C.Kom and U.S.Schwarz

University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheim er Feld 293, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany and Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, D-14424 Potsdam, Germany

We theoretically investigate the e ciency of initial binding between a receptor-coated sphere and a ligand-coated wall in linear shear ow. The mean rst passage time for binding decreases monotonically with increasing shear rate. Above a saturation threshold of the order of a few 100 receptor patches, the binding e ciency is enhanced only weakly by increasing their number and size, but strongly by increasing their height. This explains why white blood cells in the blood ow adhere through receptor patches localized to the tips of microvilli, and why malaria-infected red blood cells form elevated receptor patches (knobs).

C ohesion in biological system s and biotechnological applications is usually provided by speci c bonds between receptors and ligands. The form ation of these bonds requires a physical transport process which brings receptors and ligands to su cient proximity for binding. On the cellular level, one of the most prominent examples is the binding of blood-born cells to the vessel walls under the conditions of hydrodynamic ow. For white blood cells, initial binding to the vessel walls is the rst step in their hunt for pathogens, which is then followed by rolling adhesion, m arrest and extravasation [1]. Sim ilar processes are used by stem and cancer cells which travel the body with the blood stream . Initiating binding to vessel walls is also essential for malaria-infected red blood cells in order to avoid clearance by the spleen and possibly also to foster rupture and release of new parasites into the blood stream [2]. Sim ilar questions about initial binding under ow conditions arise for bacteria, e.g. when binding to the intestinal wall [3], and in biotechnological applications, e.g. for adhesion-based cell sorting [4]. In order to control shear ow and cell density in a quantitative way, a standard setup are ow chambers [5]. An essential but largely unexplored aspect of these processes is receptor geom etry, that is size, height and separation distance of the receptor patches. One way to address this issue experim entally is the use of receptor-coated beads [6].

E arlier theoretical e orts in this context have been focused mainly on issues related to white blood cells, including modelling of the initiation of adhesion at high cell densities (e.g. due to hydrodynamic interactions) [7] and the process of rolling adhesion [8]. In these studies, the parameters characterizing receptor-ligand binding are usually xed at physiologically motivated values. In this Letter, we take a more general view and ask how a variable receptor geometry a ects cell capture in hydrodynamic ow. In order to study this issue in a system atic way, we spatially resolve receptors and ligands. The cell is modeled as a rigid spherical B rownian particle in linear shear ow carrying receptors for ligands covering a planar boundary wall. In the absence of interactions with other particles or external forces, there is no



FIG.1: A cell of radius R m oves in linear shear ow above a wallat a height z, subject to hydrodynam ic, gravitational and them all forces. The e ciency for initiating binding is assessed by calculating the m ean rst passage time for approach of receptor and ligand to a capture distance r_0 , that is for overlap of the gray regions. Three m odels of increasing com plexity are studied here: (a) hom ogeneous coverage of cell and wall, (b) N $_r$ equidistantly placed receptor patches on the cell, each with radius r_p , and (c) ligand patches of radius r_d separated by distance d.

reason for such a particle to drift towards the wall and initial binding has to rely completely on therm aldiusion. In order to arrive at a generic model, we consider the simplest of the possible downward driving forces in experiments with ow chambers, namely gravity. The particle is set free at a certain height above the wall and we calculate the mean rst passage time (MFPT) for the rst receptor-ligand encounter as a measure for the e cieny of initial cell binding. W e consider three m odels of increasing complexity in regard to the spatial distribution of receptors and ligands. We rst show that if the receptors on the cell and the ligands on the substrate are distributed hom ogeneously, then the corresponding MF-PTs can be calculated exactly. In the case that receptors are spatially resolved, we use extensive computer sim ulations to calculate the MFPTs as a function of their num ber and spatial dimensions, both in two and three dim ensions. As a third case, we in addition consider spatially resolved ligand distribution.

