# A nalytic, G roup-T heoretic Density P ro les for C on ned, C orrelated N B ody System s 

W B. Laing, M. Dunn, D K. W atson<br>University of 0 klahom a<br>Hom er L. D odge D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y<br>Norm an, OK 73019<br>(D ated: $M$ arch 23, 2022)


#### Abstract

$C$ on ned quantum system $s$ involving $N$ identical interacting particles are to be found in $m$ any areas ofphysics, including condensed $m$ atter, atom ic and chem icalphysics. A beyond-m ean- eld perturbation $m$ ethod that is applicable, in principle, to weakly, interm ediate, and strongly-interacting system s has been set forth by the authors in a previous series of papens. D im ensional perturbation theory was used, and in conjunction with group theory, an analytic beyond-m ean- eld correlated wave function at lowest order for a system under spherical con nem ent with a general two-body interaction was derived. In the present paper, we use this analytic wave function to derive the corresponding low est-order, analytic density pro le and apply it to the exam ple of a B ose E instein condensate.


PACS num bers: $03.65 . \mathrm{Ge}$ e, 31.15 Hz z, $31.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{d,03.75Hh}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

Con ned quantum system $s$ are widespread across $m$ any areas of physics. They include, am ong other exam ples, quantum dots[1], tw o-dim ensional electronic systems in a corbino disk geom etry [2], BoseE instein condensates (BECs) [3], rotating super uid helium system $s[4]$, and atom $s$ (where the $m$ assive nucleus provides the con ning potential for the electrons). These new (and som etim es old) system spossess from a few tens to $m$ illions of particles and present a challenge for existing $N$ boody $m$ ethods when the $m$ ean- eld approach fails [5].

These system s span an enorm ous range in interparticle interaction strength. For exam ple, a gaseous B E C is typically a weakly-interacting system forwhich a m ean- eld description is perfectly adequate. On the other hand, a super uid helium system is a strongly interacting system. In this regard we note that the scattering length of a gaseous BEC tuned by a magnetic eld can cover the entire range of interactions, from weakly interacting to strongly interacting. A few of the $m$ ethods that have been applied to strongly-interacting system sinclude the coupled cluster $m$ ethod (CCM) [6], the $m$ ethod of correlated basis functions (CBF) [7], density functional theory [8], and quantum $M$ onte-C arlo $m$ ethods $[5,9,10$ ].

D im ensional perturbation theory (DPT) [11, 12] provides a system atic approach to the study of correlation in quantum con ned system $s T$ his m ethod takes advantage of the high degree of sym $m$ etry possible am ong identical particles in higher dim ensions to obtain an analytic description of the con ned quantum system -w ithout making any assum ptions about the num ber ofparticles or the strength of interparticle interactions. Because the perturbation param eter is the inverse of the dim ensionality of space ( $=1=\mathrm{D}$ ), DPT is equally applicable to weakly or strongly interacting system s. A nother im portant advantage of DPT is that low-order DPT calculations are essentially analytic in nature [13]. A s a consequence the
num ber of atom s enters into the calculations as a param eter, and so, in principle, results for any $N$ are obtainable from a single calculation [14]. A lso, even the low est-order result includes correlation, and so D P T m ay also be used to explore the transition betw een w eakly-interacting system $s$ and those which are strongly interacting. These results can be system atically im proved by going to higher order[15].

In principle, excited states at low order in DPT are obtained from the sam e analytic calculation [14]. T hey only di er in the num ber ofquanta in the di erent norm al m odes. H igher orders in the DPT expansion of excited states can also be calculated [15].

In past papers we have begun to apply dim ensional perturbation theory to calculate the rst-order ground state energy and norm alm ode frequencies of sphericallycon ned quantum system s[13,14,16\{18]. In our last paper, we developed the form alism for deriving the low estorder DPT wave function for an $L=0$ spherically conned quantum system (see Ref. [18] here also referred to as P aper I). In the present paper, we use the form alism developed in P aper I to calculate the low est-order DPT density pro le of the spherically con ned quantum system. W e apply these results to the speci c case of a BEC forwhich the density pro le is a directly observable $m$ anifestation of the quantized behavior of the con ned quantum system.

Section II provides a brief overview of the tools used. Section III discusses the $L=0, D-$ dim ensional, N -body Schrodinger equation where $L$ is the total angular mo$m$ entum of the system. Sections IV $-V$ II provide a sum $m$ ary of the relevant results from our previous work, particularly from Paper I. Then in Section V III the low estorderdensity pro le is derived from the low est-orderw ave function ofP aper I (sum $m$ arized Secs. IV $-V$ II), while Section IX discusses how it is possible to optim ize our low estorder result by $m$ inim izing the contribution from higherorder term s. Sections X and X I apply these results to the case of B BEC. Section $X$ sets up the problem for a BEC,
assum ing a hard-sphere interatom ic potential at $D=3$, while Section X I discusses the details ofm inim izing the contribution from higher-order term s. Section X II discusses the results for a BEC. Section X III presents our conclusions.

## II. TOOLBOX

The tools used to describe large-N correlated wave fiunctions are carefully chosen to $m$ axim ize the use of sym $m$ etry and $m$ inim ize the dependence on num erical com putation. We handle the $m$ assive num ber of interactions for large $N$ ( $N^{2}=2$ two-body interactions) by bringing together three theoreticalm ethods.

The rst, DPT [11, 12], is chosen because its D ! 1 equation yields a $m$ axim ally-sym $m$ etric con guration for N identical particles which allows an analytic solution. H igher orders yield insight into fundam ental $m$ otions as well as a fram ew ork for successive approxim ations. The second $m$ ethod is the FG m ethod of $W$ ilson, $D e-$ cius, and C ross[19]. This sem inalm ethod has long been used in quantum chem istry to study vibrations of polyatom ic molecules. It directly relates the structure of the Schrodinger equation to the coordinate set which describes the nom al m odes of the system. T he third $m$ ethod, the use of group theoretic techniques [19, 20], takes fill advantage of the point-group sym $m$ etry in the D ! 1 lim it.

## III. THED-D IMENSIONALN BODY SCHRODINGEREQUATION

The Schrodinger equation for an N boody system of particles con ned by a spherically sym $m$ etric potential
w ith a tw o-body potential $g_{i j}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=4_{i=1}^{2} h_{i}+X_{i=1}^{N} X_{j=i+1}^{1} X^{N} \quad g_{i j}^{5}=E \quad: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a D -dim ensional C artesian space
are the single-particle H am iltonian and the two-body interaction potential, respectively, $x_{i}$ is the th C artesian com ponent of the $i^{\text {th }}$ particle, and $V_{\text {conf }}$ is the con ning potential.
A. The E ective $S-W$ ave $S$ ch rodinger Equation.

It is desirable to transform from $C$ artesian to intemal coordinates. A convenient intemalcoordinate system for con ned spherically sym $m$ etric ( $L=0$ ) system $s$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{i}={ }^{q} P_{P_{=1} x_{i}^{2}} ; \quad(1 \quad i \quad N) ; \text { and } \\
& i j=\cos \left({ }_{i j}\right)={ }_{D}^{D}=1 x_{i} x_{j} \quad=r_{i} r_{j} ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

(1 i<j N), which are the D-dim ensional scalar radii $r_{i}$ of the $N$ particles from the center of the conning potential and the cosines ij of the $N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$ angles betw een the radial vectors. U nder th is coordinate change thee ective $S$ w ave Schrodinger equation in these intemal coordinates becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \quad 8 \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(r_{i j}\right)^{2}=\left(r_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(r_{j}\right)^{2} \quad 2 r_{i} r_{j} \quad$ ij.
B. The Jacobian -W eighted Schrodinger Equation

D im ensional perturbation theory utilizes a sim ilarity transform ation so that the kinetic energy operator is transform ed into a sum of two types of term $s$, nam ely, derivative term $s$ and a repulsive centrifugal-like term.

