## Number Statistics of Ultracold Bosons in Optical Lattice

Yu Chuan Wen,<sup>1</sup> Jing Yu Gan,<sup>2</sup> Xiancong Lu,<sup>3</sup> and Yue Yu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Interdisciplinary Center of Theoretical Studies, CAS, Beijing 100080, China

<sup>2</sup>Center for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Theoretical Physics, CAS, Beijing 100080, China

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

W e study the number statistics of ultracold bosons in optical Lattice using the slave particle technique and quantum M onte C arlo simulations. For hom ogeneous B ose-H ubbard m odel, we use the slave particle technique to obtain the number statistics near the super uid to norm al-liquid phase transition. The qualitatively behavior agree with the recent experiment probing number uctuation  $\mathbb{P}$  hys. Rev. Lett. 96, 090401 (2006)]. We also perform quantum M onte C arlo simulations to 1D system with external harm onic trap. The results qualitatively agree with the experiments.

PACS num bers: 03.75.Lm ,67.40.-w ,39.25.+ k

I. IN TRODUCTION

The ultracold atom s in optical lattices have opened a new windows to investigate the strongly correlated system swith highly tunable parameters<sup>1</sup>. The basic physics of these ultracold atom s is captured by the Bose Hubbard m odel, whose m ost fundam ental feature is the existence of super uid to M ott-insulator phase transition at zero tem perature<sup>2,3</sup>. In a very shallow optical lattice, the ultracold bosons are in super uid phase and can be welldescribed by a macroscopic wave function with long-range phase coherence<sup>4</sup>. In this case, the phase uctuation is zero and the on-site number uctuation is large. W hen the optical lattice is very deep, the bosons enter the M ottinsulating phase with xed num ber of atom sper site and without phase coherence, i.e., the on-site num ber uctuation is zero and the phase uctuation is large<sup>4,5</sup>. The physics of the M I phase is that, when the repulsive interaction between the atom s is large enough, the num ber uctuation would become energetically unfavorable and the system would be in a number-squeezed state. This interaction induced M I phase plays an important role in the strongly correlated system s, as well as in various quantum information processing schemes.

In the past, some ultracold-atom experiments have been performed to detected these number-squeezed M I phase through the observation of increased phase uctuations<sup>4,5,7</sup> or through an increased time scale for phase di usion<sup>8</sup>. Recently, the continuous suppression of on-site num ber uctuations was directly observed by Fabrice G erbier et alby m on itoring the suppression of spinchanging collisions across the super uid/M ott-insulator transition<sup>9</sup>. By using a far o -resonant m icrowave eld, the spin oscillations for doubly occupied sites can be tuned into resonance and the amplitude of spin oscillation is directly related to the probability of nding atom pairs per lattice site. It was shown by Fabrice G erbier et althat, for sm allatom num ber, the oscillation am plitude is increasingly suppressed with increasing lattice depths and completely vanishes for large lattice depths. In the M I region, this suppression persists up to som e threshold

atom number. The authors also compared their experimental results with the prediction of the Bose Hubbard model within a mean-eld approximation at zero temperature.

In this paper, we try to use the other approaches to study the number uctuation beyond the zerotem perature mean-eld theory. We rst use the slave particle technique to obtained the number statistics at the critical points of the super uid to norm alliquid phase transition. The qualitative behaviors are the same as the recent experiment<sup>9</sup>. In the second part of this paper, we step out the mean-eld theory and perform quantum M onte C arb simulation to the 1D ultracold bosons with external harm onic trap. The numerical results reproduce the qualitative behaviors of the experiment.

This paper was organized as follows. In Sec. II, we will describe the slave particle technique to the hom ogeneous Bose-Hubbard model. In Sec. III, we will perform quantum M onte Carlo simulation to the 1D ultracold bosons with external harm onic trap. In Sec. IV, we will give our conclusions.

## II. SLAVE-PARTICLE APPROACH TO THE NUMBER FLUCTUATION OF HOM OGENOUS BOSE-HUBBARD MODEL

We consider an ultracold atom ic gas trapped in an three-dimensional optical lattice potential,  $V_0(r) = V_0 \int_{j=1}^{3} \sin^2 (kr_j)$ , with wave vectors k = 2 = and the laser wavelength. In real experiments, an additional harm onic potential is superimposed to the lattice potential; how ever, we only pay attention to the hom ogeneous case in this section, which can be described by the following hom ogeneous B ose H ubbard H am iltonian<sup>3</sup>,

$$H = t \begin{cases} X & X \\ a_{i}^{y}a_{j} & n_{i} + \frac{U}{2} \\ & & i \end{cases} n_{i} (n_{i} 1); (1)$$

