E ective critical behavior of the two-dim ensional Ising spin glass with bim odal interactions

 $\texttt{Helm}\,\texttt{ut}\,\texttt{G}\,.\,\texttt{K}\,\texttt{atzgraber}^1_{\textbf{\textit{I}}}\,\,\texttt{L}\,.\,\texttt{W}$. Lee $^2_{\textbf{\textit{r}}}$ and $\texttt{I}\,.\,\texttt{A}\,.\,\texttt{C}\,\texttt{am}\,\texttt{pbell}^3$

¹Theoretische Physik, ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

²Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064, USA

³Laboratoire des Collo des, Verres et Nanom ateriaux,

Universite Montpellier II, 34095 Montpellier, France

(D ated: 0 ctober 28, 2021)

M onte C arb data of the two-dim ensional Ising spin glass with bin odal interactions are presented with the aim of understanding the low-tem perature physics of the model. An analysis of the speci c heat, spin-glass susceptibility, nite-size correlation length, and the B inder ratio is performed to try to verify a recent proposal in which for large system sizes and nite but low tem peratures the elective critical exponents are identical to the critical exponents of the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with G aussian interactions. Our results show that with present system sizes the recently proposed scenario in which the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with bin odally distributed interactions is in the same universality class as the model with G aussian-distributed disorder at low but nite tem peratures cannot be reliably proven.

PACS num bers: 75.50 Lk, 75.40 Mg, 05.50.+ q

I. IN TRODUCTION

The two-dimensional Ising spin glass¹ has been the subject of numerous numerical studies^{2,3,4,5,6} ever since its introduction by Edwards and Anderson in 1975.⁷ Despite the fact that this canonical model only orders at zero temperature, its popularity can be ascribed mainly to its ease of implementation and simplicity. Yet it has proven to be extremely di cult to establish if the model orders at zero or nite temperature and what the exact values of the critical exponents are. Today it is well recognized that the model only orders at zero temperature.⁸ In addition, the critical exponents at T = 0 are known to good precision.⁸

W hen the interactions between the spins are drawn from a G aussian distribution with zero m ean, the system has a unique ground state (up to a global symmetry). This in turn in plies that the critical exponent of the correlation function has to be zero, i.e., d = 0, = 2 where d = 2 is the space dimension. In addition, extensive zero-tem perature dom ain-wallcom putations have established that the dom ain-wall sti ness exponent is 0:282 (2)^{8,9} Because at a zero-tem perature transition the critical exponent of the correlation length is related to via = $1 = , \pm \text{ follow s that} = 3:54(2).$ The ground state of the model with bim odally distributed random bonds, on the other hand, is strongly degenerate^{5,10} with a nite residual entropy at T = 0. D om ain-wallstiness measurements at zero temperature show an exponent = 0^{β} (exponential scaling) although with signi cant corrections to scaling up to linear system sizes L 100 under periodic/free boundary conditions. D irect m easurem ents of the spin-spin correlation function $G(\mathbf{r}) at T = 0$ indicate a critical exponent 0:14¹¹ An extrapolation from nite tem peratures⁶ yielded to high precision = 0:138(5).0 ther estimates of have given positive values in the range $0.14\{0.40.^{2,3,10,12,13,14}\}$

Judging from their zero-tem perature (critical) properties the two versions of the model thus are in two different universality classes (see Table II). However, for low but nite tem peratures the situation is less clear-cut. For the model with bim odal disorder, when a sample is in a ground state, turning over one individual spin either leaves the total energy of the sam ple unchanged or increases it by 4J or 8J, where J is the characteristic energy of the model (see below). This suggests im mediately that there is an energy gap of AJ between the ground state and the rst excited state, with A = 4. It has been argued however that the true elementary excitations are not single spins but more complex ob-2J^{2,4,5,15} Bejects, leading to an elective gap of cause of the gapped excitation spectrum we expect a crossover tem perature T (L), which separates a critical behavior, in accordance with the aforem entioned zerotem perature critical point and a critical behavior which would resemble a model with continuous interactions. If the ground-state degeneracy is given by N $_0$ (L) and the excited states have a degeneracy $N_1(L)$, $N_2(L)$, ..., for N1(L)exp(4J=T) the system will spend al-N₀(L) most all of its time in the ground state and equilibrium properties will be essentially those of the ground-state manifold, whereas for N_1 (L) exp(4J=T) N_0 (L) the system will stay in the quantized series of excited states and the properties of the system can be expected to resemble those of a system with no gap in the energy spectrum . Note that this argum ent is slightly oversim plied as the e ects of higher excited states on T (L) are not taken into account, yet we expect their contributions to be small.¹⁶ One obtains to lowest order T (L) $4J=\ln [N_1(L)=N_0(L)]$. The ratio $N_1(L)=N_0(L)$ with a gap 4J has been estimated in Refs. 5 and 16 and shows that T (L) drops gradually as L increases. Our data presented below con m this behavior.