The latter repulsive centrifugal-like term stabilizes the system against collapse in the large-D lim it when attractive interparticle potentials are present. Low orders of the dim ensional ( $1=D$ ) expansion of the sim ilaritytransform ed Schrodinger equation are then exactly soluble for any value of N. In the D ! 1 lim it the derivative tem s drop out resulting in a static problem, while large-D corrections correspond to sim ple harm onic norm al-m ode oscillations about the in nite-dim ensional
structure. (See Sections IV -V II below .)
In $P$ aper I the weight function was chosen to be the square root of the inverse of the Jacobian, $J$, where [21] $J=\left(r_{1} r_{2}::: r_{N}\right)^{D} \quad 1$ (D N 1$)=2$ and is the $G$ ram ian determ inant, the determ inant of the $m$ atrix whose ele$m$ ents are ij (see A ppendix D of Ref. [13]), so that the sim ilarity-transform ed wave function and operators $\theta$ are $=J^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\theta=J^{\frac{1}{2}} \wp J^{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively. Under this Jacobian transform ation, a rst derivative of an intemal coordinate is the conjugate $m$ om entum to that coordinate. T hem atrix elem ents ofcoordinates and their

$$
T=\sim^{2} X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \quad \frac{1}{2 m_{i}} \frac{@^{2}}{@ r_{i}^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2 m_{i} r_{i}^{2}}{ }_{j \notin i k \notin i}^{@}{ }_{i j}^{@}\left({ }_{j k}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} V_{\text {Conf }}\left(r_{i}\right)+\underbrace{\mathbb{K} X^{N}}_{i=1 j=i+1} V_{\text {int }}\left(r_{i j}\right): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7) for $T$ is explicitly self-adjoint since the weight function, $W$, for the $m$ atrix elem ents is equal to unity. The sim ilarity-transform ed H am iltonian for the energy eigenstate is $H=(T+V)$.
IV. $\mathbb{I N F I N I T E - D ~ A N A L Y S I S : L E A D I N G O R D E R ~}$
ENERGY

Follow ing P aper I, we begin the perturbation analysis by de ning dim ensionally scaled variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=r_{i}=(D) ; E=(D) E ; \text { and } H=(D) H \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $D$ ) is a dim ension-dependent scale factor, which regularizes the large-dim ension lim it. From Eq. (7) the kinetic energy $T$ scales in the sam e way as $1=r^{2}$, so the scaled version of Eq. (6) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{(D)} T+V_{\text {eff }}=E \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where barred quantities indicate that the variables are now in scaled units. The centrifugal-like term in $T$ of Eq. (7) has quadratic $D$ dependence so the scale factor (D) must also be quadratic in D, otherw ise the D ! 1 lim it of the H am iltonian would not be nite. $T$ he precise form of (D) depends on the particular system and is chosen so that the result of the scaling is as sim ple as possible. In previous work [13] we have cho$\operatorname{sen}(D)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}D & 1)(D \quad 2 N & 1\end{array}\right)=(4 Z)$ for the $S-w$ ave,
derivatives betw een the low est-order norm alm ode functions, which are involved in the developm ent of higherorderDPT expansions, are m uch easier to calculate since the weight function in the integrals is now unity.

C arrying out the above Jacobian transform ation of the Schrodinger equation of Eq. (1), we obtain [21]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T+V)=E \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where


N -electron atom; $l_{\text {ho }}$ for the N -electron quantum dot where $=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{D} & 1)(\mathrm{D} & 2 \mathrm{~N} \\ 1\end{array}\right)=4$ and the dim ensionallyscaled harm onic oscillator length and trap frequency respectively are $I_{\text {ho }}=\frac{\sim}{m!_{\mathrm{ho}}}$ and $!_{\mathrm{ho}}^{2}={ }^{3}!{ }_{\mathrm{ho}}^{2}$; and $D^{2} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}$ for the BEC where $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}=\frac{\tilde{m}}{\mathrm{~m}!_{\mathrm{ho}}}$ and $!_{\mathrm{ho}}=\mathrm{D}^{3}!_{\mathrm{ho}}$. In the ! 0 (D ! 1 ) lim it, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\mathrm{D} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the factor of (D) in the denom inator of Eq. (10) suppresses the derivative term $s$ leaving behind a centrifugallike term in an e ective potential,
in which the particles becom e frozen. In the D ! 1
( ! 0) lim it, the excited states collapse onto the ground state at the $m$ inim $u m$ of $V_{\text {eff }}$.

W e assum e a totally sym m etric, large-dim ension conguration at which the e ective potential is a minim um. $T$ heN particles are arranged on a hypersphere, each particle $w$ ith a radius, $r_{1}$, from the center of the con ning potential. Furthem ore, the angle cosines betw een each pair of particles takes on the sam e value, ${ }_{1}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{D!1} r_{i}=r_{1} \quad(1 \quad i \quad N) ;  \tag{13}\\
& \lim _{D!1} \quad i j=-_{1} \quad(1 \quad i<j \quad N):
\end{align*}
$$

Thiscon guration for $N=1 ; 2 ; 3$; and 4 is com parable to the sequence of arrangem ents of hydrogen atom s in LiH , $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. In this analogy, the heterogeneous
atom represents the center of the con ning potential[22]. ( $T$ his sym $m$ etric high-dim ensional structure is also not unlike the localized structure found in a hyperspherical treatm ent of the con ned two-com ponent norm alFerm i gas in the N ! 1 lim it[23].)

In scaled units the D ! 1 approxim ation for the energy is sim ply the e ective potentialm inim um, ie.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{eff}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{1} ;-_{1} ;=0\right): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this D! 1 approxim ation, the centrifugal-like term that appears in $V_{\text {eff }}$, which is nonzero even for the ground state, is a zero-point energy contribution satisfying the $m$ inim um uncertainty principle [24].

Beyond-m ean- eld e ects are already present in this approxim ation. This $m$ ay be seen in the value of ${ }_{1}$, the D ! 1 expectation value for the interparticle angle cosine (see Eqs. (13) and (17)). In the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation the expectation value for the interparticle angle cosine for the $L=0$ states considered in this paper is zero. The fact that ${ }_{1}$ is not zero is an indication that beyond-m ean- eld e ects are included even in the D ! 1 lim it.

This sym $m$ etric structure in which all $N$ particles are equidistant and equiangular from every other particle can only exist in a higher-dim ensionalspace and is im possible in a three-dim ensional space unless N 4 (see above). That this high dim ensional structure $m$ akes sense can be seen as follows. As we have noted above, $r_{1}$ and ${ }_{1}$ are the lowest-order DPT expectation values for $r_{i}$ and ij. Thiswould indicate that the expectation values for the radii and interparticle angles for actual $D=3$ system $s$ should have values corresponding to structures that can only exist in higher ( $\mathrm{D}>3$ ) dim ensionalspaces. A ccurate con guration interaction calculations for atom $s$ in three dim ensions do indeed have expectation values for the radii and inter-electron angles which de ne higher dim ension structures [25].

This highly-symmetric, D ! 1 structure im parts a point-group structure to the system which is isom onphic to the sym $m$ etric group of $N$ identical ob jects[20], $S_{N}$, and allows for a largely analytic solution to the problem, even though the num ber of degrees of freedom becom es very large when $N$ is large. The D ! 1 approxim ation $m$ ay also be system atically im proved by using it as the starting point for a perturbation expansion (D P T ) [15]. In this regard, the $S_{N}$ sym $m$ etry greatly sim pli es this task since the interaction term $s$ individually have to transform as a scalar under the $S_{N}$ point group.

## V. NORMAL-MODEANALYSISAND THE 1=D NEXT-ORDER ENERGY CORRECTION

In the D ! 1 lim it, the particles are frozen in a completely symm etric con guration (which is som ewhat analogous to the Lew is structure in chem ical term inology [26]). This con guration detem ines the D ! 1 energy, $E_{1}$, but it is not a wave function as no
nodal inform ation is present. The large-dim ension lim it is a singular lim it of the theory, so to obtain the low estorder wave function we have to consider the next order in the perturbation expansion, which yields not only the ( $1=D)^{0}$, i.e. leading-order w ave function, but the order $(1=D)^{1}$ correction to the energy. T he perturbation series has the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=E_{1}+{ }^{X} \quad{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{j} E_{j} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

In practice $E_{j}=08 j$ odd. This next-order $1=D$ correction in the energy, but low est-order in the w ave function, can be view ed as involving sm all oscillations about the D ! 1 structure (som ew hat analogous to Langm uir oscillations[27]). As we shall see below, these are obtained from a harm onic equation, and so we refer to them as the energy and wave function at harm onic order.

