## Super uidity in Three-species M ixture of Ferm iG ases across Feshbach Resonances

Hui Zhai

Department of Physics, the Ohio-State University, Columbus, 43210, OH

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

In this letter a generalization of the BEC-BCS crossover theory to a multicom ponent super uid is presented by studying a three-species mixture of Ferm i gas across two Feshbach resonances. At the BEC side of resonances, two kinds of molecules are stable which gives rise to a two-component Bose condensate. This two-component super uid state can be experimentally identied from the radiofrequency spectroscopy, density prole and short noise measurements. As approaching the BCS side of resonances, the super uidity will break down at some point and yield a rst-order quantum phase transition to normal state, due to the mism atch of three Ferm i surfaces. Phase separation instability will occur around the critical regime.

Introduction. During recent years, one m a pr progress in quantum gases is achieving the crossover from a Bose condensation of molecules to a ferm ionic BCS super uid in two-species ferm ionic gases across Feshbach resonance. M eanwhile, the multi-com ponent Bose gases also attract considerable interests, mainly because of the e ects related to internal phase coherence. Here we arise an interesting question that how the crossover process happens if the molecular BEC is a multi-com ponent one, and it will be intriguing to achieve a ferm ionic multi-com ponent super uid which should be more attractive than its bosonic counterpart.

Lucky, this question is not only an academ ic one, but also close relates to current experiments on lithium and potassium gases. Recently an accurate m easurem ent of the scattering lengths between the lowest three hyper ne spin states of lithium, denoted as 11, 21 and 31 respectively, nds that there is a Feshbach resonances between Bi at 81:1m T followed by another resonance be--2i tween jli j2iat 83:4m T, as shown in Fig.1[1]. Sim ilar feature has also been found between three hyper ne spin states of potassium, where two resonances are located at 20:21m T and 22:42m T respectively [2]. Note that both the jli j2im olecule and the j2i j3im olecule are stableat the BEC sides of two resonances[3], we consider the case that the num bers of atom s in di erent species satisfy  $N_2 = N_1 + N_3$ , therefore we have a two-componentm olecular condensate deeply in the BEC side. The main purpose of this letter is to present a m ean-eld theory to describe two-component BEC-BCS crossover using lithium gas as an exam ple[4].

On the other hand, it is also interesting to look at the system from the BCS side. Note that the norm al state has three di erent Ferm i surfaces, how the system copes with gaining paring energy and Ferm i surface m ism atch is a long-standing problem for both condensed m atter and high energy physics. Recent experiments on twospecies m ixture with population in balance[5] have revealed m any interesting phenom ena such as super uidnorm al transition and phase separation, and also caused a considerable am ount of theoretical interests[6]. A nother point of this letter is to demonstrate that sim ilar e ects will also occur in the three-species mixture as it approaches the BCS side. A global phase diagram constructed by the mean-eld theory is shown in Fig.1.

Before proceeding, we would like to remark on the stability of the system against atom loss, which is the major concern of experiments. There are three sources of atom loss: atom -m olecule inelastic collision, molecule-molecule inelastic collision and the E mov states. In order to reduce the atom -molecule inelastic collision, one can initially prepare the system deeply in the BEC side where all the atom s are bound and the number of unbound atom s is very few, and then adiabatically tune to the resonance regime. The inelastic collision between molecules could be largely suppressed by the Pauli exclusion principle as all molecules contain species [21. As for the E mov



FIG.1: An illustration of the scattering lengths  $a_{12}$  and  $a_{23}$  as a function of magnetic eld and the phase diagram for the three-species mixture with  $N_2 = N_1 + N_3$ . The relation between scattering lengths and magnetic eld is obtained from the measurement of Ref.[1]. In this range of magnetic eld  $a_{13}$  is much smaller other two, and is not shown here. Restricted in hom ogeneous states, a rst order quantum phase transition form the two-component super uid state to the normal state is found above the rst resonance. Incorporating in hom ogeneous states, the phase separated state will take over around the critical magnetic eld. The locations of phase boundary will depend on the density and the concentration.

states, it is unlikely that it will signi cantly a ect the three-body loss rate in this case.(see detailed discussion in footnote.[7] and R ef.[8]). A lthough the stability of this system remains to be seen in future experiments, qualitative speaking it is very promising that it can have a reasonable long life time for experimental study, and we hope the theoretical discussed below will motivate more research in this system.

