H igh R esolution Polar K err E ect M easurem ents of Sr_2R uO₄: Evidence for B roken T im e R eversal Sym m etry in the Superconducting State

Jing Xia, Yoshiteru Maeno, Peter T. Beyersdorf, $M \cdot M \cdot Fejer$, and Aharon Kapitulnik^{1,4}

¹D epartm ent of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

²Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

³D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA 95192

⁴D epartm ent of Applied Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

Polar K err e ect in the spin-triplet superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 was measured with high precision using a Sagnac interferom eter with a zero-area Sagnac loop. We observed non-zero K err rotations as big as 65 nanorad appearing below T_c in large dom ains. Our results im ply a broken time reversal sym m etry state in the superconducting state of Sr_2RuO_4 , sim ilar to ${}^{3}\text{He-A}$.

PACS num bers: 74.25 G z,74.70 Pq,74.25 H a,78.20 Ls

Soon after the discovery of the layered-perovskite superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 [1], it was predicted to be an oddparity superconductor [2, 3]. Subsequently, a large body of experimental results in support of odd-parity superconductivity has been obtained [4], with the most recent one being a phase-sensitive measurement [5]. The symmetry of the superconducting state is related simply to the relative orbital angularm om entum of the electrons in each Cooperpair. Odd parity corresponds to odd orbital angular m om entum and sym m etric spin-triplet pairing. W hile a priori the angular momentum state can be p (i.e. L = 1), f (i.e. L = 3), or even higher order [6, 7], theoretical analyses of superconductivity in Sr2RuO4 favor the p-w ave order param eter sym m etry [2, 8]. There are m any allowed p-w ave states that satisfy the cylindrical Ferm i surface for a tetragonal crystal which is the case of Sr₂RuO₄ (see e.g. table IV in [4]). Some of these states break tim e-reversal sym m etry (TRS), since the condensate has an overallm agnetic m om ent because of either the spin or orbital (or both) parts of the pair wave function. W hile an ideal sample will not exhibit a net m agnetic m om ent, surfaces and defects at which the M eissner screening of the TRS-breaking m om ent is not perfect can result in a sm allm agnetic signal [7]. Indeed, m uon spin relaxation (SR) m easurem ents on good quality single crystals of Sr2RuO4 showed excess relaxation that spontaneously appear at the superconducting transition tem perature. The exponential nature of the increased relaxation suggested that its source is a broad distribution of internal elds, of strength 0.50e, from a dilute array of sources [9, 10]. W hile TRS breaking is not the only explanation for the SR observations, it was accepted as the most likely one [4]. However, since the existence of TRS breaking has considerable in plications for understanding the superconductivity of Sr₂RuO₄, establishing the existence of this e ect, and in particular in the bulk without relying on imperfections and defects is of utmost importance. The challenge is therefore to couple to the TRS-breaking part of the order param eter to dem onstrate the e ect unam biguously.

In this paper we show results of polar Kerr e ect (PKE) measurements on high quality single crystals of Sr_2RuO_4 . In these measurements we are searching for an e ect analogous to the magneto-optic Kerr e ect (MOKE) which would cause a rotation of the direction of polarization of the re ected linearly polarized light normally incident to the superconducting planes. PKE is sensitive to TRS breaking since it measures the existence of an antisymm etric contribution to the real and im aginary parts of the frequency-dependent dielectric tensor. Such a contribution is necessarily absent if TRS is not broken in the material. Our results show unambiguously the emergence of a nite, PKE at T_c 1:5K. The size of the e ect increases with decreasing tem perature down to 0:5 K, tracking the increase in magnitude of the superconducting order parameter. Combining our result with previously published results pertaining to the properties of the superconducting order parameter in Sr₂RuO₄, we conclude that the order parameter is d (k) = $2 k_x$ ik_v], where using the convention of Balian and Werthamer [11] we used the three-component complex vector d (k) to represent the superconducting gap-matrix. To detect the very sm all PKE (65 nanorad) we used a new schem e based on a zero-area-loop polarization-Sagnac interferom eter at wavelength of = 1550 nm. The use of Sagnac interferom etry to characterize TRS breaking was rst introduced by our group for the search for \anyon superconductivity" [12, 13]. The new scheme is 20 times more sensitive, reaching shot-noise limit of 100 nanorad/ Hzat10 W of detected optical power from room temperature down to 0.5 K [14].

