Screening M odel of M agnetotransport H ysteresis O bserved in B ilayer Q uantum H all System s

A f Siddiki, Stefan K raus, and Rolf R. Gerhardts M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Festkorperforschung, H eisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germ any

Abstract

We report on theoretical and experimental investigations of a novel hysteresis e ect that has been observed on the magnetoresistance of quantum Hall bilayer systems. Extending to these system a recent approach, based on the Thomas-Ferm iPoisson nonlinear screening theory and a local conductivity model, we are able to explain the hysteresis as being due to screening e ects such as the formation of \incom pressible strips", which hinder the electron density in a layer within the quantum Hall regime to reach its equilibrium distribution.

1

I. IN TRODUCTION

Recent scanning force m icroscope experiments [1, 2] and subsequent theoretical work $[\beta, 4]$ have shown that screening e ects, notably the occurrence of \incom pressible strips" [5, 6], are very in portant for the understanding of the H all-eld and current distribution as well as the high precision of the low-tem perature integer quantized H all (Q H) e ect in narrow H all sam ples. Since C culom b interactions should become in portant within and between the layers of an electron bilayer system showing the drag e ect [7, 8, 9] at very low tem peratures, we extended the theory of R ef. [4] to the bilayer case and calculated the H all and longitudinal resistances for density-m atched and m ism atched system s. M agnetoresistance (M R) m easurem ents on separately contacted bilayers, which were performed to check the results, showed pronounced hysteresis e ects, sim ilar to results reported previously for hole [10] and electron [11] double layers. In contrast to this previous work, our approach m akes the origin of the hysteresis rather evident: the peculiar nonlinear screening properties leading to the occurrence of \incom pressible strips" in the Q H regin es of the individual layers.

II. THE EXPERIMENTS

W e m easured the MRs ofboth layers as functions of the applied perpendicular m agnetic eld and the sweep direction for m atched and m ism atched densities at a xed base tem – perature (T = 270m K) within the linear response regime (I 50nA). We also perform ed \equilibrium " m easurements, where the system was heated up to 10K and cooled down again at each m agnetic sweep step, in the m agnetic eld interval where hysteresis is observed. The sam ples are 15 nm wide G aA s/A iG aA s double quantum well structures grown by MBE and capped by top and bottom gates that control the electron densities of the layers. Two 2DES are con ned by doping remote Si donors and are separated by a spacer of thickness h = 12 nm. For such a separation the bilayer system is electronically decoupled, i.e. electron tunneling between the layers (R_{tunnel} > 100M) is not possible and the system can be described by two di erent electrochem ical potentials. Separate 0 hm ic contacts to the two layers are realized by a selective depletion technique [12]. The sam ples were processed into 80 m wide and 880 m long H allbars, with grown densities in the range

2



FIG.1: M easured Hall (upper panel) and longitudinal (low er panel) resistances for the top layer and the bottom layer (long-dashed lines, only for the m ism atched case and one sweep direction). No hysteresis is observed for the m atched case (green dashed-dotted line) and the \equilibrium m easurem ent" (solid line with circles), with $n^{T} = n^{B} = 0.84$.

1.5 2.5 10^{15} m², the mobility is 100 m²/V s per layer.

Figure 1 shows Hall and longitudinal resistances of the top layer versus magnetic eld strength, measured under dierent conditions. As a reference, the resistances of the bottom layer (long-dashed lines) are also shown for one sweep direction in the mism atched case. In the \equilibrium measurements" (solid lines with symbols) explained above and also in the case of matched densities the resistances are insensitive to the sweep direction. Note that the QH plateau for matched densities is narrower than that of the mism atched system in the \equilibrium measurement". For the density mism atched (and non-equilibrium) case,



FIG.2: Longitudinal resistances versus m agnetic eld, for both layers and two sweep directions with $n_{el}^{T} = n_{el}^{B} = 0.82$.

the data were taken at a sweep rate 0.01 T/m in and the base tem perature is always kept at 270 m K. A pparently the resistances of the top layer follow di erent traces for the up- (red dotted lines) and the down- (black solid lines) sweep.

Figure 2 shows that, for density-m ism atched bilayers, hysteresis occurs in both layers under comparable conditions. The hysteresis shown in Fig. 1 occurs in the top layer near the high-B edge of the = 2 QH plateau (plateau region 5:5T. B . 6:7T). It occurs in a B-interval well within the = 2 QH plateau (6:8T. B . 7:9T) of the bottom layer. Sim ilarly, the MR of the bottom layer shows hysteresis at the low-B edge of its = 2 QH plateau, in a B-interval well within the = 2 QH plateau of the top layer. No hysteresis is observed in magnetic eld intervals in which the other layer is in the norm al state, with nite longitudinal resistance. A less pronounced repetition of these features is observed in the = 4 plateau regimes.

