## Magnetoconductivity in the presence of Bychkov-Rashba spin-orbit interaction.

Alexander Punnoose\*

Physics Department, University of Wisconsin at Madison, 1150 University Ave., Madison, WI 53706

A closed-form analytic formula for the magnetoconductivity in the diffusive regime is derived in the presence of Bychkov-Rashba spin-orbit interaction in two dimensions. It is shown that at low fields  $B \ll B_{so}$ , where  $B_{so}$  is the characteristic field associated with spin precession, D'yakonov-Perel' mechanism leads to spin relaxation, while for  $B \gg B_{so}$  spin relaxation is suppressed and the resulting spin precession contributes a Berry phase-like spin phase to the magnetoconductivity. The relative simplicity of the formula greatly facilitates data fitting, allowing for the strength of the spin-orbit coupling to be easily extracted.

The conductivity in classically weak magnetic fields shows signatures of quantum interference that is considerably affected by the presence of spin-orbit (SO) interactions. Knowing the functional dependence of the magnetoconductivity,  $\Delta\sigma(B)$ , provides a sensitive tool for the extraction of the strength of the SO coupling. It is thus of great practical interest to obtain a simple, analytic formula for  $\Delta\sigma(B)$ .

In a system with SO interactions the dominant spin relaxation mechanism is the D'yakonov-Perel' (DP) mechanism [1]. It describes how momentum relaxation by impurity scattering can lead to spin relaxation via the SO interaction. The spin relaxation rate is given by  $1/\tau_{so} \sim \Delta_{so}^2 \tau/\hbar^2$ , where  $\Delta_{so}$  is the spin-splitting and  $\tau$  is the mean free time. For the special case when the SO interaction is of the Bychkov-Rashba kind, i.e., [2, 3]

$$H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + \alpha_{so} \; \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{z} \times \vec{p}) \; , \tag{1}$$

the spin splitting at the Fermi surface is  $\Delta_{so} = 2m\alpha_{so}v_F$ , where  $\alpha_{so}$  is the SO coupling. In this case,  $1/\tau_{so} = D(2m\alpha_{so}/\hbar)^2$ , where  $D = v_F^2\tau/2$  is the diffusion constant in two dimensions (2D) and  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity.

Earlier works treated the effect of the SO interaction exclusively in terms of the DP relaxation [4, 5], i.e., the SO scattering rate was introduced as a cutoff  $1/\tau_{so}$  in the triplet part of the interference processes leading to the well known anti-localization effect. However, it became apparent on rewriting the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) as  $H_{so} = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \vec{p} - p_{so} \vec{\tau} \right)^2$  that the SO interaction which now appears as a spin-dependent vector potential  $\vec{\tau} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{z} \times \vec{\sigma})$  with charge  $p_{so} = 2m\alpha_{so}$  could give rise to spin-dependent Aharonov-Bohm [6, 7, 8] and Berry phase-like [9] effects.

In 2D, the effects of various kinds of SO interactions on the magnetoconductivity have been studied extensively in the low field regime  $l_B \gg l$  [10, 11, 12]. In this limit the magnetic length  $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/2eB}$  is many times larger than the mean free path  $l = v_F \tau$ , and therefore the field range  $B \ll B_{tr} = \hbar/4eD\tau$  is adequately described by the diffusion approximation [13]. It has been shown that an analytical expression for  $\Delta\sigma(B)$  can be derived for the

Bychkov-Rashba and the Dresselhaus kinds of SO interactions [10, 11], and that no analytical solution is possible when both terms are present together [12]. These solutions are expressed in the form of a series over effective Landau Level indices that are then summed numerically.

In this paper, these summations are done analytically, thereby providing a closed-form formula for  $\Delta\sigma(B)$ . Although only the Bychkov-Rashba case is worked out in detail here, the solution is the same in the case when only the linear Dresselhaus term is present, i.e., when the cubic term is absent - which is the case in low density systems. Various approximate formulas used in the literature are derived as limiting cases of the formula derived here and their physics highlighted.