Before introducing our results, we describe brie y the novel apparatus that we built for the PKE measurements and its ability to probe non-reciprocal circular birefringence e ects, while rejecting reciprocal e ects to unprecedented accuracy. Fig. 1 shows a schematic of the design. The output of a very-short-coherence length (30 m) ber-coupled superlum inescent light emitting diode (SLED) centered at 1550 nm is routed by a ber polarization-maintaining (PM) circulator to a



FIG.1: Experimental setup and the polarization states at each locations: vertical, in-plane polarization; horizontal, outofplane polarization; solid line, beam 1; dashed line, beam 2.

G lan-Calcite polarizer, and becom es linearly polarized. The polarization is then rotated by a half-wave (=2)plate at 45° to the axes of a birefringent electro-optic modulator (EOM), which operated at $f_m = 5.078 \text{ MHz}$, corresponding to twice the optical transit time of the system . A fter passing the EOM, the beam is split into two parts, with polarizations along the fast and slow axis of the EOM . They are then launched into the fast and slow axis respectively of a 10-m -long polarization m aintaining (PM) ber that is fed into a He-3 cryostat (base temperature < 0.5 K). An aspheric lens is used to focus the light coming out of the berthrough a 100-mthick quartz quarter-wave (=4) plate into a spot with $1=e^2$ diam eter of 25 m on the surface of the Sr₂RuO₄ sample. The =4 plate is aligned at 45° to the axis of the PM ber and converts the two orthogonally polarized beam s into right-and left-circularly polarized light. The non-reciprocal phase shift nr between the two circularly polarized beams upon re ection from the TRSbreaking sam ple is double the K err rotation [12, 13, 15] $(n_r = 2_K)$. The same =4 plate converts the rejected beams back into linear polarizations, but with a net 90° rotation of polarization axis. In this way, the two beam se ectively exchange their paths when they travel back through the PM ber and the EOM to the polarizer. A fler passing the polarizer, the light is routed by circulator to an AC-coupled photo-detector. Therefore the two main beams travel precisely the same distance from source to detector, except for a sm all phase di erence nr, which is solely from the TRS-breaking sam ple. On the other hand, the distance traveled by light which did not follow the correct path (i.e. due to back re ections and scattering, as well as polarization coupling due to birefringence of the sample or imperfections and m isalignm ent of waveplates) will dier by many times

the coherence length due to the birefringence of the PM ber and EOM . This light may reach the detector, but can't interfere coherently with the main beam s; it will at most add a constant background. The EOM serves as a convenient way to actively bias the interferom eter to its maximum response and enable lock-in detection [12, 13]. The signal from the detector will contain even harm onics of fm proportional to the overall re ected intensity, and odd harm onics proportional to nr [12, 13]. Details of (the previous version of) this apparatus, including its low tem perature perform ance, was described in detail by X ia et al. [14]. Unlike the previous version, here we rem oved the focusing lens between the =4 plate and the sam ple in order to m in im ize the drift due to the Faraday e ect in that lens. The drift of the system is typically < 50 nanorad over 24 hours.

The $\rm Sr_2R\,uO_4$ sam ples used were single crystals, grown by a oating-zonem ethod [16]. $\rm T_c$ as determ ined by bulk ac susceptibility m easurem ents on pieces from the sam e crystalbar was 1.44 K with a transition width of 30 m K. This $\rm T_c$ agrees with the resistive m easurem ent perform ed in-situ on the m easured sam ple as explained below. The sam ples used for the m easurem ents were approximately 3 3 mm 2 in area (ab-plane) and 0.25 mm thick (along c-axis). H $_{c1}$ of these sam ples [17], taking into account dem agnetization e ects is 5-10 O e.

