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#### Abstract

$B$ ased on the $m$ ethod of collective variables we develop the statistical eld theory for the study of a sim ple charge-asym metric 1 : $z$ prim titive $m$ odel ( $S P M$ ). It is show $n$ that the well-known approxim ations for the free energy, in particular DHLL and ORPA, can be obtained w ithin the fram ew ork of this theory. In order to study the gas-liquid critical point of SPM we propose the $m$ ethod for the calculation of chem ical potential con jugate to the total number density which allow s us to take into account the higher order uctuation e ects. A s a result, the gas-liquid phase diagram $s$ are calculated for $z=2$ 4. T he results dem onstrate the qualitative agreem ent $w$ ith M C sim ulation data: critical tem perature decreases when $z$ increases and critical density increases rapidly w th z .


## I. INTRODUCTION

Besides being of fundam ental interest, ionic systems including electrolyte solutions, $m$ olten salts and ionic liquids deserve great attention from practical point of view. For exam ple, new ionic liquids w ith very low vapor pressure may nd applications in environ$m$ entally clean industry. O ver the last ten years the phase and critical behavior of ionic uids has been a sub ject of intense research. For review s of experim ental and theoretical
 of ionic system s can be described by the prim tive model (PM) consisting of a m ixture of $m$ species of charged hard spheres. A two-com ponent $m$ ixture of positive $q$ and negative $z q$ charges having all the sam e diam eter is a simple charge-asym m etric PM (SPM). In
 established that the R PM has a gas-liquid (G L) -like criticalpoint. A reasonable theoretical description of the GL critical point of the RPM was accom plished at a m ean-eld (M F)
 last decade num erous sim ulation studies have been devoted to the location of G L phase transition of this m odel $[1$ estim ations tum out to be near $T_{c}=0: 049,{ }_{c}=0: 08 \mathrm{w}$ hen the temperature T and the density are in standard dim ensionless units. D ue to controversial experim ental ndings,
 strong evidence for an Ising universal class has been found by recent sim ulations $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 9,1 \overline{2}$


In spite of signi cant progress in this eld, ionic system $s$ are far from being com pletely understood. The investigation ofm ore com plex models is very im portant in understanding the nature of critical and phase behavior of real ionic uids which dem onstrate both the charge and size asym $m$ etry as well as other com plexities such as short-range attraction. T he studies of the e ects of charge-asym $m$ etry on the phase diagram have been recently started
 M onte C arlo (MC) sim ulations show that SPM exhibits a G L-like phase transition, speci cally $T_{c}(z)$ decreases $w$ th the increase of $z$ while ${ }_{c}(z)$ rapidly increases. U nfortunately, the results obtained w ithin the fram ew ork ofboth the originald H theory and the $m$ ean spherical approxim ation ( $M$ SA) are independent of $z$. T he results for the $z$-dependence of the
critical tem perature, obtained w ithin the fram ew ork of the eld-theoretical analysis $[\overline{1} 2 \overline{2}, ~!1$ contradict the sim ulations displaying the increase oftem perature with z. On the other hand, the recent results found based on the D H theory incorporated B jerrnum ion pairs and their
 the decrease of $T_{c}$ w ith charge asym $m$ etry for $z=13$ which agrees $w$ ith M C data. This


T hem otivation of this paper is to check the above statem ent by m eans of the theory that exploites the m ethod of collective variables (CV s) [3̄-1] . O ur aim is to answ er the question : is the theory, which does not include the e ects of association explicitely in the H am iltonian, capable of correctly describing, at least on the qualitative level, the G L-like phase diagram of SPM ? To this end, we develop a theoretical approach whidh is based on the functional representation of con gurational Boltzm ann factor in term sof $\mathrm{S} V$ s. For a general case of a two-com ponent $m$ odelw hich includes both the short-and long-range interactions we derive an exact expression for the functional integral of the grand partition function (GPF). The CV action obtained depends upon the two sets ofCV s: f ${ }_{k} g$ (and conjugate $f!_{k} g$ ) and fQ $g$ (and conjugate $f \mathrm{k} g$ ) describing the total num ber density and charge density uctuations, respectively. We start w th the saddle point (or M F ) solutions (and !) and Q (and ), and then we expand the CV action functionally around the M F solutions. As a result, we obtain an in nite expansion in term sofCVs $k$ and $Q_{k}$ (or $!_{k}$ and $k_{k}$ ). For SPM, based on the G PF in the G aussian approxim ation, w e propose a m ethod for the calculation of chem icalpotential con jugate to the totalnum ber density. T he m ethod allow s us to take into account the higher order uctuation e ects and consists in solving the equations for chem ical potentials by $m$ eans of successive approxim ations that correspond to the contributions of the higher-order correlations. Its initial idea to som e extent resem bles the idea sketched out by H ubbard in ${ }_{[=1 \overline{3} \overline{1}}$ ].

