Quantum master equation scheme of time-dependent density functional theory to time-dependent transport in nano-electronic devices

Xin-Qi Li

State K ey Laboratory for Superlattices and M icrostructures, Institute of Sem iconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 912, Beijing 100083, China

YiJing Yan^y

D epartm ent of Chem istry, H ong K ong U niversity of Science and Technology, K ow bon, H ong K ong (D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

In this work a practical scheme is developed for the rst-principles study of time-dependent quantum transport. The basic idea is to combine the transport master-equation with the well-known time-dependent density functional theory. The key ingredients of this paper include: (i) the partitioning-free initial condition and the consideration of the time-dependent bias voltages which base our treatment on the Runge-G ross existence theorem; (ii) the non-M arkovian master equation for the reduced (m any-body) central system (i.e. the device); and (iii) the construction of K ohn-Sham master equation for the reduced and their equations of motion (EOM) are established based on the technique of spectral decom position. As a result, starting with a well-de ned initial state, the time-dependent transport current can be calculated simultaneously along the propagation of the K ohn-Sham master equation and the EOM of the auxiliary functions.

PACS num bers: 72.10 Bg,72.90.+ y

I. IN TRODUCTION

Quantum transport through nanostructures (e.g. the sem iconductor quantum dots or organic molecules) would play essential role in nano-electronic devices. A rigorous treatment should handle the electronic structure of the central device as well as the e ect of the interface to the external contact. This calls for combining the theory of quantum transport with the rst-principles calculation of electronic structures. In recent years, considerable e orts have been focused on the density-functional theory (DFT) based simulations on such transport devices [1, 2, 3]. In particular, two types of form alisms were involved: one is the Lippm ann-Schwinger form alism by Lang and coworkers [4]; the other is the rstprinciples non-equilibrium Green's function (nGF) technique [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. However, all of these studies were restricted to the steady-state transport under tim eindependent bias voltages.

On the other hand, time-dependent transport phenom enon are of interest in various contexts, such as the single electron pumps and turnstiles, switching-on transient behaviors, and ac response in the applications of high-frequency ampli ers or detectors. Moreover, it will be desirable if one is able to model the nano-electronic circuit elements with resistors, capacitors, and inductors. To ascribe appropriate quantum capacitances and inductances to the nano-circuits, the study of time-dependent quantum transport is needed. With this motivation, pioneering work has been carried out [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], where the tim e-dependence enters via self-consistent param eters and the entire study was largely based on oversim pli ed m odel description.

Obviously it is desirable to extend this kind of study to the level of rst-principles simulation. To this end, a necessary element to be combined is seemingly the time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) [16], which is a generalization of the well-known (ground state) static DFT [17, 18]. Sim ilar to the static DFT, the fundam entalvariable in TDDFT is no longer them any-body wave function but the density. This tim e-dependent density is determined by solving an auxiliary set of noninteracting tim e-dependent Schrodinger equations, say, the Kohn-Sham equations. The nontrivial part of the manybody interaction is contained in the so-called exchangecorrelation (xc) potential, for which reasonably good approximations exist. Since the foundation of TDDFT, an enorm ous am ount of progress has been m ade and the theory was applied to a large num ber of problem s in physics and chem istry. In particular, even the sim plest approxin ation to the xc potential, i.e., the so-called adiabatic LDA, can yield remarkably good results. Nevertheless, despite the wide range of applications, the TDDFT has been mostly limited to isolated systems. To our know edge, its application to quantum open systems (e.g. in quantum transport) just begins very recently. These interesting e orts include either employing formally the nGF formalism [20, 22], or propagating wave-function at zero tem perature [21], or introducing electron-phonon scattering to balance the external eld in order to reach a stationary current [23, 24].

In this work, by combining the TDDFT with our recently constructed quantum master equation form alism

E lectronic address: xqli@ red.sem i.ac.cn

^yE lectronic address: yan@ ust.hk

to quantum transport [25, 26, 27, 28], we attempt to develop an alternative scheme. The master equation approach to mesoscopic transport can be dated back to the classical rate equation [29] and its quantum generalization [30]. More recent work based on the master equation approach can be found, for instance, in Refs. 31, 32, 33, 34. This approach is of interest not only by its conceptual di erence from the conventionalm esoscopic transport theory, e.g., the Landauer-Buttiker theory or the non-equilibrium G reen's function (nGF) technique [35, 36], but also due to its convenience in applications. More importantly, since the master equation is tim e-dependent, it seem s thus a natural fram ew ork for the study of time-dependent transport. This is in fact the main motivation for us to combine it with the TDDFT to construct a time-dependent transport scheme at the level of rst-principles.

In the standard TDDFT, the propagation of the system state is described by the tim e-dependent K ohn-Sham equation, whose solution is used to calculate the (timedependent) density and the e ective Ham iltonian of the non-interacting Kohn-Sham system. In the context of quantum transport, using the same idea of TDDFT, we rst m ap the entire system (i.e. the central device plus the electrodes) to the non-interacting Kohn-Sham system, then trace out the degrees of freedom of the electrodes. As a consequence, directly related to the tim edependent electron density, we obtain a master equation for the reduced density matrix of the device, which is a counterpart of the well known Kohn-Sham Schrodinger equation. We notice that this treatment can be exact in principle. That is, the entire system of the device plus electrodes evolves under the in uence of bias voltage, starting from a well-de ned initial state. Then, the requirem ent of the Runge-G ross existence theorem is satised. 0 f course, as any other (tim e-dependent) transport theories, proper approxim ate consideration is needed for the biased electrodes, which enables us to eliminate the degrees of freedom of electrodes and obtain a master equation for the reduced state of the central device.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In next section we rst specify the transport setup and som e physical considerations, then outline the main result of the master equation approach to transport. In this work, instead of the Markovian prescription [25, 26, 27, 28], we would like to adopt the scheme of non-Markovian master equation for reasons to be specied later in the main text. For completeness, a brief derivation for the non-Markovian \n"-resolved master equation is provided in Appendix A. In Sec. III we combine the TDDFT scheme with the multi-particle-state master equation obtained in Sec. II. By introducing the single-particle density matrix and a number of auxiliary functions associated with the spectral decom position, we establish the central result of this work, say, the Kohn-Sham master equation for the reduced single-particle density matrix, and the propagating equations for the auxiliary functions. These quantities would su ce the calculation of the transport current.

