P redicted quantum stripe ordering in optical lattices

Congjun W u_1^1 W . V incent Liu_r² Joel M oore_r^{3,4} and Sankar D as Sarm a^5

¹K avli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260

³Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

⁴M aterials Sciences D ivision, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley CA 94720

⁵C ondensed M atter Theory C enter, D epartm ent of P hysics,

University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

We predict the robust existence of a novel quantum orbital stripe order in the p-band Bose-Hubbard model of two-dimensional triangular optical lattices with cold bosonic atoms. An orbital angular momentum moment is formed on each site exhibiting a stripe order both in the super uid and M ott-insulating phases. The stripe order spontaneously breaks time-reversal, lattice translation and rotation symmetries. In addition, it induces staggered plaquette bond currents in the super uid phase. Possible signatures of this stripe order in the time of ght experiment are discussed.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Lm, 05.30 Jp, 73.43 Nq, 74.50.+ r

Cold atom ic system s with multiple internal components, such as large spin system s, exhibit much richer phase diagram s and properties than the usual spinless bosons and spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ ferm ions. For example, various spinor condensations and spin dynam ics have been investigated in spin-1 system s [1, 2]. Sim ilarly, large spin ferm ions also exhibit m any novel features, including hidden sym - m etries [3], quintet C coper pairing states and associated topological defects [4], and multiple-particle clustering instabilities [5]. M otivated by such considerations, but for orbital degeneracy, we investigate the p-band Bose-Hubbard (BH) m odel for cold atom optical lattices, nd-ing a novel quantum orbital stripe phase in triangular bosonic p-band optical lattices.

In solid state physics, orbital dynam ics plays im portant roles in transition metal oxides leading to interesting phenom ena, such as orbital ordering and colossal m agnetoresistence [6]. In optical lattices, pioneering experim ents on orbital physics have been recently carried out by Browaeys et. al [7] by accelerating the lattice of bosons, and by Kohlet. al [8] by using ferm ionic Feshbach resonance. These experiments demonstrate the population of higher orbital bands, motivating our theoretical interest in possible orbital ordering in cold atom optical lattices. In particular, the p-band bosons in the square or cubic lattices, which exhibit qualitatively new features com pared to their s-wave counterpart, have received much attention [9, 10, 11, 12]. For example, Ref. [10] focuses on the sub-extensive \mathbb{Z}_2 sym m etry [13, 14, 15] and the resulting nem atic super uid order by considering only the -type bonding in the band structure. By further keeping the -bonding term, the ground state is shown to break time reversal (TR) symmetry spontaneously, form ing an antiferrom agnetic order of orbital angular m om entum (OAM) m om ents [11, 12]. Various methods to excite bosons to the p-band and maintain bosons there for a long time have been suggested in Ref. [9, 10, 11, 12].

The p-band bosons in a frustrated optical lattice have, however, not been fully studied yet. In this paper, we study the quantum phases of the p-band BH model in a 2D triangular lattice, nding a novel quantum stripe ordering. The onsite Hubbard interaction gives rise to a Hund's rule-like coupling in the OAM channel, resulting in the formation of an Ising OAM moment on each site. Due to the geom etric frustration inherent in the triangular lattice, the ground state exhibits a stripe order of the OAM moments which spontaneously breaks TR, lattice rotation and translation symmetries. In the super uid (SF) phase, the stripe order further induces the staggered plaquette bond currents, rem iniscent of the ddensity wave proposal for the pseudogap phase in high T_c cuprates [16]. This stripe order bears som e super cial sim ilarity to its solid-state counterpart observed in strongly correlated electronic system s, such as m anganites [17], high T_c cuprates [18], and high-Landau level quantum Hall system s [19], but is di erent qualitatively since, unlike the solid-state examples, the stripe order in the p-band bosonic triangular optical lattices is fully quantum in nature and does not just arise from the longrange Coulom b interaction. We show that the stripe order persists deep in the M ott-insulating (M I) phase even with the disappearance of super uidity.

