also the originalw ork ofP im enteland Stinchcom be [22]). For fill generality we m ust allow each coe cient to scale di erently so we perform $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{b}=2$ dilation of the system using decim ation on the follow ing equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(E_{j}!\right)_{j}=p_{j ; j} 1 \quad j 1 \quad q_{j ; j+1}{ }_{j+1}+"_{j ; j} 1{ }_{j} 1 \\
& { }^{\boldsymbol{k}}{ }_{j ; j+1}{ }_{j+1} \text {; } \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{n}_{j ; j+1}^{0}}{ }_{j+1} \text { : } \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have extended the notation for clarity. For exam ple, $p_{j ; j} 1$ denotes the coe cient of $j_{1}$ in the expression for $j$. Even in the pure case, this $m$ ay scale di erently from $P_{j ; j+1}$ which is the coe cent of ${ }_{j ; j+1}$ in the expression for $j$. In the rescaled equation for ${ }_{j}$ $\left(j_{j}\right)$ the coe cient of $j_{2}(j+2)$ is $p_{j ; j} 2\left(\Theta_{j ; j+2}\right)$. The resulting 10 -param eter scaling equations are rather involved and are displayed for convenience in A ppendix $\mathbb{A}$.

W e now test this scaling procedure on the pure $m$ odel (Sec.IV B) before applying it to the disordered problem (Sec. IV C) .

## B. Pure m odel

W e here dem onstrate how the scaling of the equations of $m$ otion (24) and (25) re ects the know $n$ spectrum $k$ of the pure $m$ odel (10). For de niteness we consider the case " > "0, p q throughout; the extension to other cases should be straightforw ard, since they are related by dually or space re ection.

$$
\text { 1. } \mathrm{N} \text { on-biased case, } \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}
$$

For the pure case, if one starts w ith $p=p=q=q$, $"=\mu, "^{0}=\mu^{0}$, and by in plication $E=E^{2}$, then the relationships $p=q, p=q, "=\mu$ and $"^{0}=\mu^{0}$ are preserved under scaling so one only needs to scale six independent


F IG . 2: Evolution of param eters in Eqs. (24) and (25) under $\mathrm{b}=2$ decim ation. Pure case w th $!=0: 41$ and starting param eter values $p=p=q=q=0: 5, "=\mu=0: 8, "^{0}=$ $\mathbf{u}^{0}=0: 2, \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{N}=0: 6 . \mathrm{G}$ raph show s absolute m agnitude of param eters against num ber of iterations $I$; lines are provided as an aid to the eye. Chaotic behaviour is typical of scaling in the allow ed band.
param eters. W e nd two distinct types of scaling behaviour.

For $2^{10} \quad!\quad 2^{\prime \prime}$ the scaling param eters all evolve chaotically under scaling (see Fig. 21). In contrast for $!<2 "^{0}$ or ! > 2 ", the \energies" $E$ and $E r$ tend to constant values $w$ hereas the rescaled rates $r$ (ie, $p, q$, etc.) tend rapidly to zero inside an exponentially decaying envelope (see Fig.[3), i.e., $r(1) \quad f(1) e^{l=}$ where $l=2^{I}$ is the distance betw een sites ( $I$ is the num ber of iterations) and is a localization length. We also see this localized behaviour for all non-real!.

Just as in the scaling of the ColeH opf-transform ed $m$ ean- eld A SEP [12] the localization length can be $m$ easured num erically from the exponential decay of the param eters. In Fig. 4 we plot the localization length obtained from the scaling of $p$, as a function of real frequency ! (localization lengths obtained from the other rates are found to be identical). These num erical results can be sim ply explained by reference to the pure spectrum for the sym $m$ etric case. The \allow ed" band (real k) corresponds to the region $2^{\prime \prime}{ }^{0}$ ! 2 "; here states are extended and the localization length is in nite. Frequencies outside th is band correspond to a com plex w avevectork+i, i.e., localized states with localization length
$=1=$. Indeed, from ! = "+ ${ }^{n}+\left(\begin{array}{ll} \\ \left."^{0}\right) & \cos (k+i) \text { we }\end{array}\right.$ can obtain an analytical expression for the localization length on the real-! axis ( $k=0$ or $k=$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\operatorname{arccosh} \frac{"^{0}+"!}{"^{0} "} \quad 1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is shown as a dashed line in Fig. 4 and agreem ent w ith the num erics is excellent.