For the TRS breaking study in Sr₂RuO₄ extra care has to be taken to prevent heating. To this end the sam ple was mounted on a copper platform with Au wires connected to its two opposite sides and two other Au wires connected to a third side. Besides providing good therm alanchoring, this arrangem ent was also used for in-situ resistance m easurem ents to verify the transition tem perature. Incident optical power on the sample was between 1.8 and 2 W during all the measurements. The optical power absorbed by the sample was less than 0.8 W due to the good re ectivity (60%) [18] at 1550 nm wavelength, and the detected optical power was 0.6 W . With a focused spot diam eter of 25 m, the maximum tem perature increase due to optical heating was calculated to be less than 100 mK at 0.5 K and 30 mK at $T_{\rm c}$ respectively, using m aterial param eters given in [4].

Fig. 2 shows the polar Kerr signal of a sample that was cooled in zero eld (more correctly, the eld at the location of the sample was measured to be < 0.2 Oe). D ata was collected upon warm ing up and each data point represents a tim e-average of 1600 seconds. Error bars represent statistical uncertainty. In all gures showing Kerre ect data we plot $_{\rm K}$ (T) = $_{\rm K}$ (T) ⁿ_K, where $_{K}^{n} = _{K}$ (3K) is the norm al-state baseline K err angle representing the o set of the instrum ent in zero eld (typically < 200 nanorad), or the K errangle of the instrum ent in the presence of a magnetic eld. Dashed curve is a t to a BCS gap temperature dependence. A lso shown in this qure is the resistive transition recorded for the same sam ple. In general we did not measure the two quantities

3

simultaneously to avoid possible e ects of the current on the measurem ent.



FIG. 2: Zero-eld (earth eld) measurement of Kerr effect (circles) and ab-plane electrical resistance (dots). D ashed curve is a t to a BCS gap temperature dependence.

The data presented in Fig. 2 show a clear increase in $_{\rm K}$ below T_c. W ith decreasing temperature, the signal increases sublinearly and seems to saturate to a value of 10 nanorad. The fact that the size of the signal 60 uctuates can be due to transient e ects in the sam ple, for example due to occasional vortices which attempt to modify the sense of chirality in the sample (no signal can originate from vortices as we will dem onstrate below). In 6 zero-eld runs in di erent parts of the sam ple, we measured positive K err phase shifts in three runs, negative Kerr phase shifts in two runs, and reduced Kerr phase shift in which the signal changes sign as the sample is warmed up from 0.5 K in one run. This suggests that if there are dom ains in the sam ple they are a few times larger than the beam size (possibly 50-100 m).

The broken-TRS is expected to have two possible chiralities. To choose between the two possible states, a TRS-breaking eld such as a magnetic eld, that couples to the order parameter can be applied. Fig. 3a shows the zero-eld warm -up PKE m easurem ent after the sam ple was cooled through T_c in a eld of + 93 Oe, while Fig. 3b shows the zero-eld warm -up PKE measurement after the sample was cooled through T_c in a eld of -47 0 e. C learly the two curves give a sim ilar signal below T_c which is equal in magnitude and opposite in sign. This is a clear indication that the applied eld indeed in uenced the direction of the chirality. Furtherm ore, the fact that the size of the e ect and its tem perature dependence are the same as in zero-eld cooled experiments indicate that the signal we observe is not due to trapped ux. A lso, the tem perature dependence is clearly seen here to follow a BCS gap function. M easurem ents at -930e or at +47 O e produced sim ilar results to Fig. 3 but with opposite sign. An even stronger indication that no trapped ux is involved is shown in Fig. 3a where we mark the last two