It has been strongly argued¹⁷ that in the lim it T >

T (L) and with L ! 1 [m eaning T (L) tending to zero but never reaching zero] the m odelwith bim odaldisorder has e ective critical exponents identical to the critical exponents of the m odelwith G aussian-distributed disorder, so that the two m odels can be considered as being in the same universality class except for the singular behavior of the m odelwith bim odally distributed disorder at T = 0.

W e present the results of M onte C arb simulations of the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with bim odally distributed disorder on system sizes larger than those used in Refs. 5 and 17. Our results show that with current algorithms and computer power the data do not provide de nitive limiting values for the critical exponents of the model, although power-law scaling seem s plausible for nite but nonzero temperatures. Therefore the claim that the aforem entioned model is in the same universality class at nite but nonzero temperatures as the model with G aussian, gap 1=4, or diluted interactions¹⁷ rem ains to be proven.

The paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II we introduce the model, num erical method, and observables, and discuss di erent nite-size scaling relations. In Sec. III we sum marize previous results on the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with bim odally distributed disorder. Results on the di erent critical exponents are presented in Sec. IV and a nite-size scaling analysis of the data is presented in Sec. V.

II. M ODEL, OBSERVABLES, AND FIN ITE-SIZE SCALING RELATIONS

The Hamiltonian of the two-dimensional Ising spin glass is given by

$$H = J_{ij}S_iS_j:$$
(1)

 $S_i = 1$ represent Ising spins and the sum is over nearest neighbors on a square lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The interactions $J_{ij} 2 f Jg$ (here J = 1) are bim odally distributed. For the M onte C arlo simulations we use a combination of single-spin ips, exchange M onte C arlo updates,^{18,19} and rejection-free cluster m oves⁴ to speed up equilibration. Equilibration of the m ethod is tested by performing a logarithm ic data binning of all observables, and we require that the last three bins agree within error bars and are independent of the number of M onte C arlo sweeps N _{sweep}. The parameters of the sim – ulation are listed in Table I.

The second-moment nite-size correlation $ength^{20,21,22,23,24,25}$ L is given by

$$L_{L} = \frac{1}{2 \sin (k_{m in}; j=2)} - \frac{s_{G}(0)}{s_{G}(k_{m in})} - \frac{1}{1}; \quad (2)$$

where $k_{m in} = (2 = L; 0)$ is the smallest nonzero wave vector, and $_{SG} (k)$ is the wave-vector-dependent spin-glass

TABLE I: Param eters of the simulations. N sam p represents the num ber of disorder realizations computed; N sweep is the total num ber of M onte C arlo sweeps of the 2N T replicas for a single sample. N T is the num ber of tem peratures in the exchange M onte C arlo m ethod and Tm in represents the low est tem perature simulated. (For L = 128 no data for the speci c heat has been generated.)

L	N _{sam p}	N s	w eep	T _{m in}	N _T
32	5000	2:0	1ď	0.050	20
48	1000	2:0	1ď	0.050	20
64	500	4:2	10	0.200	39
96	609	6:5	10	0.200	63
128	420	2:0	10	0.396	50

susceptibility,

$$_{SG}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i;j}^{X} hS_{i}S_{j}i^{2} l_{av}e^{ik(R_{i} R_{j})} : \qquad (3)$$

In the previous equation [av represents a disorder average and h i a therm all average. The nite-size correlation length is expected to scale as

where is the critical exponent for the correlation length. This scaling behavior is expected to also be valid for zerotem perature transitions when the ground state is not degenerate. Since in this work we want to study the therm odynam ic lim it at nite but nonzero tem peratures, we postulate that the scaling ansatz in Eq. (4) also holds for the m odel with a bim odal disorder distribution when T > 0.

The standard spin-glass susceptibility $_{SG} = _{SG}$ (k = 0) can also be de ned via $_{SG} = N [n_1^2 i]_{av}$, where

$$q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} S_{i}^{a} S_{i}^{b}:$$
 (5)

In Eq. (5) $fS_i^a g$ and $fS_i^b g$ are two copies of the system with the same disorder. According to nite-size scaling we expect that

$$_{SG}$$
 (T \overline{z}); (6)

and at criticality $_{SG}$ (T = T_c) L^2 , where $% T_{C}$ is the anom alous dimension exponent of the correlation function G (r),

G (r;T) =
$$[hS_{i}S_{i+r}i^{2}]_{av} = \frac{1}{r^{d^{2+}}}e^{r=(T)}$$
: (7)

In addition, we study the dimensionless B inder ratio 26 de ned via

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \quad 3 \quad \frac{[lq^4 i]_{av}}{[lq^2 i]_{av}^2} \quad : \tag{8}$$

In Sec.V we plot the B inder ratio as a function of the correlation length divided by the system size. The method has the advantage that if data for di erent disorder distributions lie on the sam e universal curve, the system s are in the sam e universality class.²⁷ F inally, we also compute the speci c heat of the system $,^{28}$

$$C_{V} = \frac{1}{T^{2}} \left[H^{2} i \quad hH^{2} \right]_{av}; \qquad (9)$$

which is expected to scale as

$$C_V$$
 (T t_{e}) : (10)

For zero-tem perature transitions the critical contribution of the speci c heat can also be written as C $_{\rm V}$ (T) $~~{\rm T}^{\rm d}$ using zero-transition-tem perature scaling relations.^{29,30}

If two systems are in the same universality class they share identical values of the critical exponents, as well as the values of di erent observables at criticality [e.g., $g(T_c)$].³¹ A sum ing the power-law behaviors for the different observables [Eqs. (4), (6), and (10)] at low but nonzero tem perature, we study the values of the e ective critical exponents.

III. SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS RESULTS

The critical properties of the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with Gaussian-distributed interactions are m ly established from zero-tem perature simulations. Because the ground state is not degenerate, the correlation function G (r;T) = 1 for all r at T = $T_c = 0$. Therefore, by de nition, = 0, (T = 0) = 1, and for the B inder ratio at zero tem perature q(T = 0) = 1. It is now well established from dom ain-wall measurements at T = 0, con m ed by size-dependent ground-state energy m easurem ents²⁹ that = 0.282(2), hence the therm al exponent (whose value is not xed by the unique 1 = 3:54(2). Measureground-state condition) is ments of at nite tem peratures via Monte Carlo simulations give consistent estimates, 25 yet only if large enough system sizes are simulated. The dierent expected critical exponents at zero tem perature are sum marized in Table II.

For the two-dim ensional Ising spin glass with bim odaldistributed interactions the situation is, however, much more complicated because of the highly degenerate ground state, as well as the quantized energy spectrum. As noted for instance in Ref. 5, the nave prediction for the low-tem perature limit specic cheat for the twodim ensional system of size L and gap A = 4 is

$$C_V (T) = \frac{16}{(TL)^2} \frac{N_1 (L)}{N_0 (L)} e^{4J=T}$$
 : (11)

A priori this low-tem perature exponential nite-size behavior should always hold for T T (L). Surprisingly, there has been a longstanding controversy concerning the low-tem perature behavior of the specic cheat in the therm odynam ic lim it. An exponential scaling of the

TABLE II: Critical exponents for both disorder distributions at zero tem perature. For the bim odal disorder distribution, exponential scaling is expected, i.e., = 1 (see the main text for details). The critical exponent in the bim odal case follows from Refs. 12, 13, 2, 3, 10, and 14 and are estim ated by extrapolating nite-tem perature data to T = 0. In the G aussian case the estim ate for is from Ref.8. The rem aining critical exponents can be computed from the zero-tem perature scaling relations = d and = (d), where d = 2 is the space dimension.

D isorder					
G au <i>s</i> sian	3:54(2)	0			
Bim odal	1	0:14 { 0:40			

free energy, and thus correspondingly of all therm odynam ic quantities, has been $\;$ rst proposed by W ang and Swendsen. 2 T hey summ ised that

$$C_{V} = \frac{1}{T^{P}} e^{A J = T} : \qquad (12)$$

The num erical parameters A = 4 and P = 2 can be expected from the aforementioned arguments regarding the gap in the excitation spectrum. In addition, according to hyperscaling, the singular part of the free energy scales as d with d = 2 and so, if C_V scales exponentially, we expect that the correlation length scales as

with n = A = 2, as predicted rst by Sauland K ardar.³

W ang and Swendsen² calculated num erically the speci c heat of the model. Surprisingly, they found A = 2, thus suggesting a nontrivial scaling of the free energy. However, their measurements were restricted to small system sizes and to few disorder realizations, and their results indicated strong corrections to scaling. These conclusions stand in contrast to those from work by Sauland $K \operatorname{ardar}^{3}_{,}$ who argue that A = 4. In addition, these authors also estim ated n = 2, a behavior which appeared to be con med independently in work by Houdayer⁴ who studied the nite-size scaling of the B inder ratio²⁶ as well. as by K atzgraber et al.⁶ who were the rst to study the nite-size scaling of the nite-size correlation length directly via M onte Carlo simulations. Note that an exponential scaling of the correlation length (for all A) in plies that the e ective critical exponent is in nite. The W and and Swendsen² value for A (A = 2) was strongly supported by the num erical work of Lukic et al.⁵ who com puted the specic heat of the model for interm ediate system sizes (L 50), using P fa an matrix algebra techniques. From their analysis they concluded that A could be estimated very accurately and that C_V (T) tends to the functional form in Eq. (12) with P = 2 and A = 2.02(3)³² in agreement with the results of Ref. 2. Recently, a new scenario has been proposed in Ref. 17: W hile at zero tem perature the m odelw ith bim odally distributed couplings still exhibits exponential scaling with

A = 4, at nite but low temperatures in the them odynam ic lim it the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with bim odal couplings falls into the same universality class as the system with Gaussian-distributed disorder. For nonzero temperatures and in the therm odynam ic lim it the observables are claimed to display power-law singularities with the same critical exponents as the system with Gaussian disorder. In this work we compute e ective critical exponents with systems larger than in Ref. 17 in the temperature range where power-law scaling is expected to occur¹⁷ (0.2 . T . 0.5 for L & 50) and show that if the observables can be interpreted to exhibit power-law scaling, the critical exponents for the system with bim odally distributed couplings cannot be estim ated reliably³³ from the system sizes studied in Ref. 17.

