# Renorm alization of therm alconductivity of disordered d-wave superconductors by in purity-induced localm on ents

Brian M. Andersen<sup>a</sup> and P.J.H irschfeld<sup>a;b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of F brida, G ainesville, F brida 32611-8440, U SA <sup>b</sup>Laboratoire de P hysique des Solides, U niversite P aris-Sud, 91405 O rsay, France

#### A bstract

The low-tem perature therm alconductivity  $_0$ =T ofd-wave superconductors is generally thought to attain a \universal" value independent of disorder at su ciently low tem peratures, providing an important measure of the magnitude of the gap slope near its nodes. We discuss situations in which this inference can break down because of competing order, and quasiparticle localization. Speci cally, we study an inhom ogeneous BCS mean eld model with electronic correlations included via a Hartree approximation for the Hubbard interaction, and show that the suppression of  $_0$ =T by localization e ects can be strongly enhanced by magnetic moment form ation around potential scatterers.

Key words: therm al conductivity, d-wave superconductivity, disorder, antiferrom agnetic correlations, theory.

### 1. Introduction

Therm al conductivity m easurem ents at low tem peratures T in the superconducting state have played an important role in strengthening the case for a d-wave BCS-like description of quasiparticles in optim ally doped cuprate superconductors. They are bulk probes of the superconducting state, unlike ARPES and STM, and can currently be perform ed at low erT than m icrow ave experim ents. O ne draw back is the need to separate phonon and electron contributions, but in the cuprate superconductors const which an asymptotic linear term ,  $_0=T$ can be attributed solely to quasiparticles is alm ost always present at the lowest tem peratures. A fter theoretical predictions of the universality of low-T quasiparticle transport in nodal superconductors [1], experimental con mation was obtained in a number of optim ally doped m aterials [2]. A coording to the theory, which relies on the disorder-averaged self-consistent T -m atrix approxim ation (SCTMA), the low -T therm al conductivity is given by

$$_{00} = \frac{0}{T}$$
 /  $\frac{k_B^2}{3}$   $\frac{v_F}{v} + \frac{v}{v_F}$  ; (1)

where v is the gap slope at the node, and v<sub>F</sub> the Ferm i velocity. This result has been shown to be insensitive to vertex corrections due to anisotropic scattering [3]. Since v<sub>F</sub> is generally well-known, Eq.(1) has been used to extract the gap slope for a number of cuprates at lower doping as well[4], leading to the conclusion that v increases with underdoping [4]. This conclusion is in apparent contradiction to recent ARPES experiments [5], so it is worthwhile to exam ine physical e ects outside the fram ework of the SCTM A which could lead to a suppression of  $_0$ =T and thereby to the possible erroneous conclusion based on Eq. (1) that v was increasing.

There are several e ects known to lead to a suppression of  $_0$ =T.Localization e ects were discussed in this context in R ef. [6], and e ects of bulk subdom - inant competing orders have been shown to suppress  $_0$ =T but do not im mediately eliminate it, despite the rem oval of the d-w ave nodes [7]. Here, we inves-

tigate the e ects on (T) by local in purity-induced m om ents relevant e.g. to the underdoped regime of  $La_2 \times Sr_xCuO_4$  (LSCO)[9].

## 2. M agnetic correlations.

The model used to study disordered d-wave superconductors with magnetic correlations is:

$$\hat{H} = t \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ c_{i}^{v} c_{j} + & {}_{ij}c_{j*}^{v}c_{j\#}^{v} + H c. \\ X & X & X \\ \hat{n}_{i} + U & \hat{n}_{i} \hat{n}_{i} - i + & V_{i}\hat{n}_{i} : (2) \\ i & i & i \end{pmatrix}$$

Here,  $C_i^V$  creates an electron of spin on the site i on a two-dimensional lattice, is the chemical potential and  $\hat{n}_i = C_i^V \hat{c}_i$  is the particle number operator. In general, magnetic order induced by U will compete with the superconducting order  $_{ij}$  and lead to a bulk magnetic state above some critical  $U_{c0}$ . However, even for  $U_c < U < U_{c0}$ , disorder  $V_i \in$ 0 caused by point-like in purities can induce localized S = 1=2 states with staggered magnetization. Here,  $U_c$  is the critical U necessary for localm om ent form ation, and will in general depend on the im purity strength. In this paper we study in purity con-

gurations with 2% random ly positioned point-like in purities of strength  $V_i = 35t$  which is in the unitary lim it. W e have solved Eq. (2) selfconsistently on 40 40 lattices with hole doping = 12%, and calculated the electronic (T) as outlined in R ef. [6]. In Fig.1, we show the therm alconductivity averaged over 20 di erent random im purity con gurations. For U = 0 the results agree well with those obtained previously for the dilute in purity lim it [6]: (T)=T =  $_{00}$  + T<sup>2</sup>, and the spatial inhom ogeneity of i only slightly modies as seen by comparing the SC and N SC results.For the bulk system we have ii = 0:4t on each link resulting in the universal value  $_{00} = 1=3 (v_F = v + v = v_F) = 0.967$ , which is nicely reproduced by the num erics. For  $0 < U < U_{c}$ 8i:hn;"i = hn;#i and U enters as a chem icalpotential shift which is compensated by a modi ed (to get = 12%) and consequently (T) is identical to the U = 0 result. In the regim  $eU_c < U < U_{c0}$ , local m om ents are form ed and the corresponding therm al conductivity is also shown in Fig.1.As seen, when U increases, (T)=T (and  $_0$ =T) is continuously suppressed, and 0=T will eventually vanish in the bulk insulating state around U  $U_{c0}$ . The origin of the suppressed therm alconductivity can be traced to a



Fig.1. (T)=T  $_{00}$  versus T for 2% point-like in purities with U = 0 (non-selfconsistent (NSC) ( ), selfconsistent (SC) (?)), U = 3.2t (4 ), and U = 3.4t ( ). The nite system size restricts us to study the region T = t & 0.02. Inset: Selfconsistent DOS for the cases U = 0.0 (?) and U = 3.2t (4 ).

reduction of the low-energy density of states (DOS) by the localm agneticm om ents as shown in the inset in Fig. 1. Thus, impurity-driven localm om ent form ation in the underdoped regime m ay explain the doping dependence of  $_0$ =T m easured in LSCO [10]. In addition, since the low-T therm alconductivity is severely suppressed, m easured values of  $_{00}$  and a naive use of the "universal" clean d-wave result in Eq. (1) would lead to an erroneous estim ate the superconducting gap.

A cknow ledgem ents This work is supported by ONR grant N00014-04-0060.

#### References

- P.A.Lee, Phys. Rev.Lett. 71, 1887 (1993); M.J.Graf et.al, Phys. Rev. B 53, 15147 (1996).
- [2] L.Taillefer et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 483 (1997).
- [3] A.C.Durst and P.A.Lee, Phys. Rev. B 62, 1270 (2000).
- [4] M. Sutherland et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 147004
  (2005); X.F. Sun et. al., ibid 96, 017008 (2006).
- [5] J.M esot et. al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 840 (1999); Z.-X. Shen, private com m unication.
- [6] W.A.Atkinson and P.J.H inschfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 187003 (2002).
- [7] V.P.Gusynin and V.A.M iransky, Eur. Phys. J.B 37, 363 (2004).
- [8] P.J.Hirschfeld and W.O.Putikka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3909 (1996).
- [9] B.Lake et.al., Nature 415, 299 (2002).
- [10] J.Takeya et.al, Phys.Rev.Lett.88,077001 (2002).