O scillatory Instability in Two-D im ensional D ynam ic Fracture

Eran Bouchbinder and Itam ar Procaccia

Dept. of Chemical Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100 Israel

The stability of a rapid dynam ic crack in a two dimensional in nite strip is studied in the framework of Linear E lasticity Fracture M echanics supplemented with a modil ed principle of local symmetry. It is predicted that a single crack becomes unstable by a nite wavelength oscillatory mode at a velocity v_c , $0.8c_R < v_c < 0.85c_R$, where c_R is the Rayleigh wave speed. The relevance of this theoretical calculation to the oscillatory instability reported in the companion experimental Letter is discussed.

Introduction: High precision experiments on dynamic fracture in slabs of amorphous materials revealed very interesting instabilities in the form of m icrobranching. Above a critical velocity of about 0:4c_R, where q_R is the Rayleigh wave speed, a single, straight, rapidly moving crack is unstable against the appearance of small diam eter side-branches that a ect both the morphology and the velocity of propagation [1]. An important observation regarding this instability is that although experiments are typically performed on quasitwo-dimensional samples (i.e. samples for which the third dimension is signi cantly smaller than the other two dimensions), the instability is intrinsically threedim ensional [2, 3]; at the onset of instability the m icrobranches occupy only a sm all fraction of the sm all third dim ension, barely m isting the m irror quality of the m ain crack.

N evertheless, theoretically there were a num ber of attempts to explain this instability in the context of Linear E lasticity Fracture M echanics (LEFM) in two dim ensions, where the experim entally observed instability was interpreted as a macroscopic crack bifurcation. It was shown that above some critical velocity such a bifurcation is allowed on energetic grounds [4], but not necessarily realized dynamically. The aim of this Letter is to show that two-dimensional LEFM predicts the existence of a dynam ical oscillatory instability. W e refer the reader to the experimental companion paper where a similar conclusion is o ered on the basis of crack propagation in thin Ims loaded with a xed grip [5]. Here we construct a theoretical analog: a sem i-in nite straight crack propagating at a constant velocity in an in nitely long twodim ensional strip under xed grip boundary conditions. The standard fram ework of LEFM is supplem ented with a modied principle of local symmetry [6, 7], and see below for details. The analysis is based on a recently derived solution for the linear perturbation problem of the dynam ic stress intensity factors using the weight functions method [8, 9, 10]. We nd that an oscillatory mode of nite wavelength becomes unstable above a critical velocity v_{c} , 0:8 $c_{R} < v_{c} < 0$:85 c_{R} .

The perturbation problem : Consider a sem iin nite straight crack dynam ically propagating at a constant velocity v in an in nitely long two-dimensional strip of width 2h under xed-grip boundary conditions. A coordinate system (x;y) is located on the central line of the strip with x being the direction of crack propagation. The unperturbed crack con guration M₀ at any time t is described by

 $M_0 = f(x_0; y_0) : 1 < x_0 < vt; y_0 = 0q : (1)$

We then consider a conguration M that results from a small time-dependent perturbation of the crack path

$$M = f(x;y): 1 < x < vt + '(t); y = (x)g;$$
(2)

where '(t) and (x) are smooth dimensionless functions that de ne the longitudinal and transverse perturbations, respectively. The dimensional amplitude satis es 0 <=h 1, ensuring that both the speed and the path are only slightly perturbed. For convenience we de ne a comoving frame by the transform ation X = x vt and Y = y. The material is assumed to be linear elastic and isotropic, with the displacement eld u(x;y) satisfying Navier's equation

$$(+)r(r u) + ru = u;$$
 (3)

where is the density and the dots stand for partial time derivatives. and are the Lam e coe cients that relate the spatial derivatives of u to the com ponents of the stress tensor [11]

with i; j = x; y. The boundary conditions are given by

$$i_{j}n_{j} = 0$$
 on the crack, $u(x; h) = wy;$ (5)

where n is an outward unit vector norm all to the crack faces, y is a unit vector in the y direction and w is a constant. As the fracture process is localized near the crack tip we are mainly interested in the elds in that region. To that aim we de nea shifted and rotated frame $(X^{0}; Y^{0})$ according to

$$X^{0} + iY^{0} = fX$$
 '(t) + i(Y (vt)) de; (6)

