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#### Abstract

W e show how the rem otest sites of a nite lattice can be entangled, w th the am ount of entanglem ent exceeding that of a singlet, solely through the dynam ics of an ideal B ose gas in a special initial state in the lattice. W hen additional occupation num ber $m$ easurem ents are $m$ ade on the interm ediate lattice sites, then the am ount of entanglem ent and the length of the lattice separating the entangled sites can be signi cantly enhanced. T he entanglem ent generated by this dynam ical procedure is found to be higher than that for the ground state of an ideal B ose gas in the sam e lattice. A second dynam ical evolution is shown to verify the existence of these entangled states, as well entangle qubits belonging to well separated quantum registers.


PACS num bers:

O ne of the aim sin the eld of quantum inform ation is to set up entanglem ent betw een locations separated by som e distance, and in general, greater the separation and $m$ ore the am ount of this entanglem ent, the better. W hile photons are best for long distance entanglem ent distribution, for short distances (such as for linking quantum registers) other altematives are im portant [1]. In this context, the dynam ics of spin chains have been proposed for the distribution of entanglem ent over a distance of several lattice sites (For exam ple, Refs. [2, 3, 4] to m ention a very few ). H ow ever, as the num ber of possible states of a spin in a spin chain is low, the am ount of entangle$m$ ent that can be dynam ically generated and distributed through a single spin chain channel in a lim ited time is restricted. In this paper, as an altemative to spin chains, we suggest the use of the dynam ics of an ideal gas of m bosons in a lattice to generate and distribute entangle$m$ ent betw een its rem otest sites. $N$ ote that our dynam ics, when followed by certain occupation num ber $m$ easure$m$ ents, willcreate high but \nite" gim ensionalentangled states (w ith entanglem ent $\log _{2} \bar{M}+C$ onst.) which are qualitatively very di erent from the in nite dim ensionalG aussian entangled states which can be generated dynam ically through harm onic oscillator chains [5].

A nother motivation for the current work originates from the literature on entangling $B$ ose $E$ instein condensates (BECs) of gaseous atom $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}$ olecules in distinct traps ( $R$ efs. [6, 7, $8,[6,10]$ to $m$ ention a very few ) where usually sm all lattioes or continuous variable entangle$m$ ent are considered. C an we use lattice dynam ics to create entanglem ent betw een traps separated by several intervening lattice sites? H ere we show how to accom plish this $w$ thout either the physicalm ovem ent of traps or any localm odulation of the lattioe param eters.

W e consider a one dim ensional lattioe of N sites, where the aim is to establish a signi cant am ount of entangle$m$ ent betw een sites 1 and $N . W$ e choose $N$ to be odd and in itially place $M$ bosons in the $\frac{N+1}{2}$ th site of the lattice and keep all the other sites em pty. Physically this corresponds to a Fock state M i on the $\frac{\mathrm{N}+1}{2}$ th site of the lattice and a vacuum state in all the other sites. In term s


F IG. 1: O ur setup of creating entanglem ent betw een sites 1 and $N$ of a 1D lattice. O ne sim ply starts $w$ ith $M$ bosons in the $(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ th lattice sites and allow s dynam icalevolution of the system to create entanglem ent betw een the sites 1 and $N$. A lice and B ob who have access to the sites 1 and N can use the entanglem ent for quantum com $m$ unications of for linking distinct quantum registers.
of boson creation operators $a_{j}^{y}$ which create a boson in the jth lattice site the initial state is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad(0) i=\frac{\left(a_{\frac{N+1}{}}^{Y}\right)^{M}}{P^{2}}-j 0 i: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This special initial state greatly simpli es the calculations and can be regarded as a generalization of a single spin ip at the midpoint of a spin chain, which has been studied for entanglem ent generation [4]. W e assum e the bosons to be essentially non-interacting during the tim escale of our schem e i.e., their collection is an ideal B ose gas. T hen the H am iltonian of the system in the lattice is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=J_{j=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}}}\left(a_{j}^{\mathrm{y}} a_{j+1}+a_{j} a_{j+1}^{\mathrm{y}}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he state ofeach boson then evolves independently in the lattice (i.e., each boson evolves as if it w as hopping alone in an otherw ise em pty lattice) as $a_{\frac{N+1}{2}}^{Y}!{\underset{j=1}{N} f_{j}(t) a_{j}^{Y}}_{j}$ where $f_{j}(t)$ is the am plitude of the transfer of a single boson from the $\frac{N+1}{2}$ th site to the jth site in timet. T hus
the state of the $M$ boson system at tim et is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad(t) i=\frac{\left.\stackrel{P}{N}_{N}^{N} j_{1} f_{j}(t) a_{j}^{Y}\right)^{M}}{M!} j 0 i: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evolution am plitudes $f_{j}(t)$ are identical to that of a XY spin chain in the single excitation sector, and is given [3,11] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(t)=\frac{2}{N+1}_{k=1}^{X} f \sin \frac{k}{2} \sin \frac{k j}{N+1} g e^{i 2 J t \cos \frac{k}{N+1}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs.(3) and (4) give the com plete tim e evolution of the M boson state analytically.