As is well known, quantum correction to the conductivity arises from the interference of time reversed trajectories [14]. The amplitude of this interference,  $C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')$ , is called the Cooperon. Using the formalism first developed in Ref. [10] (for a detailed review, see Ref. [11]), it can be shown that in the diffusive regime the Cooperon in the presence of the Bychkov-Rashba interaction satisfies the equation:  $\mathcal{H}C(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \delta^2(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')/2\pi\nu\tau^2$ , where

$$\mathcal{H} = D \left( -i \vec{\nabla} - \frac{2e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A} - \frac{p_{so}}{\hbar} \vec{\Sigma} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\tau_{\varphi}} . \tag{2}$$

The parameter  $\nu = m/2\pi\hbar^2$  is the density of states per spin and the rate  $1/\tau_{\varphi}$  is introduced to account for dephasing. The spin-matrix  $\vec{\Sigma} = \hat{z} \times \mathbf{S}$ , where  $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\sigma}^R + \vec{\sigma}^A)$  is the total spin of the interfering waves, i.e., the retarded and advanced waves in the particle-particle channel (Cooper channel) [15].

In terms of the dimensionless quantities,  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}/\hbar\omega_D$  and  $\tilde{C} = (2\pi\nu\tau^2\hbar\omega_D)C$ , where the "cyclotron" frequency  $\omega_D = 4eDB/\hbar$ , the Cooperon equation reduces to  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{C} = \delta^2(\mathbf{r})$ . In the circular gauge,  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = (B/2)(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{r})$ , the Hamiltonian  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  expressed in terms of the raising and lowering operators reads [10, 11, 12]:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \{aa^{\dagger}\} - i\sqrt{2b_{so}}(a^{\dagger}S^{+} - aS^{-}) + b_{so}(\mathbf{S}^{2} - S_{z}^{2}) + b_{\varphi} , (3)$$

where, the notation  $\{aa^{\dagger}\}\equiv \frac{1}{2}(aa^{\dagger}+a^{\dagger}a)$  is used. The operators  $a^{\dagger}$  and a raise and lower the Landau level index n, and  $S^{\pm}$  raise and lower the  $S_z$  values, respectively. The

dimensionless variables  $b_{so} = B_{so}/B$  and  $b_{\varphi} = B_{\varphi}/B$ , where

$$B_{so} = \frac{\hbar}{4eD\tau_{so}} = \frac{p_{so}^2}{4e\hbar}$$
 and  $B_{\varphi} = \frac{\hbar}{4eD\tau_{co}}$ . (4)

The term proportional to  $\sqrt{b_{so}}$  in Eq. (3) mixes the Landau levels with the spin triplet states. The singlet S=0 sector as seen from Eq. (3) does not mix. As a result  $\tilde{H}$  for S=0 reduces to [10,11,12]  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_s=\{aa^\dagger\}+b_\varphi$ , with eigenvalues  $\tilde{E}_s(n)=n+1/2+b_\varphi$ . Further progress can be made by noting that the triplet sector conveniently decomposes into  $3\times 3$  blocks [10,11] around each n spanned by the three vectors  $|n-S_z\rangle\otimes|S_z\rangle$  where  $S_z=-1,0,1$  for n>0. These blocks, labeled  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , take the form:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{n-1} + b_{so} & i\sqrt{2b_{so}n} & 0\\ -i\sqrt{2b_{so}n} & \epsilon_{n} + 2b_{so} & i\sqrt{2b_{so}(n+1)}\\ 0 & -i\sqrt{2b_{so}(n+1)} & \epsilon_{n+1} + b_{so} \end{pmatrix}, (5)$$

where,  $\epsilon_n = n + 1/2 + b_{\varphi}$ . (The n = 0 term is treated separately).  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n$  is easily diagonalized by taking linear combinations  $|n,m\rangle = \sum_{S_z} c_{n-S_z,S_z}^m |n-S_z\rangle \otimes |S_z\rangle$ . The corresponding eigenvalues are labeled  $\tilde{E}_{t,m}(n)$ , where m = -1, 0, 1.

