Boojum s in Rotating Two-Component Bose-Einstein Condensates

Hirom itsu Takeuchi and Makoto Tsubota^y

Department of Physics, Osaka City University, Sum iyoshi-Ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

(Received December 23, 2021)

A boojum is a topological defect that can form only on the surface of an ordered medium such as super uid ${}^{3}\text{H}\,\text{e}$ and liquid crystals. We study theoretically boojum s appearing between two phases with di erent vortex structures in two-com ponent BECs where the intracom ponent interaction is repulsive in one phase and attractive in the other. The detailed structure of the boojum s is revealed by investigating its density distribution, elective super ow vorticity and pseudospin texture.

KEYW ORDS: Bose-Einstein condensate, vortex, multicom ponent, texture, interface, super uid ³He

The study of topological objects is in portant because such ob jects appearnot only in condensed m atterphysics but also in cosm ology and high-energy physics.¹ A tom icgas Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) are ideal for exam ining topological defects and textures in a quantum condensed system .² A major advantage of this system is that it can be accurately described using the meaneld theory. M oreover, it is a good system to study experimentally because the atom ic interaction is tunable through the Feshbach resonance and optical techniques allow one to control and visualize the condensates directly. A though topological defects and textures have been studied thoroughly in an isotropic super uid ${}^{3}\text{He}_{1}^{3,4}$ interest in BECswith multicom ponent order param eters has been increasing. For example, K asam atsu et al.² num erically simulated vortex structures in two-component BECs that were similar to those in super uid ³He-A. Hence, we can learn about topological defects and textures in multicomponent BECs as well as in super uid ³He. This sort of work can lead to remarkable developments toward the elucidation of topological objects in nature. In this study, we theoretically examine boo jum structures in two-component BECs and show how they are analogous to boojums on the interface between A and B phases of super uid ³He. This study pioneers the research eld of interfacial topological defects of multicomponent BECs.

A boojum is a point defect that can exist only on the surface of an ordered m edium . The nam e cam e from M erm in who analyzed these defects in super uid ³He.⁵ Boojum sat the interface separating A and B phases of superuid ³He have been recently studied by B laauw geers et al⁶ They made the A-B phase boundary in a cylindrical container by controlling the gradient of the magnetic eld along the axis of the cylinder. W hen the container was rotated, each phase had a distinct structure of quantized vortices. In particular, the vortex core diam eter of the A phase is 10³-fold larger than that of the B phase. W hen the angular velocity is smaller than a critical value ~ • the A phase rotates by making doubly quantized vortices with "soft cores", whereas the B phase does not rotate. A lso, the A phase vortices bend near the phase




Fig. 1. Boojum s in rotating two-component BECs. (a) The constant surface density $j_1 f + j_2 f$ is shown as the blue surface. The vortices of component 1 are red and those of component 2 are light green. (b) Vortices of component 1 (left) and component 2 (center), and superposition of two vortices(right). The gray planes are the z = 0 planes. (c-e) Density distributions $j_1 f$ (left) and $j_2 f$ (right) in various cross sections perpendicular to 2. (c) Section at z = 10.8. (d) Section at z = 0.4. (e) Section at z = 11.2. The box dimensions along the x, y, and z axes are 12.8 to +12.8, 12.8 to +12.8, and 25.6 to +25.6, respectively (256) 256 512 in discretized space).

boundary toward the container wall.⁷ In contrast, when

exceeds c, the A phase vortices penetrate into the B phase, which can also rotate by form ing single-quantized vortices with "hard cores". The vorticity distribution is continuous in the A phase, but singular at the cores of the vortices in the B phase. The boo jum s appear at the A -B phase boundary where the two kinds of vortices connect. How ever, we have little quantitative understanding of the structure of the order parameters around boo jum s. This lack of know ledge is partly a result of the di culty in analyzing a system with such a large di erence in coherence length between the two phases.

Because of the sim ilarity of the order param eters be-

E-m ail address:hirom itu@ sci.osaka-cu.ac.p

YF -m ail address trubotal sciosaka-cu ac to

tween super uid ³He and a liquid crystal, boojum s have also been studied in liquid crystals.^{8,9} Boojum s are also reported in molecular patterns of fatty acids at an airwater interface.¹⁰ Then, atom ic BEC, which is a wellcontrolled quantum system, should be a ground giving boojum s. The research in this direction may push out multicom ponent BEC s as a testing ground of the physics of pattern form ation in which various topological defects play im portant roles.¹

For this study, we num erically created two phases of di erent vortex structures in two-com ponent BECselongated along the rotation axis = 2, as shown in Fig. 1 (a). The intercom ponent interaction is tuned to be repulsive for z > 0 and attractive for z < 0. Thus, for repulsive interactions, the vortices of one com ponent altemate with those of the other component, whereas the vortices of two components have common cores for attractive interactions,^{11 {13} as shown in Figs. 1 (c) and 1 (e). Then, just below the z = 0 plane, bifurcation points of vortices form (Fig. 1 (b)), which create boo jum s. The regions above and below the boojums are called the and -phases, respectively. By introducing an e ective velocity and the pseudospin representation, we can more easily exam ine the structure of boo jum s and reveal their relationship to textures in super uid ${}^{3}He$.