Fig.1 introduces the param eters of our model. W e consider a sphere of radius R which moves with the hydrodynam ic ow in positive x-direction at a height z above the wall with norm alez. The simplest possible ow pattem is linear shear ow with shear rate _. With the no-slip boundary condition at the wall, the unperturbed velocity pro le reads $u^1 = _ze_x$. For a typical cell radius R = 5 m and a typical shear rate _ = 100 Hz, the Reynolds number in aqueous solution is well below 1 and the hydrodynam ic ow is essentially described by the Stokes equation for incompressible uids. This is even m ore true for sm aller particles like m icron-sized beads. Scaling estimates also show that for typical parameter values for cell elasticity, deform ations due to shear ow and lubrication forces are small and therefore the spherical approximation is justied. In addition to the hydrodynam ic forces, in our model there are also gravitational and therm all forces acting on the particle. Such a com bination of forces is the subject of Stokesian Dynam ics [9] and in our case leads to the follow ing Langevin equation [10]:

$$Q_{t}X_{t} = u^{1} + M (F^{G} + F^{S}) + k_{B}T_{a}BrB^{T} + g_{t}^{S}$$
 (1)

 T_a is am bient tem perature. The gravitational force reads $F^{\,G}~=~g~(4~R^{-3}{=}3)e_z$ with some density di erence . The subscript t denotes random variables. As usual, the therm al force g_t is assumed to be G aussian:

$$hg_t i = 0$$
; $hg_t g_{t^0} i = 2k_B T_a M$ (t t⁰): (2)

The superscript S for the multiplicative noise term in Eq. (1) indicates that it has to be interpreted in the usual Stratonovich sense. The matrix B in Eq. (1) is related to the mobility matrix M through $M = BB^{T}$. Vectors in Eq. (1) are six-dimensional, representing the spatial and orientational degrees of freedom. The mobility matrix M and the shear force F^{S} for a spherical particle above a wallcannot be obtained in analytically closed form. How – ever, they can be calculated num erically to high accuracy and we will use this for our simulations [11].

Considering the physical dimensions of our problem shows that the motion of the cell is essentially governed by two dimensionless numbers. For length, the natural scale is cell radius R. Mobility and shear force scale as M = 1=(6 R) and F^{S} = 6 R^{2} , respectively. For time, there are two relevant time scales, the determ inistic time scale 1=_ and the di usive time scale $R^2 = D = 6$ $R^3 = k_B T_a$, where we have used the Einstein relation $D = M k_B T_a$ for the diusion constant D. Therefore the relative importance of hydrodynamic to therm almotion is described by the Peclet num ber $Pe = 6 R^3 = k_B T_a$. In the lim its Pe! 0 and Pe! 1, di usive and determ inistic motion dom inate, respectively. The gravitational force introduces another dim ensionless num ber, which we call the Peclet num ber in z-direction, $Pe_z = F^G R = k_B T_a = 4 g R^4 = (3k_B T_a)$. In the following, we will non-dimensionalize length and time by R and 6 R³= $k_B T_a$, respectively.



FIG.2: E ect of shear rate on mean rst passage time T for initial binding for spatially resolved receptors in (a) two (2D) and (b) three dimensions (3D). The scales for length and time are R and 6 R³=k_BT_a, respectively. The Peclet number Pe = 6 R³_=k_BT_a and the Peclet number in z-direction Pe_z = 4 g R⁴=(3k_BT_a) represent the strengths of the hydrodynamic and gravitational forces, respectively, relative to the thermal forces. $z_0 = 2$, Pe_z = 50, $r_0 = r_p = 10^{-3}$.