We begin with the construction of the p-band BH model in a 2D (x-y) triangular lattice. The optical potential on each site is approximated by a 3D anisotropic harmonic potential with frequencies $!_z$ $!_x = !_y$. Thus we can neglect the p_z -band, and only consider a two-band model of p_x and p_y . We dene three unit vectors $e_1 = e_x; e_{2;3} = \frac{1}{2}e_x \frac{p_3}{2}e_y$, and the two primitive lattice vectors can be taken as $a_0e_{1;2}$ (a_0 the lattice constant). The projection of the $p_{x;y}$ orbitals along the $e_{1;2;3}$ directions are $p_1 = p_x; p_{2;3} = \frac{1}{2}p_x \frac{p_3}{2}p_y: D ue to the anisotropic nature of the p-orbitals, the hopping terms are dom inated by the \head to tail" type -bonding (the$

-bonding t? term can be neglected here) as

$$H_{0} = t_{k} n p_{i;r}^{Y} p_{i;r+e_{i}} + h c;;$$
(1)

where t_k is positive due to the odd parity of the p-orbitals. The on-site Hubbard repulsion H_{int} can be calculated from the contact interaction of coupling constant g:

$$H_{int} = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{r}^{X n} n_{r}^{2} \frac{1}{3} L_{z;r}^{2}; \qquad (2)$$

with $n_{\rm fr}$ the particle number and L_z = $i(k_z^{\rm y}p_y \ k_y^{\rm y}p_x)$ the z-component OAM ; U = 3g=[4(2)^{3=2} l_x l_y l_z] with $l_i = \frac{p}{h=(m \ ! \ i)}$ (i = x;y;z). The important feature of H $_{\rm int}$ is its ferro-orbital nature [11] which is analogous to the H und's rule for atom ic electrons. This im plies that bosons on each site prefer to go into the the axial states of p_x ip. This is because the axial states are spatially m ore extended than the polar states $p_{x,y}$, and thus are energetically m ore favorable for g > 0.

We rst consider the weak coupling $\lim it$, U=t ! 0. The Brillouin zone takes the shape of a regular hexagon with the edge length $n^4 = (3a_0)$. The energy spectrum of H₀ is E (k) = $t_k f_{k}$ $3q_{k}$; where $f_{k} =$ $P_{3} = 1 \cos(k + i) = and g_{k} = P_{3} = box(k + i) = box(k + i)$ The spectrum contains three degenerate minim a located at $K_1 = (0; \frac{p^2}{3a}); K_2 = (\frac{1}{a}; \frac{p}{3a}), \text{ and } K_3 = (\frac{1}{a}; \frac{p}{3a}).$ The factor e^{iK_1} "takes the value of 1 uniform ly in each horizontal row but alternating in adjacent rows. If the above pattern is rotated at angles of $\frac{2}{3}$, then we arrive at the patterns of $e^{iK_{2;3}}$ ^{*x*}. Each eigenvector is a 2-component superposition vector of p_x and p_y orbitals. The eigenvectors at energy minima are $K_1 = e^{iK_1} j_1 i$ with $j_1 i = p_y i$; $K_{2,3} = e^{iK_{2,3}} j_{2,3} i w i th j_{2,3} i =$ $\frac{r}{2}\dot{j}_x i = \frac{1}{2}\dot{p}_y i$. K_{2,3} can be obtained by rotating 1 at angles of $\frac{2}{3}$ respectively.