F IG . 3: E volution of param eters in Eqs. (24) and (25) under $\mathrm{b}=2$ decim ation. Pure case w th $!=0: 39$ and starting param eter values $p=p=q=q=0: 5, "=\mu=0: 8, "^{0}=$ $\mathbf{u}^{0}=0: 2, \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\sim}=0: 6 . \mathrm{G}$ raph show s absolute m agnitude of param eters against num ber of iterations I; lines are provided as an aid to the eye. $R$ ate param eters decay exponentially as a function of $2^{I}$, as expected outside the allow ed band.


FIG.4: Localization length versus (real) frequency ! for pure case w ith $p=q=0: 5, "=0: 8, "^{0}=0: 2$. is e ectively in nite in allow ed band $0: 4<!<1: 6$. C rosses indicate data from num erical scaling of rate p; dashed line is analytical prediction of Eq. (26).

## 2. B iased case, $p \in q$

In the pure biased case the \allowed" values of ! are given by $k$ ofE q. (10) w ith $k$ real an ellipse in com plex $k$-space, see Fig.5. For these values of! we again nd, as expected, that the scaling param eters allevolve chaotically under scaling corresponding to extended states. O utside this allow ed ellipse we see localized states once m ore.

Inside the ellipse we see a new type of behaviour| $E$


FIG.5: Im $k$ versus Re $k$ for pure model (case p $>q_{r}$ " > " ${ }^{0}$ ). Solid ellipse represents allowed spectrum given by (10). Inside the ellipse equations of $m$ otion scale to ballistic lim it $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}$ ! 1 ; outside of ellipse is localized region.


F IG . 6: Evolution of param eters in Eqs. (24) and (25) under $\mathrm{b}=2$ decim ation ( rst few iterations). Pure case w ith ! = $0: 41$ and starting param eter values $p=p=0: 55, q=q=$ $0: 45, "=u=0: 8, "^{0}=\mu^{0}=0: 2, E=E^{N}=0: 6$. G raph show $s$ absolute $m$ agnitude of param eters against num ber of iterations $I$; lines are provided as an aid to the eye. $R$ ates $p$, q, ", " ${ }^{0}$ scale exponentially to in nity while $p, q,{ }^{\prime}, u^{0}$ decay exponentially and \energies" $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E}^{r}$ tend to constant values. $T h$ is is typical of ballistic behaviour inside the allow ed ellipse (for case $p>q$ ).
and $\mathrm{E}^{r}$ again tend to constant values, som e of the rates scale exponentially to zero, whereas others grow exponentially to in nity (see Fig. 6). W e argue that in this region the system is dom inated by the ballistic $m$ otion and scales to the totally asym $m$ etric case. So if we start w ith $p>q$, then $p$ scales to in nity while $q$ decays to zero.

In Figs. 7 and 8 we plot the localization lengths for $p$ and $q$ along the principal axes of the !-space ellipse (again for the case $p>q$ ). A $s$ is evident from the discussion above, the localization length for $q$ is $s m$ all and positive in allregions $\mid \mathrm{q}$ is an irrelevant param eter under scaling. T he localization length for $p$ is positive in the 0 -


FIG.7: N um erical localization lengths p, q as a function of real frequency $!_{\mathrm{Re}}$ for pure case w ith $p=0: 55, q=0: 45$, $"=0: 8, "^{0}=0: 2$. $N$ ote di erence in scaling behaviour for $p$ and $q . L$ ines are provided as an aid to the eye.


