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#### Abstract

W e propose a P fa an-like A nsatz for the ground state of bosons subject to $3-b o d y$ in nite repulsive interactions in a 1D lattioe. O ur A nsatz consists of the sym m etrization over all possible w ays of distributing the particles in tw o identicalTonks-G irardeau gases. W e support the quality of our A nsatz w ith num erical calculations and propose an experim ental schem e based on $m$ ixtures of bosonic atom $s$ and $m$ olecules in 1D optical lattices in which th is $P$ fa an-like state could be realized. O ur ndings $m$ ay open the way for the creation of non-abelian anyons in 1D system s .


PACS num bers: 03.75.Fi, $03.67 .-\mathrm{a}, 42.50 .-\mathrm{p}, 73.43 .-\mathrm{f}$

Beyond bosons and ferm ions, and even in contrast to the fascinating abelian anyons (A A) [1], non-abelian anyons (NAA) [2] exhibit an exotic statistical behavior: If two di erent exchanges are perform ed consecutively am ong identical NAA, the nal state of the system will depend on the order in which the two exchanges were $m$ ade. NAA appeared rst in the context of the fractionalquantum Halle ect (FQHE) [i], as elem entary excitations of exotic states like the P fa an state [3, [4], the exact ground state of quantum H all H am iltonians w ith 3 -body contact interactions. R ecently, the possibility of a fault tolerant quantum com putation based on NAA [5] has boosted the investigation of new models containing NAA [6], as well as the search for techniques for their detection and $m$ anipulation [7]. M eanw hile, the versatile and highly controllable atom ic gases in optical lattices [8] have opened a door to the near future im plem entation of those $m$ odels as well as for the arti cial creation of non-A belian gauge potentials [9].

A llactualm odels containing NAA are 2 D m odels. T he $m$ otivation of the present work is the foreseen possibility of creating NAA in one-dim ension (1D). This long-term goal requires in the rst place to de ne the concept of NAA, which is essentially 2D, in 1D. For abelian anyons (A A ) this generalization has been already m ade by H aldane [10]. W thin his generalized de nition the spinon excitations of 1D H eisenberg antiferrom agnets are classi-
ed as $\frac{1}{2}$-A A [10]. This classi cation becom es very naturalthrough the connection betw een the 1D antiferrom agnetic ground state (for a long-range interaction model, the $H$ aldane-Shastry $m$ odel [11]) and the Laughlin state [12] forbosons at $=1=2$. In a sim ilar way we anticipate that a connection can be established betw een quantum Hall models containing NAA and certain long-range 1D spin models exhibiting NAA w ithin a generalized de nition [13].

Here, far from analyzing the above questions in general, our aim is to pave the way for the creation of exotic P fa an-like states in 1D system $S$, which we believe $m$ ay serve as the basis to create NAA. W e present a realistic 1D system whose ground state is very close to a Pfa an-like state. T he actual system we consider is that of bosonic atom $s$ in a 1D lattioe w ith in nite re-
pulsive 3 -body on-site interactions, which we call 3-hardcore bosons. Inspired by the form of the fractionalquantum H allP fa an state forbosons [4, 14], we propose an A nsatz for the ground state of our system. This A nsatz is a sym $m$ etrization over all possible ways of distributing the particles in tw o identical $T$ onks $-G$ irardeau ( $T-G$ ) gases [15, 16]. C om parison of the A nsatz w ith num erical calculations for lattices up to 40 sites y ields very good agreem ent. A s for fractionalquantum $H$ allsystem $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{NAA}$ $m$ ay be created here by creating pairs of quasiholes, each quasihole being in a di erent cluster [4]. This possibility w ill be discussed elsew here [13].

T hree-body collisions am ong single atom s rarely occur in nature. H ow ever, they can be e ectively sim ulated by $m$ ixtures of bosonic particles and $m$ olecules. This has been proposed by C ooper [17] for a rapidly rotating gas of bosonic atom $s$ and $m$ olecules. H ere, we show that a system of atom $s$ and molecules in a 1D lattice can in a sim ilar way e ectively model 3-hard-core bosons. We w ill show that the conditions to realize this situation lie w thin current experim ental possibilities.

