

Ground and excited states of spinor Fermi gases in tight waveguides and the Lieb-Liniger-Hohenberg model

M. D. Girardeau

College of Optical Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
(dated: December 25, 2021)

The ground and excited states of a one-dimensional (1D) spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Fermi gas (SFG) with both attractive zero-range odd-wave interactions and repulsive zero-range even-wave interactions are mapped exactly to a 1D Lieb-Liniger-Hohenberg (LLH) model with delta-function repulsions depending on isotropic Heisenberg spin-spin interactions, such that the complete SFG and LLH energy spectra are identical. The ground state in the ferromagnetic phase is given exactly by the Lieb-Liniger (LL) Bethe ansatz, and that in the antiferromagnetic phase by a variational method combining Bethe ansatz solutions of the LL and 1D Hohenberg models. There are excitation branches corresponding to LL type I and II phonons and spin waves, the latter behaving quadratically for small wave number in the ferromagnetic phase and linearly in the antiferromagnetic phase.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Fk, 03.75.Mn

If an ultracold atomic vapor is confined in a de Broglie wave guide with transverse trapping so tight and temperature so low that the transverse vibrational excitation quantum $\sim \hbar \omega_{\perp}$ is larger than available longitudinal zero point and thermal energies, the effective dynamics becomes one-dimensional (1D) [1, 2], a regime currently under intense experimental investigation [3, 4]. Confinement-induced 1D Feshbach resonances (CIRs) reachable by tuning the 1D coupling constant via 3D Feshbach scattering resonances [5] occur for both Bose [1, 6] and spin-aligned Fermi gases [7], allowing tuning of the effective 1D coupling constants to any desired values. Near the CIRs the ground states have strong short-range correlations not representable by effective field theories, and such systems have recently become the subject of extensive theoretical and experimental investigations because of their novelty and the recent experimental realization [4] of the 1D gas of impenetrable point bosons, now known as the Tonks-Girardeau (TG) gas. The Fermi-Bose (FB) mapping method, introduced in 1960 [8, 9] to solve the TG problem exactly, and recently [7, 10-13] extended to a mapping between a 1D Bose gas with delta-function interactions of any strength [Lieb-Liniger (LL) gas [14]] and a spin-aligned Fermi gas, will be generalized here to the Fermi gas with unconstrained spins.

The exact ground state of the \fermionic TG " (FTG) gas, a spin-aligned 1D Fermi gas with infinitely strongly attractive zero-range odd-wave interactions, has been determined recently [7, 11-13] by FB mapping to the ideal Bose gas, and its highly-correlated ground state was shown to possess superconductive off-diagonal long-range order (ODLRO) [15, 16]; this system models a magnetically trapped ultracold gas of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermionic atoms with very strong odd-wave interactions induced by a p-wave Feshbach resonance. If optically trapped instead, such a spinor Fermi gas (SFG) has richer properties, since its spins are unconstrained. In such a case, with both spatial even-wave interactions associated with spin

singlet scattering and odd-wave interactions associated with spin triplet scattering, one can vary the ratio of the two coupling constants by Feshbach resonance tuning of the odd-wave one, leading to a rich phase diagram of ground state spin, which we have determined recently [17]. However, exact ground and excited states in the antiferromagnetic region of the phase diagram are unknown except for limiting cases where one of these interactions is negligible. The purpose of this paper is to study the more general problem by a generalized space-spin FB mapping to a 1D Lieb-Liniger-Hohenberg (LLH) model of particles with delta-function repulsions depending on Heisenberg spin-spin interactions, followed by a variational treatment combining Bethe ansatz solutions of the LL and 1D Hohenberg models.