A lso, a brief description for the technique of spectral decom position will be presented in Appendix B. In Sec. IV we describe how the e ect of inelastic electron-phonon scattering in the device can be conveniently included into the K ohn-Sham m aster equation. Finally, sum m ary and discussions are given in Sec. V.

II. TRANSPORT MASTER EQUATION

In this section we shall rst present the transport m odel together with some physical considerations on it, then outline them ain result of the transport master equation in many-particle-state H ilbert space, and put its derivation in Appendix A. All of these will form the basis of the TDDFT scheme to quantum transport of next section.

A. M odel C on sideration

C onventionally, m esoscopic transport setup can be described by the transfer H am iltonian

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

$$= L_{i}R k$$

$$H = H_{C} (a^{y}; a) + K d^{y}_{k} d_{k}$$

Here H_C is the device H am iltonian of the central region, which can be rather general, e.g., including electronelectron and electron-phonon interactions, and may be extended to contain a few interface atom s of electrodes in the context of such as molecular transport devices. The second and third terms describe, respectively, the (left and right) electrodes and the tunneling between them and the central device. Note that in the above Ham iltonian, as widely adopted in transport studies, the electrode electrons are treated as noninteracting after absorbing the interactions into self-consistent potential. This noninteracting feature of the electrodes is in fact the basis of the Landauer-type theory, which is the cornerstone of quantum transport. A lso, the electrode electrons are described in Bloch-state representation. This choice is largely for the convenience of formulation. To make contact with the rst-principles calculation, the localW annier-state representation will be more appropriate. A swill be shown in Sec. III, the conversion between them can be easily in plemented via the link of self-energy matrix.

Since our major concern is the time-dependent and transient behaviors, care should be made for the choice of initial condition. In practice, two types of initial states were adopted: (i) In the nGF approach to quantum transport, C aroli et al. [37] considered the two leads as isolated subsystem swith di erent chem icalpotentials in the rem ote past. The current starts to ow through the system once the contacts between the device and the leads have been established. This treatment of partitioning is seem ingly a bit ctitious, since in a real experiment the whole system is in therm odynamic equilibrium before an external bias is applied deep inside the electrodes. Later on this scheme was adopted by Meir and W ingreen et al. to obtain the steady-state current through an interacting central device [38], and also to time-dependent phenomena [39]. (ii) Conceptually di ering from the one by Caroli et al., an alternative scheme was suggested by C ini [40], in which the central device is contacted and in therm odynamic equilibrium before an external timedependent disturbance (i.e. the bias voltage) is switched on. This type of initial condition was recently applied by Stefanucci et al [19, 20, 21].

In this work, we involve the C ini's initial condition as follows. Initially, before the bias voltage is switched on, we let the central device be contacted with the leads and in therm odynam ic equilibrium . As the bias is switched on, the external potential and the disturbance caused by the device region are screened deep inside the electrodes, thus the density inside the electrodes approaches the equilibrium bulk-one. This leads to an enorm ous sim pli cation since the initial-state self-consistency is not disturbed far away from the device. This picture holds to be valid provided that the driving frequency is sm aller than the plasm a frequency, which is tens of THz in typical doped sem iconductors. The bias is established entirely across the device by charge accumulation and depletion near the electrode-device interfaces. The formation of these charge layers then causes a rigid shift of the conduction band of the electrodes, but rem ains the population unchanged as the initial one. As an equivalent and more convenient description, the above consideration is typically replaced by the statem ent that the reservoir electrons are always in local therm al equilibrium characterized by the chem ical potentials L_{R} (t) which de ne the bias voltage V (t) via eV (t) = $_{\rm L}$ (t) $_{\rm R}$ (t). In this way, the initial equilibrium state is violated, and the system starts evolving under the driving of the bias voltage, leading to a time-dependent transport current. In practice, there exist two types of bias voltages: One is the slow tim e-dependent m odulation of the bias voltage, which is appropriate for the study of ac response; another is the one suddenly switched-on, i.e., eV (t) ' ($_{\rm L}$ _R) (t), which corresponds to the initial setup for studying the transient behaviors.

Notice that in the above treatment, we explicitly keep the chemical potentials (Fermi levels) of the electrodes at instant values determined by the time-dependent bias voltage, and let the electrode reservoirs always in local them al equilibrium. Physically, this treatment properly take into account the closed nature of the transport circuit and the rapid relaxation in the electrode reservoirs. In C ini's treatment, two spatially hom ogeneous and timedependent electrical potentials are added to the electrode H am iltonians. The use of the initial occupation in the electrodes then corresponds to the initial rigid shift of the Fermi levels. How ever, in the subsequent evolution it seem s unclear how the rapid relaxation in the electrode reservoirs is included, and how the (approximate) local therm alequilibrium of the reservoirs is guaranteed.