O ur task is now to calculate how much entanglem ent exists betw een sites 1 and N in the state of Eq.(3) as a function of time and nd a time at which this entanglem ent is large. W e w ill thus have to calculate the reduced density $m$ atrix of the states of sites 1 and $N$ by tracing out the state of all the other sites. To accom plish that we adopt a strategy from Ref.[13], which evaluates the entanglem ent betw een two regions of a B ose$E$ instein condensate in its ground state in a single trap. The strategy is to de ne new creation/annihilation operators by com bining the operators $a_{j}^{Y}$ as $E_{p}^{y}=f_{1}(t)\left(a_{1}^{Y}+\right.$ $\left.a_{N}^{Y}\right)=P \overline{\left.2 \dot{f}_{1}(t)\right\}^{2}}$ and $\left.L^{y}=P \underset{j=2}{N} f_{j}(t) a_{j}^{y}=P \overline{1} 2 \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{1}(t)\right\}^{2}$, which are valid bosonic creation operators satisfying $\left.\left.\mathbb{E} ; \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=1 ; \mathbb{[} ; \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=1$. Noting that the symmetry of ourproblem im plies $f_{1}(t)=f_{N}(t)$, we expand the expression of $j$ ( $t$ )i in Eq. (3) in term $s$ of the above operators to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& r=0 \\
& j_{r} i_{1 N} j_{r} i_{2::: N} ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have substituted $j_{r} i_{1 N}=\frac{\left(\mathbb{E}^{y}\right)^{r}}{\bar{r}!} j 0 i$ and $j_{r} i_{2}:: N=\frac{P^{\left(L^{Y}\right)^{M}}}{(M)!} j$ ini. Noting that the set of states $\mathrm{fj} \mathrm{ri}_{2}$ :::N g represents an orthonom alset in the space of states of the sites 2 to $N \quad 1$, we have the the reduced density $m$ atrix of sites 1 and $N$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)_{1 N}=X_{r=0}^{X^{M}} P_{r}(t) j_{r} i^{i h} \dot{\bar{i}}_{N} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{r}(t)={ }^{M} C_{r}\left(2 \dot{\mathcal{F}}_{1}(t) f\right)^{r}\left(1 \quad 2 \dot{f}_{1}(t) f\right)^{M} \quad r$. W e can write $j_{r} i_{1 N}$ in term s of the occupation num bers as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{r} i_{1 N}=\frac{1}{2^{r=2}}{ }_{k=0}^{X^{r}} p{\overline{r_{k}} C_{k}}_{k i_{1} j r}^{k i_{N}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the only tim e dependence of the state $(t)_{1 N}$ stem $s$ from $f_{1}(t)$, $m$ axim izing which over a long period of tim $e$ is a pretty good strategy for obtaining a tim e th such
that $\left(t_{n}\right)_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$ is highly entangled. Them axim ization ensures that the proportion of the state $j \mathrm{~m} i_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$, which has them ost entanglem ent am ong the set of states $f j_{r} i_{1 N} g$, is the highest possible in $\left(t_{1}\right)_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$. Ideally, the lattioe dynam ics should be frozen at $t_{h}$, say by globally raising the barriers betw een all wells of the lattice, so that A lioe and Bob can utilize $\left(t_{h}\right)_{1 N}$ for quantum communications or linking quantum registers. For the sm alpest non-trivial lattioe $\left(\mathbb{N}=3\right.$ ) we know that $f_{1}(t)=1=\frac{1}{2}$ at $t=2 J \overline{2}$ from the XY m odel [11]. For this case, the state ${ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{i}_{13}$ is generated betw een sites 1 and 3 at $t=2 J^{\prime} \overline{2}$ whose entanglem ent can be $m$ ade to grow w ithout lim its by increasing M. For this special case, the advantage over spin $-1 / 2$ chains is $m$ ost evident, where only the case of $=1$ can be realized ( w th a single ip in the $m$ iddle of a chain of three spin $-1 / 2$ system $s$ ).

