Enhanced ferroelectric polarization by induced Dy spin-order in multiferroic DyM nO 3

O.Prokhnenko, R.Feyerherm, E.Dudzik, S.Landsgesell, N.Aliouane, L.C.Chapon, and D.N.Argyriou Hahn-Meitner-Institut, Glienicker Str. 100, D-14109 Berlin, Germany

²Hahn-Meitner-Institut, c/o BESSY, D-12489 Berlin, Germany ³ISIS, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, OX11, OQX United Kingdom (Dated: December 29, 2021)

Neutron powder di raction and single crystal x-ray resonant magnetic scattering measurements suggest that Dy plays an active role in enhancing the ferroelectric polarization in multiferroic DyM nO $_3$ above $T_{\rm N}^{\rm Dy}=6.5$ K. We observe the evolution of an incommensurate ordering of Dymoments with the same periodicity as the Mn spiral ordering. It closely tracks the evolution of the ferroelectric polarization which reaches a maximum value of 0.2 C=m 2 . Below $T_{\rm N}^{\rm Dy}$, where Dy spins order commensurately, the polarization decreases to values similar for those of TbM nO $_3$.

PACS num bers: 61.12 Ld, 61.10.-i, 75.30 Kz, 75.47 Lx, 75.80.+ q

The strong coupling between ferroelectricity and magnetism in modern multiferroics has o ered a new paradigm of magnetoelectric materials. It has stimulated a search for new multiferroics and highlighted the need for a deeper understanding of the physics behind these exciting materials. Although the requirements of magnetism and ferroelectricity are chemically incompatible[1], in these new multiferroics spin frustration [2] leads to complex magnetic arrangements that can break inversion sym m etry [3, 4]. The strong coupling provided by frustration in the perovskite manganites RM nO₃ leads to a number of magneto-electric phenom ena. For example, compounds with R = Tb and Dy exhibit ops of the direction of the spontaneous polarization (Ps) with applied eld (H) while ferroelectricity is observed only under a magnetic eld in R = G d[3, 5, 6, 7]. Of these perovskites, R = Dy exhibits the largest value of $P_s = 0.2$ C = m^2 (three times larger than that for R = Tb) and a giant magnetocapacitance e ect[6].

In these materials, a phenomenological treatment of the coupling of a uniform electric polarization P to an inhomogeneous magnetization M leads to a term linear in the gradient r M, the so-called Lifshitz invariant, that is allowed only in systems with broken inversion symmetry [8]. This model is consistent with neutron direction experiments on TbM nO $_3$ that showed that a spiral arrangement of Mn-spins within the bo-plane develops at $T_1=28~{\rm K}$, coinciding with the onset of P $_{\rm S}$ [9]. A lthough in this picture the contribution to P $_{\rm S}$ from the magnetic ordering of R-ions is ignored, their role is underscored as a source of magnetic anisotropy that is required to predict the correct direction of P $_{\rm S}$ under an applied magnetic eld [8].

In this Letter we present measurements on D yM nO $_3$ suggesting that D y plays an active role in enhancing the ferroelectric polarization in multiferroic D yM nO $_3$ above $T_{\rm N}^{\rm D\,Y}=~6.5$ K .W e have combined neutron powder diraction and single crystal x-ray resonant magnetic scattering to investigate the evolution ofmagnetism in D yM nO $_3$ with temperature. We not that the D y moments order

in an incommensurate (ICM) structure with the same periodicity as the Mnm oments below a temperature $T_1^{\,D\,Y}=15$ K. The transition from the commensurate (CM) Dy ordering below $T_N^{\,D\,Y}$ to the ICM state is associated with an enhancement of P_s just above $T_N^{\,D\,Y}$ to a value approximately twice that found for the maximum P_s in TbM nO $_3$. The CM-ICM transition shows a large hysteresis in which P_s and the intensity of magnetic rejections arising from the ICM Dy magnetic ordering exhibit a similar behavior. Our work suggests a magneto-strictive coupling between Mn and Dy spins giving rise to an enhanced P_s above $T_N^{\,D\,Y}$ compared to other perovskitemultiferroics. This mechanism would add to the ferroelectric polarization that arises from the Mn spin-spiral.