IV. RESULTS

W e present num erical data on various observables, com paring where appropriate the system s with bim odal and Gaussian disorder. We note that for the model with bin odal disorder in addition to the crossover temperature T (L) due to the energy gap, there is a nitesize crossover tem perature T (L) xed by the condition (T). Above T(L), the observables are close to the L therm odynam ic lim it values while below T (L), SG and I, tend to be size-lim ited and thus tem perature independent. For all system sizes studied we nd T (L) > T (L), m eaning that as T is low ered the size lim ited condition on $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm SG}$ sets in well before the elect of the gap. The speci cheat is approxim ately size independent down to T, but is strongly a ected by the gap: below T (L) the speci c heat drops exponentially with decreasing T.

W e now test the hypothesis of Ref. 17 that the e ective nite-tem perature exponents $_{e}$ and $_{e}$ exist and are identical to the values with G aussian disorder in d = 2, which are = 0 and = 3:54(2), respectively. These values imply that the exponent of the speci c heat is 7:1 (= d) and for the susceptibility exponent

7:1 [= (d)].

A. Specicheat

Figure 1 shows a log-log plot of the specic heat $C_V(L;T)$ as a function of T. The numerical results are consistent with those of the analogous plot shown in Fig. 4 of Ref. 17, but the present data extend to L = 96. The slope in the range 0.2 . T . 0.5 is $d\ln[C_V(L;T)]=d\ln[T]$ 4.21(2), i.e., 4.21. We also perform a point-by-point di erential of the data for all T (using a second-order m idpoint di erentiation combined with a bootstrap analysis to estimate the error bars) and thus estimate the e ective exponent $_{e}(L;T)$ as a function of temperature. Figure 2 displays $_{e}(L;T) = d\ln[C_V(L;T)]=d\ln[T]$ as a function of T. $_{e}(L;T)$ should tend to the therm odynam ic



FIG.1: (Color online) Log-log plot of the speci c heat C $_{\rm V}$ vs tem perature T for several system sizes up to L = 96. For 0.2. T. 0.5 the data seem to be approximately independent of system size and follow a power-law behavior, i.e., C $_{\rm V}$ T with 4.21(2) (the dashed line with slope 4.21 is a guide to the eye). For the system sizes studied, the data seem incompatible with a low-tem perature, large-size-limiting e ective exponent equal to the Gaussian estimate for the critical exponent 7:1 (dotted line with slope 7:1). The uctuations at T. 0.2 can be ascribed to the exponential behavior at low enough tem peratures.

critical exponent $_{\rm e}$ in the limit L ! 1 followed by T ! 0. An extrapolation of the data for T > T (L), where $d\ln [C_V(L;T)] = d\ln [T]$ is independent of system size L, cannot be performed in a reliable way to test if the electrive exponent agrees with the expected G aussian value of $_{\rm e}$ 7:1.

For 0.2. T. 0.5 the data seem to saturate (see Fig. 2) although strong uctuations are present. This \plateau region" resembles the behavior predicted by Fisch¹⁶ who argues that there should be a rather broad region in tem perature just above T (L) for large (but not in nite) L, whereC_v T^x with x = 5.25(20). This is equivalent to a tem perature-independent $_{e} = 525.$ G iven the uncertainties in the estimate of the exponent, the agreem ent between the prediction by Fisch and the Monte Carlo data presented here is reasonably good. For the system sizes studied, which are larger than the ones studied in Ref. 17, the exponent $_{\rm e}$ in this large-L low -T region seems to be dierent from the Gaussian critical expo-7:1. (In fact, in the Gaussian model nent = 2 the low-tem perature specic heat is dom inated by noncritical contributions³ and the true critical behavior is not directly visible). Therefore, if both models share the same universality class, the system with bim odal disorder





FIG. 2: (Color online) E ective exponent $_{\rm e}$ (L;T) = d ln [C_V (L;T)]=d ln [T] as a function of temperature for different system sizes L. For the system sizes shown, the data cannot be extrapolated in a reliable way to $_{\rm e}$ = 7:1, the value obtained for them odelw ith G aussian-disordered bonds. Therefore it is rather di cult to test if the e ective critical exponents agree with the known G aussian values.

displays huge corrections to scaling and thus simulations at considerably larger system sizes would be required to prove this beyond any reasonable doubt.