To obtain this harm onic correction to the energy for large but nite values of $D$, we expand about the $m$ inim um of the $D$ ! 1 e ective potential. We rst de nea position vector, $y$, consisting of all $N(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ intemal coordinates:


W e then $m$ ake the follow ing substitutions for all radiiand angle cosines:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=r_{1}+{ }^{1=2} r_{i}^{0} \text { and } \quad i j=-1+{ }_{i j}^{1=2-0}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In allpracticalsituations $V_{\text {eff }}(y ;)$ is a function ofy and , so wem ay obtain a power series in ${ }^{1=2}$ of the e ective potentialabout the D ! 1 sym $m$ etric $m$ inim um.

De ning a displacem ent vector of the intemaldisplace$m$ ent coordinates [prim ed in Eqs. (17)]

we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\text {eff }}\left(y^{0} ;\right)=V_{\text {eff }} \quad 1=2=0 \\
& +\frac{1}{2}{ }_{=1}^{\left(X^{P} \quad X^{P}\right.} Y^{0} \frac{@^{2} V_{\text {eff }}}{@ y @ y} \underset{1=2=0}{Y^{0}+v_{0}}+0 \quad{ }^{3=2} \quad ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P \quad N(N+1)=2$ is the num ber of intemal coordinates. The quantity, $v_{0}$, is just a constant term, independent of $y^{0}$, while the rst term of the $O\left(\left({ }^{1=2}\right)^{2}\right)$ term de nes the elem ents of the $H$ essian $m$ atrix [28] $F$ of Eq. (21) below. T he derivative term $s$ in the kinetic energy are taken into account by a sim ilar series expansion, beginning w ith a harm onic-order term that is bilinear in $@=@ y^{0}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{2} X_{=1}^{X^{P}} X^{P} G \quad @_{\mathrm{y}^{0}} @_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}+O \quad{ }^{3=2} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $T$ is the derivative portion of the kinetic energy T see Eq. (7)). It follow s from Eqs. (19) and (20) that $G$ and $F$, both constant $m$ atrices, are de ned in the harm onic-order $H$ am iltonian as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{FP}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} @_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{G} @_{\mathrm{y}^{0}}+\frac{1}{2} y^{\sigma \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{y}^{0}+\mathrm{v}_{0}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, obtaining the harm onic-order wave function, and energy correction is reduced to solving a harm onic equation by nding the norm alm odes of the system.
A. The FG M atrix M ethod for the N orm alM odes and $F$ requencies

W e use the FG matrix $m$ ethod [19] to obtain the norm alm ode vibrations and, thereby, the ham onicorder energy correction. A review of the FG matrix $m$ ethod is presented in A ppendix A of P aper $I$, but the m ain results m ay be stated as follow s . The $\mathrm{b}^{\text {th }}$ norm al m ode coordinatem ay be w ritten as (Eq. (A 9) of P aper I)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q^{0} b_{b}=b^{T} y^{0} ;\right. \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coe cient vector $b$ satis es the eigenvalue equation (Eq. (A 10) of Paper I)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{FG} \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{b} b \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the resultant secular equation (Eq. (A 11) of Paper I) $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{FG} \quad \mathrm{I})=0$. The coe cient vector also satis es the norm alization condition (Eq. (A 12) of Paper I) $b^{T} G b=1$. As can be seen from Eq. (A 3) of $P$ aper I the frequencies are given by $b=!\frac{2}{b}$.

In an earlier paper[13] we solve these equations for the frequencies. The num ber of roots of the secular equation \{ there are $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ roots $\{$ is potentially huge. How ever, due to the $S_{N}$ symm etry of the problem discussed in Sect. 5 and 6 of P aper I, there is a very
signi cant sim pli cation. The secular equation has only ve distinct roots, , where is a labelwhich runs over $0,0^{+}, 1,1^{+}$, and 2 , regardless of the num ber of particles in the system (see Refs. [13] and Sect. V IB). $T$ hus the energy through harm onic-order (see Eq. (25)) can be w ritten in term s of the ve distinct norm alm ode vibrational frequencies which are related to the roots off G by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=!^{2}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy through ham onic order in is then [13]
where the $n$ are the vibrationalquantum num bers of the norm alm odes of the sam e frequency ! ( $n$ counts the num ber of nodes in a given norm alm ode). The quantity $d_{i n}$ is the occupancy of the $m$ anifold of nom alm odes $w$ ith vibrational quantum number $n$ and norm alm ode frequency!, i.e. it is the num ber of norm alm odes with the sam e frequency ! and the sam e num ber of quanta $n$. The total occupancy of the norm alm odes $w$ ith frequengy ! is equal to the $m$ ultiplicity of the root, ie. $d={ }_{n=0}^{1} d ; n, w h e r e d$ is themultiplicity of the th root. The multiplicities of the ve roots are [13]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d}_{0^{+}}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{d}_{0}=1 ; \mathrm{d}_{1^{+}}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1 ;  \tag{26}\\
& \mathrm{d}_{1}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{d}_{2}=\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)=2:
\end{align*}
$$

An analysis of the character of the norm alm odes reveals that the 2 norm alm odes are phonon, i.e. com pressional, $m$ odes; the 1 m odes show single-particle character, and the 0 norm almodes in a ham onic conning potential describe center-ofm ass and breathing m otions.[29]
VI. THE SYMMETRYOFTHEFAND G MATRICESAND THE JACOBIAN-W EIGHTED WAVEFUNCTION

## A. Q m atrices in term $s$ of sim $p l e$ invariant subm atrices

Such a high degree of degeneracy of the frequencies in large-D, N boody quantum con nem ent problem s indicates a very high degree of sym $m$ etry. The F, G , and F G m atrices, which we generically denote by $Q$, are $P \quad P m$ atrioes. The $S_{N}$ sym $m$ etry of the $Q m$ atrices ( $F$, $G$, and $F$ G) allow s us to w rite these $m$ atrioes in term sofsix sim ple subm atrioesw hich are invariant under $S_{N}$. We rst de ne the num ber of ij coordinates to be $M \quad N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$, and let $I_{N}$ be an $N \quad N$ identity $m$ atrix, $I_{M}$ an $M \quad M$ identity $m$ atrix, $J_{N}$ an $N \quad N$ matrix ofones and $J_{M}$ an $M \quad M \mathrm{~m}$ atrix ofones. Further, we let
$R$ be an $N \quad M$ matrix such that $R_{i ; j k}=i j+i k, J_{N M}$ be an $N \quad M$ m atrix of ones, and $J_{N M}^{T}=J_{M N}$. These $m$ atrices are invariant under interchange of the particles, $e$ ected by the point group $S_{N}$. T hey also have a speci c interpretation in the context ofspectralgraph theory (see A ppendix B of Ref. [13]).
$W$ e can then $w$ rite the $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrices as

$$
Q=\begin{align*}
& Q_{r^{0} r^{0}} Q_{r^{0-0}}^{Q_{r^{0}}} Q_{-0 \rightarrow 0} \tag{27}
\end{align*}=\frac{Q_{i ; j}}{Q_{i j ; k}} Q_{i ; j k} Q_{i j ; k 1}
$$

where the block $Q$ r ${ }^{0} r^{0}$ has dim ension $(\mathbb{N} N$ ), block $Q_{\text {rom }}{ }^{0}$ hasdim ension ( $N \quad M$ ), block $Q{ }^{-0} r^{0}$ hasdim ension ( $M \quad N$ ), and block $Q \rightarrow-0$ has dim ension $(M \quad M)$. As show $n$ in A ppendix $B$ of Ref. [13],

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{r^{0} r^{0}}= & \left(Q_{a} \quad Q_{b}\right) I_{N}+Q_{b} J_{N} ;  \tag{28}\\
Q_{r^{0} 0}= & \left(Q_{e} \quad Q_{f}\right) R+Q_{f} J_{N M} \\
Q-_{r^{0}}= & \left(Q_{c} \quad Q_{d}\right) R^{T}+Q_{d} J_{N M}^{T} ;  \tag{29}\\
Q-0-0= & \left(Q_{g} \quad 2 Q_{h}+Q\right) I_{M}+\left(Q_{h} \quad Q\right) R^{T} R \\
& +Q J_{M}: \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

It is this structure that causes the rem arkable reduction from a possible $P=N(N+1)=2$ distinct frequencies to just ve distinct frequencies for $\mathrm{L}=0$ system $\mathrm{s}[30]$.