We obtained stable states of two-component BECs in a frame rotating with an angular velocity = 2 by solving the time-independent, coupled G ross-P itaevskii (GP) equations. For simplicity, we assume that $m = m_1 = m_2$, $N = N_1 = N_2$, and $!_2 = !_{12} = !_{22}$, where m_1 , N_1 and $!_{12}$ are the particle mass, the number of particles, and the radial trapping frequency of component i (i = 1;2), respectively. It is convenient to measure the length, time, and energy scale in units of $b_2 = \frac{1}{2} =$

$$i = \frac{1}{2}r^{2} + V \quad L_{z} + j = 1; 2U_{ij}j_{j}j_{j}^{2} i$$

$$(i = 1; 2); \quad (1)$$

where i is the chemical potential of component i, $L_z =$

 $i(x@_y y@_x)$ is the angular momentum_R operator, and the wave functions are normalized as $drj_{i}f = 1$. The harm onic potential is $V = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + {}^2z^2)$ with anisotropic parameter = 0.3. The intracomponent and intercomponent coupling constants respectively are $U_{11} = U_{22} = 4$ N $a_{ii}=b_{2}$ and $U_{12} = U_{21} = 4$ N $a_{12}=b_{2}$ with the corresponding s-wave scattering lengths a_{ii} and a_{12} being dependent on z.

In this system, it is essential form aking boo jum s that BECs have the two regions with U_{12} positive and negative. Then we assum $e U_{11} = U_{22} = 1000$ and $U_{12} = 750$ for z > 0, $U_{11} = U_{22} = 2500$ and $U_{12} = 750$ for z < 0, and = 0.8. For making stable boo jum structures, it is neither necessary to change the interaction parameters so sharply nor to make U_{11} and U_{22} spatially dependent. Such a parameter setting is just for visualizing the structures of boo jum s clearly. The density distributions of each component are shown in Figs. 1 (e)-1 (j). The choices of and U_{12} were based on the phase diagram by K asam atsu et al.¹¹ such that the -phase vortices m ade the alternating square lattice that is shown in Fig.1(c). In the -phase, the two components have the same density distribution; that is, $n_1(r) = n_2(r)$ where $n_i(r) = j_i(r) f$. In this case, the two components are reduced to one component, thus making a triangular vortex lattice (see Fig.1(e)) with a solid-body rotation except near the vortex cores with singularities. Thus, the vortices of each component are bifurcated on the interface between the two phases. The structure of one pair of two vortices is shown in Fig.1(b). Here, a boojum exists at the point where the pair of two vortices is bifurcated.

The structures of the boojum s of this system were revealed by investigating the distribution of the vorticity of the elective super ow velocity $v_{\rm e}$. This velocity is de ned as 14

$$v_{\rm e} = \frac{j_1 + j_2}{n_1 + n_2}; \qquad (2)$$

where $j_i = n_i r_i$ and i is the phase of i. From v_e , we obtain the elective vorticity $!_{e}$ as $!_{e}$ = r v_{e} . The resulting three-dimensional structure of j! e j near the boojum s is shown in Fig. 2(a), which may be called a boojum lattice. Figures 2 (b)-2 (d) show the distributions of the z component (! $_{\rm e}$)_z and the unit vector $v_e = jv_e j$ in three cross sections perpendicular to \hat{z} . In the -phase, the vorticity distribution is similar to that of a one-component BEC with singularities at the vortex cores (Fig. 2(d)). We call such a singularity of $!_{e}$ "singular vortex". In contrast, in the -phase, the vorticity distribution is continuous, making a square lattice of fuzzy round blobs (Fig. 2 (b)). Each blob has the vortex core of either component (red and blue points in Fig. 2(b)). We call such a blob "continuous vortex". A boojum appears at the point on the interface where a singular vortex splits into two continuous vortices.