FIG. 8: N um erical localization lengths $p$, q as a function of com plex frequency ! = $1+i!$ Im for pure case $w$ ith $p=$ $0: 55, q=0: 45, "=0: 8, "^{0}=0: 2$. N ote di erence in scaling behaviour for $p$ and $q$. Lines are provided as an aid to the eye.
calized region outside the ellipse, in nite on the allow ed ellipse, and negative in the ballistic region inside (refer again to Fig. (5) . The value of this relevant localization length can again be understood analytically by considering a com plex wavevector in the dispersion relation.

> C. D isordered m odel

A $m$ ed w th this understanding of the pure case, we $m$ ove on to look at the e ect of disorder on the freeferm ion system. There are obviously various di erent possible w ays to add disorder; here w em ainly investigate


F IG . 9: Evolution of param eters in Eqs. (24) and (25) under $\mathrm{b}=2$ decim ation. D isordered case w ith $!=1: 41$ and starting param eter values $p_{j ; j 1}=\beta_{j 1 ; j}=q_{j 1 ; j}=q_{j ; j 1}=0: 5$,
 $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}+1 ; j} \quad{ }_{j+1 ; j} \quad "_{j ; j 1}^{0}$ where $z$ is a random variable drawn from a uniform distribution betw een 0.6 and 1.0 . G raph show S absolute $m$ agnitude of param eters at site jo (averaged over 100 realizations of disorder) against num ber of iterations I; lines are provided as an aid to the eye. $R$ ate param eters decay exponentially as a function of $2^{I}$ show ing a clear localization e ect.
the case where the disorder is in " and ${ }^{00} \mathrm{w}$ ith the rates chosen to $m$ aintain the free-ferm ion condition for each bond (speci cally $"_{j}^{0}=1 \quad{ }_{j}, p_{j}=p$ and $q_{j}=q$, where $p+q=1)$. O nce again, we consider in tum the sym $m$ etric ( $p=q$ ) and asym $m$ etric ( $p \notin q$ ) cases.

$$
\text { 1. } \mathrm{N} \text { on-biased case, } \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}
$$

In $F$ ig. 9 we show an exam ple of the scaling behaviour for the case $w$ th $p_{j}=q_{j}=0: 5,{ }_{j}$ drawn from a uniform distribution and $"_{j}^{0}=1 \quad "_{j}$. The value of ! corresponds to being in the allowed band for the pure case (com pare Fig.(2). W e nd that the rates evolve chaotically tow ards zero w ithin an exponentialenvelope and so we can de ne a localization length via r(l) $f(1) e^{l=}$. In Fig. 10 we plot the localization length for the decay of $p$, averaged over realizations of disorder. Localization is clearly seen for all values of! in the pure band.

## 2. B iased case, p $q$

In Fig. 11 we plot the localization lengths for $p$ and $q$ along the real frequency axis for a sam ple disordered case, viz., $p_{j}=0: 55, q_{j}=0: 45,{ }_{j}$ drawn from a uniform distribution and $"_{j}^{0}=1 \quad "_{j}$. The pure results are also show $n$ for reference (com pare also F ig. (7). In this case we see that disorder reduces the size of the allow ed ellipse


F IG . 10: Localization length for p scaling versus (real) frequency for disordered case w ith $p=q=0: 5, "_{j}=z, "_{j}^{0}=1 \mathrm{z}$ where z is drawn from a uniform distribution betw een 0.6 and 1.0. P ure results outside the band are also shown for com parison (dashed). In the disordered case, the localization length is nite everyw here except! $=1$.


F IG.11: N um erical localization lengths $p, q$ as a function of realfrequency $!_{R e}$ fordisordered case w ith $p=0: 55, q=0: 45$, $"_{j}=z, "_{j}^{0}=1 \quad z$ where $z$ is draw $n$ from a uniform distribution betw een 0.6 and 1.0. Lines are provided as an aid to the eye. Pure results are show $n$ for com parison. N ote the reduction of the ballistic region in the disordered case.
along the real-! axis, i.e., it acts to increase the localized region at the expense of the ballistic region. T he results for ! = $1+i!{ }_{\mathrm{Im}}$ are essentially the sam e as in the pure case ( F ig. 8).