In particular, letting $Q$ be $F G$, the $m$ atrix to be diagonalized, or $G$, the $m$ atrix required for the nom alization condition, E q. (27) becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { or } G=\begin{array}{cc}
a^{0} I_{N} & 0 \\
0 & g^{0} I_{M}+\hbar^{0} R^{T} R
\end{array} ; \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

 sim ple relation to the elem ents of the $F$ and $G m$ atrices while $a^{0}, g^{0}$, and $\tilde{n}^{0}$ have a sim ple relation to the elem ents of the G matrix (see Paper I). These coe cients also depend on the choice of (D) (See Ref. [13]).
B. Sym m etry C oordinates, N orm al C oordinates, and the Jacobian $W$ eighted $W$ ave function

As discussed in Paper I the F G matrix is a $N \mathbb{N}+$ 1) $=2 \quad N(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ dim ensional $m$ atrix (there being $\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ intemal coordinates), and so the secular equation could have up to $N(N+1)=2$ distinct frequencies. H ow ever, as noted above, there are only ve distinct frequencies. The $S_{N}$ sym $m$ etry is responsible for the rem arkable reduction from $N(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ possible distinct frequencies to ve actual distinct frequencies. A s we shallalso see, the $S_{N}$ sym $m$ etry greatly sim pli es the determ ination of the norm al coordinates and hence the solution of the large - problem.
$T$ he $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes, and in particular the F G matrix, are invariants under $S_{N}$, so they do not connect subspaces belonging to di erent irreducible representations
of $S_{N}$ [31]. Thus from Eqs. (22) and (23) the norm al coordinates $m$ ust transform under irreducible representations of $S_{N}$. Since the norm al coordinates $w$ illlbe linear com binations of the elem ents of the intemal coordinate displacem ent vectors $r^{0}$ and ${ }^{-0}$, we rst look at the $S_{N}$ transform ation properties of the intemal coordinates.
$T$ he intemalcoordinate displacem ent vectors $r^{0}$ and ${ }^{-0}$ of Eqs. (17) are basis functions which transform under $m$ atrix representations of $S_{N}$, and each span the corresponding carrier spaces, how ever these representations of $S_{N}$ are not irreducible representations of $S_{N}$.

In Sec. 6.1 of Paper I we have shown that the reducible representation under which $r^{0}$ transform $s$ is reducible to one 1 -dim ensional irreducible representation labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N}$ ] (the partition denotes a corresponding Young diagram ( $=$ Young pattem $=$ Young shape)) of an irreducible representation (see A ppendix C ofP aper I) and one ( $\mathbb{N} \quad 1$ )-dim ensional irreducible representation labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N}$ 1; 1]. We will also show that the reducible representation under which ${ }^{-0}$ transform $s$ is reducible to one 1-dim ensional irreducible representation labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N}$ ], one $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$-dim ensional irreducible representation labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 11$, and one $N(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)=2-$ dim ensional irreducible representation labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N}$ 2; 2]. Thus if $d$ is the dim ensionality of the irreducible representation of $S_{N}$ denoted by the partition then $\left.d_{\mathbb{N}]}=1, d_{\mathbb{N}} 1 ; 1\right]=N \quad 1$, and $\left.d_{\mathbb{N}} \quad 2 ; 2\right]=N(\mathbb{N} 3)=2 . W$ e note that: $\left.d_{\mathbb{N}}+d_{\mathbb{N}} \quad 1 ; 1\right]=$ N ; giving correctly the dim ension of the $r^{0}$ vector and that: $\left.\left.d_{\mathbb{N}]}+d_{\mathbb{N}} \quad 1 ; 1\right]+d_{\mathbb{N}} \quad 2 ; 2\right]=N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$, giving correctly the dim ension of the ${ }^{-0}$ vector.

Since the norm al m odes transform under irreducible representations of $S_{N}$ and are com posed of linear com binations of the elem ents of the intemal coordinate displacem ent vectors $r^{0}$ and ${ }^{-0}$, there will be two 1dim ensional irreducible representations labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N}]$, tw $\circ(\mathbb{N} \quad 1$ )-dim ensionalirreducible representations labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N} 1 ; 1]$, and one entirely angular $N(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)=2$-dim ensionalirreducible representation labelled by the partition $\mathbb{N}$ 2; 2]. T hus if we look at Eq. (26) we see that the 0 norm almodes transform under tw $\circ \mathbb{N}$ ] irreducible representations, the 1 norm alm odes transform under two $\mathbb{N}$ 1; 1] irreducible representations, while the 2 norm alm odes transform under the $\mathbb{N}$ 2; 2] irreducible representation.

The wave function for the ham onic-order H am iltonian ofEq. (21) is thus the product of $P=N(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ ham onic-oscillator w ave functions:
where $n^{p}$ ! $\left[q^{0}\right]$ is a one-dim ensional harm onicoscillator $w$ ave function of frequency ! and $n$ is the oscillator quantum number, $0 \mathrm{n}<1$, which counts the num ber ofquanta in each norm alm ode. T he quantity
labels the $m$ anifold of norm al $m$ odes $w$ th the sam $e$
frequency! while d $=1, \mathrm{~N} \quad 1$ or $\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)=2$ for $=0,1$ or 2 respectively.
VII. CALCULATINGTHENORMALMODE COORDINATES.

In $P$ aper I, we extended previous work to the calculation of the nom alcoordinates. $W$ e sum $m$ arize this work below in two-steps:
a). In Paper I we have determ ined two sets of linear com binations of the elem ents of coordinate vector $r^{0}$ which transform under particular orthogonal $\mathbb{N}$ ] and $\mathbb{N}$ 1; 1] irreducible representations of $S_{N}$. These are the symm etry coordinates for the $r^{0}$ sector of the problem [19]. U sing these two sets of coordinates we then determ ined two sets of linear combinations of the elem ents of coordinate vector ${ }^{-0}$ which transform under exactly the sam e orthogonal $\mathbb{N}]$ and $\mathbb{N}$ 1; 1] irreducible representations of $S_{N}$ as the coordinate sets in the $r^{0}$ sector was deter$m$ ined. Then another set of linear combinations of the elem ents of coordinate vector ${ }^{-0}$, which transform $s$ under a particular orthogonal $\mathbb{N}$ 2; 2] irreducible representation of $S_{N}$, was determ ined. These are then the sym $m$ etry coordinates for the ${ }^{-0}$ sector of the problem [19]. Furtherm ore, we chose one of the symm etry coordinates to have the sim plest functional form possible under the requirem ent that it transform $s$ irreducibly un$\operatorname{der} S_{N}$. The succeeding sym $m$ etry coordinate was then chosen to have the next sim plest functional form possible under the requirem ent that it transform s irreducibly under $S_{N}$, and so on. In this w ay the com plexity of the functional form of the sym $m$ etry coordinates has been kept to a $m$ inim um and only builds up slow ly as m ore sym $m$ etry coordinates of a given species w ere considered.
b). The F G matrix is expressed in the $r^{0},-0$ basis. How ever, if we change the basis in which the F G m atrix is expressed to the sym $m$ etry coordinates an enorm ous sim pli cation occurs. The $N(\mathbb{N}+1)=2 \quad \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ eigenvalue equation of Eq. (23) is reduced to one 22 eigenvalue equation for the $\mathbb{N}$ ] sector, N 1 identical2 2 eigenvalue equations for the $\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1$ ] sector and $N(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)=2$ identical 11 eigenvalue equations for the $\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2]$ sector. In the case of the 22 eigenvalue equations for the $\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1]$ and $\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2]$
sectors, the 22 structure allow sfor the $m$ ixing in the norm al coordinates of the sym me try coordinates in the $r^{0}$ and ${ }^{-0}$ sectors. The 11 structure of the eigenvalue equations in the $\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2]$ sector re ects the fact that there are no $\mathbb{N}$ 2; 2] sym $m$ etry coordinates in the $r^{0}$ sector for the $\left.\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2\right]$ sym $m$ etry coordinates in the ${ }^{-0}$ sector to couple with. The $\mathbb{N}$ 2; 2] norm alm odes are entirely angular.
A. T ransform ation to Sym m etry Coordinates

Let the sym $m$ etry coordinate vector, $S$, be

In S, symmetry coordinates of the same species are grouped together. From P aper I we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{r^{0}}^{\mathbb{N}]}=P \bar{N}_{\bar{N}}^{1} X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \bar{r}_{i}^{0} ;  \tag{34}\\
& S_{-0}^{[\mathbb{N}]}=\frac{S}{N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)}_{j=2 i<j}^{X^{\mathbb{N}} X} \underset{i j}{-0} ; \text { and }
\end{align*}
$$

W e see from Eq. (35) the rst sym m etry coordinate is proportional to $r_{1}^{0} \quad r_{2}^{0}$. This involves only the rst two particles in the sim plest $m$ otion possible under the requirem ent that the sym $m$ etry coordinate transform $s$ irreducibly under the $\mathbb{N} 1$; 1] representation of $S_{N}$. W e again advert that adding another particle to the system does not cause widespread disnuption to the sym $m$ etry coordinates. The sym $m$ etry coordinates, and the $m$ otions they describe, rem ain the sam e except for an additional sym $m$ etry coordinate which describes a $m$ otion involving all of the particles.