It should be noted that the CV s were rst introduced in the 1950s $\left[\begin{array}{ll}10 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ on their basis the so-called CVs m ethod was developed $[\overline{3} \overline{\bar{\sigma}}, 1,1 \bar{O} \overline{1}] . \mathrm{N}$ early at that tim e, other functional approaches based on the Stratonovich $H$ ubbard transform ation w ere originated. A s w as show $n$ recently [ī2]] both groups of theories are in close relation.

The layout of the paper is as follow s. In Section 2, starting from the H am iltonian of an asym $m$ etric tw o-com ponent $m$ odel $w$ ith long-and short-range interactions, we derive the exact functional representation of the GPF.W e obtain an explicit expression for free energy
of SPM in the random phase approxim ation ( RPA ) that leads to the well-known results, i.e. the D H lim titing law (D H LL) for the point charge particles and the free energy in the optim ized random phase approxim ation (ORPA) (or M SA) for SPM. In Section 3 we study the G L criticalpoint of SPM taking into account the correlation e ects of higher order. T he results obtained dem onstrate qualitative agreem ent w ith the M C simulation data for both the critical tem perature and the critical density. W e conclude in Section 4.
II. FUNCTIONALREPRESENTATION OF THE GRAND PARTITION FUNC-TIONOFATWO-COMPONENTIONICMODELINTHEMETHODOFCVS

Let us consider a general case of a classical tw o-com ponent system consisting of N particles am ong which there exist $N_{1}$ particles of species 1 and $N_{2}$ particles of species 2. The pair interaction potential is assum ed to be of the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \quad(r)=\mathrm{HS}(r)+C(r)+\mathrm{SR}^{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{r}) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\text {H S }}(r)$ is the interaction potentialbetw een the tw o additive hard spheres ofdiam eters
and . We call the two-com ponent hard sphere system a reference system (RS). Therm odynam ic and structural properties of RS are assum ed to be known. $C$ ( $r$ ) is the Coulom b potential: ${ }^{C}(r)=q q^{C}(r)$,where ${ }^{C}(r)=1=(D r), D$ is the dielectric constant, hereafter we put $D=1$. The solution is $m$ ade ofboth positive and negative ions so that the electroneutrality is satis ed, ${ }^{P}{ }_{2}{ }_{=1} q C=0$, and $C$ is the concentration of the species, $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$. The ions of the species $=1$ are characterized by their hard sphere diam eter 11 and their electrostatic charge $+q_{0}$ and those of species $=2$, characterized by diam eter 22 , bear opposite charge $Z G_{0}\left(G_{0}\right.$ is elem entary charge and $z$ is the param eter of charge asym m etry). ${ }^{S R}(r)$ is the potentialofthe short-range interaction: $S^{R}(r)=R^{R}(r)+{ }^{A}(r)$, Where ${ }^{R}(r)$ is used to $m$ im ic the soft core asym $m$ etric repulsive interaction, $R$ ( $r$ ) is assum ed to have a Fourier transform ; A (r) describes a van der W aals-like attraction.

W e consider the grand partition function (GPF) of the system whidh can be w rilten as follow s:

H ere the follow ing notations are used: is the dim ensionless chem ical potential, = $3 \ln$, is the chem icalpotential of the th species, is the reciprocaltem perature,
${ }^{1}=\left(2 \mathrm{~m} \quad{ }^{1}=h^{2}\right)^{1=2}$ is the inverse de B roglie therm al wavelength; ( d ) is the elem ent of con gurational space of the particles.

Let us introduce operators $\hat{k}_{k}$ and $\hat{Q_{k}}$

$$
\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{X} \hat{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad \hat{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{q}} \hat{k} ; \text {; }
$$

which are com binations of the Fourier transform s of the $m$ icroscopic num ber density of the species $: \hat{k}_{k}={ }^{P}$ i $\exp \left(i k r_{i}\right)$. In this case a part of the Boltzm ann factor in ( $\mathbf{Z}_{-1}$ ) which does not include the RS interaction can be presented as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\sim_{Q Q} \hat{Q}_{k} \hat{Q}_{k}+2^{\sim}{ }_{N Q} \hat{k}_{k} \hat{Q}_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{2}^{X} \quad N \quad{ }_{k} \quad\left(\sim S R(k)+q^{2 \sim C}(k)\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim_{N N}(k)=\frac{1}{(1+z)^{2}} z^{2} \underset{11}{\sim S R}(k)+2 z_{12}^{\sim S R}(k)+\underset{22}{\sim S R}(k)^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{N Q}(k)=\frac{1}{(1+z)^{2}} \quad z_{11}^{\sim S R}(k)+(1 \quad z)^{\sim S R}(k) \underset{12}{\sim S R}(k)^{i} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and we use the notations $\sim x:::(k)=\bar{v}^{\sim x}:::(k)$ w ith $\sim X:::(k)$ being a Fourier transform of the corresponding interaction potential.