The bifurcation of vorticity through the boojum was found by investigating the circulation. The circulation per singular vortex in the -phase is 2, just like that in a typical one-component BEC. The circulation around a continuous vortex was estimated by rst assuming that the square lattice is periodic. Then, each W igner-Seitz cell has a continuous vortex, and $n_1 = n_2$ on the boundary of the cell.¹⁵ The circulation per continuous vortex is

$$Z = Z = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n_{1}r_{1} + n_{2}r_{2}}{n_{1} + n_{2}} dl$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z}{p_{boundary}} (r_{1} + r_{2}) dl = : (3)$$

Thus, the whole circulation per singular vortex in the phase is transmitted to a pair of continuous vortices in the -phase. This process of vorticity bifurcation makes the velocity eld in the -phase more similar to a solidbody rotation than that in the -phase because the num ber of vortices of each component in the -phase is almost equal to that in the -phase. This is observed by comparing the velocity elds in the -phase without singularities (Fig. 2 (b)) and in the -phase with singularities (Fig. 2 (d)).

This consideration makes us think of the interesting structure of boojums of an n-component BEC; a




Fig. 2. Boojum s represented by vorticity of e ective super ow. (a) Three-dimensional distribution of $j!_e$ j with several boojum s near interface. (b-d) D istributions of $(!_e)_z$ and vectorial plot of unit vector $v_e = jv_e$ j in cross sections perpendicular to \hat{z} at (b) z = 10.8, (c) z = 0.4, and (d) z = 11.2. Red shows the region with high vorticity. Plot (b) shows the lattice of continuous vortices and four W igner-Seitz cells in the -phase. Red and light blue points in the cells show the positions of the vortices of components 1 and 2, respectively. Plot (c) shows the pairing of continuous vortices near the interface. Plot (d) shows that singular vortices make a triangular lattice below the boojum s (-phase).

singular vortex m ay split at a boojum (n-boojum) to n continuous vortices with the same circulation 2 =n. W hen n ! 1, vorticity becomes uniform (v_e ! v_{solid} , ! $_e$! 2) above the lattice of 1-boojum s, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Such a topological structure of vorticity with 1-boojum s should generally appear on a boundary between one medium with singular vorticity and the other with a uniform vorticity. One example is the interface between a type-II superconductor and a norm almedia under a magnetic eld perpendicular to the interface, where 1-boojum s of magnetic ux should appear.

It is useful to understand the topological structure of vorticity of a boojum to consider its analogy to a D irac monopole. W hen we consider the vorticity $!_e$ as the magnetic ux density B, a D irac monopole and a string respectively correspond to a boojum and a singular vortex.⁴ However, the radiation of $!_e$ from a boojum is different from that of B from a D irac monopole, as shown in Figs. 3 (b) and 3 (c).

The pseudospin representation¹⁴ provides insights for understanding the similarity between two-component BEC and super uid ³He.We consider a spin-1=2 BEC for the following spinor = $[1; 2]^{T} = T$ as the




Fig. 3. (a) Lattice of 1 -boojums. (b) Vorticity distribution around boojum. (c) Magnetic ux distribution around Dirac monopole.

order param eter

Letter

$$_{\rm T} = {}^{\rm p} \frac{{}^{\rm p} {}_{\rm T} {}^{\rm e^{i_{\rm T}} = 2}}; \qquad (4)$$

$$\cos(\#=2)e^{\frac{1}{2}}=2$$
; (5)
 $\sin(\#=2)e^{i'=2}$;

where $n_T = n_1 + n_2$, T = 1 + 2, $\cos \# = (n_1 - n_2) = (n_1 + n_2)$, and ' = 2. The parameters # and ' refer to the polar and azim uthal angles of the local pseudospin s which is de ned as $s = y = \cos \# 2 + \sin \# (\cos' x + \sin' y)$, where is the Paulim atrix and $s^2 = 1$. From these relations, the elective velocity v_e of eq. (2) and its vorticity $!_e$ are

$$v_{e} = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{{}^{y}r \qquad r \qquad {}^{y}}{{}^{y}} = \frac{1}{2}r \qquad {}^{T} \qquad \frac{1}{2}cos \# r ';$$
 (6)

$$!_{e} = \frac{1}{2} r r_{T}$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \cos \# r r' + \frac{1}{2} (r \#) (\sin \# r'):$$
(7)

The rst and second term s in eq. (7) vanish except at the vortex cores. The scalar product $[(r \#) (\sin \# r ')]$ dS is equal to the in nitesim al solid angle d covered by the s orientations within the in nitesim al plane dS. As a result, the third term can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2}(r \#) \quad (\sin \# r ') = \frac{1}{4} e_{ijk} s_i r s_j r s_k q(r); \quad (8)$$

which is connected to the M erm in-H o relation for the s texture.¹⁶ F igure 4 shows the pseudospin texture and the values of \prime in cross sections perpendicular to 2. In the