Even and odd-wave SFG interactions: The SFG is an ultracold vapor of fermionic atoms in 1D in two different hyperfine states, representable as spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions. One can represent the N -atom states by space-spin wave functions $\Psi_F(\mathbf{x}_1; \uparrow; \dots; \mathbf{x}_N; \downarrow)$ where the x_j are 1D spatial coordinates and the spin z -component variables take on values $\frac{1}{2}$ labelled by \uparrow and \downarrow . This system is assumed to be optically trapped on a ring of circumference L , so the x_j are measured circumferentially and periodic boundary conditions with periodicity length L are imposed. The Hamiltonian with LL units $\hbar^2 m^{-1} = 1$ in a limit of zero-range interactions is [11-13, 17]

$$\hat{H}_F = \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{p}_{x_j}^2 + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \left[\mathcal{G}_{1D}^e(x_j, x_k) \hat{P}_j^s + v_{1D}^o(x_j, x_k) \hat{P}_j^t \right] : \quad (1)$$

Here $x_j, x_k = x_j - x_k$, $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function [14], \hat{P}_j^s, \hat{P}_j^t is the projector onto the subspaces of singlet (triplet) functions of the spin arguments (\uparrow, \downarrow) for fixed values of all other arguments, and v_{1D}^o is a strong, attractive, odd-wave 1D interaction of range $x_0 \ll 0+$ (1D analog of 3D p-wave interactions) occurring near the CIR [7, 11-13]. It is the zero-range limit of a deep

and narrow square well of depth V_0 and width $2x_0$ with $x_0 \rightarrow 0+$ and $V_0 \rightarrow 1$ such that $V_0 x_0^2$ approaches a finite limit, implying an attractive interaction stronger than a delta function, which induces a wave-function discontinuity in the zero-range limit [10]. Taking the limit such that $V_0 = 2 = (2x_0)^{-1} [1 + (2x_0)^{-2} (x_0 = a_{1D}^0)]$ generates a two-body wave function satisfying the contact condition $\psi_F(x_j, = 0+) = \psi_F(x_j, = 0-) = a_{1D}^0 \psi_F(x_j, = 0)$ where $a_{1D}^0 < 0$ is the 1D odd-wave scattering length and the prime denotes differentiation [7, 11-13]. The even-wave 1D coupling constant g_{1D}^e in (1) is related to the even-wave scattering length $a_{1D}^e < 0$ derived [1] from 3D s-wave scattering by $g_{1D}^e = 4/a_{1D}^e$ and the even-wave contact condition is the usual LL one [14] $\psi_F^0(x_j, = 0+) = \psi_F^0(x_j, = 0-) = (a_{1D}^e)^{-1} \psi_F(x_j, = 0)$.

The $(j; \cdot)$ singlet subspace is spanned by the bispinor wave function $\psi^s(j; \cdot) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_j^\uparrow, \psi_j^\downarrow)$ and the triplet subspace by $\psi^t(j; \cdot) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_j^\uparrow, \psi_j^\downarrow)$, and $\psi^t(j; \cdot) = \psi_j^\uparrow, \psi_j^\downarrow$. Since ψ_F is antisymmetric under combined space-spin exchanges $(x_j; j) \leftrightarrow (x_j; \cdot)$, the singlet is the part of ψ_F which is even in x_j , as $x_j \rightarrow 0$ and the triplet is the part which is odd. In [11-13, 17] we accounted for this entirely by definition of the even and odd-wave pseudopotentials derived from 3D s-wave and p-wave scattering, and omitted the singlet and triplet projectors. However, here we study the SFG by a mapping which converts the odd waves into even ones while leaving the even ones unchanged, so it is essential to adjoin these projectors so as not to lose track of this association. They are related to the isotropic Heisenberg spin-spin interaction $\hat{S}_j \hat{S}_j$, by $\hat{P}_{j,j+1}^s = \frac{1}{4} \hat{S}_j \hat{S}_j$ and $\hat{P}_{j,j+1}^t = \frac{3}{4} + \hat{S}_j \hat{S}_j$, where \hat{S}_j are the usual spin vector operators.

SFG-LLH mapping: Assume that the system is contained in a 1D ring trap of circumference L , with periodic boundary conditions of periodicity length L . The spinor Fermi gas wave functions and Hamiltonian can be mapped to those of a Lieb-Liniger-Heisenberg model, with wave functions $\psi_{LLH}(x_1; 1; \dots; x_N; N)$ invariant under cyclic permutations $(x_1; 1; x_2; 2; \dots; x_N; N) \rightarrow (x_2; 2; \dots; x_N; N; x_1; 1)$ around the ring, by multiplying the part of the SFG wave function ψ_F which is odd in x_j , as $x_j \rightarrow 0$ by (x_j) where $(x) = +1 (-1)$ for $x > 0 (x < 0)$, and $(0) = 0$, while leaving the even part unchanged; this generalizes the original FB mapping of [8]. The N -particle configuration space decomposes into $N!$ permutation sectors, each defined by one particular ordering of numerical values of $x_1; \dots; x_N$. In analogy with the LL model [14] it is sufficient to define our spinor fermion wave functions ψ_F only in the fundamental permutation sector R_1 wherein $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N$ and to specify boundary conditions on the boundary of R_1 , which is an $(N-1)$ -dimensional hypersurface S_1 . This boundary can be crossed in each of N orthogonal directions, each defined by a particular coordi-