Here  $a_i^{\gamma}$  is the creation operator at site i,  $n_i = a_i^{\gamma} a_i$  is the particle number operator, and hiji denotes the sum

over nearest neighbor sites. t and U are the hopping am plitude and on-site interaction, respectively,

$$t = \frac{drw}{Z} (r r_{i}) = \frac{2}{2m}r^{2} + V_{0}(r) w (r r_{j});$$
  

$$U = g drjw(r)^{4};$$
(2)

In the following, we will use the slave particle technique to obtain the nite temperature number uctuation at the critical points. In the slave particle language<sup>10,11</sup>, the bosonic creation operator  $a_i^y$  and annihilation operator  $a_i$  can be decomposed into

$$a_{i}^{y} = \begin{array}{c} X & p \\ + 1j + 1i_{ii}h j \\ a_{i} = \begin{array}{c} X^{=0} p \\ + 1ji_{ii}h + 1j \\ = 0 \end{array}$$
(3)

where ji<sub>i</sub> is the eigenstate of the particle num ber operator  $n_i = a_i^y a_i$  with the eigenvalue. In the slave particle language, every occupation state is identified as a type of slave particle, i.e., ji<sub>i</sub> and <sub>i</sub>h j are m apped to  $a_{ji}^y$  and a <sub>i</sub>, which are the slave particle creation and annihilation operators, respectively. Then  $a_i^y$  and  $a_i$  can be rewritten as

$$a_{i}^{Y} = \begin{array}{c} X & p \\ + 1a^{Y}_{+1;i}a_{;i}; \\ a_{i} = \begin{array}{c} X^{=0} p \\ + 1a^{Y}_{;i}a_{+1;i}: \\ \end{array}$$
(4)

The slave particle operators are dened to satisfy the anticommutation relation fa<sub>i</sub>;  $a^{y}_{;j}g = _{ij}$  in a slave ferm ion approach, and to satisfy the commutation relation [a<sub>i</sub>;  $a^{y}_{;j}$ ] =  $_{ij}$  in a slave boson approach. In order to reproduce the original bosonic commutation relation [a<sub>i</sub>;  $a^{y}_{;j}$ ] =  $_{ij}$ , the slave particle operators must obey the constraint:

$$X = X = x = 0$$

$$n_{i} = x = 0$$

$$n_{i} = 1: \quad (5)$$

Substituting the slave particle transform ation (4) into Eq. (1), the Bose-H ubbard H am iltonian can be replaced by

$$H = t X X p - p - p - 1 a_{+1;i} a_{;i} a_{;j}^{Y} a_{+1;j} a_{+$$

Following the steps in Refs.<sup>12,13</sup>, we write the partition function as an imaginary time coherent state path integral<sup>10,11</sup>:

$$Z = Tre^{H} = Da Da D e^{S[a;a;]}; \quad (7)$$

$$S[a;a;] = \begin{bmatrix} Z & X & X \\ 0 & a_{;i} & 0 & + \frac{U}{2} & (1) \\ & & X & \\ i & i & a_{;i} + i & i \\ & & X & p - \frac{i}{1} & p \\ & & t & - 1 & p - \frac{i}{1} \\ & & t & (ij) & ; \\ & & & & (8) \end{bmatrix}$$

where a  $_{ji}$  and a  $_{ji}$  are introduced as ordinary complex numbers in the slave boson approach, and as G rassm ann variables in the slave ferm ion approach satisfying the G rassm ann algebra. The Lagrange multiplier eld  $_i()$  comes from the constraint (5), namely,  $_i$  ( $n_i$ 1). The unit has been set to  $\sim$  =  $k_{\rm B}$  = 1 in all form ulas. In order to decouple the hopping term , we perform a H ubbard-Stratonovich transform ation

The Hubbard-Stratonovich eld i introduced here can be identified as the order parameter of super uid for h ii = h + 1a iia + 1ii) = haii. We then performa Fourier transform on all the elds A i by

$$A_{i} = \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{L}} \sum_{k,n}^{X} A_{kn} e^{i(k n ! n)}; \qquad (10)$$

where L is the total number of sites of the optical lattice and  $!_n$  is the M atsubara frequency, which equals  $(2n + 1) = \text{ or } 2n = \text{ for ferm ionic or bosonic elds. A fler$ relaxing the constraint (5) to one slave particle per site $on average over the whole lattice, i.e., replacing <math>k_{;n}$  with a constant  $_{0;0}$ , we arrive at an elective action divided into two parts,

$$S_{eff}[;a;] = S_0 + S_I;$$
 (11)