B. n^{-R} esolved M aster Equation and Transport C urrent

The key idea of the quantum master equation approach to transport is to view the device as an open dissipative system, and the electrodes as its environment. Following the standard treatment of quantum dissipation theory, we introduce the reservoir operators F = $_{k}$ t $_{k}$ d $_{k}$ f_L + f_R . Accordingly, the tunneling H am iltonian H $^{\rm 0}$ reads H $^{\rm 0}$ = $a^{y}F + H :c:$. Let us then consider the entire system evolution starting from such initial state as discussed above, i.e., the central device in a state form ed under zero bias in the presence of device-electrode coupling, and the electrodes in local therm all equilibrium states de ned by the initial chem ical potentials. In the later on evolution, we treat H 0 as perturbation. Note that this does not mean the partitioning of the device from the electrodes before the bias voltage is switched on.

Treating H 0 perturbatively under the second-order B om approximation [28, 41, 42], and performing the $\n"-$ resolved electrode states average as shown in Appendix A, we obtain

where $A_{(n)}^{()} = P_{\pm L;R} A_{(n)}^{()}$, with

$$A_{(n)}^{(+)} (t) = X_{t}^{Z_{t}} dt^{0}C_{(-)}^{(-)}(t;t^{0})G_{(t;t^{0})}[a^{(n)}(t^{0})]$$

$$A_{(n)}^{(+)} (t) = X_{0}^{Z_{t}} dt^{0}C_{(+)}^{(+)}(t^{0};t)G_{(t;t^{0})}[a^{(n)}(t^{0})a]:$$
(3)

The bath correlation functions read $C^{()}(t;t^0) = hf(t)f^y(t^0)i$, and $C^{(+)}(t^0;t) = hf^y(t^0)f(t)i$. Note that here, di ering from Ref. 28, we have adopted the non-M arkovian (i.e. time non-local) form of master equation.

W ith the knowledge of ⁽ⁿ⁾ (t), one is readily able to compute the various transport properties, such as the transport current and noise spectrum [25, 26, 27, 28]. In this work, we focus on the calculation of transport current. Based on the probability distribution function P (n;t) Tr[⁽ⁿ⁾(t)], inserting Eq.(2) into I(t) =

$$I(t) = 2e^{X} ReTra^{Y} A^{()}_{R}(t) A^{(+)}_{R}(t)$$
 . (4)

Here, $A_{R}^{()}$ (t) are the same as de ned by Eq. (3) with the replacement of ⁽ⁿ⁾ by the unconditional density matrix = $\sum_{n}^{(n)}$. Sum ming up Eq. (2) over \n", we obtain

$$= iL \qquad \begin{bmatrix} X & n & & & \\ & [a^{y}; A^{()}(t) & A^{(+)}(t)] + H \varepsilon; ; (5) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A^{()}(t) = P_{I;R}^{P} A^{()}(t)$. In principle, to carry out A⁽⁾ (t) in this non-Markovian scheme, one should know (t^0) for all the time $t^0 \ge [0;t)$, which is in fact the non-Markovian nature (i.e. the time non-locality or m em ory e ect). However, as will be shown in the follow ing, based on the technique of spectral decom position, we are going to establish the EOM of $A^{()}$ (t). Then, the combined propagation of A⁽⁾ (t) and (t) will give the full time-dependent solution for the transport problem under study. Note that these coupled equations will be time local, their propagation is thus quite straightforward. In contrast, as shown in Ref. 26, if we start with a Markovian scheme for the many-particle-state master equation, the time-bcal scheme for the reduced singleparticle density matrices is seem ingly impossible to be constructed, and the tim e nonlocal feature willm ake the practical propagation quite di cult.

III. TDDFT SCHEME

The transport master equation constructed in the previous section is de ned in many-particle H ilbert space. This will restrict its applicability to few -states systems, because the huge dimensions of many-particle H ilbert space for large-scale systems would make the problem intractable. In this section, in the spirit of TDDFT we recast the many-particle interacting system to a K ohn-Sham noninteracting system, and establish the corresponding transport master equation, which is de ned in the single-particle-state H ilbert space with dimensions greatly reduced.

A. GeneralConsideration

Taking the entire system of electrodes plus device into account and starting with the well-de ned initial state as described in Sec. II(A), the Runge-G ross theorem in – plies a one-to-one correspondence between the electron density and the potential function. Then, the electron density distribution uniquely determ ines all the (transport) properties of the system. The signi cant role of the Runge-G ross existence theorem is to guarantee the construction of a proper noninteracting K ohn-Sham \mbox{system} .

For the electrodes, the K ohn-Sham m apping to noninteracting system is relatively simple. In practice, the interactions in the electrodes are absorbed into a selfconsistent potential, and the electrons in the electrodes are treated as noninteracting. As a matter of fact, the noninteracting feature of the electrodes is the basis of the Landauer-type transport theory, which is the cornerstone of quantum transport.

The part of the system that we are going to treat carefully is the (extended) device. Its K ohn-Sham counterpart can be constructed as follows. In principle, if we knew the many-particle density operator (t) of the device, we could introduce the reduced single-particle (R SP) density matrix, (t) $Tr[a^{y}a$ (t)]. How ever, rather than solving (t), we should calculate (t) directly from its equation of motion, which is the central gaol of this work. W ithin the TDDFT fram ework [16], we map the device interacting H am iltonian to the non-interacting K ohn-Sham one as

$$h_{m n}(t) = h_{m n}^{0}(t) + v_{m n}^{xc}(t) + \lim_{ij} (t) V_{m n ij}:$$
(6)