The conductivity correction,  $\delta \sigma(B)$ , defined as [10]:

$$\delta\sigma(B) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi h} \sum_{\alpha\beta,n} \tilde{C}_{\alpha\beta\beta\alpha}(n) , \qquad (6)$$

where,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are spin indices, can be expressed in terms of the singlet  $\tilde{E}_s(n)$  and triplet  $\tilde{E}_{t,m}(n)$  eigenvalues as [10]:

$$\delta\sigma(B) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi h} \sum_{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\tilde{E}_s(n)} - \sum_{m=0,\pm 1} \frac{1}{\tilde{E}_{t,m}(n)} \right] . \quad (7)$$

To further simplify, the sum of the inverse eigenvalues in the triplet sector can be written as [10]:  $S_t = \sum_m \tilde{E}_{t,m}^{-1}(n) = \sum_i \left[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n\right]_{ii}/|\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n|$ , where  $|\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n|$  is the determinant and  $[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n]_{ii}$  are the minors of the diagonal elements, giving

$$S_{t} = \sum_{n=1,\dots} \frac{3\epsilon_{n}^{2} + 4b_{so}\epsilon_{n} + (5b_{so}^{2} + 4b_{so}b_{\varphi} - 1)}{\epsilon_{n}^{3} + (b_{so}^{2} + 4b_{so}b_{\varphi} - 1)\epsilon_{n} + 2b_{so}^{2}(b_{so} + 2b_{\varphi})}.$$
(8)

Eq. (8) when substituted into Eq. (7) gives a series solution for the magnetoconductivity (with the n = 0 term

properly included) that was first obtained in Ref. [10, 11]. In the following, the sum over n is performed analytically to give a closed form expression for  $\Delta\sigma(B)$ . It is worth noting that one arrives at the same equations if instead of the Bychkov-Rashba interaction the linear Dresselhaus term was present (see Ref. [10]). In the latter case the parameter  $b_{so}$  takes the form [10]  $b_{so} = (\hbar/4eD)2\Omega_1^2\tau$ , where  $\Omega_1 = \gamma k(\langle k_z^2 \rangle - k^2/4)$ . Hence, as a function of  $b_{so}$  the final results derived here are equally valid in both cases.

The crucial step to do the n sum is to expand  $S_t$  as:

$$S_t = \sum_{n=0,1,\dots} \left[ \sum_{s=0,\pm 1} \frac{u_s}{\epsilon_n - v_s} \right] + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} - (b_\varphi + b_{so})^2} . \quad (9)$$

(Note that the n sum has been extended to include the n=0 term. It cancels the apparent divergence that appears in the last term in Eq. (9).) The advantage of expanding  $S_t$  in this way is that since  $\epsilon_n$  is linear in n, the sum over n can be done using the formula:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha_B n}}{n+z} \stackrel{\alpha_B \to 0^+}{\approx} -(\psi(z) + \gamma + \ln \alpha_B) , \qquad (10)$$

where,  $\psi(z)$  is the di-gamma function,  $\gamma$  is the Euler constant, and  $\alpha_B$  is a field dependent cutoff parameter [16]. The variables  $u_s$  and  $v_s$  are easily obtained as [17]:

$$v_s = 2 \delta \cos \left(\theta - \frac{2\pi}{3}(1-s)\right) , \qquad (11a)$$

$$u_s = \frac{3v_s^2 + 4b_{so}v_s + (5b_{so}^2 + 4b_{so}b_{\varphi} - 1)}{\prod_{s' \neq s} (v_s - v_{s'})}, (11b)$$

where,  $\delta$  and  $\theta$  are defined as:

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{1 - 4b_{so}b_{\varphi} - b_{so}^2}{3}} \,, \tag{12a}$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{3}\cos^{-1}\left(-\left(\frac{b_{so}}{\delta}\right)^3\left(1 + \frac{2b_{\varphi}}{b_{so}}\right)\right) . \quad (12b)$$