B. Correlation length and susceptibility

From the assumed power-law critical behavior of the spin-glass susceptibility one can de ne an e ective exponent $_{e}$ (L;T) = d h[$_{SG}$ (L;T)]=d h[I] using point-by-point di erentiation. Figure 3 shows $_{e}$ (L;T) against T. The therm odynam ic lim it dom ain where the e ective exponent is size independent can be seen clearly; for each L the data peel o the therm odynam ic lim it line at the nite-size lim ited T (L). However, even with data up to L = 128 there is no reliable way to extrapolate to the critical value at in nite L and T tending to zero. A sim ilar conclusion can be reached for $_{e}$ (L;T) = d h[(L;T)]=d h[T], Fig4. The error bars in Figs. 2, 3, and 4 have been calculated via a bootstrap estim ate.³⁴

In Ref. 17 the Caracciolo nite-size scaling technique^{22,35} was used to extrapolate (L;T) and $_{SG}$ (L;T) data for the J model towards in nite size, in order to extract the exponent from the critical scaling relation $_{SG}$ (L;T) (L;T²). The data are interpreted as showing that $0^{1.7}$

This technique assumes that there are well-behaved

FIG. 3: (Color online) E ective exponent e (L;T) = dh[sG (L;T)]=dh[T] as a function of temperature for di erent system sizes L. An extrapolation to the lowtemperature regime is di cult with system sizes limited to L 128. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to the expected Gaussian value 7:1. An agreement or disagreement with 7:1 cannot be ruled out.



FIG. 4: (Color online) E ective exponent $_{\rm e}$ (L;T) = d ln [(L;T)]=d ln [T] as a function of temperature for di erent system sizes L. An extrapolation to the low-temperature regime is di cult with system sizes limited to L 128. Any extrapolation to the low-T behavior where 3:54 would be di cult to perform with the current data.





FIG.5: (Color online) (2L;T) = (L;T) against (L;T)=L. If connections to scaling were small, all data should lie on an universal curve. This is a prerequisite to perform an extrapolation to the bulk regime. Clearly, the data do not scale well.

scaling functions for (L;T) and $_{SG}(L;T)$, such that, in particular, (2L;T)=(L;T) is a unique function of

(L;T)=L. O there ise the Caracciolo scaling procedure cannot be applied as system atic errors would be introduced. Figure 5 shows data for (2L;T)=(L;T) vs (L;T)=L with L between 16 and 48. It can be seen that the nite-size corrections to scaling are strong because the curves for di errent L do not superimpose, and

cause the curves for di erent L do not superim pose, and also because the ratios (2L;T)= (L;T) increase beyond a value of 2 at low tem peratures (in the absence of corrections 2 is the strict T_c lim it of the ratio). This means that the Caracciolo procedure (or any sim ilar protocol such as the one by K in 21 used by K atzgraber et al. 25 for the model with Gaussian disorder) has to be perform ed with considerable care for bim odally distributed disorder in two space dimensions. In Fig. 6 we show a log-log plot of the correlation length as a function of tem perature together with an extrapolation using the m ethods of K im 21 as well as Palassini and Caraciolo.^{22,35} Both extrapolations agree very well, W hile the extrapolated data seem to follow a power-law behavior with 3:45, the extrapolation method is not reliable due to strong corrections to scaling (see Fig. 5). Until data on much larger system sizes becom e available it does not seem plausible to give a reliable account of the large-L, low -T lim iting functional behavior of $_{SG}$ (L;T) or (L;T).

A lternatively, with no extrapolation, one can de ne an e ective exponent

$$2 \qquad e \qquad (L;T) = \frac{d\ln[s_G(L;T)]}{d\ln[(L;T)]} \qquad (14)$$

FIG. 6: (Color online) Log-log plot of the nite-size correlation length (L;T) as a function of temperature for several system sizes. The solid orange circles represent extrapolated data to the therm odynam ic lim it from L = 96 using the m ethod of K im²¹ and the solid pink triangles represent the data extrapolated to L = 1 using the m ethod of Palassini and Caracciolo (PC).²² The (extrapolated) data seem to follow a power-law behavior with 3:45, which is close to the value of the critical exponent for G aussian-distributed disorder, = 3:54 (2). The dashed line is a guide to the eye.

via di erentiation of the data. For the available system sizes $_{e}$ (L;T) is always greater than 0.2 (see Fig.7). A reliable extrapolation to in nite L and T tending to zero would again require data of much larger system sizes.

There have been numerous estimates of at zero tem – perature for the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with bim odally distributed disorder, including direct measurements of the correlation function G (r) by combinatorial or M onte C arlo methods,^{10,12} and there is a general consensus that & 0:15. Indeed it can be noted that the nite-T raw G (r) data in M cM illan's¹² Fig. 1 are by inspection incompatible with = 0.

From the de nition of through G (r), when there is a degenerate ground state at T = 0, the time average spin-spin correlation function must decay with increasing distance r in plying a positive-de nite value for . A fortiori at any T slightly above zero one would expect G (r;T) G (r;0) for all r except in quite exceptional cases. O therwise, a limiting $_{e} = 0$ [m eaning G (r;T) = 1 for all r at T close to zero] appears to be ruled out from basic physical principles.