3-hard-core bosons. W e consider a system of bosonic atom $s$ in a 1D lattice w ith repulsive 3 -body on-site interactions. T his system is described by the H am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=t^{X}\left(a_{1}^{Y} a_{1+1}+h: c:\right)+U_{3}^{X}\left(a_{1}^{Y}\right)^{3}\left(a_{1}\right)^{3} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $a^{Y}$, (a, creates (anninilates) a boson on site ', $t$ is the tunneling probability am plitude, and $U_{3}$ is the on-site interaction energy. From now on we w ill consider the $\lim$ 止 $\mathrm{U}_{3}!1$. In this lim it the $H$ ibert space is projected onto the subspace of states $w$ th occupation num bers $n, ~=0 ; 1 ; 2$ per site. $W$ e will refer to bosons sub ject to this condition as 3-hard-core bosons. $T$ he pro jected $H$ am iltonian has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{3}=\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{3 ;}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{a}_{3 ; \cdots+1}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 3 -hard-core bosonic operators $a_{3}$; obey $\left(a_{3} ; 1\right)^{3}=0$ and satisfy the commutation relations
$\left[a_{3 ;} ; a_{3 ; 0}^{Y}\right]=\quad ;^{0} \quad 1 \quad \frac{3}{2}\left(a_{3 ;}^{Y}\right)^{2}\left(a_{3},\right)^{2}$. These operators canobe represented by $3 \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes of the form $a_{3 ;{ }^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{y}}=@ \begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & \mathrm{p}^{0} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathrm{D}_{2} \text { A. In contrast to the usual hard- } \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ core bosonic operators [18], which are directly equivalent to spin-1/2 operators, the operators $a_{3}^{Y}, a_{3}$ are related to spin-1 operators $f S^{+} ; S ; S^{z} g$ in a non-linear way: $a_{3}$ ! $S^{+} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}+\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \quad 1\right) S^{2}$. This $m$ apping leads to a com plicated equivalent spin $H$ am iltonian (w ith third and fourth order term s) which seem s hard to solve.

In the follow ing we present an A nsatz wave function for the ground state of H am iltonian (2). Our A nsatz is inspired by the form of the ground state for fractional quantum H all bosons sub ject to three body interactions [3, [4, 14]. The reason to believe that this inspiration $m$ ay be good is the deep connection already dem onstrated for the case of tw o-body interactions betw een ground states of certain 1D models and those of 2D particles in the low est Landau level (LLL) [11].

Let us now tum for amom ent to the problem ofbosons in the LLL subject to the 3 -body interaction potential
$i_{i f j} \xi_{k}{ }^{2}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)^{2}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{k}\right)[3]$, w ith $z_{i}=x_{i}+i y_{i}$ being the complex coordinate in the 2D plane. For in nite interaction strength the exact ground state of the problem is the $P$ fa an state [3, 14]:

This state is constructed in the follow ing way. Particles are rst arranged into two identical $=1=2$ Laughlin states [12] $]_{2} / \sum_{\mathrm{N}=2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{z}_{3}\right)^{2}$ labeled by $=$ "; \#. $T$ hen the operator $S_{n ; \#}$ sym $m$ etrizes over the two "virtual" subsets ofcoordinates $f z_{i} " g$ and $f z_{i}^{\#} g$. N ote that the Laughlin state 2 of each cluster is a zepo energy eigenstate of the 2 -body interaction potential if $j \quad\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)$. $T$ his guarantees that in a state of the form (3) three particles can never coincide in the sam e position: for any trio, tw o of them willbelong to the sam egroup and cause the w ave function 3 to vanish.

In direct analogy w ith equation (3) we propose the follow ing A nsatz for the ground state of H am iltonian (2) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 / S_{" ; \#}^{0} @_{i<j}^{Y^{N}=2} \sin \left(x_{i}^{\prime \prime} \quad x_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \underbrace{Y^{=2}}_{i<j} \sin \left(x_{i}^{\#} \quad x_{j}^{\#}\right) A: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Ansatz has the same structure as (3), but the Laughlin state has been substituted by a Tonks-
 with $x_{i}=2=\mathrm{M}$ i, $i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}$ being the num ber of lattice sites. This state is the ground state of hard-core 1D lattice bosons with H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{2}$; $=$
$t^{\mathrm{P}}, ~\left(\mathrm{a}_{2 ;}^{\mathrm{y}},, \mathrm{a}_{2 ;} ;+1+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:\right)$ and periodic boundary conditions [16]. Here, $a_{2}$; are hard-core bosonic operators
satisfying $\left(a_{2} ;\right)^{2}=0 . W$ ritten in second quantization the A nsatz (4) takes the form : $j{ }_{3} i=P \quad j{ }_{2} i \quad j{ }_{2} i=$ where $P$ is a local operator of the form $P=P, ~ M$, and $P$, is an operator $m$ apping the single-site 4-dim ensional H ilbert space of tw o species of hard-core bosons to the 3-dim ensional one of 3-hard-core bosons (see Fig. 1).