The ground state condensate wavefunction _c can be constructed as follows. Any linear superposition of the three band minima $_{c}(\mathbf{r}) = c_{1 K_{1}} + c_{2 K_{2}} + c_{3 K_{3}}$ with the constraint $jc_1 f + jc_2 f + jc_3 f = 1$ equally m inim izes the kinetic energy H₀. However, an in nitesimalU=t removes the band degeneracy to further optim ize the interaction energy H $_{\rm int}$. A fler straightforward algebra, we nd the optim alcon gurations occur at $c_1 = 0; c_2 = \frac{p_1^1}{2}; c_3 = \frac{p_1^1}{2}$, and its symmetrically equivalent partners. Thus the mean eld condensate can be $O_N \circ O_N \circ$ expressed as $\frac{1}{P_2} \left(\begin{array}{c} y \\ K_2 \end{array} + i \begin{array}{c} y \\ K_3 \end{array} \right)^{-1}$ jiw th jith vacuum state and N₀ the particle num ber in the condensate. This state breaks the U (1) gauge sym m etry, as well as TR and lattice rotation symmetries, thus the ground state manifold is U(1) Z_2 Z_3 . This state also breaks lattice translation symmetry, which is, however, equivalent to



FIG.1: The condensate con guration in the real space described by Eq.(3) with TR, rotation, and U (1) symmetry breaking. The unit cell contains 4 sites as marked with thick lines. The OAM moments (dashed arrowed circles) form the stripe order, and induce bond currents exhibiting staggered plaquette moments (solid arrowed circles). in Eq. 3 depends on the interaction strength with $= \frac{1}{6}(\frac{1}{4})$ in the strong (weak) coupling limit respectively. Currents vanish in the weak coupling limit, and exist on tilted bonds at nite interaction strength with directions speci ed by arrows.

suitable combinations of U (1) and lattice rotation operations.

For better insight, we transform the above m om entum space condensate to the real space. The orbital con guration on each site reads

$$e^{i_{r}}(\cos \dot{p}_{x}i+i_{r}\sin \dot{p}_{y}i)$$
 (3)

with $= \frac{1}{6}$ as U=t! 0. The general conguration of is depicted in Fig. 1 for later convenience. The U (1) phase r is specified at the right lobe of the p-orbital. The Ising variable $_{r} = 1$ denotes the direction of the OAM, and is represented by the anti-clockwise (clockwise) arrow on each site. As expected from the Hund's rule interaction, each site exhibits a nonzero OAF moment and breaks TR symmetry. At U=t! 0, px, are not equally populated, and the moment per particle is $\frac{3}{2}h$. This does not fully optim ize H int which requires $L_{z,r} =$ However, it indeed fully optim izes H $_{\rm 0}$ which dom inates over H_{int} in the weak coupling lim it. W e check that the phase di erence is zero along each bond, and thus no inter-site bond current exists. Interestingly, as depicted in Fig. 1, OAM moments form a stripe order along each horizontal row. The driving force for this stripe form ation in the SF regime is the kinetic energy, i.e., the phase coherence between bosons in each site. By contrast, the stripe form ation in high T $_{\rm c}$ cuprates (or other solid-state system s, e.g. m anganites and quantum Hall system s) is driven by the competition between long range repulsion and the short range attraction in the interaction terms [18].

Next we discuss the ordering in the strong coupling SF regime. For large values of U=t, we rst m in in ize H int with n particles per site. For simplicity, we consider the

large n_{n} case, then H und's rule coupling favors the onsite state $(\cos_{\frac{1}{4}}p_x^y + i_r \sin_{\frac{1}{4}}p_y^y)$ ji. This corresponds to the case = $\frac{1}{4}$ in Fig. 1 where $p_{x,y}$ orbitals are equally populated. Because of the anisotropic orientation of the p-orbitals, the phase di erence between two sites along each bond not only depends on the U (1) and the Ising variables, but also on the direction of the bond as in the p + ip Josephson junction arrays [13]. This e ect can be captured by a U (1) gauge end. The e ective Ham iltonian then reads $H_e = \frac{1}{2} n t_k h r_1 r_2 i \cos r_1$ $\mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r}_1;\mathbf{r}_2} (\mathbf{r}_1; \mathbf{r}_2) + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{r}}^2; \mathbf{w} \text{ here the gauge eld}$ $A_{r_1;r_2} = r_1 r_1;r_2 r_2 r_2;r_1; r_1;r_2$ is the angle between the bond from r_1 to r_2 and the x-axis, and thus $r_2 r_1 =$ r_1, r_2 + . The external gauge ux in the plaquette i with three vertices $r_{1,2,3}$ can be calculated as

$$_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{hr;r^{0}i}^{X} A_{r;r^{0}} = \frac{1}{6} (r_{1} + r_{2} + r_{3}) \mod 1; \quad (4)$$

Following the analysis in Ref. [13, 20], the ground state conguration for Ising variables requires the ux $_{i}$ (vorticity) in each plaquette to be as small as possible, which are just $\frac{1}{6}$ corresponding to Ising variables of two 1's and one 1.

