Theoretical Analysis of the Reduction of Neel Temperature in $La_2 (Cu_1 \times Zn (orM q)_x)O_4$

T.Edagawa , Y.Fukum oto and A.O guchi

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan

A bstract

U sing Tyablikov's decoupling approximation, we calculate the initial suppression rate of the Neeltem perature, R IS $\mathrm{dT_N}=\mathrm{dx}$, in a quasitwo-dimensional diluted Heisenberg antiferrom agnet with nonmagnetic impurities of concentration x . In order to explain an experimental fact that R $_{\rm IS}^{\rm (Z\, n)}$ = 3.4 of the Zn-substitution is dierent from R $_{\rm IS}^{\rm (M\, g)}$ = 3.0 of the M g-substitution, we propose a model in which impurity substitution reduces the intra-plane exchange couplings surrounding impurities, as well as dilution of spin systems. The decrease of 12% in exchange coupling constants by Zn substitution and decrease of 6% by Mg substitution explain those two experimental results, when an appropriate value of the interplane coupling is used. c 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 75.10 Jm; 75.10 Nr; 74.72 Dn

K eywords: diluted H eisenberg antiferrom agnets; N eel T em perature; La $_2$ C uO $_4$

The discovery of high-T_c compounds renewed interests in quasitwo-dim ensional quantum antiferrom agnets. The site dilution problem of two-dimensional quantum antiferrom agnets has been extensively studied. [1,2,3,4,5] In this paper, we treat a problem of the dierence between Zn and M q substitutions in La₂CuO₄.[1]

As far as the present authors know, on the impurity problem of square-lattice Heisenberg antiferrom agnets nonmagnetic im purities have been treated just as static vacancies in spin system s in all previous theoretical studies. However, in an experim ent on La₂ (C $u_1 \times Zn$ (or M g)_x)O 4, C heong et al reported that the initial suppression rate of Neel tem perature

$$R_{IS} = \lim_{x! \ 0} \frac{1}{T_N} \frac{dT_N}{dx}$$
 (1)

shows impurity ion dependence, i.e., $R_{IS}^{(Zn)} = 3:4$ for Zn substitution and $R_{TS}^{(M g)} = 3.0$ for M g substitution. [1] This result indicates that the nonmagnetic impurities are not a role of static vacancies in the spin system . Cheong et al. also m easured the orthorhom bic-to-tetragonal transition tem perature $T_{0 \ \ T}$ of La_2 (C $u_{1 \ x}$ Zn (or M g)_x)O $_4$, and found a faster increase of $T_{O\ S\ T}$ of Zn substitution than $M\ g$ substitution. On the basis of this observation, they concluded

Em ailaddress: oguchi@rs.noda.tus.ac.jp (T.Edagawa).

that M g is a better substantial ion than Zn for realizing spin dilution system s. [1]

Wewrite the Hamiltonian of the parent compound without im purities as

$$H = J S_{i} S_{j} + J_{?} S_{i} S_{j};$$


$$hi; ji_{k} hi; ji_{?}$$
(2)

where i and j denote lattice points on a simple cubic lattice, hi; jik are nearest-neighbor pairs of sites on a square-lattice plane, and hi; ji? are inter-plane nearest neighbor pairs. Although inter-plane exchange couplings in La2CuO4 are more complex than those in the above model, we simply treat the three dim ensionality within the form of the above Ham iltonian. An \ideal" nonmagnetic impurity is introduced at site 0, then the spin system is perturbed by

$$H_{0}^{0} = J_{0}^{X} S_{0} S_{j} J_{2} S_{0} S_{j}$$
: (3)

The Hamiltonian of the diluted spin system is given by $H_{tot} = H + \frac{1}{2} H_0^0$, where the sum runs over N x lattice points chosen at random from the total N lattice points. On the basis of the Tyablikov decoupling approximation, M acG um calculated con guration averaged G reen's functions with self-energy corrections within rstorder in x, and estim ated R $_{
m IS}$ for a square lattice case, J $_{
m ?}$! 0, and for an isotropic sim ple cubic lattice case, $J_2 = J$. [6] M acG um's results are $R_{IS}(J_?!0) = and R_{IS}(J_?=J) = 1:68.The$

Corresponding author.



F ig.1. In itial suppression rate R $_{\rm IS}$ as a function of interplane coupling ${\rm J}_{\rm IS}$

form er value for the square lattice is close to the experimental values for La $_2$ (C u $_1$ $_x$ Zn (M g) $_x$)O $_4$, but other mechanism s are needed to get a quantitative agreem ent between theory and experiment.O ne of such mechanisms is a weak inter-plane coupling.