$$S_{I} = \frac{X X}{k_{jk}^{0}m_{jn}^{0}} + \frac{p_{\overline{L}}^{k^{0}}}{p_{\overline{L}}} + \frac{p_{\overline{L}}^{+1}}{p_{\overline{L}}^{+1}} + \frac{p_{\overline{L}}^{+1}}{(k_{k}^{+}k_{0}^{0});(n+n^{0})} + \frac{1}{k_{jn}^{0}} + \frac{1}{k_$$

where c() = i + (1)U = 2, = 0; 0 = L, and  $k = 2t \int_{i=1}^{P} \cos(k_i a)$  with d and a being the dimension and spacing constant of the lattice. N ear the critical point, the order parameter is small and the perturbation can be performed in terms of  $S_{\rm I}$ . The partition function  $Z_0$  of non-interacting slave particles comes from the contribution of the zeroth-order term  $S_0^{\rm sp}$  which is given by

$$Z_0 = e^{0} = Da Da e^{S_0^{sp}}$$
 (12)

Here  $_0$  is the zeroth-order therm odynam ic potential,

$$_{0} = iL - \frac{L X}{m} \ln (1 e^{c()});$$
 (13)

where the + ( ) sign corresponds to the slave ferm ion (slave boson). A fler expanding e  $^{\rm S \ eff}$  up to second order of S\_I and integrating out the slave particle eld, we obtain the new e ective action to second order of the order parameter eld,

$$S_{E;eff}[;] = 0 \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ k_{n}G^{1}(k;i!_{n}) \\ k_{n} \end{array} (14)$$

The G reen's function G  $(k; i!_n)$  is de ned by

$$G^{1}(k;i!_{n}) = {}_{k} {}_{k}^{2} {}_{k}^{X} (+1) \frac{n {}_{n}^{+1}}{i!_{n} + U};$$
(15)

where n is the occupation number and equal to

$$n = \frac{1}{\exp f [i + (1)U=2]g 1}; \quad (16)$$

in which the + and sign correspond to slave ferm ion and slave boson, respectively.

The saddle point approximation to the constraint eld means: 0 = 0 = 0; the particle number conservation condition requires 0 = 0 = N. The mean-eld approximation means all the uctuations coming from the G reen's function would not be considered in the above two conditions. Then the following two mean-eld equations can be derived,

X  
n = 1; (17)  
X  
n = 
$$\frac{N}{L}$$
 = n; (18)

where the n = N = L is the average particle density.

C om bining Eqs. (15)-(18), we can obtain the number uctuation at critical temperature of super uid to norm al liquid phase transition. We show the results in Fig.1. One can see that the qualitative behavior agree with the recent experiment probing number uctuation Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 090401 (2006)].



0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

0.5-

0.4

0.3

0.1

0.0

0.5

1.0

1.5 2.0

n

n2/n

n²/n

n²/n

FIG.1: The average probability of a site to be occupied by two bosons  $n^2 = n$  as a function of average particle density n, for di erent interactions U=zt. All the calculations are perform ed at the critical tem perature of the phase transition.

2.5

0.0

1.0 1.5

n

25

2.0

## III. NUMERICAL RESULTS:QUANTUM MONTE CARLO CALCULATION IN ONE D IMENSION

A lthough mean eld theory are applicable in higher dimensions, its application to 1D is questionable due to the large quantum uctuations. In this section, we will come to the numerical calculation and focus our study on the Boson Hubbard model (1) in a harm onic trapped potential in 1D optical lattice. The trapped potential we use is:

$$V_{\rm T} = V_{\rm t} (i \ L=2)^2$$
 (19)

where L is the chain length and  $V_t = 0.02t$ . The m ethod we use is quantum M onte C arlo (Q M C) simulations using the stochastic series expansion technique<sup>14,15</sup>. In the sim – ulation, we set the lattice is large enough to neglect the boundary e ects and the inverse of tem perature = 100t in order to reach the ground state properties.

Fig.2 show n = (=1;2;3;4) v.s. the average boson density , where n is the average boson density for bosons of the system. From the gure we can see,  $n_1$  decreases with increasing average boson density , while  $n_3$ ,  $n_4$  increase with it. They all change monotonously



FIG.2: (Color on line) n = as a function of boson density for various U=t at V<sub>t</sub> = 0.01t. The chain size is L = 100.n (= 1;2;3;4) are average bonson density for bosons on each site.