This competition between disorder-induced localization and driving is analogous to that arising in another non $H$ em itian H am iltonian studied by H atano and Nel son [33]. Their work was $m$ otivated by the $m$ apping between ux lines in a ( $d+1$ )-dim ensional superconductor and d-dim ensional bosons. A random potential in the


F IG .12: N um erical localization length p as a function of real frequency $!_{\mathrm{Re}}$ for disordered cases $w$ ith $"_{j}=z, "_{j}^{0}=1 \quad z$ where z is drawn from a uniform distribution between 0.6 and 1.0. Results are show $n$ for a range of values of $p$ and $q$ as indicated by the legend. Lines are provided as an aid to the eye.
bosonic problem arises from the study of colum nar defects in the superconductor.

For allcasesw ith disorder only in " and " 0 , we nd that the localization length is altered along the real-! axis but unchanged along the axis in the im aginary direction.? H ow ever, the change in the position of the allow ed band (w here the localization length is in nite) depends on the strength of the driving $p \quad q$, see $F$ ig. [12. W e shall now consider som e tentative analyticalideas to quantify these disorder e ects.

Recall that the pure spectrum is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=b_{0} \quad a_{0} \cos k+i(p \quad q) \sin k ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{b}_{0}=\mathbf{"}^{0}+\mathrm{"}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{0}=\mathbf{" ~}^{0} \quad$ ". W e seek to modify this to represent the e ective spectrum of the disordered m odel. For the case ofdisordered " and "0 (w ith ${ }_{j}+"_{j}^{0}=$ $p+q=1$ ) then a naive suggestion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=b_{0} \quad a \cos k+i(p \quad q) \sin k \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a can, in principle, have both real and im aginary parts, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a+i 2 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $a$, real. The im aginary part of a causes a rotation of the allow ed ellipse (see Fig. [13) ; if a $a_{0}$, then there is also stretching or com pression. In agreem ent w ith the num erical localization length data, this form leaves the

[^2]

FIG.13: Schem atic ofe ective disordered dispersion relation in ! -space for $k=b_{0}+\left(a_{0}+i 2\right) \cos k+i(p \quad q) \sin k$ (solid line). Pure case $k=b_{0}+a_{0} \cos k+i(p \quad q) \sin k$ is show $n$ for com parison (dashed line). Inside the ellipse equations of $m$ otion scale to ballistic $\lim$ it $p=q!1$; outside of ellipse is localized region.
centre of the ellipse and the intersection w th the $!=$ bo axis unchanged, It also explains why any am ount of disorder causes localization in the $p=q$ case (w here the ellipse is a line along the real axis) for all values of real frequency except! = 1 .

There is no a priori reason why the im aginary part of a should have a positive sign so we naturally expect the possibility ofboth 2 . Furtherm ore, we anticipate that $a$ is related to the $m$ ean of $"^{0}$ " and is proportional to the w idth of the distribution. In fact, com parisons of the obtained localization lengths (e.g., see Fig. (12) w ith the prediction from the dispersion relation (28) suggest that the scaling results can not be described by unique values ofa, . It appears that what wem easure in the num erical scaling procedure, is the average of $1=$ for som e range of values. Further work is needed to check and quantify this hypothesis.

## V. D ISORDEREFFECTS IN STOCHASTIC SYSTEM

In this section we attem pt to infer disorder e ects in the original stochastic hopping process by $m$ appingback the results for the equivalent quantum free-ferm ion m odel. In particular, we are interested in disorderinduced changes to the pure steady-state density (11).