W e start by considering hom ogeneous coverage of cell and wall with receptors and ligands, respectively, compare Fig. 1a. Then rotational degrees of freedom are irrelevant. Because the wall breaks the sym m etry only in the z-direction, m otion in the x-y-plane is decoupled from our problem. Thus in this case we essentially deal with a MFPT in one dimension, which is independent of shear rate _ and which can be approached with standard m ethods for the appropriate Fokker-P lanck equation. B inding is identi ed with approach of receptor and ligand to a capture distance r_0 . Applied to the case of hom ogeneous coverage, the cell has to fall to the capture height $1 + r_0$. If dropped from the initial height z_0 , the respective MFPT can be shown to be

$$T_{h} = \frac{1}{P e_{z}} \int_{1+r_{0}}^{2-z_{0}} dz \frac{1}{M_{zz}(z)} :$$
(3)

Thus the MFPT scales inversely with the gravitational force driving the cell onto the wall. W ith the lubrication approximation M $_{zz}$ (z) 1 1=z we nd

$$\Gamma_{h} = \frac{1}{P e_{z}} z_{0} - 1 r_{0} + \ln \frac{z_{0} - 1}{r_{0}} :$$
 (4)

Thus the MFPT diverges logarithm ically with vanishing capture distance r_0 (that is when the cell has to get innitely close to the wall) and linearly with initial height z_0 (that is when the cell starts in nitely far away from the wall). A lthough only the constant force chosen here results in an analytical result like Eq. (4), for other types of force laws it is straightforw and to num erically calculate corresponding falling times T_h [10].

W e next consider the case of a spatially resolved receptor distribution, com pare F ig. 1b. N ow the cell is equidistantly covered with N_r receptor patches, each with radius r_p and height r_0 . We rest note that in this case, initial orientation becomes in portant. Moreover now shear

rate _ enters the analysis: the shear ow increases cell rotation, and for heterogeneous receptor coverage, this strongly in uences when the rst receptor can bind the rst ligand. Because experimentally it is hardly possible to prepare the initial orientation of the cell, in the follow - ing we average over all possible initial orientations. O ne can show that the angle-averaged M FPT is the M FPT to fall from initial height z_0 to some intermediate height z_m according to Eq. (3) (that is independent of orientation) plus the angle-averaged M FPT to bind from the initial height z_m . In this sense, the initial height is not relevant for our problem and in the follow ing we always use $z_0 = 2$, that is the cell has to fall for the distance of one radius before binding can occur.

In Fig. 2a and b we show the MFPT as obtained by extensive computer simulations as a function of Peclet num ber P e _ and receptor patch num ber N $_{\rm r}$ for two (2D) and three dimensions (3D), respectively. Here 2D m ean that translational m otion is restricted to the x-zplane and rotations are restricted about the y-axis, which allows for much faster simulations. Each data point in Fig. 2 is the average of at least 10⁵ simulated trajectories of the Langevin equation Eq. (1). Our simulations are very tim e-consum ing because with the receptor patches we resolve objects of typical size 10^{-3} , that is nm -sized patches on micron-sized cells. From Fig. 2 we rst note that T decreases m onotoneously with increasing P e and that the shear rate does not change the relative sequence of the curves for di erent N $_{\rm r}$. Thus the larger shear rate and the more receptor patches present, the more e cient cell capture. The crossover between the di usion- and convection-dom inated regim es does not occur at P e 1, but at much larger values $P = 10^2$. Next we note that in the 2D case (Fig. 2a), for large P e or large N_r, all curves level o to the exact result for hom ogeneous coverage from Eq. (3), because in these two limits, the binding process e ectively becom es rotationally invariant. In the 3D-case (Fig. 2b), the hom openeous reference value is only achieved for large receptor num bers. The reason is that for sm all num bers of receptors, the cellm ight have to rotate around the x-axis before a receptor moving on a circle parallel to the x-z-plane is able to bind a ligand on the wall. Therefore in 3D therm aldiusion remains essential even in the case of large Peclet num ber.