In general (for $N>3$ ), the state $(t)_{1 N}$ is a m ixed state ofa $(M+1) \quad(M+1)$ dim ensionalsystem, and the only readily com putable $m$ easure of its entanglem ent is the logarithm ic negativity $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ [12], which bounds the am ount of pure state entanglem ent extractable by local actions from the state $(t)_{1 N}$. It is the standard $m$ easure used when high dim ensionalm ixed entangled states arise [b]. The $E_{n}$ of $(t)_{1 N}$ for an appropriately chosen $t$ im $e t=t_{n}$ (see above) are plotted in F ig $\Omega$ as a function of N for di erent valuesofM. This gure clearly show sthat for $N$ as high as 21 , one can generate $m$ ore entanglem ent than that of a singlet ( $E_{n}=1$ for a singlet). For such $m$ odest lengths, thus one generates $m$ ore entanglem ent betw een the ends of a lattice by using a $\mathrm{M}>1$ boson gas than ever possible with a spin $-1 / 2$ chain, which is the $M=1$ case, also plotted in F ig 2 . T he advantages of increasing $M$ dim inish, though, as one increases $N$. For $N=51$, we see that though there is som e advantage of high boson num ber $(M=50)$ over the spin chain $(M=1)$ case, this advantage does not increase by increasing $M$ (for exam ple, the $M=5$ and 50 plots are nearly coincident).

N ext, we slightly m odify our schem e and after the dynam icalevolution till $t_{h}$, wem easure the total num ber of bosons in the interm ediate sites (sites 2 to $N \quad 1) . W$ ith probability $P_{r}$, wew ill nd this num berto be M $r$, and when we do so, wew illgenerate the state $j r i_{1 N}$ between sites 1 and $N$. N ote that $j_{r} i_{1 N}$ is created betw een sites 1 and $N$ whenever a \total" of $M \quad r$ bosons is found in the rem aining sites irrespective of the distribution of these $M \quad r$ bosons am ong the sites. The am ount of entanglem ent in the pure state $j_{r} i_{1 N}$ is given by its von $N$ eum ann entropy of entanglem ent $E_{v}\left(j_{r} i_{1 N}\right)=$
$\operatorname{Tr}\left(1 \log _{2} 1\right)$, where $1=\operatorname{Tr} r_{N}\left(j_{r} \operatorname{inh}_{r} \dot{\operatorname{j}}_{N}\right)$, which equals the quantity ofentanglem ent that can be obtained as singlets (the $m$ ost usefiul form, say for their use as a resource for perfect teleportation of qubits from A lige to B ob) from the state by localactions and classicalcom m u nications alone. T hus the ${ }_{p}$ average von $N$ eum ann entropy of entanglem ent $h E_{v} i=\quad{ }_{r} P_{r} E_{v}\left(j r i i_{1 N}\right)$ over all possible $m$ easurem ent outcom es is operationally the most
usefiulm easure of the entanglem ent betw een sites 1 and N in ourm odi ed scheme. This quantity has been plotted in $F$ ig 3 for various $M$ for large lengths of lattioe up to $\mathrm{N}=1001 . \mathrm{We}$ nd that for $\mathrm{M}=1000$, entanglem ent nearly equalto that of 4 singlets is generated across a distance of 1001 lattice sites, and this is m ore than 70 tim es the am ount possible w ith a spin- $1 / 2$ chain $(M=1)$ of sam e length. For high $N$ and $M$, we can represent $P_{r}$ by a Poisson distribution and know that $f_{1}(t) \quad 1: 7=\mathrm{N}^{1=3}$ at t $\quad\left(N+0: 81 N^{1=3}\right)=4 \mathrm{~J}[2,11]$. U sing these, we have the analytic expression $\mathrm{hE}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{i} \quad \log _{2} f 1: 7 \quad \overline{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{N}^{1=3} \mathrm{~g}$, whose $t w i t h$ data gets better as we proceed from the $M=10$ to the $M=1000$ plot at high N . For every order ofm agnitude increase ofM we thus expect to gain an entanglem ent equalling that of $\log _{2} \overline{10}=1: 66$ singlets, which is con m ed by the di erences in he i betw een the $M=100$ and 1000 plots at high $N$ ( F ig (3). So for obtaining entanglem ent exceeding that of 10 singlets across a distance of 1000 lattioe sites we require M $10^{7}$.