The magnetoconductivity is defined as  $\Delta \sigma(B) = \delta \sigma(B) - \delta \sigma(0)$ . To find the zero-field value,  $\delta \sigma(0)$ , the sum over n in Eq. (8) is replaced by an integral over momentum q, with the replacement  $\omega_D n \sim Dq^2$ . This gives the final result,

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma(B)}{\sigma_0} = \sum_{s=0,\pm 1} u_s \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B} - v_s\right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B}\right) 
-2 \ln\left(\frac{B_{\varphi}}{B}\right) + \frac{4B^2}{4(B_{so} + B_{\varphi})^2 - B^2} + C, \tag{13a}$$

$$C = -2 \ln\left(1 + \frac{B_{so}}{B_{\varphi}}\right) - \ln\left(1 + \frac{2B_{so}}{B_{\varphi}}\right) 
+ \frac{8}{\sqrt{7 + 16B_{\varphi}/B_{so}}} \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2B_{\varphi}/B_{so} - 1}{\sqrt{(2B_{\varphi}/B_{so} + 3)^2 - 1}}\right), \tag{13b}$$

where,  $\sigma_0 = e^2/2\pi h$ . The constant C satisfies the requirement  $\Delta\sigma(0) = 0$ . Eq. (13), combined with Eqs. (4), (11) and (12), is the main result of this paper. It provides an analytic expression for  $\Delta\sigma(B)$  in the presence of the Bychkov-Rashba SO interaction. The formula, as mentioned earlier, is also valid for the case of the linear Dresselhaus interaction. It is important to bear in mind that Eq. (13) is only valid in the diffusive regime  $B \ll B_{tr}$ . In this limit  $B_{tr}$  does not appear explicitly.

The different limiting cases (i)  $B \ll B_{so}$  and (ii)  $B \gg B_{so}$  are studied below: For  $B \ll B_{so}$ , it can be shown from Eqs. (11) and (12), that:  $\delta \approx (i/\sqrt{3})b_{so}$  and  $\theta \approx \cos^{-1}(-i3\sqrt{3})$ , and therefore  $u_s \approx 1 - i(4/\sqrt{7})s$  and  $v_s \approx b_{so}(3s^2 + is\sqrt{7} - 2)/2$ . (It is assumed that  $B_{so} \gg B_{\varphi}$ , valid at low temperatures). Hence, in the low field limit, Eq. (13) reduces to the familiar form, first derived by Hikami, Larkin and Nagaoka (HLN) [5]:

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma(B)}{\sigma_0} \approx 2\psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_{\varphi} + B_{so}}{B}\right) - 2\ln\left(\frac{B_{\varphi} + B_{so}}{B}\right) + \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_{\varphi} + 2B_{so}}{B}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{B_{\varphi} + 2B_{so}}{B}\right) - \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{B_{\varphi}}{B}\right), \tag{14}$$

In the high field limit  $B \gg B_{so}$ ,  $\delta \approx (1 - 2b_{so}b_{\varphi})/\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\theta \approx \pi/6$ ,  $u_s \approx 1$  and  $v_s \approx (1 - 2b_{so}b_{\varphi})s$ . Up to a constant Eq. (13) in this limit reduces to:

$$\frac{\Delta\sigma(B)}{\sigma_0} \approx \sum_{s=\pm 1} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{B_{\varphi}}{B - 2sB_{so}}\right) - 2\ln\left(\frac{B_{\varphi}}{B}\right) . \tag{15}$$

As seen from Eqs. (14) and (15) the characteristic field scale  $B_{so}$  of the SO interaction plays fundamentally different roles depending on the strength of B. For  $B \ll B_{so}$  the SO interaction as seen in Eq. (14) leads to spin relaxation via the DP relaxation mechanism, thus  $B_{so}$  in this case appears as a cutoff. At low temperatures,  $B_{\varphi} \ll B_{so}$ , the dominant term is  $\Delta \sigma(B) \approx -\psi(1/2 + B_{\varphi}/B) + \ln(B_{\varphi}/B)$  resulting in negative magnetoconductivity [5]. For  $B \gg B_{so}$ , on the

other hand, the SO interaction appears as a gauge field  $B_{eff} = B - 2sB_{so}$ , akin to a Berry phase-like spin phase (see Ref. [18]), and the sign of  $\Delta\sigma(B) > 0$ , restoring positive magnetoconductivity. (Note that the s=0 sector is not affected by the SO interaction in this limit.) This crossover from negative to positive magnetoconductivity around  $B \approx B_{so}$  is an unambiguous signature of the presence of DP mechanism.