M ean- eld Theory of Two-com ponent Super uid. Following the idea of crossover theory [9], we write down a BCS-like wave function which contains pairing between both jli  $\frac{1}{2}$ i and  $\frac{1}{2}$ i  $\frac{1}{3}$ i [10]

- -

$$ji = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u_k + v_k & y & y \\ k & z & k1 \end{bmatrix} + w_k & y & y \\ k & z & k3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1)

Note that the interaction between jli ßismuch weak in the range of magnetic eld from 78m T to 88m T, comparing to those between jli ßi and ßi ßi[1, 2], we neglect the pairing between jli ßibecause both of them try to pair with ßi rst for energetic consideration, and also because the critical tem perature to achieve jli ßi pairing is too low to reach in current experiments.

Here we would like to emphasize the symmetry and order parameters of this state. First, there are two independent pairing order parameters,  $_1 = h \frac{y}{2} \frac{y}{1}i$  and  $_2 = h \frac{y}{2} \frac{y}{3}i$ . Furthermore, as both species jli and jli pair with species jli, the operator  $\frac{y}{3}_{1}$ , which in fact converts a jli jli pair into a jli jli pair, acts as a Josephson tunneling between two components, and the wave function Eq.(1) automatically gives another order parameter =  $h \frac{y}{3}_{1}i$  which is related to the relative phase between two components.

The Ham iltonian under consideration is written as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & & X \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & &$$

where  $_{k} = \sim^{2} k^{2} = (2m)$ , = 1;2;3 and i = 1;3. As in the two-species mixture,  $g_{2i}$  is related to the scattering lengthes via  $1=g_{2i} = m = (4 \sim^{2} a_{2i})$   $_{k} m = (\sim^{2} k^{2})$ . The interaction between jli ji, which is neglected here, can be turned on as perturbation in a more detailed study elsew here, and it will not a ect the qualitative features discussed here.

W ith the Ham iltonian Eq.(2) the free-energy for the quantum state of Eq.(1) is given by

$$F = \begin{cases} X \\ k_{a} j y_{k} j^{2} + k_{b} j w_{k} j^{2} \\ K \\ + g_{21} u_{k} v_{k} u_{k^{0}} v_{k^{0}} + g_{23} u_{k} w_{k} u_{k^{0}} w_{k^{0}}; \end{cases} (3)$$

where  $_{ka} = _{k1} + _{k2}$  and  $_{kb} = _{k2} + _{k3}$ . The constraint  $j_{1k} \hat{j} + j_{y_k} \hat{j} + _{p} j_{y_k} \hat{j} = 1$  can be imposed by a Langrange multiplier  $_{k} k (j_{1k} \hat{j} + j_{y_k} \hat{j} + j_{y_k} \hat{j} = 1)$ .



FIG.2: The solution to the mean-eld equations. (A-B):As the change of magnetic eld, the evolvement of two pairing order parameters  $_1$  (solid line) and  $_2$  (dashed line) (FigA), and the chemical potential  $_a$  =  $_1$  +  $_2$  (solid line) and  $_b$  =  $_2$  +  $_3$  (dashed line) (FigB). (C-D):Themomentum distributions for jli(dashed line), jli(solid line) and jli(dashed-dotted line) at 80:5m T (FigC) and 84m T (FigD). Here we have chosen  $n_2$  = 1:14  $10^{11}$  cm  $^3$  and  $N_2$ :N $_1$ :N $_3$  = 1:05:05. The two arrows in (A) and (B) indicate the locations of Feshbach resonances.  $k_{\rm F}$  is the Ferm imomentum for the majority species jli, and  $_0 = \sim^2 k_{\rm F}^2 = (2m)$ .

M inim izing the free-energy with respect to  $u_k$ ,  $v_k$  and  $w_k$  gives  $@F = @u_k = @F = @v_k = @F = @w_k = 0$ , which are

where  $_{1} = g_{21} e_{k} u_{k} v_{k}$  and  $_{2} = g_{23} e_{k} u_{k} w_{k}$ . Thus  $_{k}$  satisfies the equation

$${}^{3}_{k} = A_{k} {}^{2}_{k} + B_{k} {}_{k} + C_{k} = 0;$$
 (5)

where  $A_k = {}_{ka} + {}_{kb}$ ,  $B_k = {}_{ka \ kb}$  j<sub>1</sub>f j<sub>2</sub>f and  $C_k = {}_{j_1}f_{kb} + {}_{j_2}f_{ka}$ . The lowest solution of Eq.(5) is