nate x_j crossing its right-hand neighbor x_{j+1} so that one enters a neighboring permutation sector $R_{1,j}$ wherein $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{j+1} < x_j < x_{j+2} < \dots < x_N$. One obtains a well-posed problem for determination of the energy eigenfunctions ψ_F and eigenvalues E by requiring that ψ_F satisfy the free-particle Schrödinger equation in the interior of R_1 plus boundary conditions relating its value and normal gradient on S_1 ; ψ_F can be uniquely extended to all $N!$ permutation sectors by space-spin antisymmetry.

Since the spatially odd (even) part of ψ_F is associated with the spin triplet (singlet) subspace, the desired mapping $\psi_F \rightarrow \psi_{LLH} = \hat{U} \psi_F$ can be implemented by a unitary mapping operator $\hat{U} = \hat{U}_N \hat{U}_{N-1} \dots \hat{U}_1$ where each factor for $1 \leq j \leq N-1$ is $\hat{U}_j = \hat{P}_{j,j+1}^s + (x_{j+1} - x_j) \hat{P}_{j,j+1}^t$. \hat{U}_j is unitary and self-inverse and changes the component of ψ_F odd in $x_{j,j+1}$ around $x_{j,j+1} = 0$ into an even one while leaving the even component unchanged. \hat{U}_N is a special case required to close the ring periodically: $\hat{U}_N = \hat{P}_{N,1}^s + (x_1 + L - x_N) \hat{P}_{N,1}^t$. Since $x_j < x_{j+1}$ in R_1 , the $(x_{j+1} - x_j)$ factors are all unity there, and in R_1 the mapping reduces to the identity. The term $(x_{j+1} - x_j)$ in \hat{U}_j is to be interpreted as a prescription for extension to the adjacent permutation sector $R_{1,j}$. In $R_{1,j}$ all factors \hat{U}_j , with $j \neq j$ are unity, verifying that \hat{U} maps ψ_F to a LLH wave function ψ_{LLH} differing from ψ_F only by extension from R_1 into adjacent sectors $R_{1,j}$ by multiplication of the component of ψ_F odd in $x_{j,j+1}$ around $x_{j,j+1} = 0$ by $(x_{j+1} - x_j)$.

When extended to all permutation sectors ψ_{LLH} is neither bosonic nor fermionic under combined space-spin exchanges $(x_j; j) \leftrightarrow (x_j; \cdot)$; it has both Bose-like components which are space-even and spin-even, and Fermi-like components which are space-even and spin-odd, but no space-odd components. The mapping converts the odd-spatial-wave, spin triplet contact discontinuities of ψ_F into LL contact cusps where ψ_F is continuous and its derivative changes sign, while retaining the original LL even-spatial-wave, spin singlet cusps. As in [11-13] this changes the odd spatial-wave, spin triplet contact condition $\psi_F(x_j, = 0+) = \psi_F(x_j, = 0-) = a_{1D}^0 \psi_F(x_j, = 0)$ of the SFG into an even spatial-wave, spin triplet contact condition $\psi_{LLH}^0(x_j, = 0+) = \psi_{LLH}^0(x_j, = 0-) = (a_{1D}^0)^{-1} \psi_{LLH}(x_j, = 0)$ for the LLH gas with the same scattering length a_{1D}^0 . Then both singlet and triplet contact conditions are of the usual form generated by LL delta functions [14] and it follows that ψ_{LLH} is an eigenstate of \hat{H}_{LLH} with energy E if ψ_F is an eigenstate of \hat{H}_F with energy E and conversely, where after substituting $\hat{P}_{j,j+1}^s = \frac{1}{4} \hat{S}_j \hat{S}_j$ and $\hat{P}_{j,j+1}^t = \frac{3}{4} + \hat{S}_j \hat{S}_j$, one finds