To summarize, a simple closed-form analytic expression has been derived for the magnetoconductivity in the presence of SO interaction with linear splitting of either the Bychkov-Rashba or the Dresselhaus kind. The relative simplicity of the formula greatly facilitates data fitting from which the strength of the spin-orbit coupling can be extracted [19]. Note that although  $1/\tau_{so}$  depends on the transport properties of the electrons, the scale  $B_{so}$  in Eq. (4) depends only on  $p_{so} = 2m\alpha_{so}$  [20]. Hence, using  $B_{so}$  as a fitting parameter directly gives the value of  $p_{so}$ .

AP greatly benefitted from discussions with A. M. Finkel'stein, M. Khodas, M. Manfra, R. de Picciotto, S. Schmult and S. H. Simon.

- \* Electronic address: apunnoose@wisc.edu
- M. I. D'yakonov and V. I. Perel', Sov. Phys. Solid State 13, 3023 (1972).
- [2] F. T. Vas'ko, Sov. Phys. JETP 30, 540 (1979).
- [3] Y. A. Bychkov and E. I. Rashba, JETP Lett. **39**, 78 (1984).
- [4] B. L. Al'tshuler, A. G. Aronov, A. I. Larkin, and D. E. Khmel'nitskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 54, 411 (1981).
- [5] S. Hikami, A. I. Larkin, and Y. Nagaoka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 63, 707 (1980).
- [6] Y. Meir, Y. Gefen, and O. Entin-Wohlman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 798 (1989).
- [7] H. Mathur and A. D. Stone, Phy. Rev. Lett. 68, 2964 (1992).
- [8] A. G. Aronov and Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 343 (1993).
- [9] I. L. Aleiner and V. I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 256801
- [10] S. V. Iordanskii, Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, and G. E. Pikus, JETP Lett. 60, 206 (1994).
- [11] W. Knap, C. Skierbiszewski, A. Zduniak, E. Litwin-Staszewska, D. Bertho, F. Kobbi, J. L. Robert, G. E.

- Pikus, F. G. Pikus, S. V. Iordanskii, V. Mosser, K. Zekentes, and Yu. B. Lyanda-Geller, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 3912 (1996).
- [12] F. G. Pikus and G. E. Pikus, Phys. Rev. B 51, 16928 (1995).
- [13] For the ballistic regime  $B \gg B_{tr}$ , see L. E. Golub, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 235310 (2005).
- [14] L. P. Gor'kov, A. I. Larkin, and D. E. Khmel'nitski, JETP Lett. 30, 228 (1979).
- [15] The 'Hamiltonian'  $\mathcal{H}$  in Eq. (2) is the spin-1 generalization (with net charge 2e and mass 1/2D) of the spin-1/2 Hamiltonian discussed in Ref. [3].
- [16] The *B*-dependence of the cutoff  $\alpha_B$  is got by comparing  $\alpha \tau Dq^2 = (\alpha \tau \omega_D)n \equiv \alpha_B n$ , giving  $\alpha_B = (\omega_D \tau)\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is the cutoff for B = 0.
- [17] R. W. D. Nickalls, Mathematical Gazette 77, 354 (1993).
- [18] J. B. Miller, D. M. Zumbühl, C. M. Marcus, Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, K. Campman, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 076807 (2003).
- [19] S. Schmult, M. J. Manfra, A. Punnoose, A. M. Sergent, K. W. Baldwin and R. J. Molnar, preprint.
- [20] P. D. Dresselhaus, C. M. Papavassiliou, R. G. Wheeler, and R. N. Sacks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 106 (1992).