In order to introduce the collective variables (CVs) we use the identity
where ${ }_{F}\left[{ }^{\wedge}\right]$ denotes the functional delta function
$k=\underset{k}{c} \quad i_{k}^{s}\left(k={ }_{k} ; Q_{k}\right)$ is the collective variable and

$$
(d)=d_{0}^{Y} d_{k \notin 0}^{c} d_{k}^{s} ; \quad(d)=d_{0}^{Y} d_{k \notin 0}^{c} d_{k}^{s}:
$$

$T$ he indices $c$ and $s$ denote real and im aginary parts of $k(k)$, respectively, the product over $k$ is perform ed in the upper sem i-space.

Taking into account (
Z
[ ] = (d ) (dQ) (d!) (d ) exp ( $\mathrm{H}[$; ; ; ; ; ] ) ;
where the CV action $H$ is as follow s:

In (1, 구) CVS $k$ and $Q_{k}$ describe uctuations of the total num ber density and charge density, respectively. н s [ ; i! ; iq ] is the GPF of a two-com ponent system of hard spheres w ith the renorm alized chem ical potential in the presence of the local eld ( $r_{\mathrm{i}}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(r_{i}\right) \text {; } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
=+\frac{1}{2}_{k}^{X} \sim S R(k)+{\frac{q^{2}}{2}}_{k}^{X} \sim c^{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{k}) ; \\
\left(r_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\text { i! }\left(r_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\text { iq } \quad\left(r_{\mathrm{i}}\right): \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

a. M ean- eld approxim ation. The M F approxim ation of functional ( $(\underset{\bar{\sigma}}{\mathbf{1}})$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { MF [ ] }=\exp (\mathrm{H}[\text {; ;Q;!; ]); } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $, Q,!$ and are the solutions of the saddle point equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\mathrm{hN}[\text {; i! ; iq }] \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{fr}} \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{Q}=0 \text {; } \\
& !=i \sim_{\mathrm{NN}}(0) ; \quad=\quad \sim_{\sim_{\mathrm{N}}}(0) \text { : } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\text { MF }[\quad]=\exp \overline{2}^{2}{\underset{N N}{N}}^{(0)} \operatorname{HSS}^{i}[\text { i! ; iq }]:
$$

The Legendre transform of $\ln$ MF gives the free energy in the $M F$ approxim ation

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{M F}=\frac{F_{M F}}{V}=f_{H S}() \frac{X}{2 V} \quad X \quad{ }^{V} \quad \sim R(k) \\
& \overline{2 V}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\sim} \sim^{(\mathrm{k})+\overline{2}_{2}^{2} \sim_{N N}(0): ~} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is worth noting that for ${ }^{S R}(r)=0$ we arrive at the free energy of $S P M$ in a zero-loop approxim ation [4̄3̄].
b. B eyond the MF approxim ation. First we present $C V S_{k}$ and $Q_{k}\left(!_{k}\right.$ and $\left.k\right)$ as

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k} \boldsymbol{i} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}} \boldsymbol{i} \\
!_{\mathrm{k}}=!_{\mathrm{k}}+!_{\mathrm{k}} \boldsymbol{i} \quad \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} ;
\end{array}
$$

where the quantaties $w$ ith a bar are given by (1-1) and $k$ is the $K$ ronecker sym bol.

where $M{ }_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\left(k_{1} ;:: ; k_{n}\right)$ is the $n$th cum ulant de ned by

The expressions for the cum ulants (for n 4) are given in A ppendix A.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[]=M_{F}[]^{0}(d \quad)(d Q) \exp \frac{1}{2!}_{k}^{X} \llbracket_{N N}(; k) \quad k \quad k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\left(\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}(; \quad ; \mathrm{Q}) \text { : }  \tag{16}\\
& \text { n } 3 i_{n} 0
\end{align*}
$$