rst-principles calculation the state basis In is usually chosen as the local atomic orbitals, $f_{m}(r);m = 1;2;$ g. Here⁰ (t) is the noninteracting Hamiltonian which can be in general timedependent; $k_{m n i R}$ is the two-electron Coulomb integral, $V_{m n i j} = \begin{cases} R & dr^{0} m(r) n(r) \frac{1}{jr r^{0}j} i(r^{0}) j(r^{0}); \text{ and } \\ v_{m n}^{xc}(t) = & dr m(r) v^{xc}[n](r;t) n(r), \text{ with } v^{xc}[n](r;t) \end{cases}$ the exchange-correlation potential, which is de ned by the functional derivative of the the exchangecorrelation functional A^{xc}. In practice, especially in the time-dependent case, the unknown functional A^{xc} can be approximated by the energy functional E^{xc}, obtained in the Kohn-Sham theory and further with the local density approximation (LDA). Note that the density function n(r;t) appearing in the Kohn-Sham Hamiltonian is related to the RSP density matrix via n(r;t) = mn m (r) mn (t) n (r). Thus, the entire Kohn-Sham system is described by the noninteracting electrodes, the device Ham iltonian $m_n h_{m_n}$ (t) $a_m^y a_n$, and the coupling between H (t) = them . Starting with this entire K ohn-Sham H am iltonian and repeating the derivation in Sec. Π (B) will lead to the same form al result presented there. The only di erence is that now the Kohn-Sham Ham iltonian H (t) is noninteracting, which enables us to establish the EOM for (t).

B. Kohn-Sham Master Equation

In this subsection, for the K ohn-Sham system, we recast the master equation Eq.(5) into the EOM of (t) and a set of auxiliary functions, and re-express the transport current in terms of them. For brevity, we tentatively restrict our derivation to the special case of constant bias voltage. Generalization to time-dependent voltages is straightforward, and will be discussed in Sec. III (D). For constant bias voltage, the correlation functions C⁽⁾ (t;t⁰) and C⁽⁺⁾ (t⁰;t) only depend on the difference of times. By employing the technique of spectral decomposition as described in Appendix B, they can be form ally decomposed in the sum of exponential functions

$$C^{(-)}(t;t^{0}) = X^{(-)k}e^{(-)k(t-t^{0})};$$

$$C^{(+)}(t^{0};t) = X^{k}e^{(+)k}e^{(+)k(t-t^{0})};$$
(7)

where the parameters ^{()k} and ^{()k} are uniquely determ ined by the spectral decomposition. Furtherm ore, in addition to (t), we introduce

~
$$(t;t^0) = Trfa^{Y}G(t;t^0)[a (t^0)]g;$$
 (8a)

$$(t;t^0) = Trfa^Y G(t;t^0) [(t^0)a]g;$$
 (8b)

and the auxiliary functions

$$A^{()k}_{0}(t) = dt^{0}()ke^{()k}(t) = 0$$
(t;t⁰); (9a)

$$A^{(+)k}_{0}(t) = \int_{0}^{2^{-}t} dt^{0} (+)^{k} e^{(+)k} (t t^{0}) (t;t^{0}): (9b)$$

Simple algebra leads to the EOM of \sim (t;t⁰) and (t;t⁰):

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t} \sim (t;t^{0}) = i[\sim (t;t^{0})h(t)];$$
(10a)

$$[e_t (t;t^0) = i[(t;t^0)h(t)] : (10b)$$

A coordingly, the EOM of A $^{(\)k}$ $_{\circ}$ (t) can be straightforwardly obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{t} A^{(\)k} \circ (t) &= \ {}^{(\)k} \circ (t) \\ &+ \ {}^{(\)k} A^{(\)k} \circ (t) + i & A^{(\)k} & (t)h_{m} \circ (t); \ \text{(11a)} \end{aligned} \\ & & & \\ \theta_{t} A^{(+)k} \circ (t) &= \ {}^{(+)k} [& \circ & \circ (t)] \\ &+ \ {}^{(+)k} A^{(+)k} \circ (t) + i & A^{(+)k} & (t)h_{m} \circ (t): \ \text{(11b)} \end{aligned}$$

Note that the RSP density matrix (t) appears in the EOM of these auxiliary functions. To close the EOM for the transport problem, we need to derive the EOM of (t). Using the identity $Trfa^{y}a^{-1} \circ [a^{y}\circ;A \circ]g = Tr[a^{y}A]$, we easily obtain

$$(t) = i[h(t); (t)]$$
 [M (t) + H c :]; (12)

where the matrix M (t) is de ned via its elements as

$$M = (t) = \begin{pmatrix} X & h \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} (t) = \begin{pmatrix} X & h \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} (t) = A^{(+)k} A^{(+)k} (t) = (t)$$

P ropagating Eqs.(11) and (12) is num erically straightforward, and the most di cult task arising from the memory kernel in usual non-M arkovian dynam ics is avoided. Finally, the transport current is simply related to the auxiliary functions as

$$I(t) = 2e ReA_{R}^{()k}(t) A_{R}^{(+)k}(t);$$
(13)

which is output autom atically along the time propagation of the above ${\rm EOM}$.

C. Spectral D ensity Function

The treatment in the previous subsection is based on the spectral decomposition of () = 2 $_{k}$ t $_{k}$ t $_{k}$ ($_{k}$), as shown in Appendix B. Since the spectral decomposition is rooted in a technique of num erical t, it is thus in principle suited for arbitrary shape of the spectral density function (). In particular, it is not limited by the conventional wide-band approximation. This advantage makes us be able to incorporate the electronic structure of the electrodes which is obtained from other sophisticated methods. One possible way of calculating () is based on a sem i-em pirical tight-binding model for the electrodes, and using the surface G reen's function technique. Som e details of this method can be found in Ref. 43. Here we would like only to outline the key idea for completeness.