-phase (Fig. 4 (a)), the rst and second terms cancel, leading to $!_e = q(r)$. Thus, the circulation of eq.(3) requires that all points on the surface of a unit hem isphere, drawn with s as the radius vector, are covered by the s orientations within a W igner-Seitz cell in Fig. 2 (b), as observed in Fig. 4 (d). The pseudospin texture in the phase is similar to the texture of the order parameter 1 in the structure of a locked vortex (LV1) in a rotating super uid ³He-A.¹⁷ W hen we approach the interface, spin dom ains are form ed with a dom ain wall that connects two pairs of up-spin and down-spin (pairs of the original vortices), as shown in Figs. 4 (b) and 4 (e). A boo jum appears at the point where the up-spin and down-spin annihilate. This annihilation rem oves dom ain walls, thus




Fig. 4. Textures of pseudospin s and distribution of ' (the azim uthal angles of s) in cross sections perpendicular to 2. (a) and (d) Cross sections at z=10.8. (b) and (e) Cross sections at z = 0.4. (c) Cross section at z = 11.2. Red and blue points show the positions of down-spin and up-spin at the vortex cores of com ponents 1 and 2, respectively. (d) and (e) are m agni ed im ages of (a) (rotated by 45) and (b), respectively. The dom ain walls are shown as pink dotted lines in (b). The four squares in (d) are the four W igner-Seitz cells in Fig. 2 (b).

m aking the dom ains larger. In the -phase, the spin dom ain walls vanish, which m eans that s becomes uniform and lies in the x-y plane; = const. Thus, the -phase is described only by $_{\rm T}$, which behaves similar to the order parameter of a one-component BEC such as super uid $^{3}{\rm HeB}$.

In conclusion, we have num erically simulated boo jums in two-component atom ic BEC sby making the intercom – ponent and intracom ponent coupling constants spatially dependent. The structure of the boo jums is analyzed by introducing e ective velocity and pseudospin representation. In general, boo jum s should appear in rotating twocomponent BECs with the intercomponent interaction repulsive in one phase and attractive in the other. It is experimentally possible to control the interaction param – eters by the Feshbach resonance tuned with a spatially dependent external eld. Hence, we expect that boo jum s could be observed in two-component BECs. Studies on the dynamics of this system and BEC systems of more than two components are now in progress and will be reported elsewhere.

W e acknowledge G.E.Volovik and R.Hanninen for useful discussions.MT acknowledges the support of research grants from the Japan Society for the P romotion of Science (G rant No.15340122).

- Topological D effects and the N on-Equilibrium D ynam ics of Sym m etry B reaking P hase T ransitions, eds.Y M .B unkov and H.G odfrin, NATO Science Series C 549, (K luwer A cad.Publ, D ordrecht, 2000)
- 2) K.Kasam atsu, M.T subota and M.Ueda: Int.J.M od.Phys. 19 (2005) 1835.
- D.Vollhardt and P.W ol e: The Super uid Phases of Helium
 (Taylor & Francis, London, 1990).
- 4) G.E.Volovik: The Universe in a Helium D roplet (C larendon P ress, O xford, 2003).
- N.D.Mermin: in Quantum Fluids and Solids, eds.S.B. Trickey, E.D.Adams and J.W.Dufty (Plenum, New York, 1977), p.3.
- 6) R.B. Hauw geers, V.B.E. Ltsov, G.E. ska, A.P.F inne et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 155301.
- 7) R.Hanninen, R.B laauw geers, V.B.Eltsov, A.P.Finne, M. Krusius, E.V. Thuneberg and G.E.Volovik: Phys.Rev.Lett. 90 (2003) 225301.
- 8) I.K raus and R.B.M eyer: Phys.Rev.Lett. 82 (1999) 3815.
- 9) S.Y.Park and D.Stroud: Phys.Rev.Lett.94 (2005) 217401.
- 10) S.R iviere and J.M eunier: Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 2495.
- 11) K.Kasam atsu, M.T subota and M.Ueda: Phys.Rev.Lett.91 (2003) 150406.
- 12) V.Schweikhard, I.Coddington, P.Engels, S.Tung and E.A. Cornell: Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 210403.
- 13) E rich J.M ueller and T in-Lun Ho:Phys.Rev.Lett.88 (2002) 180403.
- 14) K.Kasamatsu, M.Tsubota and M.Ueda: Phys.Rev.A 71 (2005) 043611.
- 15) In Fig.2(b), the straight line on every segm ent of the boundary of the W igner-Seitz cell is a line of antisym m etry between n_1 and n_2 . Thus, $n_1 = n_2$ on the boundary.
- 16) N.D.Merm in and T.L.Ho:Phys.Rev.Lett.36 (1976) 594-597.
- 17) U.Parts, J.M.Karimaki, J.H.Koivuniemi, M.Krusius, V. M.H.Ruutu, E.V.Thuneberg and G.E.Volovik: Phys.Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3320-3323.