In the multiferroic perovskite manganites it is found that for R = Tb below T_N 41 K, Mn-spins order rst along the b axis in an ICM sinusoidal arrangement with propagation vector $^{M n} = (0.028...029.0)[2, 10]$. A sim ilar behavior w ith $^{M n} = (0.036...0385.0) is ex$ pected from x-ray measurements for DyM nO 3 [2]. Second harm onic lattice rejections (q = 2) associated with the magnetic ordering have been observed to arise from a coupling of the ICM magnetic ordering to the lattice via a quadratic m agneto-elastic coupling [11, 12]. Below $T_1 = 28 \text{ K}$ for TbM nO 3, an additional component of the M n m agnetic m om ent along the c-axis, in phase quadrature with the component along b, gives rise to a spiral m agnetic ordering and breaks inversion sym m etry, leading to the observation of $P_{\rm s}$ along the c axis. In this regime M n spins induce an ICM ordering of Tb-spins with the same propagation vector [9]. A similar transition occurs for R = D y at $T_1 = 18$ K [6] as illustrated in Fig. 1 (a). Below $T_N^R < 10 \text{ K}$, Tb and Dy magnetic moments order separately with propagation vectors $^{Tb} = (0.0.420)$ and D y = $(0 \frac{1}{2} 0) [13, 14].$

To investigate the evolution of the magnetic ordering of D yM nO $_3$ with neutron powder di raction (NPD) we used a 0.65g polycrystalline 162 D yM nO $_3$ sam ple prepared from a mixture of isotope enriched 162 D y2O $_3$ oxide (94.4% enrichment) and M n2O $_3$, using standard solid




FIG. 1: (Color online) Temperature dependences of (a) electric polarization along the c-axis for DyM nO $_3$ and TbM nO $_3$ (from G oto et al.[6]), (b) integrated (neutron) intensities of magnetic A-type and (0 $\frac{1}{2}$ 1) rejections measured on heating (1 m $_{\rm M}$ n $^{3+}$ shows the intensity corresponding to a 4 $_{\rm B}$ M n $^{3+}$ magnetic moment for this rejection; shaded area corresponds to the magnetic intensity on top of the M n $^{3+}$ saturated moment), (c) temperature dependent integrated (synchrotron) intensities of selected Dy commensurate (heating) and incommensurate (heating and cooling) superlattice rejections. The region around T $_{\rm N}^{\rm D}$ is shown in the insert. Here, I high lights the difference between intensities on cooling and heating at 7 K.

state synthesis methods. Isotopic 162Dy was chosen for its smaller neutron absorption cross section (a) compared to that of natural Dy [15]. NPD data were measured from this sample between 2-300 K on the GEM di ractom eter at the ISIS-facility, Rutherford-Appleton Laboratory. The data were analyzed with the Full Prof re nem ent package [16]. Synchrotron x-ray di raction measurements on a DyM nO₃ single crystal were conducted at the 7 T multipole wiggler beam line M AGS, operated by the Hahn-Meitner-Institut at the synchrotron source BESSY in Berlin. Details on the crystal growth, beam line and experim ental procedure have been reported previously [14, 17]. Low eld (500 0 e) magnetization of the polycrystalline and single crystal sam ples was measured in a SQUID magnetometer between 4 - 100 K. The data showed $T_N^{DY} = 9$ and 6 K for the polycrystalline and single crystal sample, respectively. The value of $T_{\scriptscriptstyle M}^{\;D\;Y}$ of our single crystal is in good agreem ent with published data [2] and m ay suggest that the higher value




FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) Neutron di raction patterns obtained from the 24 -45 bank of the GEM di ractom eter at 2, 10, 30 and 50 K. (b) k-scans along (0 k 4) at various temperatures measured with a synchrotron x-ray energy close to the Dy-L₃ absorption edge. No polarization analysis was employed here in order to allow for simultaneous observation of structural and magnetic superstructure rejections. Insettem perature dependence of the lattice modulation wave vector obtained from a superstructure rejection of type (0 k 3).

obtained for the powder sample may arise from a small non-stoichiom etry. This assumption is corroborated by a di erence in the lattice constants between our powder sample and the single crystal at 300 K [14].

