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#### Abstract

W e theoretically investigate the e ciency of initial binding between a receptor-coated sphere and a ligand-coated wall in linear shear ow. The m ean rst passage tim efor binding decreases $m$ onoton ically $w$ ith increasing shear rate. Above a saturation threshold of the order of a few 100 receptor patches, the binding e ciency is enhanced only weakly by increasing their num ber and size, but strongly by increasing their height. This explains why white blood cells in the blood ow adhere through receptor patches localized to the tips of $m$ icrovilli, and why malaria-infected red blood cells form elevated receptor patches (knobs).


C ohesion in biologicalsystem sand biotechnologicalapplications is usually provided by speci c bonds betw een receptors and ligands. The form ation of these bonds requires a physical transport process which brings receptors and ligands to su cient proxim ity for binding. On the cellular level, one of the $m$ ost prom inent exam ples is the binding ofblood-bom cells to the vessel walls under the conditions of hydrodynam ic ow . For white blood cells, initialbinding to the vesselwalls is the rst step in their hunt for pathogens, which is then follow ed by rolling adhesion, $m$ arrest and extravasation [1] [1] . Sim ilar processes are used by stem and cancer cells which travel the body w th the blood stream. In itiating binding to vessel walls is also essential for $m$ alaria-infected red blood cells in order to avoid clearance by the spleen and possibly also to foster rupture and release of new parasites into the blood stream [2]. Sim ilar questions about in itial binding under ow conditions arise forbacteria, e.g.w hen binding to the intestinalw all $[\underline{3}]$, and in biotechnological applications, e.g. for adhesion-based cell sorting [ilit]. In order to control shear ow and cell density in a quantitative way, a standard setup are ow chambers $\widehat{W}^{2} \mid \mathrm{l}$. An essentialbut largely unexplored aspect of these processes is receptor geom etry, that is size, height and separation distance of the receptor patches. O ne w ay to address th is issue experim entally is the use of receptor-coated beads $[\underline{-6}]$.

E arlier theoreticale orts in this context have been focused $m$ ainly on issues related to white blood cells, including $m$ odelling of the initiation of adhesion at high cell densities (e.g. due to hydrodynam ic interactions) ["] and the process of rolling adhesion [i]. In these studies, the param eters characterizing receptor-ligand binding are usually xed at physiologically $m$ otivated values. In this Letter, we take a m ore general view and ask how a variable receptor geom etry a ects cell capture in hydrodynam ic ow. In order to study this issue in a system atic w ay, we spatially resolve receptors and ligands. The cell is m odeled as a rigid spherical Brownian particle in linear shear ow carrying receptors for ligands covering a planar boundary wall. In the absence of interactions $w$ th other particles or extemal forces, there is no


FIG.1: A cell of radius R m oves in linear shear ow above a w all at a height $z$, sub ject to hydrodynam ic, gravitational and therm alforces. Thee ciency for initiating binding is assessed by calculating the $m$ ean rst passage tim $e$ for approach of receptor and ligand to a capture distance $r_{0}$, that is for overlap of the gray regions. Three $m$ odels of increasing com plexity are studied here: (a) hom ogeneous coverage of cell and wall, (b) $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$ equidistantly placed receptor patches on the cell, each $w$ ith radius $r_{p}$, and (c) ligand patches of radius $r_{d}$ separated by distance $d$.
reason for such a particle to drift tow ards the wall and initial binding has to rely com pletely on therm al di usion. In order to arrive at a generic $m$ odel, we consider the sim plest of the possible dow nw ard driving forces in experim ents with ow chambers, nam ely gravity. The particle is set free at a certain height above the w all and we calculate the mean rst passage time (MFPT) for the rst receptor-ligand encounter as a $m$ easure for the $e$ cieny of initial cell.binding. W e consider three m odels of increasing complexity in regard to the spatial distribution of receptors and ligands. W e rst show that if the receptors on the cell and the ligands on the substrate are distributed hom ogeneously, then the corresponding M FP T s can be calculated exactly. In the case that receptors are spatially resolved, we use extensive com puter sim ulations to calculate the M FPTs as a function of their num ber and spatial dim ensions, both in two and three dim ensions. A s a third case, we in addition consider spatially resolved ligand distribution.

Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1} 1$ sider a sphere of radius $R$ which $m$ oves $w$ th the hydro-
dynam ic ow in positive $x$-direction at a height $z$ above the wallw ith norm $a l e_{z}$. T he sim plest possible ow pattem is linear shear ow $w$ th shear rate _. W th the no-slip boundary condition at the wall, the unperturbed velocity pro le reads $u^{1}=z_{\mathrm{x}}$. For a typical cell radius $R=5 \mathrm{~m}$ and a typical shear rate $-=100 \mathrm{~Hz}$, the R eynolds num ber in aqueous solution is wellbelow 1 and the hydrodynam ic ow is essentially described by the Stokes equation for incom pressible uids. This is even $m$ ore true for $s m$ aller particles like $m$ icron-sized beads. Scaling estim ates also show that for typical param eter values for cell elasticity, deform ations due to shear ow and lubrication forces are $s m$ all and therefore the spherical approxim ation is justi ed. In addition to the hydrodynam ic foroes, in ourm odel there are also gravitational and therm al forces acting on the particle. Such a com bination of forces is the sub ject of Stokesian D ynam ics [d] and in our case leads to the follow ing Langevin equation [10']:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{u}^{1}+\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{G}}+\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{S}}\right)+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}} B r \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{S}}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is am bient tem perature. T he gravitational force reads $F^{G}=g \quad\left(4 R^{3}=3\right) e_{z} w$ ith som e density di erence . $T$ he subscript $t$ denotes random variables. A s usual, the therm al force $g_{t}$ is assum ed to be $G$ aussian :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hg}_{\mathrm{t}} i=0 ; \quad h g_{\mathrm{t}} g_{\mathrm{t}} 0 i=2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{M} \quad\left(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{t}^{0}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superscript $S$ for the multiplicative noise term in Eq. $\left.\overline{11}_{11}^{1}\right)$ indicates that it has to be intenpreted in the usual Stratonovich sense. The m atrix B in Eq. $[\overline{11})$ is related to the $m$ obility $m$ atrix $M$ through $M=B^{T}$. Vectors in Eq. $\left.{ }^{[11}\right)$ are six-dim ensional, representing the spatial and orientational degrees of freedom. Them obility $m$ atrix $M$ and the shear force $F^{S}$ for a spherical particle above a w allcannot be obtained in analytically closed form. H ow ever, they can be calculated num erically to high accuracy and we w illuse this for our sim ulations [ $\left[11_{1}^{1}\right]$.

Considering the physical dim ensions of our problem show $s$ that the $m$ otion of the cell is essentially govemed by two dim ensionless num bers. For length, the natural scale is cell radius R. M obility and shear force scale as $M=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}6 & R\end{array}\right)$ and $F^{S}=6 R^{2}$, respectively. For time, there are two relevant time scales, the determ in istic tim e scale $1=\ldots$ and the di usive time scale $R^{2}=D=6 \quad R^{3}=k_{B} T_{a}$, where we have used the Einstein relation $D=M k_{B} T_{a}$ for the di usion constant D. Therefore the relative im portance of hydrodynam ic to therm almotion is described by the Peclet num ber $P e=6 \quad R^{3}=k_{B} T_{a}$. In the lim its $P$ e! 0 and $\mathrm{Pe}!1$, di usive and determ in istic $m$ otion dom inate, respectively. The gravitational force introduces another dim ension less num ber, which we call the P eclet num ber in $z$-direction, $P e_{z}=F^{G} R=k_{B} T_{a}=4 \mathrm{~g} R^{4}=\left(3 k_{B} T_{a}\right)$. In the follow ing, we will non-dim ensionalize length and tim e by $R$ and $6 R^{3}=k_{B} T_{a}$, respectively.