In order to achieve a better understanding of the sin ulation results shown in Fig. 2, it is instructive to decom – pose the process into periods of falling and rotation, respectively. A detailed analysis shows that in the 2D -case this decom position allows to derive scaling laws for different lim its in regard to P e and P e_z . A n in portant case is the one of large P e_z , when the cell is strongly driven onto the wall. Then the binding process can be decom – posed into a initial falling period described by Eq. (3), followed by a purely rotational search for ligand, which



FIG. 3: Mean rst passage time T in 3D in the diusive limit (Pe 0) as a function of (a) the number of receptor patches N_r for dierent values of patch height r₀ and (b) ligand density $_1$ as varied by decreasing ligand patch distance d for dierent values of the number of receptors N_r. The dashed lines show the scaling behaviours T 1=N_r and T 1= $_1$ at low receptor and ligand coverage, respectively. $z_0 = 2$, P $e_z = 50$, $r_0 = r_p = r_d = 10^{-2}$.

is independent of Pe_z and can be calculated analytically:

$$T_r = \frac{A - 2 \cosh(\frac{A}{2D}) - 2D}{2A^2 s}$$
 : (5)

Here s is the angle between the absorbing boundaries, $s = 2 = N_r$ the angle between receptor patches, A P e=2 the rotational drift and D 3=4 the rotational di usion constant. From Eq. (5) we get T $1=N_r^2$ and T $1=(N_r P e)$ for sm all and large P e, respectively, in excellent agreement with the scaling found in our sim – ulations. In general, Eq. (5) is a good qualitative description of the 2D data shown in Fig. 2.

In order to consider the case of spatially resolved ligand, com pare Fig. 1c, we cover the boundary wallw ith a square lattice of circular ligand patches, with lattice constant d and patch radius rd. Because again the Peclet num ber does not change the relative sequence of the different M FPT curves, the e ciency of initial cell binding as a function of receptor and ligand geom etry can be investigated in the di usive lim it Pe 0. Fig. 3 shows for this case that the MFPT saturates both when increasing receptor coverage by increasing N r or ligand coverage by decreasing d. A similar saturation behaviour is also found when increasing receptor and ligand patch sizes r_p and rd. Typically the saturation threshold for the param eters used is located at a m ean patch-to-patch distance d of about 0:17, both in regard with receptors and ligands. For a coverage below the threshold, Fig. 3 shows that the d^2 and 1 = 1 d^2 in re-MFPT scales like 1=N_r gard to receptor and ligand coverage, respectively, where d represents the distance between receptor and ligand patches, respectively. This can be understood by noting that the 1D M FPT for capture by diusion scales d^2 where d is the distance between the two absorbing boundaries. The saturation e ect observed with respect to N r, 1, rp and rd results from the space-lling nature of dif-



FIG. 4: Mean rst passage time T in 3D in the diusive limit (Pe 0) as a function of the receptor patch radius r_p for two di erent values of r_0 and three di erent values of N_r. For better comparison with regard to r_0 the mean rst passage time T_h for hom ogeneous coverage from Eq. (3) has been substracted. The lines are ts according to Eq. (6). O ther parameters as in Fig. 2.

fusion which has been in plicated before for the e ciency of ligand capture by a cell [12].

We nally turn to the e ect of the capture distance r_0 . In Fig. 4 we show the MFPT in the di usive limit as a function of the receptor patch radius r_p for $r_0 = 10^{-3}$ and $r_0 = 10^{-2}$ as well as for three di erent values of N $_r$. We rst note that the MFPT is much more in uenced by a change in r_0 or N $_r$ then by a change in r_p . One can show on geometrical grounds that the two parameters r_0 and r_p conspire to de ne an elective receptor patch size $\frac{p}{r_0} + r_p$ which then in turn determines the probability for binding. This line of reasoning leads to the form ula

$$T = \frac{a}{b+r_p} + T_h$$
 (6)

where $a = 2t_d = p_{\overline{r_0}}$, $b = p_{\overline{r_0}=2}$, t_d is a typical di usion time between binding attempts which scales $1=N_r$, and T_h is the hom ogeneous result from Eq. (3). Eq. (6) in plies that even for vanishing receptor size the M FPT remains nite due to a nite r_0 . The lines in Fig. 4 show that this equation can be tted extremely well to the simulation results. Moreover the tted values for a and b agree roughly with the predicted scaling behaviour.