A gain according to item a). above, $\mathrm{S}{\left.\underset{-0}{ }{ }^{\mathbb{N}} 1 \text {; } 1\right]}^{\text {should }}$ transform under exactly the sam e non-orthogonal irreducible $\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1]$ representation of $S_{N}$ as $S_{r^{0}}^{\mathbb{N}}{ }^{1 ; 1]}$. From Paper I
where 1 i N 1. N ow there is only one sector, Paper I the ${ }^{-0}$ sector, belonging to the $\left.\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2\right]$ species. From
where 1 i j 2 and 4 j .
$T$ his fiul lls item a). above: the determ ination of the sym $m$ etry coordinates.

B . Transform ation to N orm al-M ode Coordinates.

In P aper I we apply the F G m ethod using these sym $m$ etry coordinates to determ ine the frequencies and norm alm odes of the system :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{a \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{b^{2}+4 \mathrm{c}}}{2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $=\mathbb{N}$ ] and $\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1]$ sectors, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]_{r^{0}} ; \mathrm{r}^{0}+\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]_{-0 ; 0} \\
& \mathrm{~b}=\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]_{r^{0} ; r^{0}} \quad\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]^{-0 ;} ; 0  \tag{39}\\
& \mathrm{c}=\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}^{0} ; \mathrm{C}^{0}} \quad\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}{ }^{0}{ }^{0} ; \mathrm{r}^{0}\right. \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

 the $a, \check{b}, e, \tau, e, \tilde{f}, \underline{q}, \tilde{h}$, and $\sim$ of the $F G \mathrm{~m}$ atrix of Eq. (31). N ote that the FG for the $=\mathbb{N}]$ and $\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1]$ sectors are $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes while $\underset{\mathbb{N}}{\mathbb{F}} \quad 2 ; 2]$ is a one-com ponent quantity.
$T$ he nom alcoordinates are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q}^{0}=\mathrm{c} \quad \cos \quad\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{r}^{0}}\right]+\sin \quad[\mathrm{S}-0] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $=\mathbb{N}]$ and $\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1]$ sectors, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{q}^{0 \mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2]}=C^{\mathbb{N}} \quad 2 ; 2\right] S{\underset{-}{\mathbb{N}}}^{\mathbb{N}} \quad 2 ; 2\right]: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $r^{0}-{ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ixing angle, , is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan =\frac{\left({ }^{\left.\left[{ }^{F G}\right]_{r^{0}} ; r^{0}\right)}\right.}{\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]_{\mathrm{r}^{0} ;} ; 0}=\frac{\left[{ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]^{-0} ; r^{0}}{\left.\left({ }^{\mathrm{FG}}\right]_{0}^{0} ;-0\right)} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the norm alization constants c are given by


$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2]}=\frac{1}{\frac{G}{\mathbb{N} 2 ; 2]}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ${ }^{G}$ are related to the $a^{0}, g^{0}$, and $\check{K}^{0}$ of the $G m$ atrix of Eq. (31).

This full lls item b). above; the determ ination of the frequencies and norm al coordinates. Equations (40) and (41) represent the nal results of $P$ aper $I$, the norm al coordinates.
VIII. DERIVING THEDENSITY PROFILEOF A BEC FROM THEWAVEFUNCTION

As a rst application of this general theory from Paper I, we calculate the density pro le for a con ned quantum system. The harm onic-order DPT wave function, g $0\left(y^{0}\right)$, for the ground state is given by Eq. (32) w ith
all of the $n$ set equal to zero, i.e.

$$
\left.g \circ\left(y^{0}\right)=\begin{array}{cc}
Y & Y \tag{44}
\end{array} 0_{=f 0}^{\mathrm{Y}} ; 1 \quad ; 2 \mathrm{~g}=1 \mathrm{q} \mathrm{q}^{0}\right] ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{p}!\left[q^{0}\right]=\frac{!}{}^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp \frac{1}{2}!\left[q^{0}\right]^{2}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the ground-state wave function of a BEC is completely sym $m$ etric under interchange of any of the particles and so we require $g o\left(y^{0}\right)$ of Eq. (44) to be com pletely sym $m$ etric under interchange of any of the particles. This follows from the fact that the ve $Q_{=1}^{d} 0^{P}$ ! $\left[q^{0}\right]$, where $=f 0 ; 1 ; 2 g$, are each com pletely sym $m$ etric under interchange of any of the particles. This $m$ ay be seen from the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Y } \left.\quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{P} \\
!
\end{array} q^{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

N ow according to item sa). and b). of Sec. V II, particle interchange is e ected by orthogonal transform ations of the $\left[q^{0}\right]$, where $\frac{1}{P} \quad d$, and any orthogonaltransform ation leaves ${ }^{P}{ }_{=1}\left[q^{0}\right]^{2}$ invariant. Together $w$ th Eq. (46), this fact $m$ eans that the ${ }^{Q_{d}} 0_{0} P^{[ } \quad\left[q^{0}\right]$ are com pletely sym $m$ etric under interchange of any of the particles as advertised.

De ning $S(D)$ to be the total $D$-dim ensional solid angle [32],

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(D)=\frac{2^{\frac{\mathrm{D}}{2}}}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{D}}{2}\right)} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we note that $S(1)=2, S(2)=2, S(3)=4$, $S(4)=2^{2}, \ldots$, the harm onic-order Jacobian-w eighted ground-state density pro $l_{1}, N_{0}(r)$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(D) N_{0}(r)=S(D) r^{(D)}{ }^{\text {1) }} 0(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0(r)$ is the unw eighted harm onic-order groundstate density pro le and $f\left(\begin{array}{rl}r & r_{i}\end{array}\right)$ is the D irac delta function and is di erentiated from the inverse dim ension, , by the subscript $f$. Since $_{g} O\left(y{ }^{0}\right)$ is invariant under particle interchange then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{N}_{0}(\mathrm{r})
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon using Eq. (44) and the fact that $r_{\mathrm{N}}$ only appears in $q^{00^{+}}, q^{00},\left[q^{0^{1^{+}}}\right]_{d_{1}+}$ and $\left[q^{0^{1}}\right]_{d_{1}}$ (see Eqs. (9), (17), (34)-(37), (40), (41)) and Eq. (45)) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{N} & 1 & 1 & 1_{\mathrm{Y}} & 1
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{f}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{r} & \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& {\left[0_{0}^{p}-\left[q^{0}\right]_{d}\right]^{2} d\left[q^{0}\right]_{d}} \\
& =0 ; 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp { }^{@} \quad \mathrm{X}\left[q^{0}\right]_{d}^{2} A \quad \mathrm{Y} d\left[q^{0}\right]_{d}: \\
& =0 ; 1 \quad=0 ; 1 \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