Form ally, in m atrix form, the spectral density function is related with the self-energy m atrix via

$$= i(\qquad Y): \qquad (14)$$

Further, the self-energy matrix can be calculated by

$$= tgt^{y};$$
 (15)

where \t" is the coupling matrix between the \edge atom s" of the electrode and the (extended) device, and g is the surface G meen's function of the electrode. Recursively, g can be obtained via the follow ing D yson equation

$$g^{1} = g_{0}^{1} \quad \tilde{g}_{0}^{1} \quad \text{tgt}^{Y};$$
 (16)

where $\t^{"}$ is the coupling matrix between nearest-neighbor layers in the electrode.

In practice, care should be paid to the dimensions of the matrices, i.e., there are two types of orbital labels: one is restricted to the device edge-orbitals; and another is over all the device orbitals. From the de nition of each matrix, the size of its every dimension can be identi ed accordingly.

D. Tim e-D ependent Voltage

The derivation in Sec. III (B) corresponds to timeindependent bias voltage. That is, after a constant voltage is switched on, the chem ical potentials in electrodes remain unchanged. This implies that the correlation functions C $^{(\)}$ (t;t⁰) are of time-translational invariance during the later evolution. The description under this assumption can be applied to the study of transient behaviors [36].

A nother in portant time-dependent setup is applying modi cation on the bias voltage, such as in the study of ac response. As have discussed previously, under proper conditions, the e ect of time-dependent voltage can be approximately described by rigid shifts of the conduction bands of the electrodes, i.e., $_k$ (t) = $_k$ + (t), and keeping the occupation on each state unchanged. A c-cordingly, the correlation functions read

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & \# & " & & \# \\ C & () & (t;t^0) \\ C & (+) & (t^0;t) \end{array} & = & C & (+) & (t & t^0) \\ C & (+) & (t^0;t) \end{array} e^{i \begin{pmatrix} R_t \\ t^0 & dt_1 \end{array} & (t_1)}; (17)$$

where $C^{()}$ (t t⁰) are the counterparts in the absence of time-dependent voltage shift (i.e. (t) = 0), and can be obtained by using the surface G reen's function technique as described in the previous subsection.

Replacing the correlation functions in Sec. III (B) with Eq.(17), all the equations obtained there can be formally re-derived, except with only a minor modication on the second terms of the lh.s. of Eq. (11), i.e., ()k ()k i (t). The advantage of the proposed TDDFT scheme in Sec. III (B) is thus prom inent, since in other conventional treatm ents the tim e-dependent transport is usually regarded more di cult than its stationary counterpart, owing to the lack of time-translational invariance. However, in our scheme, no extra e orts are needed for time-dependent voltage in propagating the EOM of the RSP density matix (t) and the auxiliary functions $A^{()k}$ (t).

IV. ELECTRON-PHONON INTERACTION

In this section we consider the issue of inelastic scattering in the device. Still within the TDDFT fram ework, we assume the K ohn-Sham subsystem of the device being coupled to a phonon bath (H $_{\rm ph} = \frac{1}{q} !_q b_q^y b_q$). In general, the electron phonon interaction H am iltonian has the form

$$H_{e ph} = X X
 M_{e ph} = qmn (b_q^y + b_q)a_m^y a_n
 X (W f_q^y + W y f_q); (18)
 q$$

where for brevity we introduce W $W_{mn} = a_m^y a_n$, and f_q is de ned accordingly. Here and in the rem ander of this section, we adopt the electronic eigenstate basis of the device K ohn-Sham H am iltonian before the bias voltage is applied. This choice has the advantage of making the electronic state transition due to phonon scattering clearly de ned.

Form ally, the m any-electron-state m aster equation for the device can be expressed as

$$= iL R_e R_{ph} :$$
 (19)

In this equation, the term R_e describes the electrode e ect on the device, and R_{ph} stems from the e ect of electron-phonon interaction. In the previous sections, we have focused on the term R_e, by performing a non-M arkovian treatment at the level of second-order B orn approximation. For R_{ph}, one can in principle perform the same treatment, by also applying the spectral decom – position technique for the phonon bath. N evertheless, for simplicity we would like to treat the electron-phonon interaction under the M arkovian approximation as usual. Following Ref. 42, we have

$$R_{ph} = \frac{1}{2} X^{n} W^{y}; W^{()} W^{(+)} + H \varepsilon: : (20)$$

At the transition energy ! j_m __n j the operators $W^{()}$ read $W^{()} = {}^{()}W$, where ${}^{()}$ are de ned by ${}^{(+)} = g(!)j f(n_! + 1)$ and ${}^{()} = g(!)j fn_!$, respectively. Here g(!) is the density of states of the phonon m odes, and $n_!$ is the corresponding phonon occupation num ber. A lso, we would like to m ention that to arrive at Eq. (20), we have inserted the device K ohn-Sham H am iltonian at the initial equilibrium state, but not the tim e-dependent one associated with the later evolution, into the dissipation term s. This is the well-know approximation in studying dissipative system s under externalely driving. This treatment reduces Eq. (20) to the Lindblad form.