$$_{k} = \frac{1}{3} f A_{k} \qquad 2 \qquad A_{k}^{2} \qquad 3B_{k} \cos[( k) = 3]g; \quad (6)$$

where  $_{k} = \arctan \beta^{p} \frac{3K_{k}}{3K_{k}} = (2A_{k}^{3} - 9A_{k}B_{k} - 27C_{k})$  and  $K_{k} = A_{k}^{2}B_{k}^{2} - 4B_{k}^{3} + 4A_{k}^{3}C_{k} - 18A_{k}B_{k}C_{k} + 27C_{k}^{2}$  [11].

For the wave function satisfying Eq.(4), the free energy is given by  $F = \begin{bmatrix} k & k \\ j & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{j=g_{21}}^{j} = g_{22}$ . It can be veri ed that the long wave length behavior of k is precisely  $(j_{1} f + j_{2} f)m = (\sim^{2}k^{2})$ , therefore the divergency in the sum mation over k can be exactly canceled out by the renorm alization terms in  $g_{21}$  and  $g_{23}$ . Furtherm ore,  $@F = @_{j} = 0$  (j = 1;2) yield two coupled selfconsistency equations. Di erential Eq.(5) with respect to  $_1$ , one nds that  $e_k = e_1$  satis es

$$(3 \ _{k}^{2} \ 2A_{k} \ _{k} + B_{k}) \frac{\varrho_{k}}{\varrho_{1}} = 1 (k \ _{kb}): (7)$$

Thus,  $@F = @_1$  gives

$$\frac{m}{4 \sim^2 a_{12}} = \frac{X}{k} \frac{k \quad kb}{3 \quad k^2 \quad 2A_k \quad k + B_k} + \frac{1}{\sim^2 k^2 = m} \quad ; \quad (8)$$

and similarly we have

$$\frac{m}{4 \sim^2 a_{23}} = \frac{X}{3 \ _k^2 \ 2A_k \ _k + B_k} + \frac{1}{\sim^2 k^2 = m} \quad : \quad (9)$$

W e solve these four coupled equations num erically, and the results are shown in Fig2, which exhibit the com – m on features of BEC-BCS crossover. From Fig2(A-B), one can see that the gaps increase and the chem ical potentials decrease as the magnetic eld changes from the BCS side to BEC side. Fig2(C-D) displays the momentum distributions for three di erent species. One can see that the momentum distributions become e much broader at the BEC side comparing to those at the BCS side, which indicates that the real space sizes of two kinds of C coper pairs are much smaller. This solution also allow s one to calculate the energy of this super uid state E<sub>SF</sub>.

Q uantum Phase Transition for Homogeneous System. As we have mentioned, in the normal state the Fermi surface of species j2i is larger than those of species j1i and j3i for the concentration N<sub>2</sub> = N<sub>1</sub> + N<sub>3</sub>, it will cost a lot of kinetic energy of two minority species to form pairs. Thus one expects that there is a quantum phase transition form this two-component super uid state to the normal state as approaching BCS side.

At mean-eld level, we simply take the normal state as non-interacting normal state, and its energy  $E_N$  is the total kinetic energy of three species. In Fig.3 (A-B) we plot the energy di erence  $E = E_N = E_{SF}$  for di erent densities and concentrations. A quantum phase transition occurs when E becomes negative, i.e. the normal state has lower energy. The critical point is usually located around the rst Feshbach resonance, and its exact location depends on density and concentration. We nd that the critical point will be pushed toward the BCS side either when the density of atom s increases or when the radio N<sub>1</sub>: N<sub>3</sub> increases[12]. We also notice that one can not connect the quantum state of the form Eq.(1) to a norm al state by continuous varying the param eters,