The stripe order persists in the strong coupling SF regime due to the interaction among vortices. The dual lattice is the bipartite honeycom b lattice, thus it is tempting to assign $\frac{1}{6}$ alternatively to each plaquette. However, this is not possible. Consider a plaquette with vorticity $+\frac{1}{6}$, thus its three vertices are with two + 1's and one 1. The neighbouring plaquette sharing the edge with two +1's must have the same vorticity, and merges with the former one to form a rhombic plaquette with the total vorticity $+\frac{1}{3}$. Thus the ground state should exhibit a staggered pattern of rhom bic plaquettes with vorticity of $\frac{1}{3}$. The stripe pattern of OAM mom ents is the only possibility to satisfy this requirem ent. The stripe phase obtained here is quite general: for exam ple, it will also appear in the triangular-lattice p + ip Josephson-junction array [13] if tunneling is dom inated by the m om entum -reversing process [20], rather than by the momentum -conserving process that gives a uniform state. This stripe ordering possibility in the triangular p+ ip Josephson junction arrays has not been earlier appreciated.

In addition, the stripe order results in the staggered bond currents as depicted in F ig. 1. We further optim ize the U (1) phase variables, and nd that their pattern is the same as that in the weak coupling limit. Taking into account the equal weight of $p_{x,y}$ in each site, we nd no phase m ism atch along each horizontal bond, but a phase m ism atch of $= \frac{1}{6}$ along each tilted bond. A s a result, on each bond around the rhom bic plaquette, the Josephson current is $j = \frac{tn_0}{2} \sin the result of the specied$ condensate fraction, and the current direction is speciedby arrow s in Fig. 1. The total phase w inding around each



FIG. 2: A) Phase diagram based on the GMF theory in the 2 2 unit cell (see Fig. 1). Large scale GMF calculations in a 30 30 lattice are performed to con m the stripe ordered super uid (SF) phase at points 1, 2 and 3 with (t=U; =U) = (0:02;2); (0:03;1:5) and (0:038;2:2), respectively. B) The ux around a rhombic plaquette v.s. t=(nU). It decays from $\frac{1}{3}$ in the strong coupling limit to 0 in the non-interaction limit. The solid line is the GMF result at n = 3, while the dashed line is based on the energy function Eq. 5 of the trial condensate.

rhom bic plaquette is $4 = \frac{2}{3}$, and thus agrees with the vorticity of $\frac{1}{3}$. This staggered plaquette bond current is sim ilar to the d-density wave state proposed in high-T_c cuprates [16], but with a completely di erent microscopic origin. We emphasize that the p-band square lattice case does not have this interesting physics [11].

Since the stripe order exists in both strong and weak coupling lim its, it should also exist at interm ediate coupling strength. We have con med this conjecture using the Gutzwiller mead eld (GMF) theory in a 30 30 lattice for three system s (m arked as points 1, 2, and 3 in F ig. 2). We nd that the stripe ordered ground state is stable against sm all perturbations in all three cases. W e further apply the GMF theory to the 2 2 unit cell (Fig.1), and obtain the phase diagram of the stripe ordered SF and M Iphases (Fig. 2A). For the GMF num erical results, we write the trial condensate with the p-orbital con guration on each site as e^{i_r} (cos $\dot{p}_x i + i_r \sin \dot{p}_y i$). The angle > 0 describes the relative weight of p_x and p_y $\frac{1}{2}$ > orbitals. It turns out that the pattern for the U (1) phase does not depend on , and rem ains the same for all the coupling strength. The phase m ism atch on the tilted

bonds reads = 2 = 2 with $\tan = \frac{p_{-1}}{3}\tan$, and the corresponding Josephson current is $j = n_0 t \sin n_0$. The value of is determined by the minimization of the energy per particle of the trial condensate as