In summary, our results show that the e ciency for initiating cell adhesion in hydrodynamic ow is strongly enhanced by increasing the number of receptor patches N_r, but only up to a saturation threshold. An increase of patch size r_p leads only to a weak enhancem ent of binding e ciency. In contrast, a strong enhancem ent results from increasing the patch height r_0 . For example, for a few hundred receptor patches, an elevation of $r_0 = 10^{-2}$ m akes initial binding already as e cient as for a hom ogeneously covered cell. Strikingly, white blood cells are indeed characterized by such a receptor geom etry, because

they are covered with hundreds of protrusions (m icrovilli, typical height 300 nm, corresponding to $r_0 = 0.06$) which carry adhesion receptors like L-selectin at their narrow tips [5]. In general, white blood cells operate in the lim it of a hom ogeneously covered cell not only due to their receptor geom etry, but also because during capture they are usually exposed to environm ents with P e 10^4 10^5 (a typical value for Pe_z is 300). The principle of enhancing capture e ciency by elevation of receptor patches seems to be also used by other biological systems. One example of large medical relevance appears to be malariainfected red blood cells, which develop thousands of little adhesive protrusions (knobs, typical height 20 nm, corresponding to $r_0 = 0.004$) [2]. The results presented here do not only allow to understand the e ciency of cell capture in these biological systems in a unied way, but can also be used for developing corresponding applications in biotechnology, including adhesion-based cell or particle sorting.

This work was supported by the Emmy Noether Program of the German Research Foundation (DFG) and the Center for Modelling and Simulation (BIOMS) at Heidelberg.

- [1] T.A.Springer, Cell 76, 301 (1994).
- [2] E.Nagao, O.Kaneko, and J.A.Dvorak, J.Struct. Biol. 130, 34 (2000). L.Bannister and G.M itchell, Trends Parasitol. 19, 209 (2003).
- [3] W. Thomas, E. Trintchina, M. Forero, V. Vogel, and E. Sokurenko, Cell 109, 913 (2002).
- [4] M. Forero, W. Thomas, C. Bland, L. Nilsson, E.Sokurenko, and V.Vogel, NanoLetters 4, 1593 (2004).
- [5] R. Alon, D. A. Hammer, and T. A. Springer, Nature 374, 539 (1995).S. Chen and T. A. Springer, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. USA 98, 950 (2001). O. Dwir, A. Solomon, S.Mangan, G. S. Kansas, U. S. Schwarz, and R. Alon, J. Cell Biol. 163, 649 (2003).
- [6] A. Pierres, D. Touchard, A.-M. Benoliel, and P. Bongrand, Biophys. J. 82, 3214 (2002).
- [7] M.R.King and D.A.Hammer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98, 14919 (2001).C.Sun, C.M igliorini, and L.L. Munn, Biophys. J. 85, 208 (2003).
- [8] K.-C. Chang, D.F.J. Tees, and D.A. Hammer, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 11262 (2000).
- [9] D.L.Em ak and J.A.M cC am mon, J.Chem. Phys. 69, 1352 (1978). J.F.Brady and G.Bossis, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 20, 111 (1988).
- [10] A detailed derivation of the Langevin equation and more details of our simulation algorithms and results will be published elsewhere.
- [11] G.S.Perkins and R.B.Jones, Physica A 189, 447 (1992).
 B.Cichockiand R.B.Jones, Physica A 258, 273 (1998).
- [12] H.C.Berg and E.M.Purcell, Biophys. J. 20, 193 (1977).
 D.Shoup and A.Szabo, Biophys. J. 40, 33 (1982).