It is worth noting that the above expression is of the sam e form as that obtained w ithin the
 a function of full chem icalpotentials, rather than just a function of their m ean eld parts.
A. G aussian approxim ation. A tw o-com ponent prim itive model (P M )
 term swith n $2\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)} \quad 0\right)$, we have $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{AB}}(; \mathrm{k})=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{AB}}(\quad ; \mathrm{k})(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Q})$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NN}}=\sim_{\mathrm{NN}_{\mathrm{N}}}(\mathrm{k})+1=\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(0)}(; \mathrm{k}) ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{Q Q}=\sim_{Q Q}(\mathrm{k})+1=\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(2)}() ; \\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NQ} Q}=\sim_{N_{Q}}(\mathrm{k}): \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

$C_{N N}(k), C_{Q Q}(k)$ and $C_{N Q}(k)$ are the Fourier transform softhe density-density, charge-charge and charge-density direct correlation functions, respectively. It isworth noting that M ${ }_{2}^{(2)}$ ( ) does not depend on $k$ (see Appendix A). A fter integrating in ( $\overline{\mathbf{1}} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) over k and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ taking into account $\left(\underline{1}_{1} \overline{\underline{T}}_{1}\right)$ we arrive at GPF in the $G$ aussian approxim ation.

Let us consider a tw o-com ponent sim ple prim titive m odel (SPM ) 畒3̄] consisting of charged hard spheres of the sam e diam eter $(11=22=)$ with $\sim \operatorname{SR}^{(k)}=0$ which di er by their respective charges $(z \in 1) . W$ e have for $\operatorname{SPM}$ (see $\left(\frac{\overline{4}}{\overline{1}}\right)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{N_{N}}(k)=0 ; \quad \sim_{N Q}(k)=0 ; \quad \sim_{Q Q}(k)=\sim^{C}(k): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

 a direct pair interaction of num ber density uctuations. Integration over $k$ and $!_{k}$ in
 H ubbard-Stratonovich transform ation. Starting from this expression the free energy of SP M
 $z$ dependence of critical tem perature calculated $w$ ithin the fram ew ork of this approxim ation disagrees w ith the results obtained by M C sim ulations. Below we propose an altemate way of taking into account the uctuation e ects near the G L critical point.

Expressions ( $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, under conditions ( $(\overline{1} \overline{\mathcal{G}})$ ), are reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NN}}=1=\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(0)}(; \mathrm{k}) ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{Q Q}=\sim \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{k})+1=\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(2)}() ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{NQ}} \quad 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the logarithm of GPF in the G aussian approxim ation is as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln G_{G}()=\ln _{H S}() \quad \frac{1}{2}_{k}^{X} \ln 1+\sim C^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{M}_{2}^{(2)}()^{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter the Legendre transform of $\ln _{G}(\quad)$ we obtain the well-known expression (see e.g. [4̄3̄1]) for the free energy in RPA
where $f_{M F}$ has the form ( num ber. It is worth noting that a use of $m$ om entum cuto $k j=2=a$ in (2̄11) leads to
 energy in the DHLL approxim ation $f_{\text {DHLL }}=f_{i d} \frac{D^{3}}{12}$. U sing the optim ized regularization of the C oulom b potential inside the hard core [īin ${ }_{1}$ ] we arrive at the free energy in ORPA (or M SA).

A $s$ is seen, $f_{R P A}$ does not explicitely depend on the charge asym metry factor $z$. The sam e is true forM SA and the D H theories. The detailed analysis of the G L phase equilibrium using ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ and di erent regularizations of the C oulom b potential inside the hard core was
 the higher order approxim ations.

Below we study the GL phase diagram of SPM $s$ when uctuation e ects of the order higher than the second order are taken into account.
III. GAS-LIQUIDCRITICALPOINTOFTHEPRIMITIVEMODELS (PMS)OF ION IC FLU DS

Let us consider equation ( $(\underline{2} \overline{-})$ ). Introducing $n$ and $Q$

$$
{ }_{N}=\frac{z}{1+z}{ }_{1}+\frac{1}{1+z}{ }_{2} ; \quad Q=\frac{1}{\oint_{( }(1+z)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we can present $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ as follow s:
where new chem ical potentials $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $Q$ (see (2̄2̄)) are conjugate to the total number density and charge density, respectively. Since near the G L critical point the uctuations of the num ber density play a crucial role, N is of special interest in this study.