Turning rst to the NPD measurements we found that 162 D yM nO $_3$ crystallized with the orthorhom bically distorted perovskite structure (space group P bnm). Cooling the sample below $T_{\rm N}$ 40 K we ndasenies of magnetic satellites arising from Mn spin-ordering (see Fig. 2(a)) sim ilar to the observations of Quezelet al. [10] on TbM nO 3. Using their notation, these (hkl) tions are characterized as A-type where h + k = even and $1 = \text{ odd and } = (0 \text{ }_{\text{v}}^{\text{M n}} \text{ } 0) \text{ is an incommensurate prop-}$ agation vector along the b-axis. An increase of satellite intensities (and $\frac{M}{v}$) with decreasing temperature is accompanied by the appearance of G-type satellites (h + k = odd and l = odd) below 15 K, where a non zerovalue of P_s is observed as shown in Fig. 1 (a) [6, 7]. Below T_N^{DY} the NPD data show an intensity reduction of the A -type satellites, which coincides with the appearance of a CM Dymagnetic order with propagation vector $^{Dy} =$ $(0\frac{1}{2}0)$ (see Figs. 1b, 2a) [14]. This transition coincides with a sharp decrease of Ps. The G-type re ections are too weak for a quantitative comparison. Rietveld analysis of the NPD data between $T_N^{D\,Y} < T < 13~K$ on the assumption of pure M n spin-ordering (see below) leads to unphysically large m oments for M n³⁺ (> 4 _B/M n) (Fig.1(b)). The rapid increase of the magnetic intensity below 13 K (shaded area in Fig.1(b)) and its decrease below $T_N^{D\,Y}$ suggests an additional magnetic contribution to the intensity of these rejections from Dy. As can be seen in Fig.2(a), the magnetic rejections are relatively broad and below (Fig.3, caption) we shall argue that this probably arises from a distribution of the propagation vectors.

In order to probe directly the magnetic contribution of Dy to the intensities of these ICM magnetic re ections, we have used single crystal resonant x-ray scattering at an x-ray energy of 7.794 keV , slightly above the D y-L $_3$ absorption edge. With this resonant condition, a survey of reciprocal space was carried out at dierent temperatures. Fig. 2 (b) shows scans along the (0 k 4) direction as exam ples. At 16 K only the M n induced structural m odulation q^{M n} is observed, producing the (0 2:77 4) B ragg re ection. At 8 K a pair of relatively strong additional (0 2:385 4) and (0 2:615 4) re ections appears, consistent with the magneto-elastic coupling $q^{M n} = 2^{M n}$. At 4.4 K the latter re ections have disappeared, in contrast to the associated peak from the M n induced structural modulation that decreases in intensity and is shifted towards a q_v^{M} value of 0.81. The extinct rejections are replaced by signi cantly stronger Bragg re ections at (0 2:5 4) and (0 2:905 4) which correspond to the CM magnetic ordering of the Dymoments and the associated incommensurate lattice m odulation $q_v^{D y} = 0.905 \in 2_v^{D y}$ respectively. This transition has been discussed recently elsewhere [14].

In order to identify the nature of the B ragg re ections associated with $^{\rm M}$ n above $T_{\rm N}^{\rm D}{}^{\rm J}{}^{\rm J}$ we employed linear polarization analysis[14]. Figure 3 shows the dependence of the intensities of both the (0 2:385 4) and the related (0 2:77 4) re ections on the polarization analyzer conguration (! $^{\rm O}$ vs. ! $^{\rm O}$) at 8.5 K. The characteristic behavior shows that the former is of magnetic and the latter of structural origin. Tuning the x-ray energy to a value 20 eV below the Dy-L_3 absorption edge leads to a reduction of the intensity of the magnetic (0 2:385 4) re ection measured in ! $^{\rm O}$ conguration by a factor of 40. This resonance enhancement shows that the (0 2:385 4) re ection is due to ordered Dy magnetic moments and that any contribution of the ordered Mn moments to this re ection is negligible.

Figure 1 (c) shows the tem perature dependence of the integrated intensities of two particularly strong B ragg re ections, (0 1:385 2) and (0 1:5 2), related to $^{\rm M\ n}$ and $^{\rm D\ y}$, respectively. The half-integer re ection, associated with the CM magnetic ordering of the Dymoments, vanishes above $T_{\rm N}^{\rm D\ y}=6.5$ K. Simultaneously, the intensity of the ICM re ection increases steeply on heating. At the same tem perature P skc increases to approximately twice its value compared to that at temperatures