FIG. 2: E ect of shear rate on $m$ ean rst passage time $T$ for in itial binding for spatially resolved receptors in (a) two (2D) and (b) three dim ensions (3D ). The scales for length and tim e are $R$ and $6 R^{3}=k_{B} T_{a}$, respectively. T he $P$ eclet num ber $P e=6 \quad R^{3}=k_{B} T_{a}$ and the $P$ eclet num ber in $z^{-}$ direction $P e_{z}=4 \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{R}^{4}=\left(3 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ represent the strengths of the hydrodynam ic and gravitational forces, respectively, relative to the them al forces. $z_{0}=2, P e_{z}=50, r_{0}=r_{p}=$ $10^{3}$.

W e start by considering hom ogeneous coverage of cell and wall with receptors and ligands, respectively, com pare Fig. 1 irrelevant. Because the wall breaks the sym $m$ etry only in the $z$-direction, $m$ otion in the $x-y$-plane is decoupled from our problem. Thus in this case we essentially deal with a MFPT in one dim ension, which is independent of shear rate _ and which can be approached w ith standard $m$ ethods for the appropriate FokkerP lanck equation. B inding is identi ed with approach of receptor and ligand to a capture distance $r_{0}$. A pplied to the case of hom ogeneous coverage, the cell has to fall to the capture height $1+r_{0}$. If dropped from the initial height $z_{0}$, the respective M FPT can be shown to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{h}={\frac{1}{P e_{z}}}_{1+r_{0}}^{Z z_{0}} d z \frac{1}{M_{z z}(z)}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the M FPT scales inversely w ith the gravitational force driving the cell onto the wall. $W$ ith the hubrication approxim ation $M_{z z}(z) \quad 1 \quad 1=z$ we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{Pe} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{z}}} \mathrm{z}_{0} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{r}_{0}+\ln \frac{\mathrm{z}_{0} \quad 1}{r_{0}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hus the M FPT diverges logarithm ically with vanishing capture distance $r_{0}$ (that is when the cell has to get innitely close to the wall) and linearly with initial height $z_{0}$ (that is when the cell starts in nitely far aw ay from the wall). A though only the constant force chosen here results in an analytical result like Eq. (4) , for other types of force law s it is straightforw ard to num erically calculate corresponding falling tim es $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ [ $\left[10 \mathrm{O}{ }^{\prime}\right]$.

W e next consider the case of a spatially resolved receptor distribution, com pare Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1 / 17} \mathrm{l}$. N . N ow the cell is equidis tantly covered $w$ th $N_{r}$ receptor patches, each $w$ ith radius $r_{p}$ and height $r_{0}$. We rst note that in this case, initialorientation becom es im portant. M oreover now shear
rate＿enters the analysis：the shear ow increases cell rotation，and for heterogeneous receptor coverage，this strongly in uences when the rst receptor can bind the rst ligand．B ecause experim entally it is hardly possible to prepare the initialorientation of the cell，in the follow－ ing we average over all possible initial orientations．O ne can show that the angle－averaged M FPT is the MFPT to fall from initial height $z_{0}$ to som e interm ediate height $z_{m}$ according to Eq．［ЗЗ $\overline{-1}$ ）（that is independent of orien－ tation）plus the angle－averaged M FPT to bind from the in itial height $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ．In this sense，the initial height is not relevant for our problem and in the follow ing we alw ays use $z_{0}=2$ ，that is the cell has to fall for the distance of one radius before binding can occur．