We present ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\&$ as

$$
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}={ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}+{ }_{\mathrm{N}} ; \quad Q={ }_{Q}^{0}+\quad Q \text {; }
$$

with ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}$ and $\stackrel{0}{Q}_{0}$ being the MF values of N and $Q$, respectively and ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $Q$ being
the solutions of the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ \ln G\left({ }_{N} ; Q\right)}{@ @}=h N i ;  \tag{24}\\
& \frac{@ \ln G_{i}\left({ }_{N} ; Q\right)}{@}=0: \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding $(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ in powers of $N$ and $\quad$ w we obtain
where

The expressions for the coe cients $M \underset{n}{\left(i_{n}\right)}$ are given in Appendix B ．
W e solve equations $(\underline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4})-\left(\overline{2} \overline{5} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ approxim ately taking into acoount（ $\left.\overline{2} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$ and keeping term $s$ of a certain order in param eter．The procedure is as follow s．First，we calculate \＆ from（2̄⿹\zh26工力）in the approxim ation which corresponds to a certain order of e．g．，order s． Then，we substitute this $\varepsilon$ into equation（2 $\overline{2} \overline{4})$ in order to nd $N$ in the approxim ation corresponding to ${ }^{s+1}$ ．In $(\underline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4})$ we take into account only the linear term $s w$ ith respect to

N keeping term $\mathrm{s} w$ th allpowers of Q w thin a given approxim ation in term s of ．
A $s$ is readily seen，the rst nontrivial solution for $N$ is obtained in the approxim ation of the rst order of．It is the result of substitution in $(\overline{2} \overline{4})$ of the solution $e=0$ ．As a result，we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}={\frac{\mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)} \mathrm{X}}{2 \mathrm{M}_{2}^{(0)}} \mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{k}) ; ~ ; ~ ; ~}^{\text {; }} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(k)=\frac{\sim C^{\sim}(k)}{1+\sim^{c}(k) M_{2}^{(2)}}=\frac{1}{V} \frac{\sim C(k)}{1+{\underset{D}{2}(k)}_{\sim}^{\sim}(,} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\underline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)-(\underline{2} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ can be rew rilten as（see A ppendix C）

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{1}{2 N}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{{\underset{D}{\mathrm{D}}}_{\sim}^{\sim C}(\mathrm{k})}{1+{\underset{D}{2}}_{\sim}^{\sim}(\mathrm{k})} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to RPA．A swasmentioned above，$n$ given by $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{9})$ does not depend on charge asym $m$ etry factor $z$ ．In order to obtain the $z$－dependent expression for the chem ical
potential related to the num ber density uctuations we should consider the next approxi$m$ ation in for $N$.

In order to obtain $N_{N}$ in the approxim ation corresponding to ${ }^{2}$ we substitute in ( $\left.2 \overline{4} \overline{4}\right)$ the solution $\quad$ a follow (see A ppendix C):
which is found from (2َئ) in the rst approxim ation of . Taking into account only a linear term w ith respect to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{N}= & \frac{1}{M_{2}^{(0)}} \frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)}+\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{M}_{4}^{(3)} Q \\
& +\frac{1}{2} M_{3}^{(2)}{ }_{Q}^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} M_{4}^{(3)}{ }_{Q}^{3}: \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider ( $3 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1)$ in detail. The correlation e ects of the order higher than the second order enter the equation through the cum ulants $M{ }_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}$ forn 3 and $i_{n} \in 0$. The appearence of these cum ulants re ects the fact that the term sproportional to ! ${ }^{2}$, ${ }^{3}$ and ! ${ }^{3}$ are taking into account in the cum ulant expansion (1̄4) (n 4). Recall that $!_{k}$ and $k$ are con jugate to the CVs $k$ and $Q_{k}$ describing the total num ber density and charge density uctuations, respectively. This m eans that in order to determ ine ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ we take into account in (114), besides the term s of the second order, the contribution corresponding to the pure charge density uctuations for $n=3\left(M_{3}^{(3)}\right)$ and the contributions corresponding to the correlations between charge density and total num ber density uctuations for n $4 M_{3}^{(2)}$ and $M{ }_{4}^{(3)}$ ) which are linear in $!_{k}$. Therefore, the analysis of ( $\left.\overline{3} 1 \overline{1}\right)$ ) establishes a link betw een the approxim ations considered above (in term sof ) and the approxim ations form ulated in term sof V s.

A nother im portant issue to be discussed is the lim itting case $z=1$ that cooresponds to RPM. For $z=1$ only the rst term survives in ( conditions $M_{n}^{(3)} 0$ and $e_{Q}=0$ hold simultaneously (they are equivalent for the RPM) due to the $m$ odelsym $m$ etry (sef form ulas in appendixes A and C). A s a result, we arrive at the expression for the chem icalpotential ofRPM in RPA [3ָ3 we set solely $Q=0$ in ( $\left.\underline{z}_{-1}^{1} \overline{1}\right)$ we obtain $\left(\underline{2}_{-1} \overline{-1}\right)$ which also corresponds to RPA and is valid for $z \in 1$. The latter re ects the fact that the correlations between uctuations of the charge density and the totalnum ber density are not taken into account at the RPA level. Therefore,
for $Q=0$ all the term $s$, except the rst one, in ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ becom e equal to zero and we get the expressions for the chem ical potential conjugate to the total num ber density in the same approxim ation for the both $m$ odels. The equation ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ is obtained in the approxim ation when only the liner term $s$ w th respect to ! in the cum ulant expansion ( $(\overline{1} \overline{4})$ are taken into account. H ow ever, for $z=1$ these contributions are equal to zero. This $m$ eans that the approxim ation considered in this paper for SPM does not have an analogy for RPM. In order to include the uctuations in the sim plest model (RPM) the higher order term s should be taken into account. This issue was considered in $[\underline{4} \overline{8} \overline{1}]$ w here a good agreem ent for the critical tem perature was obtained.