FIG.3: (Coloron line) Local particle density  $n_{\rm i}$  as a function of site i for various ~ at U = 10t.

while  $n_2$  is nonmonotonous. When is small,  $n_1$  is quite large, i.e., most of the sites are one particle occupied. In this region, there is a n = 1 M ott plateau in the middle of the chain (see g.3). As increases, n<sub>1</sub> decreases while  $n_2$  increases slow ly. At some critical  $_{\rm c1}$  ,  $n_2$  suddenly increases fast. This is because when > c1, a super uid form s in the middle of the n = 1 M ott plateau in the middle of the chain. As increase further,  $n_2$  reaches its maximum at c2 and then decreases with increasing . This corresponds the formation of the n = 2 M ottplateau in the middle of the chain (also see Fig.3). When U increase, both c1 and c2 increase. From the above discussion we can see that,  $n_2$  can be used to describe the M ott-super uid transition. Our results are qualitatively agree with Gerbier's experiment and the mean eld theory above.



In Fig.3 and Fig.4, we show the local particle density and onsite number statistics for various at U = 10t. From Fig.3, we can see that the formation of the n =1 and n = 2 plateau with increasing . In Fig.4 we nd that  $n_1$  develop concaves in the center of the trap. And these concaves become more and more deep which correspond with the decreasing of the total number of  $n_1$  in Fig.2.  $n_2$  evolves nonmonotonously. It increase suddenly with the appearing of the super uid region in the center of the trap and develop concave in the center of the trap with the appearing of the second M ott plateau. W ith the increasing of boson number, the  $n_3$  and  $n_4$  are not in portant when the total number of bosons are not large.

IV. CONCLUSION

W e studied the num ber uctuation of ultracold bosons in optical Lattice using the slave particle technique and quantum M onte Carlo simulation. By using the slave particle technique, we obtained the number statistic at the critical points of super uid to norm al liquid phase transition. W e also perform ed QMC simulations to the one dimension Bose-H ubbard m odel with external harm onic trap. The num erical results qualitatively agree with the recent experim ent<sup>9</sup>.

## A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported in part by Chinese National Natural Science Foundation. The simulations were performed on the HP-SC 45 Sigm a-X parallel computer of ITP and ICTS, CAS.

Note added. Recently we became aware of a parallel num erical work  $^{16}$  that reach sim ilar conclusions.

- <sup>1</sup> I.B loch, Physics W orld, 17, 25-29 (2004); I.B loch, N ature Physics 1, 23-30 (2005).
- <sup>2</sup> M.P.A.Fisher, P.B.W eichm an, G.Grinstein, and D.S. Fisher, Phys.Rev.B 40, 546 (1989).
- <sup>3</sup> D.Jaksch, C.Bruder, J.I.Cirac, C.W.Gardiner and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- <sup>4</sup> M.Greiner, O.M andel, T.Esslinger, T.W. Hansch, and I.Bloch, Nature (London) 415, 39 (2002).
- <sup>5</sup> C.Orzel, A.K.Tuchman, M.L.Fenselau, M.Yasuda, and M.A.Kasevich Science 291 2386 (2001).
- <sup>6</sup> P.Rabl, A.J.Daley, P.O.Fedichev, J.I.Cirac, and P. Zoller, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 110403 (2003); G.Pupillo, A. M.Rey, G.K.Brennen, C.W.Clark, and C.J.W illiam s, J.M od.Opt.51, 2395 (2004).
- <sup>7</sup> T. Stoferle, H. Moritz, C. Schori, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 130403 (2004).
- <sup>8</sup> M.Greiner, O.M andel, T.W. Hansch, and I.Bloch, Nature (London) 419, 51 (2002).
- <sup>9</sup> Fabrice G erbier, Sim on Folling, A rtur W idera, O lafM andel, and Immanuel Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 090401

(2006).

- <sup>10</sup> D.B.M.D ickerscheid, D.van Oosten, P.J.H.D enteneer, and H.T.C.Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 68, 043623 (2003).
- <sup>11</sup> Yue Yu and S.T.Chui, Phys. Rev. A 71, 033608 (2005); X.C.Lu, J.B.Li, and Y.Yu, Phys. Rev. A 73, 043607 (2006).
- <sup>12</sup> J.W .Negele and H.Orland, Quantum M any-Particle Systems (Addison-W esley, Redwood City, 1988).
- $^{\rm 13}$  H .T.C.Stoof, cond-m at/9910441.
- <sup>14</sup> A.W. Sandvik and J.Kurkijarvi, Phys.Rev.B 43, 5950 (1991); A.W. Sandvik, J.Phys.A 25, 3667 (1992); A.W. Sandvik, R.R.P.Singh, and D.K.Campbell, Phys.Rev. B 56, 14510 (1997).
- <sup>15</sup> A.W. Sandvik, Phys. Rev. B 59 R14157701 (1999); O.F. Syljuasen and A.W. Sandvik, Phys. Rev. E 66, 046701 (2002).
- <sup>16</sup> B. Capogrosso-Sansone, E. Kozik, N. Prokofev, B. Svistunov, cond-m at/0609600