III. THE MODEL

W emodel the electron bilayer system as a series of parallel charged planes, perpendicular to the z-direction, translation invariant in the y-direction, and conned to jxj < d. The bottom and top 2DESs with number densities $n_{el}^B(x)$ and $n_{el}^T(x)$ lie in the planes $z = z_B = 0$ and $z = z_T$ h, respectively. Ionized donor layers with number densities n_0^T and n_0^B are assumed at z = c and z = h + c, and a top gate at z = h + c + f, allowing for a density m ism atch even with $n_0^T = n_0^B = n_0$, is simulated by ionized donors with number density $n_g(x) = n_g^0 \cosh(\frac{5}{8}(x=d))$ at z = c + h + f and z_g . Solving Poisson's equation with the boundary condition V (x = d;y;z) = 0, we obtain from the charge densities $en_j(x)$ in the plane $z = z_j$ as contribution to the potential energy of an electron at position (x;y;z):

$$V^{j}(x;z) = \frac{2e^{2}}{d} dx^{0} K (x;x^{0};z;z_{j}) n_{j}(x^{0}); \qquad (1)$$

with the kernel [6] K $(x;x^0;z;z_j) = \ln ([c^2 + 2] = [s^2 + 2])$, where $c = \cos([x + x^0] = 4d)$, s = sin ($[x x^0] = 4d$), and = sinh ($[z z_j] = 4d$). We write the total potential energy of an electron as

$$V(x;z) = V^{B}(x;z) + V^{T}(x;z);$$
 (2)

where $V^B(x;z)$ is the sum of the potentials created by bottom electron and donor layer, while $V^T(x;z)$ is the sum of the potentials due to the top electron, donor, and gate layers. The electron number densities in the layers are, within the Thomas-Ferm i approximation (TFA),

$$n_{el}^{j}(\mathbf{x}) = dE D (E) f (E + V (\mathbf{x}; z_{j}) \frac{2}{j} = k_{B} T)$$
(3)

with j = B or T and D (E) the (collision-broadened) Landau density of states. This com – pletes the self-consistency scheme [3, 4]. In the practical calculations we rst decoupled the layers, replacing V (x;z_j) by V ^j(x;z_j), and solved the single electron-layer problem for bottom and top system separately. There it is convenient to x the edges x = b of the electron density pro le in the limit of zero magnetic eld and tem perature [3, 4], which xes the average density (and $\frac{2}{B_{T}}$). With the converged results at nite T and B, we treat the inter-layer coupling iteratively. To describe the density-m ism atched case, we add more electrons to the top layer by setting $V_0 = n_g^0 = n_0$ to a positive value and keeping the depletion length d b xed. We scale energies by the average Ferm i energy $E_F = (E_F^T + E_F^B) = 2$, e.g. $\sim !_c = E_F = _c = E_F$.

Next we implement in each electron layer a quasi-local model to impose a current, following the lines of Ref. [4]. It is based on a local version of 0 hm 's law, j(x) = (x)E(x) between current density j(x) to driving electric eld E(x). The position-dependent conductivity tensor (x) is taken from a bulk calculation [13], with the electron density n_{el} replaced by the local one, and a spatial sm oothening over a length of the order of the Ferm i wave length is performed, in order to avoid unphysical artifacts of a strictly local transport model and of the TFA [4]. Typical results with a slightly modulated donor distribution $n_0^B(x) = n_0^T(x) = n_0[1 - 0.01\cos(5 - x=2d)]$, introduced to simulate the long-range-part of the impurity potentials [4], are shown in Fig. 3.

IV. SIM ULATION OF NON-EQUILIBRIUM

The quasi-equilibrium model just introduced is, of course, not able to describe hysteresis e ects. It yields, however, qualitatively correct results for them atched density case $(n_g^0 = 0)$, where the MRs for both layers are identical, and for them ism atched systems it yields results in qualitative agreement with those found in the \equilibrium measurements". Moreover, the results shown in Fig. 3 give some hints towards the possible origin of the hysteresis e ects. We see that, in the plateau regime of the QH e ect, i.e., in the magnetic eld interval in which incompressible strips (ISs) exist [4], the position of the incompressible strips [local lling factor (x) = 2] and the potential distribution change drastically. To realize these changes, electrons must be transported, e.g. by therm all activation, across the ISs. In real sam ples (of nite length) this is extremely di cult, since states at the Ferm i level exist on both sides of an IS but not within the IS, and since the region between ISs is not connected to the contacts. Therefore, after a slight change of the magnetic eld, it may take an extrem ely long time until the electron density relaxes to its new equilibrium distribution [14, 15].