where is the angle between the crack tip orientation and the X-axis such that $tan() = Q_x ('(t) + Vt)$. Then, the asymptotic expansion of the stress eld along the Y 0 = 0 direction, su ciently close to the crack tip (X 0 ! 0⁺) yields [12]

$$_{Y^{0}Y^{0}}$$
 (X⁰;0;t) ' $\frac{K_{I}(v;t)}{P(2 X^{0})}$; $_{X^{0}Y^{0}}$ (X⁰;0;t) ' $\frac{K_{II}(v;t)}{P(2 X^{0})}$; (7)

where K $_{\rm I}$ and K $_{\rm II}$ are the mode I (tensile) and II (shear) \stress intensity factors" respectively. Our goal is to obtain K $_{\rm I}$ and K $_{\rm II}$ as functionals of the perturbation functions ' (t) and (x) to linear order in .

Solution: As a result of the long range nature of elastic interactions we expect K_I⁽¹⁾ and K_{II}⁽¹⁾ to depend on the whole zeroth order solution. Therefore, we write the displacement eld as $u = u^{(0)} + u^{(1)}$ and the stress eld as $= {}^{(0)} + {}^{(1)}$. Then, we represent the unperturbed displacement eld as $u^{(0)} = u^{(s)} + yw y=h$. Here $u^{(s)}$ is a eld satisfying Eq. (3) in a strip with a straight crack and the boundary conditions ${}_{xy} = 0$, ${}_{yy} = (2 +)w=h$ on the crack and $u^{(s)}(x; h) = 0$. In addition, the asymptotic expansion of the unperturbed stress eld is given by

$$\sum_{YY}^{(0)} (X;0) ' \frac{K^{(0)}_{T}(Y)}{\frac{P^{T}}{2 X}} + A_{T}^{(0)}(Y) \frac{P^{T}}{X};$$
 (8)

where we have included the sub-leading contribution proportional to A $_{_{\rm I}}^{(0)}$. A coordingly, the expansion for the dynamic stress intensity factors is written as

$$K_{I}(v;t) ' K_{I}^{(0)}(v) + K_{I}^{(1)}(v;t); K_{II}(v;t) ' K_{II}^{(1)}(v;t):$$
(9)

Note that there is no zeroth order contribution to K $_{\rm II}$ since the unperturbed crack is pure mode I.

The zeroth order problem is rather straightforw and and the resulting solution is presented in [10]. The goal now is to obtain K $_{\rm I}^{(1)}$ and K $_{\rm II}^{(1)}$ as linear functionals of the perturbation functions '(t) and (x). The crucial step is the construction of an auxiliary eld U, whose com ponents are the so-called dynam ic weight functions [8]. U satis es Eq. (3) in a strip with a moving straight crack along the X -axis and possess the following properties: (i) [U](X < 0; 0) = 0 and U(X; h) = 0. (ii) The com ponents of the stress tensor $_{iv}$ (U) are continuous and _{iv} (U) (X > 0;0) = 0. (iii) [U₁] (X;0) ' $c_i X$ ¹⁼² (t) for X ! 0^+ . Here c_i are constants and [] denotes the $\lim_{x \to \infty} p \circ fa = 0$. The eld U is found by solving the associated W iener-H opf type equations and the explicit Fourier space representation of [U] is given in [10]. W ith these objects at hand the original reasoning of [8, 9] applies without change and the linear functional $K_{T}^{(1)}$ and $K_{T}^{(1)}$ are shown to be (see Ref. [10] for details)

$$K_{I}^{(1)}(v;t) = K_{I}^{(0)}fQ_{Y}(t) '(t)g + \frac{1}{2}A_{I}^{(0)}'(t) (10)$$

$$r_{II}^{(1)}(v;t) = \frac{1}{2}(vt)(v)A_{I}^{(0)} + Q_{X}(vt)(v)K_{I}^{(0)}$$

(v) fQ
$$_{x}$$
 (t) (vt) gK $_{t}^{(0)}$

+

+
$$f[U_x] h_x^{p(1)} i h_y^{r(1)} i p_y^{r(1)} g_{\dot{x}=0}; (11)$$

where h i denotes the average of a function across Y = 0and denotes a convolution with respect to all relevant variables. The function hJ_{y} i can be expressed in terms of the components of [U], while the Fourier transform of the eld Q is obtained by a two-term asymptotic representation of the Fourier transform of [U], see [10]. The functions (v) and (v) are known universal function of v [10]; $\mathbb{P}_{y}^{(1)}$] and $hP_{y}^{(1)}$ i are given by