$$\hat{H}_{LLH} = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{x_j}^2 + \sum_{1 \leq j < l \leq N} \left[\frac{3c + c^0}{2} + 2(c - c^0) \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_l \right] (x_j, l) \quad (2)$$

with coupling constants given in terms of the odd and even-wave SFG scattering lengths a_{1D}^o and a_{1D}^e by $c = 2 = \frac{1}{2} a_{1D}^o$ and $c^0 = \frac{1}{2} a_{1D}^e = 2 = \frac{1}{2} a_{1D}^e$.

Ground state phase diagram: Assume that N is even. We previously determined [17] the phase diagram of total ground-state spin S in the $(c; c^0)$ plane, finding (a) for $c < c^0$ the ground state is ferromagnetic with $S = N/2$; (b) for $c > c^0$ it is antiferromagnetic with $S = 0$; (c) for $c = c^0$ it is highly degenerate, all (integer) values of S from 0 to $\frac{N}{2}$ and S_z from $-\frac{N}{2}$ to $\frac{N}{2}$ having the same energy. (c) now follows trivially from Eq. (2); if $c = c^0$ the $\hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_l$ terms in (2) vanish, so the entire energy spectrum is spin-independent.

Ferromagnetic phase: In this phase, which occurs for $c < c^0$, the ground state is totally spin-aligned ($S = N/2$), there are no quantum fluctuations of $\hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_l$ which may therefore be replaced by its expectation value $\frac{1}{4}$, and the square bracket in (2) reduces simply to $2c (x_j, l)$, the usual LL interaction, independent of c^0 . Then the LL Bethe ansatz [14] yields the exact ground state for all c , as in [7, 11, 13]. As c increases from zero for fixed c^0 , the system remains in the ferromagnetic phase until c reaches c^0 , where it undergoes a quantum phase transition to the $S = 0$ antiferromagnetic phase. This is illustrated in Fig. 1, where the solid line shows the ground state energy $E_0(c)$ in the ferromagnetic phase [14, 18] and the dashed lines for fixed values of c^0 show the energy in the antiferromagnetic phase.

Antiferromagnetic phase: Now assume $c > c^0$, in which case the ground state is highly nontrivial, has $S = 0$, and depends on both c and c^0 . As before, it is sufficient to restrict ourselves to the sector $R_1: 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N < L$. One can obtain an upper bound on the ground state energy by taking a variational state which is a product of a purely spatial state $|\psi_{space}(x_1; \dots; x_N)\rangle$ by a purely spin state $|\psi_{spin}(s_1; \dots; s_N)\rangle$. In spite of its product structure, this trial state incorporates some space-spin correlation implicitly via the projectors $\hat{P}_{j,j+1}^s = \frac{1}{4} \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1}$, and $\hat{P}_{j,j+1}^t = \frac{3}{4} + \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1}$. Taking $|\psi_{spin}\rangle$ to be the ground state of the isotropic, antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{Heis} = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} + \hat{S}_N \cdot \hat{S}_1$, for which in the thermodynamic limit $E_{0,Heis} = N \ln 2$ [21], reduces the Hamiltonian to an LL model [14, 22], yielding an upper bound $E_0(c; c^0) < E_{0,LL}(c_{L,L})$ with $c_{L,L} = c(1 - \ln 2) + c^0 \ln 2$. The product state $|\psi_{space spin}\rangle$ is exact in the ferromagnetic phase (where $\hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} = \frac{1}{4}$), on the phase boundary $c = c^0$, and when $c = 0$ [23, 24], so it may be a good approximation throughout the $(c; c^0)$ plane. This variational ground state energy, obtained




FIG. 1: Ground state energy of the 1D spinor Fermi gas as a function of $c = 2 = \frac{1}{2} a_{1D}^o$ for fixed values of $c^0 = 2 = \frac{1}{2} a_{1D}^e$ where a_{1D}^o and a_{1D}^e are odd and even-wave 1D scattering lengths. Solid line: Exact ground state energy in the ferromagnetic phase, stable only for $c < c^0$. Dashed lines: Variational ground state energy in the antiferromagnetic phase, stable only for $c > c^0$, for $c^0 = n = 0; 2; 5$, and 10 (bottom to top).

from the LL ground-state energy [18], is shown by the dashed lines in Fig. 1.