To incorporate the e ect of electron-phonon interaction into the K ohn-Sham master equation (12), we need to recast R $_{\rm ph}$ to a RSP density matrix form. Simple algebra gives

$$(X) Tr a^{y}a \mathbb{W}^{y}; \mathbb{W}^{()} \mathbb{W}^{(+)}]$$

$$X [\Gamma_{n}^{()} + \Gamma_{n}^{(+)}]_{nn} X [\Gamma_{n}^{(+)} + \Gamma_{n}^{()}]_{nn}:$$

$$(21)$$

In the derivation of this result, the W ick-type factorization such as $ha^y a_n W_n$ i ' nn is assumed. We would like to note that Eq. (21) coincides precisely with the central result derived by G ebauer and C ar in an alternative transport approach [24]. A fter sim ple basis transform ation (i.e. from eigenstates to local atom ic orbitals), inserting Eq. (21) into the K ohn-Sham m aster equation (12) leads to an elegant scheme which can also account for the electron-phonon scattering in the tim e-dependent transport process. We stress that this is another signi – cant advantage of the proposed transport approach. To sum m arize, based on the quantum m aster equation approach, we have constructed a practical scheme for the

rst-principles study of time-dependent quantum transport. The basic idea is to combine the transport masterequation with the well-known time-dependent density functional theory. By utilizing the partitioning-free initial condition, the scheme is reliably based on the Runge-G ross theorem. Then, with the help of spectral decom position, a closed set of equations for the RSP density matrices are obtained. Time propagation of this set of equations will directly output the time-dependent transport current.

It is of interest to note that it is the non-M arkovian (but not the Markovian) master equation that makes us be able to construct the closed set of equations for the RSP density matrices, based on the spectral decom position technique. In Ref. 26, without using the spectral decomposition and in the framework of Markovian master equation, we established an alternative form of TDDFT based master equation for the RSP density matrix. However, in that scheme, the numerical propagation seems ine cient, because of the non-local e ect of time integration. On the contrary, the num erical im plem entation of the present scheme should be e cient and straightforward. Moreover, it will be very exible for the param etrization of highly structured spectral densities, which makes the description far beyond the Markovian approximation. Systematic applications and numerical in plem entations of the proposed scheme are in progress and will appear in the forthcom ing publications.

Finally, we remark that the major approximation involved in the master equation is the second-order B om approximation for the tunnel Hamiltonian. This is a standard and well-justi ed approximation, which makes the resultant master equation good enough in a large number of dissipative systems (e.g. in quantum optics). A lso, our recent work clearly showed its satisfactory accuracy in quantum transport [25, 26, 27, 28]. In this context, we may roughly claim that its accuracy is at least at the level of sequential transport. Noticeably, in the rst-principles study, other num erical errors will com pletely cover up the inaccuracy of sequential transport. Therefore, the proposed TDDFT master equation scheme should be an attracting theoretical tool for the rst-principles study of tim e-dependent transport.

APPENDIX A:\n"-RESOLVED MASTER EQUATION

In this appendix we present the derivation of the non-M arkovian form of the n"-resolved master equation. In almost the same spirit of Ref. 25, let us regard H⁰ = $a^{y}F + H \kappa$: as a coupling of the system of interest to a dissipative environment. Treating H⁰ as perturbation and up to the second order, a form al equation

for the reduced density matrix is obtained as [41]

$$z_{t}$$

(t) = iL (t) d hL⁰(t)G(t;)L⁰()i (): (A1)

Here the Liouvillian superoperators are de ned as L () ${}_{S}$ H()],⁰(L) 0 H()], and G (t;)() G (t;)(y (t;))Gw ith G (t;) the usual propagator (G reen's function) associated with the central system (device) H am iltonian H_S. The reduced density m atrix (t) = T I_B [$_{T}$ (t)], and h()i=_B I(r _B) with _B the density m atrix of the electrode reservoirs.

The trace in Eq. (A1) is over all the electrode degrees of freedom, leading thus to the equation of motion of the unconditional reduced density matrix of the central system . How ever, more inform ation is to be contained if we keep track of the record of electron num bers arrived at the collector (right electrode). We therefore classify the Hilbert space of the electrodes as follows. First, we de ne the subspace in the absence of electron arrived at the collector as $B^{(0)}$ ", which is spanned by the product of all m any-particle states of the two isolated reservoirs, form ally denoted as B $^{(0)}$ $spanf_{I,i} j_{R}$ ig. Then, we introduce the H ilbert subspace $B^{(n)}$ " (n = 1;2; corresponding to n electrons arrived at the collector. The entire Hilbert space of the two electrodes is B =_nB⁽ⁿ⁾.

W ith the above classi cation of the reservoir states, the average over states in the entire H ilbert space B " in Eq.(2) is replaced with states in the subspace B ⁽ⁿ⁾", leading to a conditional master equation

Here $^{(n)}$ (t) = Tr_B $_{(n)}$ [T (t)], which is the reduced density matrix of the system conditioned by the number of electrons arrived at the collector until time t. Now we transform the Liouvillian operator product in Eq.(A2) into the conventional H ilbert form :

$$L^{0}(t)G(t;)L^{0}()_{T}()$$

$$= [H^{0}(t)G(t;)H^{0}()_{T}()G^{Y}(t;)]$$

$$G(t;)H^{0}()_{T}()G^{Y}(t;)H^{0}(t)] + H c.$$

$$[I II] + H c.$$
(A 3)

To proceed, two physical considerations are further taken into account as follows: (i) Instead of the conventional Bom approximation for the entire density matrix $_{T}()'()_{B}$, we propose the ansatz $_{T}()'$ $_{n}^{(n)}()_{B}^{(n)}$, where $_{B}^{(n)}$ is the density operator of the electron reservoirs associated with n-electrons arrived at the collector. W ith this ansatz for the density opera-

),

$$Tr_{B^{(n)}}[I] = \begin{array}{c} X & n \\ Tr_{B} F^{Y}(t)F()_{B}^{(n)}] \\ ; \\ [a G(t;)a^{Y^{(n)}}()G^{Y}(t;)] \\ + Tr_{B} F(t)F^{Y}()_{B}^{(n)}] \\ [a^{Y}G(t;)a^{(n)}()G^{Y}(t;)] \end{array}$$