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{x}^{(1)}] &= (x) \ v^{2} \ \mathbb{Q}_{xx}^{2} u_{x}^{(s)}](X) \ H \ (X); \\ h \mathbb{P}_{x}^{(1)} i &= f \ (x) \mathbb{T}^{(1)}(X) \ \mathbb{Q}_{x} \ (x) \mathbb{T}^{(0)}(X) \ g \mathbb{H} \ (X); \\ \mathbb{T}^{(0)}(X) &= (s)_{yy}^{(s)}(X; 0) + \frac{W}{h}; \end{split}$$

$$T^{(1)}(X) = v^2 \theta_{xx}^2 u_x^{(s)}(X;0) :$$
 (12)

Here H () is the Heaviside function and recall that x = X + vt.

The various term s on the RHS of Eq. (11) have clear physical meanings. The rst term is a result of shifting the crack tip out of the sym metry line y = 0 to y = (vt)in the presence of the unperturbed asymptotic eld of Eq. (8). By dimensional analysis it is obvious that this term must be proportional to the sub-leading contribution $A_{I}^{(0)}$. The second term on the RHS of Eq. (11) is a result of changing the crack tip orientation by an angle

' Q (vt) (see Eq. (6)) in the presence of the unperturbed asymptotic eld of Eq. (8). These two terms are both local and instantaneous. As a result of long range elastic interactions and wave propagation we expect also non-local contributions in time and space. The third term on the RHS of Eq. (11) is a result of the interaction of the tip with waves that were transmitted by itself at earlier times. The precise nature of the interaction is carried by the time-dependent kernelQ, (t). The last term on the RHS of Eq. (11) represents the interaction of the tip with all the history of propagation of the crack. This interaction is non-local both in time and space. The functions $\mathbb{P}_{v}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{v}^{(1)}$ i are the elective mode II (shear) loads on a straight crack, loads that are induced by the broken up-down symmetry due to (x). W ith this interpretation in m ind, one observes that the dynam ic weight functions U are response functions that quantify the e ect of forces applied to the crack line on the asymptotic stress eld near the tip. An instability, if exists, should emerge as result of the competition between these various term s.

Stability analysis: In order to study the stability of the straight crack against sm all perturbations, one must have an equation of motion for the crack tip. Such an equation does not emerge from LEFM. This theory can determ ine the stress and displacement elds, under the linear stress-strain assumption of Eq. (4), given the crack and the loading histories, but cannot tell where and at



FIG.1: (color online) hK $_{\rm II}^{(1)}$ = K $_{\rm I}^{(0)}$ as a function of h for two di erent velocities. The squares correspond to v = 0.80_R and the circles correspond to v = 0.850_R. The onset of instability occurs at v_c, 0.80_R < v_c < 0.850_R, where K $_{\rm II}^{(1)}$ rst changes sign from positive to negative. The wave number at the onset of instability is approximately given by ch 1.4.

what rate the crack tip will propagate. The rate of crack propagation is determ ined by assuming that the energy owing to the crack tip per unit crack extension per unit time, J, equals v (v), where (v) is the \fracture energy". The quantity J is calculated using the dynamic stress intensify factors in the asymptotic expansion Eq. (7) [12]. Considering a pure longitudinal perturbation,

 $(x)=0 \mbox{ and } \prime \ (t) \mbox{ $\stackrel{6}{\bullet}$} \ 0$, one obtains, to $\mbox{ rst order in }$,

$$K_{I}^{(0)} fQ_{Y}(t) + \frac{d_{V}F(t)}{2} + \frac{d_$$

where Eq. (11) was used and F (v) is a known universal function [10]. In [10] the case of constant fracture energy, Q_v (v) = 0, was studied. Since for the xed-grip strip con guration J = vG, where the \energy release rate" G is constant, every velocity v is possible as long as G = . It was shown in [10] that the crack is unstable for all the velocities below v 0:6g. On the other hand, real materials have Q_v (v) > 0 such that a unique velocity is selected according to G = (v) and longitudinal stability is expected [13]. We veri ed that Eq. (13) indeed predicts longitudinal stability for all velocities as long as Q_v (v) > 0. A coordingly, we can safely assume a straight crack propagation at a constant velocity and focus on transverse perturbations, i.e. (x) € 0 and '(t) = 0. Note that all the calculations, here and below, were performed for a material with a xed ratio between and such that Poisson's ratio for plane stress condition was = 0.23 [11]. The results depend smoothly (and weakly) on .