FIG. 3: (Color online) Linear polarization analysis of (left) the (0 2:385 4) and (right) the (0 2:77 4) superstructure reections at 8.5 K. The intensity variation as a function of the polarization analyzer con guration shows that (0 2:385 4) is of magnetic and (0 2:77 4) of structural origin. The line widths of both rejections are significantly enhanced with respect to the instrumental resolution that is marked by horizontal bars. Since the line width of the second order rejection (0 2:77 4) is roughly twice as large as that of the rist order (0 2:385 4), the broadening is more likely due to an inhomogeneous distribution of values in the sample rather than to reduced coherence lengths.

just below $T_N^{\text{D}\,\text{Y}}$ (Fig. 1(a)). On further heating, the (0 1:385 2) Bragg intensity passes a maximum around 8 K, decreases m onotonically with a concave curvature - typical for an induced magnetic moment, and nally vanishes above $T_1^{DY} = 15 \text{ K}$. In addition, it exhibits a signi cant hysteresis (see inset in Fig. 1 (c)). On cooling from 16 K, the (0 1:385 2) Bragg intensity measured at 7 K is about twice as large as the intensity obtained on heating from 4.5 to 7 K.M on itoring the count rate at xed k = 1:385 while sweeping the tem perature at various rates (0.05...1 K/m in.) we veri ed that whenever one does not cross $T_N^{D\,Y}$, the cooling and heating curves above $T_N^{D\,Y}$ are reversable and the hysteresis is independent of the heating/cooling rate. This behavior of Dy induced ordering strongly resembles the hysteresis of the electric polarization shown in Fig. 1 (a), where a factor of 2 difference is shown in the cooling and heating curves at 7K, the same dierence that we nd in the hysteresis of the intensity of the (0 1:385 2) re ection. Finally, the insert in Fig. 2 (b) shows the temperature dependence of q^{M} n measured in two successive heating and cooling cycles between 7.5 and 40 K.C learly, a signi cant hysteresis of about 5 K in width is observed in the temperature dependence. Neither on cooling nor on heating any sharp lock-in transition is observed. The edge of the heating curve, however, is consistent with the reported value for $T_1 = 18 \text{ K}$.

Having directly established the behavior of Dy-spins above and below $T_N^{\ D\ Y}$ we turn our attention to models

of m agnetic ordering for D y that can be obtained from the analysis of the N P D data. In the C M regime below $T_{\rm N}^{\rm D\, Y}$ the direction data indicates a cell doubling along b arising from antiferrom agnetic D y spin-ordering. Rietveld analysis shows that the D y m oments with absolute value m $^{\rm D\, Y}$ = 62(6) $_{\rm B}$ /D y lie in the ab plane, being canted 30(10) from the baxis, and are stacked antiferrom agnetically along the c-axis.

In the analysis of the induced magnetic ordering of Dy-spins at 10 K, above T_N^{Dy} , we have taken solutions of the magnetic structure that are allowed by 3 representation of the P bnm space group indicated by the spiral arrangements of Mn-spins in TbM nO 3 [9, 18]. In the case where two irreproducible representations are coupled [9], the R m om ents are allowed to have components along the three principal crystallographic directions denoted as m D y = (a_x, f_y, f_z) [18]. Our Rietveld analysis shows that the magnetic moment is m D y = (0.4(6),2.5(2),0.0(3)) $_{B}$ /D y. The maxim um value of the m om ent here is approxim ately twice as much as that found for the induced m om ent of T b in T bM nO 3 $(m^{Tb} = (1.2,0,0)_B/Tb[9])$. In these re nements we assum ed the same magnetic symmetry for M n as that reported for TbM nO 3 [9].

The CM structure of Dy-spins below $T_N^{\ D\ Y}$ preserves the m irror plane perpendicular to the c axis and thus obviously does not allow for a contribution to P_s along the c axis. Polarization measurements show that this spin ordering also does not contribute to P_s along the other principal crystallographic directions (P ka P kb 0) [7]. For the region just above $T_N^{\ D\ Y}$ our data is consistent with a sinusoidalm odulation of the Dy-spins along the b axis. The absence of a c axis component would exclude the presence of a Dy bc-spiral as found for the case of Mn spin-ordering in T bM nO $_3$. Thus, on the basis of symmetry the induced Dy-spin order alone is unlikely to result in a ferroelectric polarization along the c axis above $T_N^{\ D\ Y}$.