N ow we proceed to com pare the am ount of entangle$m$ ent betw een sites 1 and $N$ generated by the above schem esw ith that obtainable from the ground state of an ideal B ose gas in the sam e lattice (to check whether we have gained from dynam ics). G round state entanglem ent betw een distinct regions of a Bose E instein condensate in a single trap has already been investigated [13, 14]. In ouf lattioe setting, the ground state of $H$ is sim ply $\left(p_{\bar{N}}^{1}{ }_{j=1}^{N} a_{j}^{Y}\right)^{M} j 0 i=\bar{M}$ !. It thussu ces to replace $f_{1}(t)$ in the expression of $P_{r}(t) w$ ith $1=P \bar{N}$ to change from the dynam ical state to the ground state. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ betw een sites 1 and N for the ground state is plotted using asterisks in F ig 2 as a function of N for $\mathrm{M}=50$. We nd that the entanglem ent of sites 1 and $N$ is nearly vanishing for $\mathrm{N} \quad 25$, and thus there is a $m$ arked advantage of using dynam ics as opposed to the ground state. O ne m ay also how ever, $m$ easure the occupation num bers of sites 2 to N of the gropnd state to obtain an average entanglem ent $h E_{v i} \quad \log _{2} \quad \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{N}$ betw een sites 1 and N (for high M and N$)$. Even this is lower than that of our second schem e by $\log _{2} f 1: 7 \mathrm{~N}{ }^{1=6} \mathrm{~g}$.

We now proceed to discuss a m ethod to verify the pure entangled states $j_{r} i_{1 N}$ created through our second scheme. O ne can easily verify the num ber correlations (the fact that if site 1 has $k$ bosons, then the site $N$ has $r$ b bosons) in $j_{r} i_{1 N}$ by occupation num ber $m$ easurem ents. Then the only task rem aining is to verify the coherence between the term $\mathrm{s} j \mathrm{i}_{1} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}}$ in $j r i_{1 N}$. If we apply a $H$ am iltonian $H_{v}=a_{1}^{y} a_{1}$ for a xed tim $e t$ to site 1 then the above coherence leads to $j_{r} i_{1 N}$ becom ing $j_{r}^{0} i_{1 N}=\left(e^{i} a_{1}^{y}+a_{N}^{Y}\right)^{r} j 0 i=\overline{2^{r} r!}$ where
$=\quad t_{0} . T$ he ideal Bose gas is then allowed to evolve again in the lattice (assum ing the lattice sites 2 to $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ have been em ptied due to or after the earlier occupation num berm easurem ents), which results in its state becom ing $j{ }^{0}(t) i_{1:: N}=\left(\begin{array}{c}N \\ j=1\end{array} f^{i} g_{1 j}(t)+g_{N j}(t) g a_{j}^{y}\right)^{r} j 0 i=\frac{p}{2^{r} r!}$


FIG. 2: Entanglem ent (log negativity) of sites 1 and $N$ from dynam ics at an optim altim e for various $N$ and $M$, and for the ground state.
$w$ here $g_{l j}$ are am plitudes for a boson going from the lth site to the $j$ th site. From sym $m$ etry and the form ulae for evolution in XY chain, we have $g_{1 \frac{N+1}{2}}(t)=g_{N} \frac{N+1}{2}(t)=$ $f_{1}(t)$. Thus the probability of nding the site $\frac{N^{2}+1}{2}$ occupied can be varied from 1 (1 $2 \dot{\mathscr{f}}_{1}(t)$ 予 $)^{r}$ for $=0$, to 0 for $=$ (as the term $\frac{d_{\frac{\mathrm{v}+1}{}}^{\mathrm{y}}}{}$ in $j{ }^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}_{1: \text { :N }}$ vanishes for $=$ ). This variation w ith , whose range increases $w$ th increasing $r$ and which can be further increased by $m$ axim izing $\dot{f}_{1}(t) j$, enables us to verify the coherence betw een the term $s \mathrm{ki}_{1} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{N}}$ in $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{i}_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$. O neway to verify them ixed state $(t)_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$ produced by our rst schem ewill be to go through our second schem e and check that pure states $j_{r} i_{1 N}$ are produced $w$ th probabilities $P_{r}$.