To study the transverse stability of the straight crack

we employ the equation suggested in [6]

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} = f(K_{I}; K_{II}^{2}; v)K_{II}; \qquad (14)$$

where $f(K_{12};K_{11}^2;v)$ is a positive de nite dynam ic material function that quanti es how the asymmetry in the asymptotic elds Eq. (7), characterized by K_{11} , is transferred to the crack tip itself; is de ned in Eq. (6). Eq. (14) was applied quite successfully in [7, 14]. The equation was obtained by using symmetry arguments and assuming (i) that the crack tip is not appreciably blunted and the crack path is a trace of a point, (ii) that the region around the tip in which linear elasticity theory is not applicable (the so-called \process zone") is small compared to any other length scale, (iii) the crack path is smooth. By dimensional considerations we de ne a dynam ic length scale as

'(v)
$$\frac{v}{f(K_{I};K_{II}^{2};v)K_{I}}$$
: (15)

As this length scale is expected to be small, in our case implying (v)=h 1, Eq. (14) is approximated by many authors by K_{II}=K_I 0, which is the well-known \principle of local symmetry" [15]. Eq. (14) is thus a modi ed principle of local symmetry. Note that for (v) to be well de ned in the limit of small v, we must have f (K_I;K_{II};v) / v in that limit.

To proceed we can consider two types of transverse perturbations, one localized right at the tip [10], and the other global, like a small amplitude sine function [16]. W e follow the latter approach since real cracks are never perfectly straight. Analyzing the perturbation in linear m odes, we consider

$$(X + vt) = sinf (X + vt)g:$$
 (16)

Then, we note that Eq. (14), with θ_t ' v θ_{xx} (vt), is a linear integro-di erential equation for (x). In principle, such an equation can be analyzed by standard m ethods, but we encounter a di culty as f (K $_{II}$;K $_{II}^{2}$;v) is not yet known. To overcom ethisdi culty, we focus, without loss of generality, on times such that (vt) = 0. The dynam ical equation (14) then predicts that if and K , have the same sign, with f > 0, then Q_t has the opposite sign and j jdecreases, which means that a sm all perturbation decays. By the sam e argum ent, for and K _ II having the opposite sign a sm all perturbation grows. This criterion is identical to the one used in Refs. [7, 16]. Therefore, considering the perturbation introduced in Eq. (16), we interpret a change of K ___ from positive to negative as an instability. Note that this criterion is independent of the exact form of the function $f(K_{I};K_{I}^{2};v)$ and the wavelength of an unstable mode is expected to be correct up to modi cations of the order of (v_c) . Having an explicit instability criterion at hand, we calculate num erically K $_{_{\rm II}}^{(1)}$ in Eq. (11) as a function of the wave number for various velocities and look for the lowest velocity for which an intersection with the x-axis occurs. In Fig. 1 we show hK $_{II}^{(1)} = K_{I}^{(0)}$ as a function of h for two representative velocities, one below the instability and one above. We observe that for $v = 0.8 c_R$ the curve is always positive, in plying stability, while for $v = 0.85 c_R$ the curve changes sign from positive to negative, in plying instability. The normalized wave number $_{ch}$ at threshold is approximately $_{ch}$ 1.4. The instability should be understood as resulting from a competition between local stabilizing terms and non-local destabilizing terms s in Eq. (11) (see discussion above), which we believe to be the dynam ic counterpart of the phenom enon studied in [7, 16].

D iscussion and sum m ary: W hile oscillatory instabilities were observed and discussed before in a number of fracture contexts ([17] in the context of quasi-static therm al cracking, [18] in the context of lattice simulations, [19] in large biaxial strain experiment in nubber, [20] in context of a phase eld model), it appears that the present is the rst calculation predicting such a high velocity instability, purely on the basis of LEFM. This prediction is in correspondence with the experimental observations in quasi-two-dimensional thin layers where the side branching instability is suppressed (see the companion Letter [5]).