The delta function, $f\left(r r_{N}\right)$, is a function of $r_{N}$ while the integral is over the norm al coordinates $q^{00^{+}}$, $q^{00}$, $\left[q^{0^{+}}\right]_{N} 1$, and $\left[q^{0^{1}}\right]_{N} 1$. Thus we need a change of variables to perform the integral. W e change the variables of the integral to $r_{N}^{0}, r_{S}^{0}, S_{-0}^{\mathbb{N}]}$, and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{-0}^{\mathbb{N}}{ }^{1 ;}{ }^{1]}\right]_{(\mathbb{N}} \quad$ 1), where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{S}^{0}=\underbrace{X^{1}}_{i=1} r_{i}^{0} ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{S}_{-0}^{[\mathbb{N}]}$ is given by E q. (34) and $\left[S_{-0}^{\mathbb{N}}{ }^{1 ;}{ }^{1]}\right]_{\mathbb{N}} \quad$ 1) is given by Eq. (36). Thus from Eqs. (35), (40), (41), and (51) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
q^{01}
\end{array}\right]_{N} \quad 1} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lllll}
(\mathbb{N} & 1) \mathrm{T}_{41} & \mathrm{~T}_{41} & 0 & \mathrm{~T}_{44}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{11}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{+}^{[\mathbb{N}]} \cos _{+}^{\mathbb{N}]}}{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{~N}} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{13}=\mathrm{C}_{+}^{\mathbb{N}]} \sin { }_{+}^{\mathbb{N}]} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{21}=\frac{\mathrm{C}^{[\mathbb{N}]} \cos { }^{\mathbb{N}]}}{\bar{N}} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{23}=\mathrm{C}^{\mathbb{N}]} \sin { }^{\mathbb{N}]} ; \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{T}_{31}=\frac{\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{+}^{\mathbb{N}} 1 ; 1\right] \mathrm{Cos}_{+}^{\mathbb{N}} 1 ; 1\right]}{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N} 1)} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{34}=\mathrm{C}_{+}^{\mathbb{N}} \quad 1 ; 1\right] \sin { }_{+}^{\mathbb{N}} 1 ; 1\right] ;  \tag{54}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{41}=\frac{\mathrm{C}^{\mathbb{N} 1 ; 1]} \cos { }^{\mathbb{N} 1 ; 1]}}{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N} 1)} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{44}=\mathrm{C}^{\mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1]} \sin \mathbb{N}^{1 ; 1]}:
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he Jacobian, $J_{T}$, of the transform ation is thus

$$
\text { where } d^{3} b^{0}=d r_{S}^{0} d S{\left.\underset{-0}{\mathbb{N}}] d\left[S_{-0}^{\mathbb{N}} 1^{1 ;}{ }^{1]}\right]_{(\mathbb{N}} \quad 1\right) \cdot \text { W riting }}^{[10}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
J_{T}= & \operatorname{det} T= \\
& \frac{\left.C_{+}^{\mathbb{N}]} C^{\mathbb{N}]} C_{+}^{\mathbb{N}} \frac{1 ; 1]}{P} C^{\mathbb{N}} \quad 1 ; 1\right]}{\bar{N} 1} \\
& \sin \left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{N}] \\
+
\end{array} \mathbb{N}_{+}^{\mathbb{N}]}\right) \sin \left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{N} \\
1 ; 1]
\end{array} \mathbb{N}\right. \\
1 ; 1]
\end{array}\right):(55)
$$

De ning

$$
-=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & !_{0^{+}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{56}\\
\mathrm{~B} & 0 & !_{0} & 0 & 0 & C \\
0 & 0 & !_{1^{+}} & 0 & \AA \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & !_{1} &
\end{array}
$$

then

$$
\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{T}=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{0} \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{58}\\
& \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~K}^{2}
\end{align*} ;
$$

where

$$
\exp \quad a^{0^{T}} T^{T}-T a^{0} d r_{N}^{0} d^{3} b^{0}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{0}=!_{0}+\mathrm{T}_{11}^{2}+!_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{21}^{2}+(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)^{2}\left(!_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{31}^{2}+!_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{41}^{2}\right) ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S(D) No(r) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathbb{N} \quad 1)\left(!_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{31} \mathrm{~T}_{34}+!_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{41} \mathrm{~T}_{44}\right)  \tag{60}\\
& K_{11}=!_{0+} \mathrm{T}_{11}^{2}+!_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{21}^{2}+!_{1^{+}} \mathrm{T}_{31}^{2}+!_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{41}^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{12}=\mathrm{K}_{21}=!_{0^{+}} \mathrm{T}_{11} \mathrm{~T}_{13}+!_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{21} \mathrm{~T}_{23} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{K}_{13}=\mathrm{K}_{31}=!_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{31} \mathrm{~T}_{34}+!_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{41} \mathrm{~T}_{44} ; \mathrm{K}_{22}=!_{0}+\mathrm{T}_{13}^{2}+!_{0} \mathrm{~T}_{23}^{2} ;  \tag{61}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{23}=\mathrm{K}_{32}=0 ; \mathrm{K}_{33}=!_{1+} \mathrm{T}_{34}^{2}+!_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{44}^{2} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

U sing Eqs. (53) and (58) in Eq. (57), we obtain

$$
\exp \quad K_{0} r_{N}^{\infty} \quad 2 r_{N}^{0} K^{T} b^{0} \quad b^{0 T} K b^{0} d r_{N}^{0} d^{3} b^{0} ;
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(D) N o(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(D) N_{0}(r)=\frac{{\mathrm{N} J_{T}}^{\mathrm{p}-}(\mathrm{D})^{\mathrm{p}} \frac{!_{0+}!_{0}!_{1+}!_{1}}{2}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{r}{(D)} \quad r_{1} \quad K^{T} b^{0} \quad b^{0 r} K b^{0} \quad d^{3} b^{0}: \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing
$Z_{1} \quad Z_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \quad b^{0 T} A b^{0} \quad 2 B^{T} b^{0} \quad d^{n} b^{0} \\
& =\frac{\frac{n}{2}}{\operatorname{det} A} \exp \quad B^{T} A \quad{ }^{1} B ; \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (64) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(\mathbb{D}) N_{0}(r)=\frac{N^{p_{-}}}{P_{-}}(\mathbb{D}) \\
\quad \exp \quad 1 \frac{r}{\frac{r}{!_{0+}!_{0}}!_{1^{+}}!_{1} J_{T}^{2}}  \tag{66}\\
\operatorname{det} K \\
r_{1} \\
{ }^{2}\left(K_{0} \quad K^{T} K^{1} K\right):
\end{gather*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!_{0^{+}}!_{0} \quad!_{1^{+}}!_{1} J_{T}^{2}}{\operatorname{det} K}=\left(K_{0} \quad K^{T} K^{1} \mathrm{~K}\right) ; \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(D) N_{0}(r)=N \quad \begin{array}{llll}
N^{2}(D)\left(K_{0}\right. & \left.K^{T} K^{1}{ }^{1} K\right)
\end{array} \\
& \exp \quad{ }^{1} \frac{r}{(D)} \quad r_{1} \quad\left(K_{0} \quad K^{T} K \quad{ }^{1} K\right) \quad: \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

The harm onic-order DPT Jacobian-weighted density pro le, $\mathrm{N}_{0}(r)$, is correctly norm alized to N , since upon using Eq. (65) (w ith $\mathrm{n}=1$, $\mathrm{A}=$ $\left(K_{0} \quad K^{T} K \quad{ }^{1} K\right)=\left({ }^{2}(D)\right)$ and $B=r_{1}\left(K_{0}\right.$ $\left.K^{T} K \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{~K}\right)($ (D))) in Eq. (68) we nd $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{0}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{dr} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{N}: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice that the harm onic-order DPT density pro le is a norm alized gaussian centered around $r=$ (D) $r_{1}$, the D ! 1 con guration radius (see Eqs. (9) and (13)).