N ext, we provide an exam ple of linking qubits A and $B$ of distant quantum registers by $m$ aking them interact $w$ ith sites 1 and $N$ respectively for a time $t_{q}$, $w$ hen these sites are in the state $j_{r} i_{1 N}$. The initial state of the qubits is $\left(j 0 i_{A}+j 1 i_{A}\right) \quad\left(j 0 i_{B}+j 1 i_{B}\right)=2$ and the interaction $H$ am iltonian is $H_{q}=g\left({ }_{z}^{A} a_{1}^{y} a_{1}+\right.$ ${ }_{z}^{B} a_{N}^{Y} a_{N}$ ) in which ${ }_{z}^{A}$ and ${ }_{z}^{B}$ are Pauli operators of the qubits. For $9 t_{q}=$, the state of the system is $\left.f\left(j 0 i_{A} j 0 i_{B}+(1)^{r} j\right] i_{A} j 1 i_{B}\right) \quad\left(a_{1}^{Y}+a_{P}^{a_{N}}\right)^{r} j 0 i+\left(j 0 i_{A} j 1 i_{B}+\right.$ ( 1$)^{r} j-1 i_{A} j 0 i_{B}$ ) ( $\left.a_{1}^{Y} \quad a_{N}^{Y}\right)^{r} j 0 i g=\overline{2^{r+2} r!}$. A $s$ in the previous paragraph, now the state of the ideal Bose gas is again allowed to evolve in the lattioe and after som e tim e the presence of any boson the $(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ th site is $m$ easured. If this site is found occupied, then, rem em bering the logic of the last paragraph, the gas $m$ ust have been in the state $\left(a_{1}^{y}+a_{N}^{y}\right)^{r}-j 0 i=\overline{2^{r} r!}$, which projects the qubits to the maxim ally entangled state $j 0 i_{A} j 0 i_{B}+(1)^{r} \mathcal{H} i_{A} j-1 i_{B}$. The probability for this is $1=2$. O therw ise (also w ith probability $1=2$ ), a state whose -


FIG. 3: A verage entanglem ent (von $N$ eum ann entropy) of sites 1 and $N$ from dynam ics follow ed by $m$ easurem ents on the interm ediate lattice sites for various $N$ and $M$. T he plot w ith asterisks denotes the sam e for the ground state for $M=1000$.
delity with $j 0 i_{A} \mathcal{H} i_{B}+(1)^{r} \mathcal{H} i_{A} j 0 i_{B}$ is 1 (1 $\left.\left.2 \dot{f}_{1}(t)\right)^{\rho}\right)^{r}$ is obtained (this delity can bem axim ized by $m$ axim izing $\dot{F}_{1}(t) j$ and gets better $w$ ith increasing $r$ ). This schem e for entangling qubits of distinct registers is just an exam ple. The values of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{i}$ found earlier im ply that in principle one should be able to extract $m$ any $m$ ore singlets from $j_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{i}_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$ by local actions alone.

BECs of dihute atom ic gases in optical lattioes form a test ground for our protocols. Fock states can be prepared by M ott transitions [15], the interactions needed for that can be sw itched o by a Feshbach resonance [16] to obtain an ideal Bose gas (otherw ise, one sim ply has to go betw een regim es were the on-site repulsion $\mathrm{U} \gg \mathrm{J} \neq \mathrm{M}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{U} \ll \mathrm{J} \neq \mathrm{M}^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ by the globalm odulation of lattioe potentials). A ccurate $m$ easurem ent of the total num ber ofbosons in sites 2 to $N \quad 1$ is possible either by using $m$ etastable atom $s$ [17] or potentially through a second M ott transition involving these sites only (note that individualsite occupation num bers are not required). For the veri cation part, only whether the $(\mathbb{N}+1)=2$ th site is occupied or not need to be ascertained, and atom ic
uorescence in extemal elds can potentially be used. By placing all atom $s$ in a known $m$ agnetic state when required (say by a laser), each site can be im parted a $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent proportionalto its occupation num ber. This, and a localm agnetic eld at site 1 can be used to realize $H_{v}$. This $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent also enables $m$ agnetic m om ent based register qubits (atom ic or solid state) to interact w ith sites 1 and N through $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$. If im parting the atom $\mathrm{s} w$ th a $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent autom atically $m$ ake $U \gg J=\mathrm{M}^{2}$ then we have to ensure that $U t_{v}$ and $U t_{q}$ are integralm ultiples of 2 . A $n$ altemative im plem entation
is nano-oscillators arrays [b] w hen resonantly coupled to each other. They can be coupled to Cooper-pair box qubits to both create and $m$ easure Fock states [18], and to Josephson qubits through a Jaynes-C um $m$ ings $m$ odel [19] whose o resonant lim it can im plem ent $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{q}}$. C oupled cavities in photonic crystals, where Fock state preparation and $m$ easurem ents could be perform ed $w$ ith dopant atom $s$ is another potential im plem entation [20].
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