FIG.3: (A-B) E as a function of magnetic eld. (A):N<sub>2</sub>: N<sub>1</sub>: N<sub>3</sub> = 1:0.5:0.5 and k<sub>F</sub>a<sub>0</sub> = 1 10<sup>4</sup> (solid line), k<sub>F</sub>a<sub>0</sub> = 0.5 10<sup>4</sup> (dashed line), k<sub>F</sub>a<sub>0</sub> = 2 10<sup>4</sup> (dashed dotted line). (B) k<sub>F</sub>a<sub>0</sub> = 1 10<sup>4</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>: N<sub>1</sub>: N<sub>3</sub> = 1:0.5: 0.5 (solid line), N<sub>2</sub>: N<sub>1</sub>: N<sub>3</sub> = 1:0.4:0.6 (dashed line), N<sub>2</sub>: N<sub>1</sub>: N<sub>3</sub> = 1:0.6:0.4 (dashed-dotted line). (C) C om parison of the energy between the phase separated state (dashed line), the two-com ponent super uid state (solid line) and the norm al state (dotted line). k<sub>F</sub>a<sub>0</sub> = 1 10<sup>4</sup> and N<sub>2</sub>: N<sub>1</sub>: N<sub>3</sub> = 1:0.5: 0.5. For all gures the unit of energy density is  $E_F = -\frac{2}{k_F^5} = (20^{2} \text{ m})$ , k<sub>F</sub> is the Ferm im om entum of the major species j2 in its norm al state, and a<sub>0</sub> = 0.0529177nm. Two arrow s indicate the locations of Feshbach resonances.

therefore this transition should be a rst order quantum phase transition.

Phase Separation Instability. There are possible phase separation instability in both normal state and the two-component super uid state. Approaching the rst resonance from the normal state,  $a_{12}$  becomes larger while  $a_{23}$  is still not larger, the energy may be lowered if the normal state separates into a phase separated state constructed as follows: in one region it is  $\begin{pmatrix} u_k + v_k & y & y \\ k & 2 & p = 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}$  Di and in another region it is  $\begin{pmatrix} u_k + v_k & y & y & p_F & y \\ k & 0 & p = 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix}$  Di. The energy of this state is shown in Fig.3(C) for a typical density and concentration. We not that around the critical magnetic eld the energy of phase separated state is lower than both two hom ogeneous states.

In the super uid phase =  $(^{2}F = ((^{j}_{1})^{j}(^{j}_{2})^{j}))$  is always positive, indicating the interaction to be repulsive. Hence, one need to consider whether the repulsion is so strong that lead the two-component condensate spatially separating into two condensates, with 2i jlim olecules staying in one side and 2i Bim olecules staying in another side. Here we also calculate the energy of this type phase separated state, and nd that the energy is very close to, but usually slightly higher than, the energy of the hom ogenous state in all range of magnetic eld.

C om bining the discussion on the hom ogenous states and the phase separation instability, we draw the conclusion on the phase diagram illustrated in Fig.1. W e rem ark that the e ects m issing in the mean- eld theory, including the interaction energy of norm al state and quantum uctuations in super uid phase, will give quantitative corrections to this phase diagram. How ever, the qualitative feature, which is guaranteed by the physical understanding at two ends, should holds.

Experim ental Signatures of the Two-com ponent Superuid. At the end of this paper, we point out som e experim ental signatures to reveal the unique features of the two-com ponent supe uid state.

To detect the coherence between two components, one can bok at the radio-frequency spectroscopy, which can be described by H<sub>rf</sub> =  $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}_1 + h.c.$  and has already been widely used in ferm ion experim ents[13]. Applying r-f eld in this super uid state will induce a time-perodic oscillation of the atom num ber in both species jli and  $\beta i$ , which can be a direct evidence of phase coherence between two components.

A direct signal to distinguish this state from the normalistate is an in situ measurement of the density prole. In the super uid state the density proles of dierent species have to satisfy  $n_2(r) = n_1(r) + n_3(r)$  everywhere, and the Thomas-Fermiradii are the same for all species. In contrast, in the normalistate the Thomas-Fermiradius of the majority species is larger than those of two minority species, and  $n_2(r)$  does not equal to  $n_1(r) + n_3(r)$  everywhere. For non-interacting normalistate, at the center of the trap,  $n_2 = (n_1 + n_3) = N_2^{2=3} = (N_1^{2=3} + N_3^{2=3}) < 1$ .

A nalyzing the noise correlation in time-of- ight in - age allows one to measure the second-order correlation function G  $(k;k^0) = h\hat{n}_k \hat{n}_{k^0} i h\hat{n}_k h\hat{n}_{k^0} i [14]$ . In this state  $G_{21}(k;k^0) = j\mu_k v_k \hat{j} (k + k^0)$  and  $G_{23}(k;k^0) = j\mu_k w_k \hat{j} (k + k^0)$ , which shows strong pairing correlation between oppositem on entum s. Here, one rem arkable feature is the e ective exclusive correlation between jli and jbi, and it shows up in  $G_{13}(k;k^0) = j\nu_k w_k \hat{j} (k - k^0)$  as a dip at equal m on entum. This arises from the fact that the atom in the quantum state j2; ki can only pair with either j1;ki or j3;ki, thus the quantum state with m on entum k can not be occupied by both jli and jbi.