W e argue from the previous section that one can crudely characterize the e ects of disorder in the evaporation-deposition rates by the replacem ents ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$ ! " 0 i , "! " i (ab ! $a_{0}$ i2 ) where is related to the width of the disorder distribution. This gives two di erent e ective B ogoliubov angles (possibly connected to the doubling of ferm ionic degrees of freedom in the work of M erz and Chalker [21]). Stochastic observables are presum ably related to the average of these two possibilities but it is not yet clear what is the appropriate function of ", " ${ }^{0}$ to average over. M otivated by the form


FIG. 14: Sim ulation results show ing density dependence on $w$ idth of ", " ${ }^{0}$ distribution for range of $p$, q values (see legend) in a system of size 1000. " ${ }_{j}$ is draw $n$ from a uniform distribution betw een $0: 8 \quad \mathrm{w}$ and $0: 8+\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{"m}_{j}^{0}$ given by $1 \quad "_{j} . \mathrm{D}$ ashed line is a squared $\mathrm{t}^{\text {given by }}{ }^{-}=0^{+} \mathrm{bw}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{b}=0: 05$.
of the B ogolinuov transform ation [cf. Eqs. (22) and (23)] and by com parisonsw ith sim ulation, we suggest that the ratio " $0=$ " is the im portant quantity and hence de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{"^{0}}{"}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{"^{0}+i}{" i}+\frac{"^{0} i}{"+i}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This then yields a disorder-averaged density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{1}=\frac{q^{1}}{1+\overline{(" 0=")}} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is increased from the pure case by an am ount proportionalto ${ }^{2}$ (forsm all ). Sim ulations on the stochastic model (see Fig. (14) con $m$ a density shift proportional to the square of the $w$ idth of the distribution, i.e., the localization in the disordered free-ferm ion $m$ odeldoes appear to m ap back to a density shift in the equivalent disordered stochastic system. Further w ork is still needed to rigorously establish this picture and to relate the value of both to the details of the disorder distribution and to the num erical localization data.

Sim ilarly, from know ledge of the e ective dispersion relation for the disordered case, it should be possible to adapt the pure treatm ent of [18] forboth steady-state dynam ic correlation functions and non-steady-state properties. These quantitiestypically contain tim e-dependences of the form $e^{R e}{ }_{k} t$ so any decrease in the e ective spectral gap would be expected to lead to a slow ing-down of the dynam ics (as was found for the ASEP in [12]).

## V I. D ISC USSIO N

In this paper we have studied the e ect of disorder on a model consisting of the partially asym met-
ric exclusion process com bined with dim er evaporationdeposition. W e presented an exact $m$ apping to an equivalent quantum system and then em ployed a num erical scaling technique on the quantum equations of $m$ otion. $T$ his provided a clear dem onstration of disorder-induced localization acting in com petition $w$ th the asym $m$ etric driving. W e discussed tentatively how these localization e ects are related to the observed steady-state density shift in the original stochastic system ; exact details of the inverse transform ation rem ain to be claried. The stochastic system is relatively robust to disorder since particle pairs can be evaporated/deposited along the whole length of the chain.

T here is $m$ uch scope for further w ork on this disordered m odel. In particular, a more rigorous developm ent of the $m$ apping from the disordered quantum model back to the stochastic system is needed. This would perm it the translation of num erical scaling results for di erent form sofdisorder (including, for exam ple, the case where all rates are disordered and $"_{j}+"_{j}^{0}=p_{j}+q_{j}$ is positiondependent) into quantitative statem ents about the e ect on density and correlation functions. A s indicated at the end ofSec. V we should also like to extend the discussion to dynam ics.