Notwithstanding the correspondence of the predicted onset of oscillatory instability compared to the experim ent, one should point out that the predicted wavelength of the saturated post-instability solution di ers qualitatively in theory and experiment. The theory, being based on LEFM that contains no intrinsic scales, is bound to predict wavelength that scales with the width h of the strip. The experim ent predicts saturated oscillatory cracks with wavelength that do not depend on the width of the strip. Interestingly enough, the experiment also reports an excellent agreem ent with LEFM for all the properties of the dynam ic crack up to the instability point. It is tempting to interpret these ndings by stating that LEFM supplemented with the modi ed principle of local symmetry is trustworthy up to the instability, but then fails once the oscillatory motion is stabilized. The indication is that while the crack is straight all the nonelastic aspects can be lum ped into an e ective fracture energy (v). The true free boundary dynamics of the crack, once deviating from the straight line, call for a new understanding that must go beyond LEFM with or without principles of local symmetry. It is very possible that the actual shape of the tip, including its radius of curvature, the distortion with respect to the symmetry axes and all other dynam ic degrees of freedom become essential in determ ining the actual path of the crack. Such degree of freedom can introduce intrinsic length and/or time scales. The only way to reach such a theory is to derive equations directly for the free boundary that denes the crack. A theory that advances in this direction will be presented in due course [21].

A cknow ledgm ents: W e thank A.B.M ovchan and N.V. M ovchan for their indispensable help in the num erical analysis of the instability equations, and J.R.W illis for useful discussions. V incent H akim explained to us the range of validity of the m odi ed principle of local sym metry. This work has been supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation. E.B. is supported by the H orow itz C om plexity Science Foundation.

- [1] E. Sharon and J. Fineberg, Nature 397 333 (1999).
- [2] J.Fineberg and M.Marder, Phys. Rep. 313, 1 (1999).
- [3] E. Bouchbinder and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. E 72, 055103 (R) (2005).
- [4] M.Adda-Bedia, J.Mech. Phys. Solids 53, 227 (2005).
- [5] A. Livne, O. Ben-D avid and J. Fineberg, Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett, (companion Letter).
- [6] J.Hodgdon and J.Sethna, Phys. Rev. B 47, 4831 (1993).
- [7] E. Bouchbinder, H. G. E. Hentschel and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. E 68, 036601 (2003).
- [8] J.R.W illis and A.B.Movchan, J.Mech.Phys.Solids 43, 319, 1369 (1995).
- [9] J.R.W illis and A.B.M ovchan, J.M ech. Phys. Solids 45, 591 (1997).
- [10] N.V.M ovchan, A.B.M ovchan and J.R.W illis, QJM AM 58, 333 (2005).
- [11] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Theory of Elasticity, 3rd ed. (Pergamon, London, 1986).
- [12] L.B.Freund, D ynam ic Fracture M echanics, (C am bridge, 1998).
- [13] E. Bouchbinder, A. Pomyalov and I. Procaccia, \D issipative V isco-plastic D eform ation in Dynamic Fracture: T ip B lunting and Velocity Selection", Phys. Rev. Lett, in press.
- [14] E.Bouchbinder, J.M athiesen, I.Procaccia, Phys. Rev. E, 71 056118 (2005).
- [15] R.V. Gol'dstein and R. Salganik, Int. J. Frac. 10, 507 (1974).
- [16] M.Adda-Bedia and Y.Pomeau, Phys.Rev.E 52, 4105 (1995).
- [17] A.Yuse and M.Sano, Nature (London) 362, 329 (1993).
- [18] F F. Abraham, D. Brodbeck, R A. Rafey adn W E. Rudge, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 272 (1994).
- [19] R.D.D. eegan, P.J.Petersan, M.M. arder and H.L.Swinney, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 014304 (2002).
- [20] H. Henry and H. Levine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 105504 (2004).
- [21] E. Bouchbinder, J.S. Langer, T.S. Lo and I. Procaccia, \Free-Boundary D ynam ics in E lasto-plastic Am orphous M edia: The C incular Hole Problem and Boundary Layer Approximations", to be published.