In Fig． extensive com puter sim ulations as a function of $P$ eclet number Pe＿and receptor patch number $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$ for two （2D）and three dim ensions（3D ），respectively．H ere 2D $m$ ean that translational $m$ otion is restricted to the $x-z^{-}$ plane and rotations are restricted about the $y$－axis，which allows for $m$ uch faster sim ulations．Each data point in Fig． $\bar{n}$ is the average of at least $10^{5}$ sim ulated tra jectories of the Langevin equation Eq．（1，（1）．O ur sim ulations are very tim e－consum ing because w ith the receptor patches we resolve ob jects of typical size $10^{3}$ ，that is nm－sized patches on $m$ icron－sized œells．From Fig．in we rst note that $T$ decreases $m$ onotoneously $w$ ith increasing $P e$ and that the shear rate does not change the relative sequence of the curves for di erent $N_{r}$ ．T hus the larger shear rate and the $m$ ore receptor patches present，the $m$ ore e cient cell capture．T he crossover betw een the di usion－and convection－dom inated regim es does not occur at Pe 1 ， but at $m$ uch largervahes $P$ e $10^{2}$ ．N ext we note that in the 2D case（ $F$ ig． $\bar{z}$（za），for large $P$ e or large $N_{r}$ ，all curves level o to the exact result for hom ogeneous coverage from Eq．$\overline{3} \overline{3})$ ，because in these two lim its，the binding process e ectively becom es rotationally invariant．In the 3D－case（ $F$ ig．Intb），the hom ogeneous reference value is only achieved for large receptor num bers．T he reason is that for sm all num bers of receptors，the cell $m$ ight have to rotate around the $x$－axis before a receptor $m$ oving on a circle parallel to the $x-z-p l a n e ~ i s ~ a b l e ~ t o ~ b i n d ~ a ~ l i g a n d ~$ on the wall．Therefore in 3D them al di usion rem ains essential even in the case of large $P$ eclet num ber．

In order to achieve a better understanding of the sim u－ lation results shown in Fig． pose the process into periods of falling and rotation，re－ spectively．A detailed analysis show sthat in the 2D－case this decom position allow s to derive scaling law s for dif－ ferent lim its in regard to P e and $\mathrm{P} e_{z}$ ．An im portant case is the one of large $P e_{z}$ ，when the cell is strongly driven onto the wall．Then the binding process can be decom－ posed into a initial falling period described by Eq．（了） follow ed by a purely rotational search for ligand，which


FIG．3：$M$ ean rst passage time $T$ in 3D in the di usive lim it（ $\mathrm{P} e \quad 0$ ）as a function of（a）the num ber of receptor patches $N_{r}$ for di erent values of patch height $r_{0}$ and（b） ligand density 1 as varied by decreasing ligand patch distance $d$ for di erent values of the num ber of receptors $N_{r}$ ．The dashed lines show the scaling behaviours $T \quad 1=\mathrm{N}_{r}$ and $T$ $1={ }_{1}$ at low receptor and ligand coverage，respectively．$z_{0}=2$ ， $P e_{z}=50, r_{0}=r_{p}=r_{d}=10^{2}$ ．
is independent ofP $e_{z}$ and can be calculated analytically：

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r}=\frac{A \quad{ }^{2} \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{A}{2 D}\right) \quad 2 D}{2 A^{2} s}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $\quad s$ is the angle betw een the absorbing bound－ aries， $\mathrm{s}=2=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}}$ the angle betw een receptor patches， A $\quad \mathrm{Pe}=2$ the rotational drift and $\mathrm{D} \quad 3=4$ the rota－ tionaldi usion constant．From Eq．（F⿳亠二口刂土）we get T $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}$ and $T \quad 1=\left(N_{r} P e\right)$ for $s m$ all and large Pe，respectively， in excellent agreem ent $w$ th the scaling found in our sim－ ulations．In general，E q．（ $\bar{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ ）is a good qualitative descrip－ tion of the 2D data shown in Fig．

In order to consider the case of spatially resolved lig－ and，com pare Fig． square lattice of circular ligand patches，$w$ ith lattice con－ stant $d$ and patch radius $r_{d}$ ．Because again the $P$ eclet num ber does not change the relative sequence of the dif－ ferent M FPT curves，the e ciency of initial cell．binding as a function of receptor and ligand geom etry＿can be in－ vestigated in the di usive $\lim$ it Pe 0 ．Fig． $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{1}$ shows for this case that the M FPT saturates both when increasing receptor coverage by increasing $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$ or ligand coverage by decreasing d．A sim ilar saturation behaviour is also found when increasing receptor and ligand patch sizes $r_{p}$ and $r_{d}$ ．Typically the saturation threshold for the param e－ ters used is located at a $m$ ean patch－to－patch distance $d$ of about $0: 17$ ，both in regard w ith receptors and ligands． For a coverage below the threshold，$F$ ig．${ }_{1}$ ，show $s$ that the MFPT scales like $\quad 1=N_{r} \quad d^{2}$ and $\quad \overline{1}=1 \quad d^{2}$ in re－ gard to receptor and ligand coverage，respectively，where d represents the distance betw een receptor and ligand patches，respectively．This can be understood by noting that the 1D MFPT for capture by di usion scales $d^{2}$ where $d$ is the distance betw een the tw o absonbing bound－ aries．The saturation e ect observed with respect to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ， ${ }_{1}, r_{p}$ and $r_{d}$ results from the space－lling nature of dif－