E() =
$$t[1 + 2\sin(2 + \frac{1}{6})] = \frac{nU}{6}\sin^2 2 + \frac{nU}{3}$$
: (5)

In the strong (weak) coupling limit, the energy minimum is located at $= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$, and thus the ux in each rhombic plaquette $= 4 = (2) = 0 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$, which agrees with the previous analyses. For the intermediate interaction, we present both results of at n = 3 based on the GMF theory and Eq.(5) in Fig.2B. They agree with each other very well, and con rm the validity of the trial condensate. Moreover, in the momentum space, the trial condensate for a general can be expressed as $\frac{1}{F_2} \left({\begin{array}{*{20}c} 0y \\ K_2 \end{array}} + i {\begin{array}*{20}c} 0y \\ K_3 \end{array} \right)$ jDi; where $\begin{array}{*{20}c} 0 \\ K_{2;3} \end{array}$ e^{iK 2;3} $\begin{array}{*{20}c} 12;3 \end{array}$ ()i with j 2;3 ()i = cos jp, i sin jp, i respectively.

The form ation of the on-site orbitalm om ent does not depend on the inter-site phase coherence, and thus the Ising variables can be ordered even in the M I state. This ordering can not, however, be captured in the above GMF calculation, and relies on the exchange physics. The Ising variable non- ip processes for the k-particle ring exchange physics occur at the kth-order perturbation theory. First, a particle-hole pair excitation is created on two neighboring sites, and then the particle and hole propagate in opposite directions. Finally, they recombine at some point and return to the initial state. On the other hand, the spin ip processes occur at much higher orders. For example, the Ising variable ip process in the 2-particle exchange physics happens at the 2n-th orderperturbation theory with n the particle num berper site. Thus up to 4-particle ring exchange at n 3, the Ising variable ip process can be safely neglected.

Now we construct the exchange H am iltonians. The 2-particle exchange results in an antiferrom agnetic (AF) Ising coupling H₂($_{r_1}$; $_{r_2}$) = J₂ $_{r_1}$ $_{r_2}$ with J₂ = $n^2 t_k t_2 = E$ and $E = \frac{2}{3}U$. J₂ vanishes for $t_2 = 0$. In this case, we need to further study higher order ring exchanges in which we neglect the contribution from the t_2 term. In a plaquette i with three vertices $r_{1;2;3}$, the 3-particle exchange results in H₃($_{r_1}$; $_{r_2}$; $_{r_3}$) = J₃ cos(2 i) with J₃ = $\frac{3(nt)^3}{2(E)^2}$ and i de ned in Eq. 4. Sim ilarly, the 4-particle ring exchange around two edge-sharing triangular plaquettes i with vertices (r_1 ; r_2 ; r_3) and j with vertices (r_2 ; r_3 ; r_4) can be calculated as H₄($_{r_1}$; $_{r_2}$; $_{r_3}$; $_{r_4}$) = J₄ cos[2 (i + j)] with J₄ = $\frac{5(nt)^4}{3(E)^3}$.

Next, we discuss the consequences of these exchange H am iltonians. The J_3 term again favors $= \frac{1}{6}$ as in the strong coupling SF phase. It has the same e ect as the J_2 term and results in AF ground state in the triangular lattice which is highly degenerate with a power

law decaying Ising variable correlation $1=jr_1$ 爽节 [21]. The J4 term favors zero ux inside each 4-vertex rhom - J_3 , the J_4 term works as a bic plaquette. Since J_4 perturbation to lift the degeneracy and selects the stripe ordered state. The J₃ term thus plays the role of the vortex core energy in each triangular plaquette even without super uidity, and the J_4 term describes the interaction between two adjacent plaquettes. On the other hand, due to loss of the inter-site phase coherence, bond currents disappear in the M I phase. This stripe order holds even for the case n = 2, where the 2-particle Ising variable ipping processes occur at the same order as the 4-particle ring exchange. However, the e ect of Ising variable ipping processes can still be neglected because they produce states violating the constraint of $= \frac{1}{c}$ for each plaquette. The situation for n = 1 is not clear at this stage, and we have it for future research.