## IX. OPTIMIZINGTHEHARMONIC-ORDER RESULT

Let's look in m ore detail at the harm onic-order wave function. In the notation of Eqs. (16) and (18), Eq. (17)
can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y_{1}+{ }^{1=2} y^{0} ; \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{y}_{1}=\mathrm{y} \left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
& \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{r}_{1}  \tag{71}\\
& -\mathrm{jk}=-_{0} \\
& j \mathrm{l}
\end{aligned} \quad 8 \quad 8 \quad 1 \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{i} \\
& \mathrm{j}
\end{align*} \mathrm{~N} \quad\right. \text { and }
$$

Inserting Eq. (70) into Eq. (22) one obtains $q=q_{1}+$ ${ }^{1=2} q^{0}$, where $q_{1}=b^{T} y_{1} . U$ sing these in Eq. (32) one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0\left(y^{0}\right)=\begin{array}{rl}
Y & Y \\
n & f D
\end{array} g^{1=2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
{[q]} & q_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad: \\
& =f 0 ; 1 ; 2 \mathrm{~g}=1 \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (72) represents oscillations about the D ! 1 con guration $\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{w}$ th frequencies fD ! g. For a m acroscopic quantum con ned system at $=1=3(D=3)$, D ! = $3!$ is su ciently sm all that this harm onicorder, Jacobian-weighted wave function has a macroscopic extension. H ow ever, when D becom es large ( becom es sm all) the frequencies fD! g becom es very large and so, according to Eq. (72), the harm onic-order wave function becom es strongly localized about [q ] = $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ (i.e., it features short w avelengths).
$T$ his dim ensional behavior has interesting, and very useful consequences. A s is well known, the energy and density pro le of a sm all-a Bose Einstein condensate at $D=3$ depends only on the scattering length of the interatom ic potential, and not the detailed shape of the potential. This is due to the long wavelength nature of BEC's: for sm all to moderate scattering lengths, the atom ic w avelengths are not short enough to \resolve" the short-range detail of the potential. H ow ever, for large D the atom ic w avelengths becom e very short, since according to Eqs. (9) and (10), the scaled, Jacobian-transform ed H am ittonian displays an e ectivem ass term proportional to $D^{2}$. Thus as we have noted above, and unlike at $D=3$, the wave length of the wave function for the large-D system becom es sm aller and becom es sensitive to the details of the potential.

This feature is actually advantageous. A perturbation theory in som e param eter which at low orders displays an insensitivity to the precise shape of the interatom ic potential could not be optim ized to yield results at low order that would be close to the actual results. For exam ple, one could not reasonably expect the energy and density pro le at low orders to be both insensitive to the precise shape of the interatom ic potential for sm all xed scattering length and, at the sam e tim e, to di er only a sm all am ount from the actuald $=3$ condensate energy and density pro le. The energy and density pro le at low orders would alm ost certainly be di erent from the actuald $=3$ condensate .
$T$ he large-D sensitivity to the details of the interatom ic potential in the present $m$ ethod enables us to optim ize our dim ensional continuation of the interparticle potential so that higher-order contributions of this theory will be sm all
X. APPLICATION:THEDENSITY PROFILE FOR AN N -ATOM BOSEEINSTEIN

CONDENSATE

W e assum e a $T=O K$ condensate which is con ned by an isotropic, harm onic trap w ith frequency ! ${ }_{\mathrm{h}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{conf}}\left(r_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} m!{\underset{\mathrm{ho}}{2} r_{i}^{2}: ~}_{\text {a }} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

A realistic two-body atom -atom interaction potential would lead to a solid-like ground state, and so typically this tw ołbody potential is replaced w ith a pseudopotentialw hich does not support bound states. W e follow m ost other work and replace the actual atom -atom potential by a hard sphere of radius a:

$$
V_{\text {int }}\left(r_{i j}\right)=\begin{align*}
& 1 ; r_{i j}<a  \tag{74}\\
& 0 ; \quad r_{i j} a:
\end{align*}
$$

where $a$ is the s-w ave scattering length of the condensate atom s . W e dim ensionally continue the hard-sphere potentialso that it is di erentiable aw ay from $D=3$, allow ing us to perform the dim ensionalperturbation analysis (see Ref. $[13,14]$ as well as a later discussion in this paper). Thus, we take the interaction to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {int }}\left(r_{i j}\right)=\frac{V_{\circ}}{1 \quad 3=D} \quad 1 \quad \tanh \frac{C_{0}}{1 \quad 3=D}\left(r_{i j}\right. \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) ;
where D is the C artesian dim ensionality of space. This interaction becom es a hard sphere ofradius a in the physical, $D=3$, lim it. $T$ he tw o constants, $V_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ (which determ ine the height and the slope of the potential), are param eters that allow us to ne-tune the large-D shape of the potential and optim ize our results through harm onic order by $m$ inim izing the contribution of the higher-order term s beyond harm onic (see Section XI). A though we have chosen the sim plest possibility for the interatom ic potential, tw o param eters, we can have any num ber of param eters providing for a m ore general and
exible potential[14]. T he functional form of the potentialat $D \in 3$ is not unique. O ther functional form scould be chosen $w$ ith equalsuccess as long as the form is di erentiable and reduces to a hard-spherepotentialatD $=3$. W e sim ply choose a form that allow s a gradualsoftening of the hard wall.
$W$ e need to regularize the large-D lim it of the Jacobian-w eighted H am ittonian ( $\mathrm{J}^{1=2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{J}^{1=2}$ ). W e do this by converting the variables to dim ensionally-scaled harm onic-oscillator units (bars):
$r_{i}=\frac{r_{i}}{D^{2} a_{h o}} ; \quad E=\frac{D^{2}}{\sim!_{\mathrm{ho}}} E ; \quad H=\frac{D^{2}}{\sim!_{h o}} H ; \quad a=\frac{a}{D^{\circ} D^{2} a_{h o}} ;$
$V_{O}=\frac{D^{2}}{\sim!} V_{0} ; \quad C_{0}=\frac{2 D^{2} a_{h o} C_{0} ;}{}$ $V_{0}=\frac{D^{2}}{\sim!_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{V}_{\circ} ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{0}=\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h} \circ} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{O}} ;$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mathrm{ho}}=\frac{r}{m_{\mathrm{ho}}} \text { and }!_{\mathrm{ho}}=\mathrm{D}^{3}!_{\mathrm{ho}} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the dim ensionally-scaled harm onic-oscillator length and dim ensionally-scaled trap frequency, respectively. In this case we have chosen (D) $=D^{2} a_{h o}$, while the dim ensionally-scaled ham onic-oscillator units of energy, length and time are $\sim!$ ho,$a_{h o}$, and $1=!$ ho , respectively. A $l l$ barred constants ( $a, a_{h o}, l_{h o}, V_{0}$, and $c_{0}$ ) are held xed asD varies. For exam ple, asD varies a is held xed at a value by requiring that it give the physical unscaled scattering length at $D=3$.

In dim ensionally scaled units the totalinteraction term reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \tanh \frac{C_{0}}{13} \quad \stackrel{r_{i j}}{2} \quad \text { a } \quad:(78)
\end{aligned}
$$

The in nite-D ( $!0)$ e ective potential in dim ensionally-scaled ham onic-oscillator units of Eqs. (76) and (77) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{e f f}={ }_{i=1}^{N^{N}} \frac{1}{8 r_{i}^{2}} \frac{\text { (i) }}{}+\frac{1}{2} r_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +V_{0}{ }_{i=1} 1 \quad \tanh \quad c_{0} \quad \frac{r_{i j}}{P} \quad a \quad: \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can see from the double-sum term in $V_{\text {eff }}$ that the large-D interatom ic potential has becom e a soft sphere of radius a and height $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {}}$. T he slope of the soft wall is determ ined by $c_{0}$. The developm ent of D P T using the three-param eter extension ofE q. (75) is discussed at length in $\mathrm{Ref}$. [13], while a four param eter extension of Eq. (75) is discussed in Ref. [14].

As noted above, the two param eters in Eq. (79) are chosen w ith the goalofm inim izing the contribution of the higher-order beyond-harm onic term $s$, and so optim izing the harm onic-orderD P T density pro le (ofEq. (68)) and energy perturbation series through harm onic order in (Eq. (25)).
XI. OPTIM IZATION OF THE INTERPARTICLE PARAMETERSOFA QUANTUM CONFINED SYSTEM

W e consider a BEC in a spherical trap where ! ho $=$ $2 \quad 77: 87 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and for whid $\mathrm{a}=1000 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$. or $0: 0433 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{h}}$ in oscillator units ( $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ho}}=\overline{\sim=\mathrm{m}!_{\mathrm{ho}}}$ ) which is approxim ately equal to ten tim es the natural ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ value. W e choose this value for the scattering length since the actual density pro les and energies show a notigeable di erence from the $m$ ean- eld, GP equation result at low $N$, and yet them odi ed G rossP itaevskii (MGP) equation [33] re$m$ ains valid for com parison to the D P T result.

The potential is optim ized by tting the energies through harm onic order to benchm ark D M C energies[10]
at low atom number (N 50) and DMC density proles for $\mathrm{N}=3$ and $\mathrm{N}=10$ (DMC density pro les for larger $N$ have not been obtained [10]). Since in our D P T analysis the num ber of atom $S N$ is a param eter, we can readily extrapolate to larger N w ithout large am ounts of calculation.