FIG.3: Representative results of training the chirality with an applied eld.a) + 930e eld cool, then zero eld warm -up (circles). The two solid squares represent the last two points just before the eld was turned o .b) -470e eld cool, then zero eld warm -up (circles). D ashed curves are ts to a BCS gap tem perature dependence.

points of the Kerr angle just before the + 930 e eld was turned o to zero. C learly no excess signal exists in the presence of the eld. Moreover, in a eld of + 930 e there 3,000 vortices. If the observed signal was entirely are due to vortices, it would im ply a single vortex K err rotation of less than 10¹¹ rad, much below our sensitivity. Thus we proved that a few possible trapped vortices [19] could not give the observed signal at zero eld. Finally, we observed that while elds that are clearly above H $_{c1}$ could be used to order the sense of chirality, measurements in which we cooled the sample in elds as high as 4.7 O e gave random sign of the PKE, sim ilar to zero eld (with the same 65 nanorad m agnitude). It is therefore reasonable to assume that ordering elds need to be of order H_{c1} to a ect the low tem perature sense of chirality of the sam ple.

C incular dichroism and birefiringence e ects applicable for p-wave superconductors were previously calculated by Y ip and Sauls [20], showing that these effects arise from the order parameter collective mode response of the superconductor. The expression they obtained for frequencies much above the gap frequency is

 $(v_F = c) (= L) (= F) \ln (F =) (2 = h!)^2$. Here v_F к is the Ferm ivelocity, F is the Ferm i energy, is the superconducting coherence length, L is the London penetration length, and ! = 2 c = is the light frequency. U sing known m aterial param eters [4], we estim ate the effect based on their expression to be 10¹² rad. There could be several reasons for the much larger measured signal and its proportionality to the gap rather than a predicted cubic proportionality. The multi-band nature of the material, its nite spin-orbit coupling, the fact that T. = 2:5 (Y ip and Sauls assumed an extreme type-II lim it), or other e ects could result in a nite signal even though no e ect is expected from the contribution to the current response from the single-particle excitations, even with particle-hole asymmetry. A Iternatively, a larger result proportional to may be the result of broken TRS that appears below T_{c} , but too close for us to resolve [21]. W hile we cannot comment at this point on such possibilities, we attem pt a more naive calculation which follows a simple model for a Kerre ect in metallic ferrom agnets [22].

Since there are no strong absorption e ects in the range of our instrum ent's wavelength, the polar K err angle is given by [23]: $_{\rm K} = (4 = n!) {}^{\rm O}_{\rm xy}(!)$. Here n = 3 [18] is the sam ple's average index of refraction and ${}^{\rm O}_{\rm xy}(!)$ is the imaginary part of the o -diagonal component of the conductivity at the light frequency which is given by [22]:

$${}^{\rm O}_{\rm xy}(!) = \frac{!^{2}_{\rm p}}{4} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\rm 0}j1}{\rm ev_{\rm F}}!$$
(1)

where $!_{p} = 4 \text{ ne}^{2} = \text{m}$ is the plasm a frequency of the metal, n is the electron density, m is the electron mass, \mathcal{P}_0 j is the maximum magnitude of the electric dipole moment due to the chiral state, and is the quasiparticle scattering time. We next assume that the energy associated with \mathcal{P}_0 j is proportional to the degree of particle-hole asymmetry at the Fermi level = $_{\rm F}$ [21, 24]. Thus, ignoring the multiband nature of Sr_2RuO_4 and the speci cs of the bands, we expect 2 = _F. This implies an approximate dipole mo nP_0^2 $m = n p_0 j (= F)^{-1} F = n$ $(ev_F = !_p) (= F)$, which is proportional to as is observed experim entally. W ithin this simple model, the expected K err angle is:

$$\kappa = \frac{!_{p}}{n!^{2}} - (2)$$

U sing known m aterial parameters [4], and scattering time 10^{11} sec (m can free path 1 m), extracted from the residual resistivity of sim ilar samples [4, 25], we obtain _K 100 nanorad. This estimate is very close to the observed saturation signal of 65 nanorad.