The author acknow ledge T in-Lun H o for insightfuldiscussion and helpful comments on the manuscript, Zuo-ZiChen for numerical assistance, Cheng Chin for helpfuldiscussion on the scattering properties of lithium and Eric Braaten for a helpful conversation on E m ov states. This work is supported by NSF Grant DMR-0426149 awarded to T L H o.

- [2] C.A.Regal, M.Greiner, and D.S.Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 083201 (2004)
- [3] Following the term inology used in the discussion of twospecies mixture, we call the high eld regime as the BCS side and the low eld regime as the BEC side.
- [4] Recently, there are several theoretical papers appearing to address the physics of three-species (or more than three species) mixture, for instances, A.G.K.Modawi and A.J.Leggett, Jour. of .Low. Tem p. Phys. 109, 625 (1997); T. Paananen, J.-P. Martikainen, and P. Torma, Phys.Rev.A 73, 053606 (2006); P.F.Bedaque and J.P.D'Incao, cond-mat/0602525; T.Luu and A. Schwenk, cond-mat/0606069; Akos Rapp, et.al. condmat/0607138; C Honerkamp and W.Hofstetter, Phys. Rev.Lett. 92, 170403 (2004) and Congjun W u, et.al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 186402 (2003), how ever, most of them are in the regim e away from Feshbach resonances.
- [5] M.W. Zwierlein, et.al. Science 311, 492 (2006); G.B. Partridge, et.al. Science 311, 503 (2006); M.W. Zwierlein, et.al. cond-m at/0605258 and Y. Shin, et.al. condm at/0606432
- [6] For instance: C. H. Pao, S.-T. Wu, and S.-K. Yip, Phys. Rev. B 73, 132506 (2006) and D. E. Sheehy and L. Radzihovsky Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 060401 (2006)
- [7] For the case two out of three scattering lengths are larger, the E mov states de nitely exist only when both a<sub>12</sub> and a<sub>23</sub> are greater than 1986:11<sub>vdW</sub>, where the van der W aals length 1<sub>vdW</sub> = 62:5a<sub>0</sub> (130a<sub>0</sub>) for lithium (potassium) (see Sec. 9.1 of R ef.[8]). The scattering lengths of lithium and potassium atom s are far from satisfying this requirem ent, unlikely to support E m ov state. On the other hand, even though there m ight be some three-body bound states in a range of m agnetic eld, it can only a ect the loss rate when the bound state is close to threshold at certain particular value of m agnetic eld (see Sec. 6.5 of R ef.[8]). The stability in most range of m agnetic eld will not be signi cantly a ected.
- [8] E.Braaten, H.W .Hammer, Phys.Rept. 428, 259 (2006)
- [9] A.J. Leggett in M odern Trends in the Theory of Condensed M atter, A. Pekalski and R. Przystawa, eds (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980), D.M. Eagles, Phys. Rev. 186,456 (1969) and M. Randeria in Bose-Einstein Condensation, A. Grin, D.W. Snoke and S. Stringari, eds(C am bridge University Press, 1995).
- [10] This wave function can be written in an equivalent but more intuitive way as  $_{p}(u_{p} + v_{p} \frac{y}{p_{2}} \frac{y}{p_{1}}) \frac{y}{q}(u_{q} + v_{q} \frac{y}{q_{2}} \frac{y}{q_{3}})$  jbi.
- [11] Weisstein, Eric W. \Cubic Formula." From MathWorld-A Wolfram Web Resource. http://mathworld.wolfram. com /CubicFormula.html
- [12] In the lim it N $_3$  ! 0, the super uid state will always has a lower energy than the norm al state, which recovers the fam iliar two-species m ixture case.
- [13] S.Gupta, et.al. Science 300,1723 (2003); C.Chin, et.al Science, 305, 1128 (2004) and J.Kinnunen, M. Rodrguez, and P.Torma, Science, 305, 1131 (2004).
- [14] M.Greiner, et.al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 110401 (2005), E. Altman, et.al. Phys. Rev. A 70, 013603 (2004).