(A 4a)

$$Tr_{B^{(n)}}[II] = \begin{cases} X & n \\ Tr_{B} [f_{L}^{Y} ()]_{B}^{(n)} f_{L} (t)] \\ ; \\ [G (t;)a \\ (n) ()G^{Y}(t;)a^{Y}] \\ + Tr_{B} [f_{L} ()]_{B}^{(n)} f_{L}^{Y} (t)] \\ [G (t;)a^{Y} \\ (n) ()G^{Y}(t;)a] \\ + Tr_{B} [f_{R}^{Y} ()]_{B}^{(n-1)} f_{R} (t)] \\ [G (t;)a \\ (n-1) ()G^{Y}(t;)a^{Y}] \\ + Tr_{B} [f_{R} ()]_{B}^{(n+1)} f_{R}^{Y} (t)] \\ [G (t;)a^{Y} \\ (n+1) ()G^{Y}(t;)a] : \\ (A 4b) \end{cases}$$

Here we have utilized the orthogonality between states in di erent subspaces, which in fact leads to the term selection from the entire density operator $_{\rm T}$. (ii) D ue to the closed nature of the transport circuit, the extra electrons arrived at the collector will ow back into the em itter (left reservoir) via the external circuit. A lso, the rapid relaxation processes in the reservoirs will quickly bring the reservoirs to the local therm al equilibrium state determ ined by the chem ical potentials. As a consequence, after the procedure (i.e. the state selection) done in Eq. (A 4), the electron reservoir density matrices $_{\rm B}^{(n)}$ and $_{\rm B}^{(n-1)}$ should be replaced by $_{\rm B}^{(0)}$, i.e., the local therm al equilibrium reservoir state. Then, the non-Markovian \n"-resolved master equation Eq. (2) is obtained.

APPEND IX B: SPECTRAL DECOM POSITION

In this appendix we show how to express the correlation functions $C^{(-)}(t;t^0) = hf(t)f^y(t^0)i$ and $C^{(+)}(t^0;t) = hf^y(t^0)f(t)i$ in terms of the sum of exponential functions, via the technique of spectral decom – position. For constant bias voltage, let us re-express, for instance, $C^{(+)}(t^0;t)$ as

$$C^{(+)}(t^{0};t) = \overset{A}{t}_{k}t_{k}e^{i_{k}(t-t^{0})}n(_{k})$$
$$= \overset{Z^{k}}{\frac{d}{2}}()n()e^{i(t-t^{0})}; \quad (B1)$$

where () = $2 \frac{P}{kt_kt_k}$ (k) is the spectral density function of the -th electrode, which can be ob-

tained by the surface G reen's function technique as described in Sec. $\mathrm{III}(\mathsf{C})$.

To express C⁽⁺⁾ (t⁰;t) as a sum of exponential functions, let us param eterize the spectral density as follows

$$() = \frac{X^{n}}{(k+1)^{2}} \frac{p^{k}}{(k+1)^{2} + (k+1)^{2}} : \qquad (B2)$$

By employing the theorem of residues, the integral result of Eq. (B1) reads

$$C^{(+)}(t^{0};t) = \frac{X^{n}}{k=1} \frac{p^{k}}{2^{k}} n \quad (^{k})e^{i^{k}} (t t^{0}) + \frac{i}{2^{k}} (t t^{0}) + \frac{i}{2^{k}} (t t^{0})e^{i_{n}} (t t^{0}); \quad (B3)$$

where $^{k} = ^{k}$ i k , and $_{n} = _{F}$ i(2n + 1)=. Similarly, the correlation function C $^{()}$ (t;t⁰) can be decomposed. Then, these two functions can be form ally re-expressed as Eq. (7).

About the spectral decom position, a few remarks are made as follows: (i) This spectral decomposition technique has been successfully applied to the non-M arkovian dissipative systems [44], and to the quantum transport through molecular wires very recently [34]. The most prom inent advantage of this technique is its exibility. That is, it is extremely well suited for the parametrization of highly structured spectral densities, leading to long and oscillatory correlation functions, thus making the description far beyond the Markovian approxim ation. In the context of quantum transport, this decom position technique avoids the usual wide-band approxim ation, and can handle arbitrary band structures which may be obtained even by the rst-principles calculation. (ii) In reality, we might nd di erent sets of parameters which can approximate a given spectral density to the sam e degree of accuracy. Nevertheless, this will not lead to a di erent dynam ical behavior, since the dynam ics is determined by the spectral density itself. (iii) Not all types of spectral densities need the num erical decom position of the spectral densities. For instance, for the D rude spectral density $J(!) = != [1 + (!=!_d)^2]$, or other spectral densities with simple poles, there is no approximation other than the nite number of Matsubara terms involved. In practice, the number of thing terms depends on the shape of spectral density. For example, for the 0 hm ic spectral density with exponential cuto $J(!) = !e^{!!}$, only three terms are accurate enough to param etrize the spectral density. How ever, for another spectral density of the form $J(!) = !^2 = (2!^3) e^{!!}$ nine term s were necessary for an accurate t [44]. (iv)For nite temperatures, the contributions of the high M atsubara frequencies will be sm all, so that for practical purposes the sum m ation over the M atsubara frequencies can be truncated at som e value. Hence, this decom position will be most pro table for high tem peratures, when only a few M atsubara frequencies contribute. For very

low tem peratures, we may alternatively parametrize the combined spectral density, say, \sim () = ()n (), in term s of the Lorentzian spectral function Eq.(B2).