In conclusion, in this paper we showed in an unam – biguous way that Sr_2RuO_4 breaks time reversal symmetry below the superconducting transition. Coupled with

evidence that it is a p-w ave superconductor [4], we believe that we showed that the appropriate order parameter for this system is of d (k) = $2 k_x$ ik_y] variety. This makes Sr₂RuO₄ sim ilar to ³HeA [26].

U sefuldiscussions with S.K ivelson, I.M azin, K.M oler, M.Sigrist, and M.R ice are greatly appreciated. We also thank Zhiqiang M ao for his contribution to the crystal growth and to P. SanG iorgio for cryogenic assistance. This work was supported by Center for Probing the Nanoscale, NSF NSEC G rant 0425897 and by the Department of Energy grant DEFG 03-01ER 45925.

- [L] Y.Maeno, H.Hashimoto, K.Yoshida, et al., Nature London 372, 532 (1994).
- [2] T. M. Rice, M. Sigrist, J. Phys. Cond. M at. 7, L643 (1995).
- [3] G.Baskaran, Physica B 223224, 490 (1996).
- [4] for a review see: Andrew Peter M ackenzie and Yoshitenu M aeno, Rev. M od. Phys. 75, 657 (2003).
- [5] K D . Nelson, Z Q . M ao, Y . M aeno, and Y . Liu, Science 306, 1151 (2004).
- [6] JF.Annett, Adv.Phys. 39, 83 (1990).
- [7] M .Sigrist and K .U eda, R ev. M od. P hys. 63, 239 (1991).
- [8] K.Machida, M.Ozaki, and T.Ohm i, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 3720 (1996).
- [9] G M . Luke, Y . Fudam oto, K . M . Kojim a, et al., Nature (London) 394, 558 (1998).
- [10] G M. Luke, Y. Fudam oto, K. M. Kojim a, et al., Physica B 289, 373 (2000).
- [11] R.Balian, and N.R.W ertham er, Phys. Rev. 131, 1553 (1963).
- [12] S. Spielman, K. Fesler, C. B. Eom, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 123 (1990).
- [13] S.Spielman, J.S.Dodge, L.W .Lom bardo, et al, Phys. Rev.Lett. 68, 3472 (1992).
- [14] Jing X ia, P.T. Beyersdorf, M.M. Fejer, and A.K apitulnik, Applied Phys. Lett., accepted; cond-m at/0603284.
- [15] A.Kapitulnik, J.S.Dodge, and M.M.Fejer, J.Appl. Phys. 75, 6872 (1994).
- [16] Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, and H. Fukazawa, Mater. Res. Bull. 35, 1813 (2000).
- [17] K. Deguchi, Z.Q. Mao, and Y. Maeno, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 73, 1313 (2004).
- [18] T.Katsufuji, M.Kasai, and Y.Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 126 (1996).
- [19] P.G. Bjornsson, Y. Maeno, M. E. Huber, and K.A. Moler, Phys. Rev. B 72, 012504 (2005).
- [20] S.K.Yip and JA. Sauls, J. Low Tem p. Phys. 86, 257 (1992).
- [21] see e.g.K. M achida, T.Ohm i, and M.Ozaki, J.Sup.12, 557 (1999).
- [22] JL.Erskine and EA.Stem, Phys.Rev.B 8, 1239 (1973).
- [23] R M. W hite and T.H. Geballe, Long Range Order in Solids (Academ ic, New York, 1979), pp. 317 and 321.
- [24] A.Furusaki, M.M. atsum oto, and M. Sigrist, Phys. Rev. B 64, 054514 (2001).
- [25] Z.Q.M ao, Y.M ori, and Y.M aeno, Phys. Rev. B 60, 610 (1999).
- [26] A.J.Leggett, Rev.M od.Phys. 47, 331 (1975).