B ased on ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1})$ ) the coexistence curves for SPM for di emt values of $z$ can be calculated. It is worth noting that the regularization of the potential ${ }^{c}$ ( r ) inside the hard core is arbitrary to som e extent. For exam ple, di erent regularizations for the C oulom b potential
 the best estim ation for the critical tem perature is achieved for the optim ized regularization [ $4 \overline{4} \overline{-1} \overline{-1}]$ that leads to the O RPA (MSA). H ow ever, this approxim ation does not work properly in the higher orders of the perturbation theory [B]i]. In this study we use the $W$ eeks-C handlerA ndersen (W CA) regularization [4] produces rapid convergence of the series of the perturbation theory for the free energy. For the W CA, the Fourier transform of ${ }^{c}(r)$ is of the form ${ }^{c}(x)=\sin (x)=x^{3} w$ th $x=k$. As a result, ( $\operatorname{Sh}_{-1}^{1}$ ) can be w ritten as (see A ppendix C )

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{N}=\frac{i_{1}}{-} 1+\frac{i_{1}(1 z)^{2}}{2 z} 1 \frac{i_{1}(1 \quad z)^{2}}{3 z}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

In ( 3 (32 $2 \overline{1})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{1}=\frac{1}{T}_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{x^{2} \sin x d x}{x^{3}+{ }^{2} \sin x} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $=\mathrm{D}$ being the reduced D ebye num ber. H ereafter the standard notations are introduced for the tem perature and the density: $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}}{2 q_{0}^{2}},={ }^{3}$.

Finally, we get the expression for the fiull chem ical potential ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ conjugate to the total num ber density

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N} \quad 3 \ln =\mathrm{ln}+\frac{\left(89+3^{3}\right)}{(1)^{3}}+\frac{\mathrm{z}}{1+\mathrm{z}} \ln \mathrm{z} \ln (1+\mathrm{z}) \\
& \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~T}}+{ }_{\mathrm{N}} ; \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$



F IG . 1: C oexistence curves of the ( $1: z$ ) charge-asym $m$ etric ionic model.
where $N$ is given in $(\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})-(\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ and $=\quad=6$. In $(\overline{3} \overline{4})$ the C amahan-Starling approxim ation for the hard sphere system is used.

Fig. 3 show s the coexistence curves for $z=2 \quad 4$ calculated based on the isotherm $s$ of chem ical potential ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$ supplem ented $w$ ith the $M$ axw ell construction. The estim ations for the critical point are as follow s:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{z}=2: & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 12310 ; & { }_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 00946 \\
\mathrm{z}=3: & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 11313 ; & { }_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 02740 \\
\mathrm{z}=4: & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 10030 ; & { }_{\mathrm{c}}=0: 04501:
\end{array}
$$

$T$ hese results dem onstrate the qualitative agreem ent $w$ ith the $\mathrm{M} C$ data: the criticaltem perature decreases w hen $z$ increases and the critical density increases rapidly $w$ ith $z$. M oreover, a com parison of the coexistence curve form $s$ for $z=2$ and $z=3$ (results for the coexistence curve for $z=4$ are not available by now ) w ith the D HBJCINC theory indicates their sim ilarity. It is also worth noting that the above data for the critical tem perature lie about in the sam e region as those obtained in [3]3̄] w ithin the fram ew ork of the two-loop expansion.

IV . CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have studied the G L phase behaviour of a charge asym $m$ etric prim itive ionic model. For this purpose we have derived the exact expression for the fiunctionalofG P F of a two-com ponent asym $m$ etric ionic $m$ odelwhich includes both the short-and long-range interactions am ong charged hard spheres. W e have shown that the well-known approxi$m$ ations for the free energy, in particular D H LL and ORPA, can be reproduced w ithin the fram ew ork of this approach. On the other hand, the GPF functional can be reduced to the form found in the K SSHE theory $\left[\overline{4} \frac{\overline{1}}{1}\right]$. This $m$ eans that the eld-theoretical analysis of the expression for G P F given by ( $(\overline{\bar{\sigma}})-\left(\bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ has to lead in the two-loop approxim ation to the sam e z-dependence for the critical tem peratures as in [3]ī1] whidh does not agree w ith the M C sim ulations. Here, we have proposed an altemative $m$ ethod for the study of the $G L$ phase equilibria in SPM. It consists in the calculation of the chem ical potential ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ conjugate to the total num ber density by $m$ eans of successive approxim ations.