FIG. 7: (Color online) E ective exponent 2 $_{e}$ (L;T) = d ln [$_{SG}$ (L;T)]=d ln [(L;T)] as a function of temperature for di erent system sizes L. For all system sizes and temperatures studied $_{e}$ is always greater than 0.2, although an extrapolation to $_{e}$ = 0 cannot be ruled out.

C. Gaussian disorder

We have also computed the elective critical exponents for the correlation length [$_{e}$ (L;T)], the speci c heat [$_{e}$ (L;T)], the susceptibility [$_{e}$ (L;T)], and correlation function [$_{e}$ (L;T)] for the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with G aussian-distributed disorder in order to test corrections to scaling in that model. In this case

$$P(J_{ij}) e^{J_{ij}^2 = 2J}$$
 (15)

in Eq. (1). The results are qualitatively similar to the results found for the model with bim odally distributed disorder, but the data extend to low ertem peratures thus making an extrapolation to zero temperature slightly more reliable. Still, without the know ledge of the zero-temperature estimate of the sti ness exponent , =

1= could not be determ ined to such high precision. In Fig. 8 we illustrate this behavior with data for $_{\rm e}$ and $_{\rm e}$ as a function of temperature. In Fig. 9 we compare the e ective critical exponent $_{\rm e}^{-1}$ for G aussian and bim odal disorder for L = 128. While the extrapolation in the G aussian case can be done easily to T = 0, since the zero-temperature limit is well known, this is di cult for the bim odal case.

FIG.8: (Color online) E ective exponent 2 $_{\rm e}$ (L;T) = dh[$_{\rm SG}$ (L;T)]=dh[(L;T)]=as a function of temperature for di erent system sizes L for Gaussian disorder. The data extrapolate well to 0. Note that for L = 128 the data for T & 0.9 have been dropped due to strong uctuations. Inset: E ective exponent $_{\rm e}$ as a function of temperature for di erent system sizes L. The dashed line corresponds to the zero-temperature estimate from the sti ness exponent, = 3.54(2).

V. UNIVERSALITY AND FINITE-SIZE SCALING

The critical values of the correlation length divided by the system size $_{L}$ (T_c)=L [Eq. (2)] and the B inder ratio $q(T_c)$ [Eq. (8)] are characteristic of the universality class of a continuous transition. These are linked to at criticality because they represent various ratios of integrals with G (r) for two space dimensions, $_{\rm L}$ = L = 1=() at criticality.³⁶ The correlation length divided by system size of the twodim ensional spin glass with Gaussian-distributed disorder diverges for T ! 0 and the Binder ratio tends to 1. If the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with bim odal interactions lies in the sam e universality class as the system with Gaussian disorder, then identical values for these parameters at criticality should be observed. The B inder ratio values q (L; T) becom e tem perature independent within the error bars for T < T (L) providing estim ates of the zero-tem perature values g(L;0). There are corrections to scaling but the series of points appear to tend to a large-L limit which is signi cantly less than unity.

For any continuous transition, at large L and T approaching T_c the B inder ratio is a nontrivial function of the variable $_L$ =L.^{27,37,38} A plot of g(L;T) vs $_L$ (L;T)=L



1 ШH 0.8 open symbols: Gaussian full sybmols: bimodal g(L,T)0.6 L 0.4 32 ٠ 48 64 ٠ 96 0.2 • 128 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 0 $\xi_L(L,T)/L$

FIG.9: (Color online) E ective critical exponent 1 = e for both Gaussian (open symbols) and bim odal disorder (full symbols) as a function of temperature T.W hile in the Gaussian case the T = 0 lim it is known (1 0:14, black square) and thus the extrapolation from the nite-temperature data can be performed via a simple third-order polynom ial (dashed line), the data for the bim odal case can be extrapolated to any arbitrary value including 1 = e = 0, which corresponds to exponential scaling.

(Fig. 10) shows a remarkable behavior: all points for both bim odal and Gaussian disorder are on a unique curve. This is particularly striking as the data span both the regions T > T (L) and T < T (L). There is, however, a qualitative di erence between the Gaussian data and the bim odal data. For the form er at each L the data points extend to the sam e zero-tem perature end point $_{L}$ (L;T = 0)=L = 1 and g(L;T = 0) = 1, while for the system with bim odal disorder the end points for di erent L seem to cluster and not grow beyond L (L;T = 0:91(2) and g(L;T = 0)0:92(2). In fact, 0)=L the data do grow slightly, but the growth rate is within statistical error bars. This point can be interpreted as the zero-tem perature critical param eters for this model. Thus, while the scaling functions agree, at zero tem perature both models seem not to be in the same universality class. An alternative explanation could be that them odel with bim odal disorder is \m arginal," i.e., the endpoint m ight approach g(L;T = 0) = 1 logarithm ically slow. In the bulk regime, which corresponds to the lower left corner of Fig. 10, data for q(L;T) and L(L;T)=L agree and thus suggest that both m odels m ight share a com m on nite-tem perature universality class. Note that this can-

not be inferred from studying the critical exponents due to large corrections to scaling, as shown in Sec. IV.