Our predicted stripe phase should manifest itself in the time of ight (TOF) signal. In the SF state, the condensate occurs at non-zero wavevectors such as $K_{2,3}$. A sa result, the TOF density peak position is shifted from the reciprocal lattice vectors G as follows

where $\tilde{K} = m r = (ht); 2;3 (;\tilde{K})$ is the Fourier transform of the W annier p-orbital wavefunction $j_{2;3} ()i$, and $G = \frac{2}{a}$ [m; (m + 2n) = 3] with m; n integers. Thus Bragg peaks should occur at $\frac{2}{a}$ [m $\frac{1}{2}; \frac{p}{3}$ ($m + 2n + \frac{1}{2}$)]. Due to the breaking of lattice rotation symmetry, the pattern of Bragg peaks can be rotated at angles of $\frac{2}{3}$. In the M Iphase, Bragg peaks disappear due to the boss of phase coherence. Instead, the stripe order appears in the noise correlations ln (r)n (r⁰) i, which exhibit not only the usual peaks at G, but also peaks located at K₁ + G where K₁ is the stripe order wavevector.

In conclusion, we have studied the p-band BH model in the 2D triangular lattice. The ground state exhibits a novel quantum stripe order of OAM moments. In the SF phase, the staggered plaquette bond currents are also induced. The stripe order persists in the M I phase even with the loss of super uidity.

C.W. thanks L.M. Duan and T.L.Ho for helpful discussions.C.W. is supported by the NSF Phy99-07949. W.V.L. is supported in part by ORAU Ralph E.Powe Award.J.E.M. is supported by NSF DMR-0238760.S. D.S. is supported by LPS-NSA.

^[1] D. M. Stam per-Kum et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2027 (1998); M. S. Chang et al., Nature Physics, 1, 111 (2005).

- [2] T.L.Ho, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 742 (1998); T.Ohm i et al., J.Phys.Soc.Jpn., 67,1822 (1998); E.Dem leret al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 163001 (2002); F.Zhou, Phys.Rev. Lett. 87, 80401 (2001).
- [3] C.Wuetal, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 186402 (2003).
- [4] C.Wu et al, cond-m at/0512602; T.L.Ho et al, Phys. Rev.Lett. 82, 247 (1999).
- [5] C.Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 266404 (2005); P. Lechem in nant et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 240402 (2005).
- [6] Y. Tokura and N. Nagaosa, Science 288, 462 (2000).
- [7] A.Browaeys et. al, Phys. Rev. A 72 53605 (2005).
- [8] M.Kohlet.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 80403 (2006).
- [9] V.W. Scarola et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 33003 (2005).
- [10] A. Isacsson et. al, Phys. Rev. A 72, 053604 (2005).

- [11] W .V.Liu and C.W u, cond-m at/0601432.
- [12] A.B.Kuklov, cond-m at/0601416.
- [13] J.E.Moore et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 104511 (2004).
- [14] C.Xu et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 93, 47003 (2004).
- [15] Z.Nussinov, et. al, Phys. Rev. B 71, 195120 (2005).
- [16] S.Chakravarty et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 94503 (2001).
- [17] S.M oriet al, Nature 392, 473 (1998).
- [18] S.A.Kivelson, et. al, Rev.M od. Phys. 75, 1201 (2003).
- [19] M.P.Lilly et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 394 (1999) ;R. R.Du et al, Solid State Commun.109, 389 (1999).
- [20] C. Castelnovo et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 104529 (2004).
- [21] J. Stephenson, J. M ath. Phys. 5, 1009 (1964).