A nother open question relates to the e ect of disorder in the open-boundary case when the evaporation and
deposition rates scale w ith system size, i.e., they are proportional to $1=\mathrm{L}$ where L is the num ber of sites. In this case the interplay betw een boundary and bulk e ects is expected to give a steady-state phase transition in the pure $m$ odel com pare recent work for a sim ilar dim er m odel [34] and earlier results for the case $w$ th adsorption/desorption of $m$ onom ers [35, 36]. The addition of disorder to the latter pure $m$ onom er $m$ odel was recently considered in the context ofm inim alm odels for intercelhular transport [37].
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## APPENDIX A:D ISORDERED FREEFERM ION SCALING

H ere we present scaling relations for the coupled freeferm ion equations ofm otion (24) and (25):

A $\mathrm{b}=2$ decim ation of these equations leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathbb{E}_{j}^{\sim}+!\right){ }_{j}=P_{j ; j+2}^{0} j+2 \quad G_{j ; j}^{0} 2 j 2+\left({ }^{0}\right)_{j ; j}^{0} 2 \text { j } 2 \quad\left({ }^{(\pi)}\right)_{j ; j+2}^{0} j+2 \text {; } \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith rescaled \energy" param eters

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{j}^{0}=E_{j} \quad \frac{p_{j ; j} 1 q_{j} 1 ; j}{E_{j 1}!} \quad \frac{q_{j ; j+1} P_{j+1 ; j}}{E_{j+1}!}+\frac{"_{j ; j 11}{ }^{n 0}{ }_{j} 1 ; j}{E_{j 1}^{\sim}+!}+\frac{u_{j ; j+1}{ }_{j+1 ; j}^{0}}{E_{j+1}^{\sim}+!} ; \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the rescaled rates

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{j ; j+2}^{0}=\frac{\frac{q_{j ; j+1} q_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}!}+\frac{u_{j ; j+1}{ }^{n 0}{ }_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}+!} \frac{A_{j}}{E_{j}^{0}+!} \frac{{ }^{n 00_{j ; j+1}} q_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}!}+\frac{P_{j ; j+1}{ }^{m 0}{ }_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}+!}}{1 \frac{A_{j} B_{j}}{\left(E_{j}^{0}!\right)\left(E_{j}^{0}+!\right)}} ; \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{P}_{j ; j+2}^{0}=\frac{\frac{\boldsymbol{m o}_{j ; j+1} u_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}!}+\frac{P_{j ; j+1} P_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}+!} \frac{B_{j}}{E_{j}^{0}+!} \frac{q_{j ; j+1} u_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}!}+\frac{u_{j ; j+1} P_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}+!}}{1 \frac{A_{j} B_{j}}{\left(E_{j}^{0}!\right)\left(E_{j}^{0}+!\right)}} ; \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left({ }^{(n)}\right)_{j ; j+2}^{0}=\frac{\frac{{ }^{m 0} 0_{j ; j+1} q_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}!}+\frac{P_{j ; j+1}{ }^{m 0}{ }_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}+!} \frac{B_{j}}{E_{j}^{0}+!} \frac{q_{j ; j+1} q_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}!}+\frac{u_{j ; j+1}{ }^{m 0} 0_{j+1 ; j+2}}{E_{j+1}+!}}{1 \frac{A_{j} B_{j}}{\left(E_{j}^{0}!\right)\left(E_{j}^{0}+!\right)}} \tag{A14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{j}=\frac{p_{j ; j 1} \chi_{j 1 ; j}}{E_{j 1}!} \quad \frac{q_{j ; j+1} "_{j+1 ; j}}{E_{j+1}!} \quad \frac{"_{j ; j 1} P_{j} 1 ; j}{E_{j 1}^{r}+!}+\frac{u_{j ; j+1} Q_{j+1 ; j}}{E_{j+1}+!} ; \tag{A15}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote the di erence in $m$ eaning betw een " ${ }^{0}$ (the evaporation rate) and (") $)^{0}$ (the scaled deposition rate).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ If the totalnum ber of ferm ions, $\sum_{1}{ }_{1}^{+}=\sum_{1} c_{1}^{y} c_{1}$, is even then the ferm ion operators $m$ ust obey cyclic boundary conditions; in the odd subspace, anti-cyclic boundary conditions are required. See [18].

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ O bviously disorder in $p$ and $q$ a ects the im aginary-! direction instead.