Excitations: Both phonons (number density waves) and spin waves (spin density waves) occur in this system. Since a space-spin product variational approximation was used for the ground state, the energies of these excitations will be evaluated using variational states $|\psi_{space spin}\rangle$, but now with either $|\psi_{space}\rangle$ or $|\psi_{spin}\rangle$ excited. For one-phonon excitations $|\psi_{space}\rangle$ is an exact one-phonon LL excited state [25] and $|\psi_{spin}\rangle$ is the exact ground state of the 1D Heisenberg model. Consider first such excitations from the ferromagnetic phase ($c < c^0$). There are two such modes: Hole excitations (Lieb's type II excitations [25]) correspond to promotion of a particle from quasimomentum K inside the LL-Fermi sea (Fig. 2 of LL [14]) to just above the top (quasimomentum K) of the sea, whereas umklapp excitations (Lieb's type I excitations) correspond to promotion of a particle from K to $K + k$. Both have the same initial slope corresponding to a sound speed given, assuming LL units $\hbar v_s \sim 2m = 1$, by Lieb's Eqs. (1.4) and (1.5), $v_s = 2 \frac{1}{2}$. Here is the LL ground state chemical potential $\mu = n^2 (3e - e)$, the dot denotes differentiation with respect to n , n is the 1D atom number density, $e(n) = n^2 (E_0 = N)$, E_0 is the LL ground state energy, and $c = n$ where c is the coupling constant in the LL interaction $2c (x_j, l)$ [25]. The type I and II curves as a function of momentum are shown in Lieb's Figs. 1-3. The corresponding phonon excitations from the antiferromagnetic phase ($c > c^0$) differ only by replacement of c by $c_{L,L} = c(1 - \ln 2) + c^0 \ln 2$.

Determination of spin wave energies is more delicate. The total energy is the expectation value of (2) in a space-spin product state $|\psi_{space spin}\rangle$ in which $|\psi_{space}\rangle$ is the ground state and $|\psi_{spin}\rangle$ is a one spin wave excited state.




FIG. 2: Solid line: $\epsilon_{\text{ferro}}(q) = (c^0 - c)n^3 e^0 (c < c^0)$. Dashed line: $\epsilon_{\text{antiferro}}(q) = (c - c^0)n^3 e^0 (c > c^0)$.

The spin wave energy enters only indirectly via the expectation values $\langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ which affect the LL coupling constant $c_{LL} = \frac{3c + c^0}{4} + (c - c^0) \langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle$. Consider first spin-wave excitations in the ferromagnetic phase ($c < c^0$), for which $\langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{4}$ for all j , and $c_{LL} = c$. In the excited state it is still independent of j , but now $\langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle = \langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle_0 + \langle \delta \rangle$ where $\langle \delta \rangle$ is the change due to the presence of a spin wave of wave number q , given by $\langle \delta \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ with $\epsilon_{\text{Heis}}(q)$ the Heisenberg spin wave energy. For $\hat{H}_{\text{Heis}} = \sum_j \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1}$ one has [27] $\epsilon_{\text{Heis}}(q) = 2(1 - \cos q)$ and $\langle \delta \rangle = 2N^{-1}(1 - \cos q)$, yielding a change $2N^{-1}(c - c^0)(1 - \cos q)$ in the effective LL coupling constant from the expectation value of Eq. (2). Then Taylor expansion about the ground state energy of \hat{H}_{LLH} yields the SFG spin wave energy $\epsilon_{\text{ferro}}(q) = 2(c^0 - c)(1 - \cos q)n^3 e^0(\cdot)$ where $e^0(\cdot)$ is the derivative of $e(\cdot) = E_0/n^2 N$, $\cdot = c/n$, and n is the 1D number density [14, 18]. $\epsilon_{\text{ferro}}(q)$ is quadratic for q , as is also the case for isospin waves in a 1D two-component Bose gas [19, 20]. The antiferromagnetic case is almost the same, except that the change in $\langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ from its ground state value $\frac{1}{4} \ln 2$ is now positive and derived from the antiferromagnetic spin wave energy [28] $\epsilon_{\text{Heis}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} j \sin qj$, yielding a SFG spin wave energy $\epsilon_{\text{antiferro}}(q) = \frac{1}{2} (c - c^0) j \sin qj n^3 e^0(\cdot)$ with $c_{LL} = c_{LL} = c(1 - \ln 2) + c^0 \ln 2$. This is linear for small q . These spin wave energies are shown in Fig. 2; the values of c and c^0 enter only in scale factors.