FIG.4: $M$ ean rst passage tim e $T$ in 3D in the di usive lim it ( $\mathrm{P} e \quad 0$ ) as a function of the receptor patch radius $r_{p}$ for two di erent values of $r_{0}$ and three di erent values of $N_{r}$. For better com parison $w$ ith regard to $r_{0}$ the $m$ ean rst passage tim e $T_{h}$ for hom ogeneous coverage from Eq. (3, has been substracted. The lines are ts according to Eq. ( $\mathbf{\sigma}_{1}$ ). O ther param eters as in Fig.
fusion which has been im plicated before for the e ciency of ligand capture by a cell [121].

W e nally tum to the e ect of the capture distance $r_{0}$. In $F$ ig. $\overline{1 / 1} \mathbf{1}$ we show the MFPT in the di usive lim it as a function of the receptor patch radius $r_{p}$ for $r_{0}=10^{3}$ and $r_{0}=10^{2}$ as well as for three di erent values of $N_{r}$. $W$ e rst note that the MFPT ismuch more in uenced by a change in $r_{0}$ or $N_{r}$ then by a change in $r_{p}$. O ne can show on geom etricalgrounds that the tw o param eters $r_{0}$ and $r_{p}$ conspire to de ne an e ective receptor patch size $\mathrm{P} \overline{r_{0}}+r_{p}$ which then in tum determ ines the probability for binding. T his line of reasoning leads to the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{a}{b+r_{p}}+T_{h} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}=2 t_{d}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{0}}, \mathrm{~b}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{r_{0}}=2, t_{d}$ is a typical di usion tim e betw een binding attem pts which scales $\quad 1=\mathrm{N}_{\underline{r}}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is the hom ogeneous result from Eq. (3). Eq. (G) im plies that even for vanishing receptor size the M FP T re$m$ ains nite due to a nite $r_{0}$. The lines in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{1 / 4}$, show that this equation can be tted extrem ely well to the sim ulation results. M oreover the tted values for a and b agree roughly w ith the predicted scaling behaviour.

In sum $m$ ary, our results show that the e ciency for initiating cell adhesion in hydrodynam ic ow is strongly enhanced by increasing the num ber of receptor patches $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}}$, but only up to a saturation threshold. A n increase of patch size $r_{p}$ leads only to a weak enhancem ent ofbinding e ciency. In contrast, a strong enhancem ent results from increasing the patch height $r_{0}$. For exam ple, for a few hundred receptor patches, an elevation of $r_{0}=10^{2}$ m akes initialbinding already ase cient as for a hom ogeneously covered cell. Strikingly, white blood cells are indeed characterized by such a receptor geom etry, because
they are covered w ith hundreds ofprotrusions (m icrovilli, typicalheight 300 nm , corresponding to $r_{0}=0: 06$ ) which carry adhesion receptors like L-selectin at their narrow tips [151]. In general, white blood alls operate in the lim it of a hom ogeneously covered cell not only due to their receptor geom etry, but also because during capture they are usually exposed to environm ents w ith Pe $10^{4} \quad 10^{5}$ (a typicalvalue for $P e_{z}$ is 300). T he principle of enhancing capture e ciency by elevation of receptor patches seem $s$ to be also used by other biological system s. O ne exam ple oflargem edicalrelevance appears to bem alariain fected red blood cells, which develop thousands of little adhesive protrusions (knobs, typical height 20 nm , corresponding to $r_{0}=0: 004$ ) 㑕]. The results presented here do not only allow to understand the e ciency of cell capture in these biological system s in a uni ed way, but can also be used for developing corresponding applications in biotechnology, including adhesion-based cell or particle sorting.
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