In conclusion, we have obtained the expression for the chem ical potential N in whidh the e ects of indirect correlations betw een the num ber density uctuations are taken into consideration via a charge subsystem. B ased on this expression supplem ented with the $M$ axwell constraction the coexistence curves for $z=2 \quad 4$ have been calculated. The $z-$ dependences obtained for both the critical tem perature and the critical density qualitatively agree w ith M C simulations. T he results dem onstrate that the term $s$ responsible for the charged clustering need not be included into the H am ittonian explicitely in order to describe, at least qualitatively, the G L phase diagram of SP M. Instead, the interaction betw een charge and density uctuations should be properly taken into account. To achieve a quantitative agreem ent, the higher order term s should be taken into consideration in the CV action. This task w ill be considered elsew here.
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A ppendix A: R ecurrence form ulas for the cum ulants in the Fourier space representation

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}^{(0)}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ;::: ; k_{n}\right)=\mathcal{E}_{n}\left(k_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)  \tag{35}\\
& M_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=0  \tag{36}\\
& M_{n}^{(2)}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ;::: ; k_{n}\right)={ }^{X} \quad q^{2} c \mathcal{F}_{n}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ;::: ; k_{n} 1+k_{n}\right\rangle  \tag{37}\\
& M_{n}^{(3)}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ;::: ; k_{n}\right)={ }^{X} \quad q^{3} c \mathcal{G}_{n}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n} 2}+k_{n_{1}}+k_{n}\right) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ is the Fourier transform of the n -particle truncated correlation