F IG. 1: Schem atic representation of the operator $P$, mapping the single-site 4-dim ensionalH ilbert space of tw o species of hard-core bosons to the 3-dim ensional one of 3-hard-core bosons.

Let us analyze di erent characteristic properties of our A nsatz. Taking into account the well know $n$ result for a T-G gas, nam ely the scaling of the one-particle correlation function ha ${ }_{{ }^{\prime}}$ a a i as ${ }^{1=2}$ for large [16], we can derive the follow ing asym ptotic behavior for the onebody and two-body correlation functions for the A nsatz (4) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ha }{ }_{{ }^{\prime}}{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{i} \quad!\quad 1=4  \tag{5}\\
& \text { ha }{ }_{{ }^{\prime}}{ }^{Y} \quad a_{1_{+}}^{Y} \text { a, ari ! } \quad{ }^{1} \text { : } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The result (6) can be easily derived by noticing that $h a{ }_{{ }_{1}}^{Y} \quad a_{{ }_{+}}^{Y}$ ava.i /
 The proof of (5) is m ore involved and we w ill give just num erical evidence from our calculations bellow (see inset of $F$ ig. 3). The two-body correlation (6) is indeed in our case the (one-particle) correlation function for on-site pairs. This $m$ eans that whereas the system seen as a whole exhibits som e kind of coherence (the spatial correlation decaying slow ly as ${ }^{1=4}$ ) the underlying system ofon-site pairs is in a m uch $m$ ore disordered state ( w ith a fast correlation decay as ${ }^{1}$ ). This is in contrast to what happens in a weakly interacting bosonic gas in which coherence betw een sites is independent of their occupation num ber. W e can also obtain analytical expressions for the relative occupation of single and doubly occupied sites. T he average num ber of doubly occupied sites is $n_{2}=h a, ~, ~ a, ~ a, ~ a \cdot i=2=r^{2}=2$, and the one of single occupied sites is given by $n_{1}=$ ha, $\left.\frac{Y}{, a, ~(2 r} n \cdot\right) i=(2 \quad)$. $T$ his distribution is very di erent from the Poissonian one, for which we have $n_{2}^{P \circ}=n_{1}^{P \circ}=2$.


FIG. 2: O verlap $h$ ex $j$ зi. The main plot show $s$ the dependence of the overlap $w$ th the lling factor $=N=M$ for a system of $M=10$ (orange circles) and $M=20$ (brown circles) lattice sites. T he inset show $s$ the decrease of the overlap w ith increasing system size M , at $=1$.

A s an additionalproperty, the A nsatz (4) has particlehole sym $m$ etry. This $m$ eans that for a lling factor of the form $=N=M=2$, w th N the number of particles, the state w e propose is just the A nsatz for holes at ${ }_{h}=$. H ow ever, as we can clearly see from its m atrix representation, the H am iltonian (2) does not exhibit this sym $m$ etry. This tells us that our A nsatz $m$ ay not work, as we will see, for the whole regim e of lling factors.