FIG.10: (Color online) B inder ratio g(L;T) as a function of the nite-size correlation length divided by the system size, L (L;T)=L. W hile the data for G aussian disorder seem to extrapolate to g(L;0) = 1 and L (L;T)=L = 1, the data for bim odal disorder seem to stop at g(L;0) 0:92 and L (L;T)=L 0:91 (m arked by a boxed cross).

VI. CONCLUSION

We have carried out a careful num erical study of the two-dimensional Ising spin-glass model with bimodallydistributed disorder at nite temperatures with system sizes up to L = 128, m easuring the speci c heat, nitesize correlation length, the spin-glass susceptibility, and the Binder ratio. The data neither con m nor disprove the hypothesis¹⁷ that in the large-L, low-but-nonzero-T lim it the e ective critical exponents of the system are identical to the known critical exponents of the twodimensional Ising spin glass with Gaussian-distributed disorder, although the data seem to follow a power-law behaviorat nite T (see, for example, Fig. 1). Since the evidence for the critical exponents of the two-dim ensional Ising spin glass with bim odally distributed disorder being identical to those of the pure G aussian case is weak, a further critical analysis of the estimates for the other distributions studied in Ref. 38 m ight be opportune. A plot of the B inder ratio against the nite-size correlation length (Fig. 10), which shows very small corrections to scaling, only suggests that in the bulk regime and for nite tem peratures both models might share a common universality class. Corrections to scaling are extremely large in the bim odal case. Therefore our main result is that simulations with larger system sizes and lower tem peratures are needed to conclusively compute the critical exponents to prove the scenario proposed in Ref. 17 in which both models with Gaussian and bim odally distributed disorder are in di erent universality classes at T = 0, where the degeneracy of the ground state plays a key role, yet the models share the same universality class at nite nonzero tem peratures and very large system sizes.

A cknow ledgm ents

We would like to thank R. Fisch, K. Hukushima, T.Jorg, J.Poulter, M. Troyer, and A.P. Young for fruit-

- ¹ K.Binder and A.P.Young, Spin glasses: Experimental facts, theoretical concepts and open questions, Rev.Mod. Phys.58, 801 (1986).
- ² J.-S.W ang and R.H.Swendsen, Low-tem perature properties of the J spin glass in two dim ensions, Phys.Rev.B 38, 4840 (1988).
- ³ L.Sauland M.Kardar, Exact integer algorithm for the twodim ensional J Ising spin glass, Phys. Rev. E 48, R 3221 (1993).
- ⁴ J. Houdayer, A cluster Monte Carlo algorithm for 2dimensional spin glasses, Eur. Phys. J. B. 22, 479 (2001).
- ⁵ J. Lukic, A. Galluccio, E. Marinari, O. C. Martin, and G. Rinaldi, Critical thermodynamics of the two dimensional J Ising spin glass, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 117202 (2004).
- ⁶ H.G.Katzgraber and L.W.Lee, Correlation length of the two-dim ensional Ising spin glass with bim odal interactions, Phys.Rev.B 71, 134404 (2005).
- ⁷ S.F.Edwards and P.W. Anderson, Theory of spin glasses, J.Phys.F:M et.Phys.5, 965 (1975).
- ⁸ A.K.Hartmann and A.P.Young, Lower critical dimension of Ising spin glasses, Phys. Rev. B 64, 180404 (R) (2001).
- ⁹ H.Rieger, L.Santen, U.Blasum, M.Diehl, M.Junger, and G.Rinaldi, The critical exponents of the two-dimensional Ising spin glass revisited: exact ground-state calculations and Monte Carlo simulations, J.Phys.A 29, 3939 (1996).
- ¹⁰ J.A.B lackm an, J.R.G on calves, and J.P oulter, P roperties of the two-dim ensional random -bond + /-J Ising spin glass, Phys.Rev.E 58, 1502 (1998).
- ¹¹ J.Poulter and J.A.Blackman, An exact algorithm for spin correlation functions of the two dimensional + /-J Ising spin glass in the ground state, Phys. Rev. B 72, 104422 (2005).
- ¹² W. L. M dM illan, M onte Carlo simulation of the twodim ensional random (+ /-J) Ising m odel, P hys. R ev. B 28, 5216 (1983).
- ¹³ R.N.Bhatt and A.P.Young, Num erical studies of Ising spin glasses in two, three and four dim ensions, Phys.Rev. B 37, 5606 (1988).
- ¹⁴ C. Amoruso, A. K. Hartmann, M. B. Hastings, and M. A. Moore, Conformal Invariance and SLE in Two-D im ensional Ising Spin Glasses (2006), (condmat/0601711).
- ¹⁵ J.-S. W ang, W orm algorithm for two-dimensional spin glasses, Phys. Rev. E 72, 036706 (2005).
- ¹⁶ R.Fisch, Sub-extensive singularity in the 2D J Ising spin glass (2006), (cond-m at/0607622).

ful discussions, and T. Jorg for pointing out the usefulness of plotting the data in the way shown in Fig.10. The simulations were performed on the H reidar and G onzales clusters at ETH Zurich.