A cknow ledgm ents. Support from the National Natural

- [L] N.D. Lang and Ph.Avouris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 358 (2000).
- [2] J.Heurich, J.C.Cuevas, W.Wenzeland G.Schon, Phys. Rev.Lett. 88, 256803 (2002).
- [3] C.-K W ang and Y. Luo, J. Chem. Phys. 119, 4923 (2003).
- [4] N.D.Lang, Phys. Rev. B 52, 5335 (1995).
- [5] J.Taylor, H.G uo and J.W ang, Phys. Rev. B. 63, 245407 (2001).
- [6] S.H.Ke, H.U.Baranger and W.Yang, J.Am.Chem. Soc. 126, 15897 (2004).
- [7] W .-Q. Deng, R. P. Muller and W. A. Goddard III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 13563 (2004).
- [8] M. Brandbyge et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 165401 (2002).
- [9] Y.Xue, S.D atta and M.A.R atner, J.Chem. Phys. 115, 4292 (2001).
- [10] L.Y.Chen and C.S.Ting, Phys.Rev.B 43, 2097 (1991).
- [11] D C. Langreth and P.N ordlander, Phys. Rev. B 43, 2541 (1991).
- [12] H M .Pastawski, Phys.Rev.B 46, 4053 (1992).
- [13] T. Ivanov, D. Marvakov, V. Valtchinov, and L.T. Wille, Phys. Rev. B 48, 4679 (1993); T. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. B 52, 2838 (1995).
- [14] N S. W ingreen, A P. Jauho, and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B 47, 8487 (1993); A P. Jauho, N S. W ingreen, and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B 50, 5528 (1994); C. Sta ord and N S. W ingreen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1916 (1996).
- [15] C. Bruder and H. Schoeller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1076 (1994); M H. Hettler and H. Schoeller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4907 (1995).
- [16] E. Runge and E K J. G ross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 997 (1984).
- [17] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. 136, B 864, (1964).
- [18] W .Kohn and L.J.Sham , Phys.Rev.140, A 1133 (1965).
- [19] G. Stefanucci and C.-O. A lm bladh, Phys. Rev. B 69, 195318 (2004).
- [20] G. Stefanucci and C. O. A lm bladh, cond-m at/0402094.
- [21] S.Kurth, G. Stefanucci, C.-O. A lm bladh, A.Rubio, and E K JJ. G ross, cond-m at/0502391.
- [22] X. Zheng and G. H. Chen (unpublished).
- [23] K.Burke, R.Car, and R.Gebauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 146803 (2005);
- [24] R.Gebauer and R.Car, Int. J.Quant. Chem. 101, 564 (2005).
- [25] X Q. Li, J.Y. Luo, Y G. Yang, P. Cui, and Y J. Yan, Phys. Rev. B 71 (2005) 205304.

Science Foundation of China (No. 90203014, 60376037, and 60425412), the M a jor State Basic Research Project No. G 001CB 3095 of China, and the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Government is gratefully acknow ledged.

- [26] P.Cui, X Q.Li, J.S. Shao, and Y J.Yan, Phys.Lett. A (in press); cond-m at/0506477.
- [27] J.Y. Luo, X.Q. Li. and Y.J. Yan, cond-m at/0603163.
- [28] X Q. Li, P. Cui, and Y J. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 066803 (2005) (e-print quant-ph/0408073).
- [29] L.I. G lazm an and K A. M atveev, JETP Lett. 48, 445 (1988); D.V. Averin and A N. Korotkov, Sov. Phys. JETP 70, 937 (1990); C.W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B 44, 1646 (1991); Yu.V. Nazarov, Physica B 189, 57 (1993); S.A. Gurvitz, H.J. Lipkin, and Ya.S. Prager, Phys. Lett. A 212, 91 (1996).
- [30] SA.Gurvitz and YaS.Prager, Phys.Rev.B 53, 15932 (1996).
- [31] V N. Golovach and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B 69, 245327 (2004); J.Lehm ann and D.Loss, Phys. Rev. B 73, 045328 (2006).
- [32] F. M arquardt and C. Bruder, Phys. Rev. B 68, 195305 (2003).
- [33] T. Novotny, A. Donarini, C. Flindt, A-P. Jauho, Phys. Rev.Lett. 92 (24), 248302 (2004);
- [34] S. W elack, M . Schreiber, and U. K leinekathofer, condm at/0509442
- [35] S. Datta, Electronic Transport in Mesoscopic Systems (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).
- [36] H. Haug and A. P. Jauho, Quantum K inetics in Transport and Optics of Sem iconductors (Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1996).
- [37] C. Caroli et al., J. Phys. C 4, 916 (1971); ibid.4, 2598 (1971).
- [38] Y. M eir and N. S. W ingreen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2512 (1992).
- [39] Ned S.W ingreen, A.-P. Jauho, and Y.Meir, Phys. Rev. B 48, 8487 (1993); A.-P. Jauho, N.S.W ingreen, and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B 50, 5528 (1994); H. Haug and A.-P. Jauho, Quantum K inetics in Transport and Optics of Sem iconductor (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998).
- [40] M.Cini, Phys. Rev. B 22, 5887 (1980).
- [41] Y J.Yan, Phys.Rev.A 58 (1998) 2721.
- [42] R X.Xu,Y J.Yan, and X Q.Li, Phys. Rev. A 65, 023807 (2002).
- [43] E.Zahid, M.Paulsson, and S.Datta, chapter in Advanced Sem iconductors and Organic N ano-Techniques, edited by H.Morkoc (A cadem ic Press, 2003).
- [44] C. M eier and D J. Tannor, J. Chem. Phys. 111, 3365 (1999); U. K leinekathofer, J. Chem. Phys. 121, 2505 (2004)