A least-squares $t$ is used to optim ize the param eters of the dim ensionally-continued interatom ic potential. W e t to six accurate low -N D M C energies[10] and, as noted above, the position and height of the peak of the DMC density pro le for $N=3$ and $N=10$. TheDPT values for the position and height of the peak of the density pro le are just

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad r_{\text {peak }}^{(D P T)}\left(\mathbb{N} ; V_{0} ; C_{0}\right)=r_{1}  \tag{80}\\
& \left(\mathbb{N}_{0}\right)_{m \text { ax }}^{(D P)}\left(\mathbb{N} ; V_{0} ; C_{0}\right) \\
& =\frac{N}{S(D)} \frac{{ }^{2}(D)\left(K_{0} K^{T} K^{1} K\right)}{D=3} \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we m inim ize the follow ing quantity $w$ th respect to the two param eters $V_{\circ}$ and $c_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{2}= & X_{i}^{6} \quad E_{i}^{(D M C)} \quad E^{(D P T)}\left(N_{i} ; V_{0} ; C_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{i}^{(D M C)}$ is the dim ensionally-scaled DMC energy for a condensatew th atom num ber $N_{i}$, while $r_{\text {peak }}^{(D \operatorname{M~C~)}}(\mathbb{N})$ and $N_{m}\left(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{Max}^{\mathrm{C})}(\mathbb{N})\right.$ are the dim ensionally-scaled position and height of the peak of the DM C density pro le. The quantity $E{ }^{(D P T)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{i} ; V_{o} ; C_{0}\right)$ is the DPT energy approxi$m$ ation through harm onic order given by Eqs. (25) with interatom ic potential param eters $\mathrm{V}_{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{0}$, evaluated at $D=3 . T$ huswe have a two-param eter $t$ for $c_{0}$ and $V_{0}$. $T$ his results in values $C_{0}=4: 7315$ and $V_{0}=630: 9573$ at an $R^{2}$ of $14: 6015$.
$W$ e rem ind the reader that the potential at $D=3$ rem ains a sim ple hard-sphere potential at $r=a$.

## X II. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION

In Fig. 1 we plot the Jacobian-w eighted density pro le divided by the num ber of atom s N at $\mathrm{N}=100$ for a scattering length $a=a_{\text {ho }}=0: 0433$, or roughly ten tim es the natural scattering length of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ when $!_{\text {ho }}=2$ $77: 87 \mathrm{~Hz}$. O ne hundred atom s is a ten-fold increase over the largest $N$ density pro le initially used to determ ine the interatom ic potential param eters $c_{0}$ and $V_{0}$. As we see, the DPT density pro le lies close to the M GP result, particularly in the $0: 5 \quad r=a_{h}$ o 1 range, although the


FIG.1: The harm on ic-order num ber density per atom versus radial distance of a spherically con ned BEC of $100{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atom swith $\mathrm{a}=1000 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{u}$. and $!_{\text {ho }}=2 \quad 77: 87 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The solid line is the analytic DPT density and the dashed line is the M G P density. B oth curves are w eighted by the Jacobian.


FIG. 2: The M GP number density per atom versus radial distance of a spherically con ned BEC of $1,000{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atom s w ith $\mathrm{a}=1000$ a.u. and $!_{\mathrm{ho}}=2 \quad 77: 87 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The dashed line is the M GP density w eighted by the Jacobian. T he vertical line is inserted to em phasize the asym $m$ etry of the M GP curve at higher $N$.
peak of the D P T pro le is a little higher. Since the area under the curve is norm alized to one, the extra height of the DPT peak com es at the expense of density at larger values of $r=a_{h}$ o which lies below both the GP and M GP result.

At larger values of $N$ the density pro le develops an asym $m$ etric aspect. This is ilhustrated in $F$ ig. (2) where weplot the M G P Jacobian-w eighted density pro le at the sam e scattering length for $N=1 ; 000$. The low est-order DPT density pro leofEq. (68) is a gaussian and sym m etric about $r_{\text {peak }}^{(D)}\left(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{V}_{0} ; \mathrm{C}_{0}\right)$, and so is unable to capture this em erging asym $m$ etry as $N$ increases. A lso if we $x$ $N$ and increase the scattering length yet further, the density pro le becom es increasingly asym $m$ etric. Thuswhile the ham onic-orderDPT density pro le is reasonably accurate for sm all $a$ and for $s m$ aller $N$ at interm ediate $a$, incorporating beyond-m ean- eld e ects form ore strongly interacting system $s$ (larger $N$ or a) $m$ eans we need to go to next order beyond harm onic in the DPT perturbation expansion to achieve an asym $m$ etric beyond-m ean- eld Jacobian-w eighted density pro le.

A next-order calculation form ore strongly-interacting
system s may be outlined as follows. The higherorder beyond-harm onic interaction term $s$ have to be reexpressed in term s of the norm alcoordinates of the largeD system. In this regard the $S_{N}$ sym $m$ etry greatly sim pli es this task since the interaction term s individually have to transform under the scalar $\mathbb{N}$ ] irreducible representation of $S_{N}$. In particular, there are only a nite num ber of $C$ lebsch-G ordon coe cients of $S_{N}$ coupling a nite number of norm al coordinates, which transform under the three above discussed irreducible representations of $S_{N}$, together to form a scalar $\mathbb{N}$ ] irrep. For exam ple, there are only eight C lebsch-G ordon coe cients of $S_{N}$ which couple three norm alcoordinates transform ing under the $\mathbb{N}], \mathbb{N} \quad 1 ; 1]$, or $\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; 2]$ irreducible representations together to form a scalar $\mathbb{N}$ ] irrep. A ctually, it is this lim ited num ber of C lebsch-G ordon $00-$ e cients which has allowed for the essentially analytic solution discussed in this paper of the harm onic-order DPT approxim ation and 达 greatly sim pli es the higher-orderbeyond-ham onic interaction term sand their necessary transform ation to norm alcoordinates. The required C lebsch-G ordon coe cients $m$ ay be calculated analytically for arbitrary N.

## X III. CONCLUSIONS.

In this paper we have calculated an N -body, analytic, low est-orderD P T Jacobian-w eighted density pro le for a quantum con ned system w ith a general two-body interaction from the previously derived analytic low est-order DPT wave function [18]. The density pro le is directly observable for $m$ acroscopic quantum con ned system $s$, such as a BEC.
$T$ he theory applied in this paper for $L=0$ states of spherically con ned system $s$ is applicable to system $s$ w ith attractive or repulsive interparticle interactions and is also applicable to both weakly ( $m$ ean eld) and strongly (beyond-m ean- eld) correlated system s. As we have noted above, the fact that ${ }_{1}$ is not zero is an indication
that beyond-m ean- eld e ects are included in this result even in the D ! 1 lim it. This theory is readily generalizable to system $s$ for which the con ning potential has cylindrical sym $m$ etry,

We have applied the general form alism for the ham onic-order D P T Jacobian-w eighted density pro le developed in this paper, to the exam ple of a spherically con ned BEC. The higher-order DPT term s are minim ized by analytically continuing the interatom ic potential in D aw ay from a hard sphere of radius a at $D=3$ so that we $t$ closely to accurate low -N DM C energies and Jacobian-w eighted density pro les $\mathbb{N}=3$ and 10 for the density pro les). We have chosen a scattering length $a=a_{h o}=0: 0433$, or roughly ten tim es the natural scattering length of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ when $!_{\mathrm{ho}}=2 \quad 77: 87 \mathrm{~Hz}$. At this value of a the beyond-m ean- eld M GP equation rem ains valid for com parison. Exploiting the fact that our result is largely analytic, so that the particle num ber, $N$, is a param eter in our theory, we have tested the Jacobian-weighted density pro le at $\mathrm{N}=100$ and nd the DPT result to lie close to the M GP result.

H ow ever, for m ore strongly interacting system $s$ the Jacobian-w eighted density pro le develops an asym m etry about the peak that our harm onic-order D P T Jacobianweighted density pro le does not m im ic. Thus higherorder calculations are required for larger N and large a. A detailed program for calculating higher-order D P T corrections to N body system s has been laid out in the paper by D unn et. al.[15] and has been applied to high order for sm all-N system s[34]. A s discussed at the end of Section X II of this paper, for large-N system $s$ the $S_{N}$ point-group sym $m$ etry is at the heart of, and greatly sim pli es, the calculation of these higher-order term s.
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