- ¹⁷ T. Jorg, J. Lukic, E. Marinari, and O. C. Martin, Strong Universality and Algebraic Scaling in Two-Dimensional Ising Spin G lasses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 237205 (2006).
- ¹⁸ K. Hukushim a and K. Nem oto, Exchange Monte Carlo method and application to spin glass simulations, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 1604 (1996).
- ¹⁹ E. Marinari, Optim ized Monte Carlo methods, in Advances in Computer Simulation, edited by J.K ertesz and I.K ondor (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998), p. 50, (condmat/9612010).
- ²⁰ F.Cooper, B.Freedman, and D.Preston, Solving ⁴_{1,2} theory with M onte Carlo, Nucl. Phys. B 210, 210 (1982).
- ²¹ J.K.K in , A symptotic scaling of the mass gap in the twodim ensional O (3) nonlinear sigm a model: A num erical study, Phys. Rev. D 50, 4663 (1994).
- ²² M.Palassini and S.Caracciolo, UniversalFinite-Size Scaling Functions in the 3D Ising Spin G lass, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 5128 (1999).
- ²³ H.G.Ballesteros, A.Cruz, L.A.Femandez, V.Martin-Mayor, J.Pech, J.J.Ruiz-Lorenzo, A.Tarancon, P.Tellez, C.L.Ullod, and C.Ungil, Critical behavior of the threedimensional Ising spin glass, Phys. Rev. B 62, 14237 (2000).
- ²⁴ L.W. Lee and A.P.Young, Single spin- and chiral-glass transition in vector spin glasses in three dimensions, Phys. Rev.Lett. 90, 227203 (2003).
- ²⁵ H.G.Katzgraber, L.W. Lee, and A.P.Young, Correlation length of the two-dimensional Ising spin glass with Gaussian interactions, Phys. Rev. B 70, 014417 (2004).
- ²⁶ K. Binder, Critical properties from M onte Carlo coarse graining and renorm alization, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 693 (1981).
- ²⁷ H.G.Katzgraber, M.Komer, and A.P.Young, Universality in three-dimensional Ising spin glasses: A Monte Carlo study, Phys. Rev. B 73, 224432 (2006).
- ²⁸ Note that the specic heat can also be computed as a derivative of the internal energy E = [hH i]_v, ie., C_v = @E =@T (constant volum e). We have also computed the specic heat using this method and the results agree perfectly with the results using Eq. (9).
- ²⁹ I.A.Campbell, A.K.Hartmann, and H.G.Katzgraber, Energy size e ects of two-dimensional Ising spin glasses, Phys.Rev.B 70, 054429 (2004).
- ³⁰ G.A.Baker, Jr. and J.C.Bonner, Scaling behavior at zerotem perature critical points, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3741 (1975).
- ³¹ Num erically one can only access nite system sizes. In this case the boundary conditions (here periodic) as well as the

10

shape anisotropy of the models compared have to be the same.Only then will them odels be in the same universality class, provided that the critical exponents as well as the values of di erent observables at criticality are the same.

- ³² Sim ilar results have been found via an alternate analysis in Ref. 39, although it is unclear if an extrapolation from the high-tem perature behavior to T = 0 is plausible.
- ³³ Note that Jorg et al. in R ef. 17 also study other disorder distributions, such as the gap 1=4 case, where corrections to scaling are much sm aller than for bim odally distributed disorder. For these models, the data seem com patible with the critical behavior of the model with G aussian disorder.
- ³⁴ B.E fron and R.J.T ibshirani, An Introduction to the Bootstrap (Chapman & Hall, 1994).
- ³⁵ S.Caracciolo, R.G.Edwards, S.J.Ferreira, A.Pelissetto, and A.D.Sokal, Extrapolating Monte Carlo Simulations

to In nite Volum e: Finite-Size Scaling at =L 1, Phys. Rev.Lett 74, 2969 (1995).

- ³⁶ J. L. Cardy, Finite-size scaling in strips: antiperiodic boundary conditions, J. Phys. A 17, L961 (1984).
- ³⁷ J.-K. K in , A. J. F. de Souza, and D. P. Landau, N um erical computation of nite size scaling functions: An alternative approach to nite size scaling, Phys. Rev. E 54, 2291 (1996).
- ³⁸ T. Jorg, Critical behavior of the three-dim ensional bonddiluted Ising spin glass: Finite-size scaling functions and universality, Phys. Rev. B 73, 224431 (2006).
- ³⁹ H.G.Katzgraber, L.W. Lee, and I.A.Campbell, Nontrivial critical behavior of the free energy in the twodimensional Ising spin glass with bimodal interactions (2005), (cond-m at/0510668).