Conclusions: Ground and low excitation energies of the 1D spinor Fermi gas with both even and odd-wave scattering have been determined by an exact mapping to a "Lieb-Liniger-Heisenberg" model which was treated by a variational method combining Bethe ansatz solutions of the LL and Heisenberg models. The richness of ground state phases and excitations suggests that experimental study of this system could be quite fruitful.

This research was supported by U.S. Office of Naval Research grants N00014-03-1-0427 and N00014-06-1-0455

through subcontracts from the University of Southern California. I thank Maxim Oshani for helpful comments, and Anna Minguzzi for suggestions and for help with Fig. 1.

Electronic address: girardeau@optics.arizona.edu

- [1] M. Oshani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 938 (1998).
- [2] D.S. Petrov, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3745 (2000).
- [3] B.L. Tolra et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 190401 (2004); T. Stoferle, H.M. Ortiz, C. Schori, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, ibid. 92, 130403 (2004).
- [4] B. Paredes, et al., Nature 429, 277 (2004); T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D.S. Weiss, Science 305, 1125 (2004).
- [5] J.L. Roberts et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4211 (2001).
- [6] T. Bergeman, M. Moore, and M. Oshani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 163201 (2003).
- [7] B.E. Granger and D. Blum e, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 133202 (2004).
- [8] M. Girardeau, J. Math. Phys. 1, 516 (1960).
- [9] M.D. Girardeau, Phys. Rev. 139, B500 (1965), Secs. 2, 3, and 6.
- [10] T. Cheon and T. Shigehara, Phys. Lett. A 243, 111 (1998) and Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2536 (1999).
- [11] M.D. Girardeau and M. Oshani, cond-mat/0309396.
- [12] M.D. Girardeau and M. Oshani, Phys. Rev. A 70, 023608 (2004).
- [13] M.D. Girardeau, Hieu Nguyen, and M. Oshani, Optics Communications 243, 3 (2004).
- [14] Elliott H. Lieb and Werner Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963).
- [15] M.D. Girardeau and A. Minguzzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 080404 (2006).
- [16] A. Minguzzi and M.D. Girardeau, Phys. Rev. A 73, 063614 (2006).
- [17] See Sec. 3.3 of [13], particularly pp. 19 and 20.
- [18] The LL ground state energy and its first derivative are made available online by V. Dunjko and M. Oshani at <http://physics.usc.edu/eolshani/DIST/>.
- [19] Y.-Q. Li, S.-J. Gu, Z.-J. Ying, and U. Eckem, Europhys. Lett. 61, 368 (2003).
- [20] J.N. Fuchs, D.M. Gangardt, T. Keilmann, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 150402 (2005).
- [21] L. Hulthen, Arkiv. Math. Astron. Fys. 26A, No. 11 (1938).
- [22] Since the ground state is a momentum eigenstate (with eigenvalue zero), $\langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ is independent of j , so in the Heisenberg ground state $\langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle = E_{0,\text{Heis}}/N$.
- [23] M. Gaudin, Phys. Lett. 24A, 55 (1967).
- [24] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1312 (1967).
- [25] Elliott H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. 130, 1616 (1963).
- [26] The minus sign is because the spin wave decreases $\langle \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} \rangle$ from its maximum value $\frac{1}{4}$. The allowed values of q are $q = 2\pi n/N$ with $n = 0; 1; 2; \dots$.
- [27] Elliott H. Lieb and Daniel C. Mattis, Mathematical Physics in One Dimension (Academic Press, New York 1966), p. 459.
- [28] J. des Cloizeaux and J.J. Pearson, Phys. Rev. 128, 2131 (1962).