We begin with extending MacGum's calculation to study how R_{IS} depends on J_? . The result is shown in Fig. 1.We not that R_{IS} decreases monotonically as J_? increases. This behavior is reasonable because the three dimensionality is expected to stabilize antiferrom agnetic ordering. If we assume J_? = 5:0 10 5 J in La₂CuO₄, [7] then we obtain R_{IS} = 2:67. This value is much smaller than R_{IS} = 3:4 and R_{IS} $^{\text{(Mg)}}$ = 3:0, and thus we have to search for an additional mechanism which destabilizes the antiferrom agnetic ordering and makes R_{IS} increase.

The experimental result of $R_{IS}^{(Zn)}$ for $R_{IS}^{(Mg)}$ gives us a hint in searching for the additional mechanism. A natural way to understand this experimental result is that the exchange couplings around nonmagnetic impurities are changed depending on the ion radius of the impurities. The ion radii of Zn, Cu, Mg are, respectively, 0.74, 0.73, 0.72. [1] When a Cu ion substitutes for a Zn ion, then the four nearest neighbor Cu ions of the impurity moves away from the impurity and in the case of Mg they approach the impurity, as shown in Fig.2. (Mg energlect smaller displacements of other Gu ions.) Then, for eight exchange bonds surrounding the impurity ion, the linear arrangement of lobes of two $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals and a g orbital is changed to a distorted one, which leads to the reduction of overlap integral between such two Gu sites, i.e., t! t. The reduction of the overlap integral




Fig. 2. Schem atic representations of (a) a CuO $_2$ plane, where the sm all closed circles represent positions of oxygens, (b) a CuO $_2$ plane with Zn-substitution, and (c) a CuO $_2$ plane with M g-substitution.




Fig. 3. Initial suppression rate R $_{\rm IS}$ as a function of for $_{\rm J_2}$ =J = 5:0 10 5 .

also gives $J! J^0 = J(1 2 t=t)$ for small t=t.

In order to incorporate this e ect, we write the perturbation due to an impurity at site 0 as

$$H_0^0 = H_0^0 \qquad J \qquad S_i \qquad S_j; \qquad (4)$$

$$h_{ij} j_{i0}$$

where = 2 t=t, and the sum runs over eight intra-plane nearest-neighbor pairs surrounding the impurity site 0. Following M acG um's propedure, we calculate R $_{\rm IS}$ for the H am iltonian H' $_{\rm tot}$ = H + $_{\rm O}$ H' $_{\rm O}^{\rm O}$. In the lim it J $_{\rm P}$! 0, we obtain R $_{\rm IS}$ ' + A with a rather large coe cient A = 32 16 + 5 2 =2 ' 6.4. The calculated result for J $_{\rm P}$ = 5.0 10 5 J , which corresponds to La $_{\rm La}$ C uO $_{\rm A}$, is shown in F ig.3.U sing this result and experimental results of R $_{\rm IS}^{\rm (M g)}$ = 3.0 and R $_{\rm IS}^{\rm (Zn)}$ = 3.4, we obtain $^{\rm (Zn)}$ = 0.12 and $^{\rm M g)}$ = 0.06 for La $_{\rm La}$ (C u1 $_{\rm X}$ Zn (M g) $_{\rm X}$)O $_{\rm A}$, which means that reductions of the overlap integral due to Zn and M g substitutions are, respectively, 6% and 3% . The result of $^{\rm (Zn)}$ > $^{\rm M g)}$ shows that M g is a better substantial ion than Zn, which is consistent with the experimental noting by C heong et al. mentioned before. [1]

In sum mary, we conclude that the reduction of intraplane exchange couplings around in purities causes a rather fast increase of R $_{\rm IS}$ and gives the origin of the di erence in R $_{\rm IS}$ between La $_2$ (C u $_1$ $_{\rm X}$ Z n $_{\rm X}$)O $_4$ and La $_2$ (C u $_1$ $_{\rm X}$ M g $_{\rm X}$)O $_4$. As a future problem , it is also interesting to incorporate four-spin ring-exchange term s into our model, [8] which is expected to get the estimations of to decrease more or less because of frustration e ects. [9]

References

- [1] S-W .Cheong et al.: Phys. Rev. B44 (1991), p. 9739.
- [2] K.Kato et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000), p. 4204.
- [3] A.L.Chemyshev et al.: Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002), p. 104407.
- [4] E.R.M ucciolo et al: Phys.Rev.B69 (2004), p. 214424.
- [5] E.V.Castro et al.: Phys. Rev. B 73 (2006), p. 054422.
- [6] R.MacGum: J.Phys.C27 (1979), p. 3523.
- [7] M. Hucker et al: Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) p.725.
- [8] R.Coldea et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) p. 5377.
- [9] A.Lauchliet al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) p. 137206.