N um ericalcalculations. In orderto determ ine the qualIty of our A nsatz we have perform ed a num erical calculation for the ground state of $H$ am iltonian (2]. To obtain the num erical ground state $j$ exi we have used variational M atrix P roduct States (MPS) of the form
${ }_{\mathrm{s}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}=1}^{\mathrm{dr}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{A}_{1}^{\mathrm{s}_{1}}::: \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}}\right) \dot{\mathrm{j}}_{1}::: \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ i [19], w th m atrices $A$ of dimension $D=12(15)$, and $d=3$. W e can estim ate the error of this calculation to be sm aller than $10{ }^{5}$ for the system sizes (M 40) we have considered. To calculate the overlap of $j$ exi $w$ ith our Ansatz $j 3^{i}$ we rst construct the MPS state that best approxim ates $j z^{i}$ for a given $D$. This is done in the follow ing way. We
rst build the MPS ground state of H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. W e then take the tensor product of th is state $w$ ith itself, obtaining a MPS with $d=4$, which is closest to $j{ }_{2}{ }_{2}{ }^{i} \quad j{ }_{2}^{\#} i$. $T$ he dim ension of the $m$ atrioes of this state is very large and we use a reduction algorithm [20] to reduce it to the initial size. F inally we apply the operator $P$ by localtensor contraction and nom alize the resulting $d=3$ MPS state. For the m atrix dim ensions we used the errorm ade w as alw ays sm aller than $10{ }^{3}$.
$T$ he results are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2. Them ain plot show $s$ the overlap $h$ exj $3^{i}$ as a function of the lling factor
$=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{M}$ for a xed system size. We nd very good overlaps (0.98-0.96) for $1: 25$. For $>1: 25$ the overlap decreases. The inset show $s$ the overlap as a function of increasing system size $M$, at xed lling factor $=1$. At $M=38$, the $m$ axim um size we have considered nu-
m erically, the overlap is still good ( $0: 90$ ).
Fig. 3 show sthe statistical distribution of doubly and single occupied sites for $j$ ex $i$, which is very close to the one of the Ansatz $j 3 i$, and clearly di erent from the Poissonian distribution typical of a weakly interacting Bose gas. Fig. 4 show $s$ the $m$ om entum distribution for particles and on-site pairs together w th the long-range scaling of their spatial correlation functions. W e can clearly see how the exact state exhibits all characteristic behaviors that we have discussed above for the A nsatz.

Experim ental proposal.
Inspired by Cooper's ideas [17] for 2D rotating Bose gases we present an experim ental schem e for the realization of H am iltonian (2). Let us consider a system of bosonic atom $s$ and diatom ic Feshbach m olecules trapped in a 1D optical lattice. The H am iltonian of the system is $H=H_{K}+H_{F}+H_{I}$ [21, 22], where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{K}=\hbar_{i}^{X}\left(a_{i}^{y} a_{i+1}+h . c .\right){\underset{i f}{t_{i}}}_{X}^{i}\left(m_{i}^{y} m_{i+1}+h . c .\right) ; \\
& H_{F}=X_{i}^{X}{ }_{i}^{Y} m_{i}+\frac{U_{a a}}{2} a_{i}^{Y} a_{i}^{Y} a_{i} a_{i}+P_{2}^{g}\left(m{ }_{i}^{Y} a_{i} a_{i}+\text { h.c. }\right) ; \\
& H_{I}=U_{a m}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{M}} m_{i}^{y} a_{i}^{y} a_{i} m_{i}+\frac{U_{m ~ m}}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{M} m_{i}^{Y} m_{i}^{Y} m_{i} m_{i}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere, the bosonic operators for atom $s\left(m\right.$ olecules) $a_{i}\left(m_{i}\right)$ obey the usual canonical com $m$ utation relations. The H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}$ describes the tunneling processes of atom $s$ and $m$ olecules, occurring $w$ ith am plitude $t_{a}$ and $t_{m}$, respectively. The term $H_{F}$ is the Feshbach resonance term, with being the energy o -set between open and closed channels, $U_{a a}$ the on-site atom -atom interaction and $g$ the coupling strength to the closed channel. Ham iltonian $H_{I}$ describes the on-site atom m olecule and molecule-m olecule interactions. We will assume a situation in which $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{aa}} ; \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{am}} ; \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{m} m} \quad 0$, and > 0. Furthem ore, we w ill consider the lim it in which


FIG.3: A verage occupation of sites w ith one (left gure) and tw o particles (right gure) for the exact (solid lines), A nsatz (dotted lines) and Poissonian distribution (dot-dashed lines), as a function of the lling factor . The system size isM $=20$.


FIG.4: Q uasi-m om entum distribution of particles $n_{k} /$ $\because e e_{\text {ik }} \mathrm{ha}_{+}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ a.i (orange, left axis) and of on-site pairs $n_{k}^{(2)} /{ }^{\mathrm{P}}$; $e^{i k}{ }^{i k}{ }^{\frac{1}{Y}}{ }_{+} a_{1_{+}}^{Y}$ a.a.i (blue, right axis), with $\mathrm{k}=2=\mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{n}=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{M} \quad 1$. Results are show n both for the exact ground state (solid lines) and the A nsatz (dashed lines). $T$ he inset show $s$ the long-distance scaling of the correlation