To simulate this hindered approach to equilibrium, we calculate the MRs of the currentcarrying layer in a B-interval in which the other layer exhibits a QH plateau, using di erent frozen-in density and potential distributions for up- and down-sweeps of the magnetic eld B. For the down-sweep, we freeze in the density pro le of the other layer at the high-B



FIG. 3: Density pro les (color scale) across the sample as a function of magnetic eld (left panel) and (normalized) Hall potential pro le of both layers for selected B values (right panel). The density m ism atch is obtained by setting $V_0=E_0 = 0.05$ which results in $n_{el}^B=n_{el}^T = 0.84$ at a low temperature $k_B T=E_F = 0.0124$ for xed b=d = 0.9. Here $E_0 (= 2 e^2 n_0 d=)$ is the pinch-o energy which de nes the minimum of the bare con nem ent potential.

edge of its Q H plateau and for the up-sweep we freeze it in at the low -B edge, and use these xed potentials to describe the other layer when calculating the equilibrium and transport properties of the current-carrying layer. Thus, for the case shown in Fig. 3, we calculate the M R s of the bottom layer in the Q H plateau regime of the top layer by xing the potential due to the top layer at $_{c}=E_{F}$ 1:3 for the down-sweep and at $_{c}=E_{F}$ 1:0 for the up-sweep. To calculate the M R s of the bottom layer in the plateau regime of the bottom layer, we x the potential pro le of the bottom layer at $_{c}=E_{F}$ 1:16 for the down-sweep and at $_{c}=E_{F}$ 1:05 for the up-sweep. Since the M R s slightly outside the Q H plateau regimes depend on the electron and current density pro les [4], we will obtain slightly di erent



FIG. 4: Longitudinal resistances for high density m ism atch parameter. The hysteresis-like e ect is observed in the active layer only if the passive layer is within the plateau regim e.

results for the di erent sweep directions. Figure 4 shows longitudinal magnetoresistances calculated along such lines.

V. SUMMARY

We report on measurements and calculations of the magnetoresistances in separately contacted e-e bilayer systems. The calculation, based on a self-consistent Thomas-Ferm i-Poisson theory of the equilibrium state and a quasi-local transport theory [4], yields reasonable agreement with the measurements for density-matched layers and for mismatched layers, if the measurement allows for thermal relaxation at each value of the magnetic eld B. B -sweeps at constantly low temperature show hysteresis between up and down sweep in the current-carrying layer, provided the other layer exhibits the quantized Halle ect. A model, based on the existence of incompressible regions in the quantum Hall states [4] and the extremely long relaxation times in compressible regions surrounded by incompressible ones [14], is worked out and can explain the observed hysteresis e ects.

- [1] E.Ahlswede et al, Physica B 298, 562 (2001).
- [2] E.Ahlswede, J.Weis, K. von Klitzing, and K. Eberl, Physica E 12, 165 (2002).
- [3] K.Guven and R.R.Gerhardts, Phys.Rev.B 67, 115327 (2003).
- [4] A. Siddiki and R. R. Gerhardts, Phys. Rev. B 70, 195335 (2004).
- [5] D.B.Chklovskii, B.I.Shklovskii, and L.I.G lazman, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4026 (1992).
- [6] A.Siddikiand R.R.Gerhardts, Phys. Rev. B 68, 125315 (2003).
- [7] T.J.Gram ila et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 66, 1216 (1991).
- [8] L. Zheng and A. H. M acD onalds, Phys. Rev. B 48, 8203 (1993).
- [9] M.C.Bonsager, K.Flensberg, B.Y.K.Hu, and A.P.Jauho, Phys. Rev. B 56, 10314 (1997).
- [10] E.Tutuc et al, Phys. Rev. B 68, 201308 (2003).
- [11] W .Pan, J.Reno, and J.Simmons, cond-m at/0407577 (2004).
- [12] J.P.Eisenstein, L.N.Pfeier, and K.W.West, Appl. Phys. Lett. 57, 2324 (1990).
- [13] T.Ando, A.B.Fowler, and F.Stern, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54, 437 (1982).
- [14] J.Huels et al, Phys.Rev.B 69, 085319 (2004).
- [15] J.Zhu et al, Phys.Rev.B 60, 5536 (1999).