A ppendix B: Explicit expressions for $M{ }_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}^{(0)}=M_{1}^{(0)}+\frac{3}{2} M_{3}^{(2)} X^{\prime} g(k) \text {; } \\
& M_{1}^{(1)}=\frac{3}{2} M_{3}^{(3)}{ }_{k}^{X} g(k) \text {; } \\
& M_{2}^{(0)}={ }^{2} M_{2}^{(0)}+\frac{4}{2} M_{4}^{(2)} X_{k} g(k)+\frac{6 h}{2} M_{3}^{(2)} i_{k} X g^{2}(k) ; \\
& M_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{4}{2} M_{4}^{(3)} X_{k} \quad g(k)+\frac{6}{2} M{ }_{3}^{(2)} M{ }_{3}^{(3)} X \quad g^{2}(k) \text {; } \\
& M_{2}^{(2)}={ }^{2} M_{2}^{(2)}+\frac{{ }_{2}^{4}}{2} M_{4}^{(4)} X_{k} g(k)+\frac{6 h^{k}}{2} M_{3}^{(3)} i_{k} X g^{2}(k) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M{ }_{3}^{(0)}={ }^{3} M_{3}^{(0)}+\frac{5}{2} M_{5}^{(2)}{ }_{k}^{X} g(k)+\frac{3^{7}}{2} M_{3}^{(2)} M_{4}^{(2)}{ }_{k}^{X} g^{2}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M{ }_{3}^{(1)}=\frac{5}{2} M_{5}^{(2)}{ }_{k}{ }_{k} g(k)+\frac{7}{2}{ }^{k} M_{3}^{(3)} M_{4}^{(2)}+M{ }_{3}^{(2)} M_{4}^{(3)}{ }_{k}^{i X} g^{2}(k) \\
& +9^{h} M_{3}{ }^{k}{ }^{(2)} i_{2} M_{3}^{(3)} X g^{3}(k) \text {; } \\
& M_{3}^{(2)}={ }^{3} M_{3}^{(2)}+\frac{5}{2} M_{5}^{(4)}{ }^{k}{ }_{k}^{k} g(k)+\frac{7}{2} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)} M_{4}^{(4)}+2 M{ }_{3}^{(3)}\left({ }_{N}^{0} ;{ }_{Q}^{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{3}^{(3)}={ }^{3} M_{3}^{(3)}+\frac{5}{2} M_{5}^{(5)}{ }^{X}{ }_{k} g(k)+\frac{3^{7}}{2} M_{3}^{(3)} M_{4}^{(4)}{ }_{k}^{X} g^{2}(k) \\
& +9^{h} M_{3}^{(3)} i^{i_{3} X} \quad g^{k}(k) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M{ }_{4}^{(0)}={ }^{4} M{ }_{4}^{(0)}+\frac{6}{2} M{ }_{6}^{(2)} \mathrm{X} \quad g(k)+\frac{8}{2} 3 M_{4}^{(2)}{ }^{i_{2}}+4 M_{3}^{(2)} M{ }_{5}^{(2)} \\
& \text { X } \quad g^{2}(k)+6^{10} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }_{(2)}^{i_{2}} \mathrm{M}_{4}^{(2)} \mathrm{X} \quad g^{3}(\mathrm{k})+3^{12} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)}{ }^{i_{4}} \\
& X^{k} \quad k \\
& g^{4}(k) ; \\
& M{ }_{4}^{(1)}=\frac{{ }_{2}^{6}}{2} \mathrm{M}_{6}^{\mathrm{k}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{(3)} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{k})+\frac{8}{2} 3 \mathrm{M}_{4}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}{ }_{4}^{(4)}+M{ }_{3}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}_{5}^{(3)}+M{ }_{3}^{(3)} \mathrm{M}_{5}^{(2)} \\
& \text { X } \quad g^{2}(k)+3^{10} \quad M_{3}^{(2)} M_{3}^{(3)} M_{4}^{(4)}+M_{3}^{(2)}{ }^{i_{2}}{ }_{4}^{(3)} \quad X \quad g^{3}(k) \\
& +3^{k}{ }^{12} \mathrm{M}_{3}{ }_{3}^{(2)} \mathrm{i}_{3}{ }_{3}^{(3)} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{4}(\mathrm{k}) \text {; } \\
& M_{4}^{(2)}={ }^{4} M_{4}^{(2)}+\frac{6}{2} M_{6}^{(4)}{ }^{X}{ }_{k}(k)+\frac{8}{2} 2 M{ }_{3}^{(3)} M_{5}^{(3)}+2 M{ }_{3}^{(2)} M_{5}^{(3)} \\
& +\mathrm{M}_{4}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}{ }_{4}^{(4)}+2 \mathrm{M}_{4}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}_{2}+2 \mathrm{M}_{4}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }_{3}^{(3)} \mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2}(\mathrm{k})+2^{10} \\
& 2 M_{3}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(3)} \mathrm{M}_{4}^{(3)}+\mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)} \mathrm{i}_{2}{ }_{4}^{(4)} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{3}(\mathrm{k})+3^{12} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)} \mathrm{i}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{M}_{3}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~g}^{4}(\mathrm{k}) \text {; } \\
& M{ }_{4}^{(3)}={ }^{4} M{ }_{4}^{(2)}+\frac{{ }_{2}^{6}}{2} M{ }_{6}^{(5)} X_{k} \quad g(k)+\frac{8}{2} 3 M{ }_{3}^{(3)} M{ }_{5}^{(4)}+M{ }_{3}^{(2)} M{ }_{5}^{(5)} \\
& +3 M_{4}^{(3)} M_{4}^{(4)} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~g}^{2}(\mathrm{k})+3^{10} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(3)} \mathrm{M}_{4}^{(4)}+\mathrm{M}_{3}^{(3)} \mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{4}^{(3)} \\
& \text { X } \quad g^{3}(k)+3^{12} M_{3}^{(2)} M_{5}^{(5)} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{4}(\mathrm{k}) \text { : } \\
& \text { k k }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above form ulas $M{ }_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}=M N_{n}^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ N\end{array}{ }_{Q}^{0}\right)$.

A ppendix C : Som e explicit relations obtained for a $1: z$ asym m etric P M


$$
X \quad q^{2} c=q_{0}^{2} z ; \quad X \quad q^{3} c=q_{0}^{3} z(1 \quad z):
$$

U sing the above relations and ( $\overline{3} \overline{5} \overline{1})-(\overline{3} \overline{8} \overline{1})$ we can obtain the follow ing explicit relations for SPM

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)}}{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(0)}}=q_{5}^{2} \mathrm{z} ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{M}_{3}^{(3)}!^{(2)}}{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(2)}}=q_{5}^{2} \mathrm{z} \frac{(1 \quad \mathrm{z})^{2}}{\mathrm{z}} ; \frac{\mathrm{M}_{4}^{(3)} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(3)}}{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)}}=q_{5}^{2} \mathrm{z} \frac{(1 \quad \mathrm{z})^{2}}{\mathrm{z}} ; \\
& \frac{\mathrm{M}_{3}^{(3)}}{\mathrm{M}_{2}^{(2)}}{ }^{3} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{4}^{(3)}}{\mathrm{M}_{3}^{(2)}}=q_{5}^{2} \mathrm{z} \frac{(1)^{2}}{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$
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