${ }^{2}$ (blue), for the exact ground state (circles, $1=0: 22$, $2=0: 83$ ) and the A nsatz (diam onds, $1=0: 24, \quad 2=0: 99$. $P$ aram eters are $\mathrm{M}=20$ and $=1$.
${ }^{2}=g^{2}=2^{2} \quad 1$. $W$ thin this lim it the form ation of m olecules is highly suppressed due to the high energy - set, . H ow ever, virtual processes in which tw o atom s on the sam e lattice site go to the bound state, form a molecule and separate again, give rise to an e ective 3body interacting atom ic H am iltonian of the form 29]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{e}=\quad t_{a}^{X}\left(a_{i}^{y} a_{i+1}+\text { h.c. }\right)+U_{a m} 2^{X}\left(a_{i}^{y}\right)^{3}\left(a_{i}\right)^{3} \\
& { }_{\text {tr }}{ }^{i} \mathrm{X} \\
& t_{\text {fr }} 2^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}+1}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{c} . \\
& +\left(U_{a a} g^{i}=\right)^{X}\left(a_{i}^{Y}\right)^{2}\left(a_{i}\right)^{2} \text {; } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have neglected higher order term $s$ in ${ }^{2}$. A s-
sum ing $U_{a a}=g^{2}=$, and $t_{m}{ }^{2} \quad t_{a}, H e$ reduces to Ham iltonian (1) w ith $t=t_{a}$ and $U_{3}=U_{a m}{ }^{2}$. Finally, assum ing $U_{a m}{ }^{2} \quad t_{a}$ we end up $w$ ith the desired $H$ am irtonian (2) for 3 -hard-core bosons.

Let us now sum $m$ arize the requirem ents and approxi$m$ ations we have im posed and discuss their experim ental feasibility in typical setupsw ith ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb} . \mathrm{W}$ e have assum ed $g^{2}==U_{a a}$. Since $g=U_{a a} \quad B=2$ 21], w th $B$ being the width of the Feshbach resonance and the di erence in $m$ agnetic $m$ om enta, we need $=B=2$. For the Feshbach resonance at 1007:4G, this im plies $=\mathrm{h}=441 \mathrm{kHz}$ [23, 24]. Furtherm ore, we have assum ed $2 \quad 1, t_{m}{ }^{2} \quad t_{a}$ and $U_{a m}{ }^{2}=t_{a} \quad$ 1. W ritten in term s of the lattice apd atom ic and molecule param eters we have ${ }_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}=\overline{32={ }^{3}}\left(a_{a \mathrm{a}}^{3 \mathrm{D}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}_{\text {? }}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{B}\right)$, $U_{a m}=t_{a}=(\overline{6}=4)\left(a_{a m}^{3 D} a=a_{?}^{2}\right) \quad 2 \exp \left(+2^{2}=4\right)$ and $t_{m}=t_{a}=2 \exp \left(2^{2}=4\right)$, where $=\left(V_{0}=E_{R}\right)^{1=4}$, with $V_{0}$ the lattice depth, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{h}^{2}=\left(8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{a}^{2}\right)$ the recoil energy, $m$ the atom ic $m$ ass, and a the lattice constant. The param eters $a_{a a}^{3 D}$ and $a_{a m}^{3 D}$ are the 3D scattering length for atom -atom and atom $m$ olecule collisions, and $a_{?}=\overline{\sim=!? m}$ is the transversal con nem ent width, w ith !? the transversal trapping frequency. A ssum ing typical values ${ }^{4}=50(70), a_{a a}^{3 D} \quad 5 n m$ 25], $a_{a m}^{3 D} \quad a_{a a}^{3 D}$ [26], $a=425 \mathrm{~nm}$, and !? $=2$ 20kH z [27], we obtain: ${ }^{2}=3: 55(3: 86) \quad 10^{3}, t_{m}=t_{a}=5: 29(0: 22) \quad 10^{8}$, and $U_{a m}{ }^{2}=t_{a}=1: 35(30: 4) \quad 10^{3}$, clearly satisfying the required conditions.

Regarding detection of the P fa an-like ground state, the characteristic di enence betw een both them om entum distribution and num ber statistics of particles and on-site pairs could be observed via spin-changing collisions [28].

In conclusion, we have show $n$ that the ground state of 3-hard-core bosons in a 1D lattice can be well described by a Pfa an-like state which is a cluster of two T-G gases. $W$ e have show $n$ that such a state $m$ ay be accessible w ith current technology w ith atom $s$ and $m$ olecules in optical lattices. W e believe that our ndings may open a new path for the creation ofNAA.

B . P aredes w ould like to thank M. G reiter for estim ulating discussions and critical reading of this $m$ anuscript.
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