Compared to R=Tb or (Eu,Y), the behavior of DyM nO $_3$ is unusual, as P $_8$ just above T $_N^{D\,y}$ is three times larger for comparable conditions and shows a large hysteresis. Indeed G oto et al.[6] nd almost a 50% dierence in P $_8$ at 7 K obtained after cooling to 2 and 7 K, a behavior that is similar to the hysteresis of the magnetic intensity from the induced Dy spin-order (Fig.1(c)). Below $T_N^{D\,y}$, P $_8$ decreases to a value of 0.06 C=m 2 which is similar to values found for non-magnetic R-ions and R=Tb at 2K. This would indicate that in this regime ferroelectricity arises from a similar coupling in all of these materials.

O urm easurem ents indicate that there is an additional (or alternate) m echanism by which D y-spins can provide a signi cant enhancem ent of $P_{\rm S}$ above the value expected from a M n spiral alone. A lthough ourm easurem ents can not provide a detailed m icroscopic m odel of the lattice distortions that arise from the magnetic ordering of M n and D y, we can exclude a mechanism based on a bc D y

spin-spiral. The tem perature hysteresis of P_s and the induced D y m agnetic rejection occur over the same region, suggesting a close coupling between these two quantities. The lattice distortion that arises from the D y induced ordering is significantly larger in magnitude and different in nature than those for $R=T\,b$. The hysteretic behavior of P_s and the intensity of the ICM D y magnetic rejections suggests that the enhancement of P_s above $T_N^{D\,y}$ arises from a magneto-strictive coupling between M n and D y spins. Indeed this may be a peculiarity of the single ion anisotropy of D y since it is a K ramers ions and Tb in contrast is not. Clearly the magneto-strictive lattice distortions must be conform to $_2$ $_3$ symmetry.

The present results are important for a full description of the magneto-electric coupling in perovskite manganites. While R-spins thus far have been perceived to be spectators to ferroelectricity, here we show that in DyMnO_3 the induced magnetic ordering of Dy coincides with a region of enhanced polarization compared to other RMnO_3 manganites, while below $T_{\rm N}^{\rm Dy}$ when Dy spins order commensurately $P_{\rm S}$ is sharply reduced. We argue that these observation suggest a peculiar coupling of the lattice that enhances $P_{\rm S}$ when Dy-spins are ordered incommensurately above $T_{\rm N}^{\rm Dy}$.

The authors have bene ted from discussions with M . M ostovoy, B . H arris and T . K in ura. Construction of the beam line M AGS has been founded by the BM BF via the HGF-Vernetzungsfonds under contracts No. 01SF 0005 and 01SF 0006. S. Landsgesell thanks the DFG for nancial support via contract No.AR 613/1-1.

- [1] N A . Hill, J.Phys. Chem . B 104, 6694 (2000).
- [2] T.Kimura et al., Phys. Rev. B 68, 060403 (2003).
- [3] T.K im ura et al., Nature 426, 55 (2003).
- [4] Th. Lotterm oser et al., Nature 430, 541 (2004).
- [5] M . Fiebig, J. Phys. D , 38, R 123 (2005).
- [6] T.Goto et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 257201 (2004).
- [7] T.Kimura et al., Phys. Rev. B 71, 224425 (2005).
- [8] M. Mostovoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 067601 (2006).
- [9] M . Kenzelm ann et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 087206 (2005).
- [10] S.Quezel et al., Physica B&C 86-88, 916 (1977).
- [11] M. L. Plum er and M. B. Walker, J. Phys. C 15, 7181 (1982).
- [12] M .B.W alker, Phys. Rev. B 22, 1338 (1980).
- [13] R.Kajim oto et al., Phys. Rev. B 70, 012401 (2004).
- [14] R.Feyerherm et al, Phys.Rev.B 73, 180401(R) (2006).
- [15] For 162 Dy, $_{\rm a}$ = 194 bam while for natural Dy $_{\rm a}$ = 994 bam, Neutron News 3 29 (1992).
- [16] J.Rodriguez-Carvajal Physica B 192, 55 (1993).
- [17] E.Dudzik et al., J. Synchrotron Rad. (2006), in press.
- [18] H.W. Brinks et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 094411 (2001).
- [19] K. Noda et al., cond-m att/0512139 (2005).
- [20] Harris, A. and Lawes, G. (2006). The Handbook of Magnetism and Advanced Magnetic Materials. Wiley.