

# Search for Superfluidity in Solid Hydrogen

A. C. Clark,\* X. Lin, and M. H. W. Chan

*Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802*

(Dated: March 23, 2022)

A torsional oscillator study of solid para-hydrogen has been carried out down to 20 mK in search for evidence of superfluidity. This work was inspired by the observation of the supersolid phase in solid  $^4\text{He}$ . We found evidence of a possible phase transition, marked by an abrupt increase in the resonant period of oscillation and onset of extremely long relaxation times as the temperature was raised above 60 mK. The change in the period for para-hydrogen, in contrast to solid  $^4\text{He}$ , is not related to superfluidity as it fails a crucial test showing that it is not a consequence of irrotational flow. The long relaxation times observed suggest the effect is related to the motion of residual ortho-hydrogen molecules in the solid.

PACS numbers: 66.35.+a, 66.30.-h, 67.80.-s, 67.80.Mg

A supersolid is a solid that possesses an element of superfluidity. The existence of supersolid  $^4\text{He}$  was first suggested 67 years ago [1], while possible underlying mechanisms were discussed 30 years later [2]. Experimental evidence of supersolid  $^4\text{He}$  was reported by Kim and Chan (KC) only two years ago in a series of torsional oscillator experiments on bulk solid helium [3, 4] and solid helium confined in porous media [5, 6]. KC demonstrated that the torsional oscillator is an ideal technique for the detection of solid  $^4\text{He}$  acquiring non-classical rotational inertia (NCRI) [7]. NCRI is indicated by a drop in the resonant period of the oscillator below the transition temperature. The decrement in the period is proportional to the supersolid fraction in the limit of low oscillation speed [3]. These observations have since been replicated in three other laboratories [8]. This prompted us to address the question of whether or not the supersolid phase is present in any system other than  $^4\text{He}$ .

Helium is the most quantum mechanical solid of all the elements. This is due to the combination of large zero-point energy of individual atoms and weak attractive interactions among them. A measure of the quantum nature of a substance in the condensed phases, i.e. liquid or solid, is given by the de Boer parameter,  $\Lambda = h/\sigma\sqrt{m\epsilon}$ , where  $\sigma$  and  $\epsilon$  are fitting parameters for the inter-particle potential energy [9]. Only helium and hydrogen isotopes have  $\Lambda$  greater than unity ( $\Lambda_{3\text{He}} = 3.0$ ,  $\Lambda_{4\text{He}} = 2.6$ ,  $\Lambda_{\text{H}_2} = 1.7$ ,  $\Lambda_{\text{HD}} = 1.4$ ,  $\Lambda_{\text{D}_2} = 1.2$ ). Therefore  $\text{H}_2$ , after  $^4\text{He}$ , is the most likely system to exhibit superfluidity. Indeed the zero-point motion of solid  $\text{H}_2$  at 5.4 K under zero pressure is 18% of the nearest neighbor distance [10], while in low density solid  $^4\text{He}$  it is 26% [11]. Both of these values are significantly higher than the Lindemann (melting) criterion of approximately 10% for classical solids. The fact that the supersolid phase in  $^4\text{He}$  persists up to at least 135 bar [4], where it is expected to be less quantum mechanical than at lower pressures, was further incentive to investigate solid  $\text{H}_2$ .

We have carried out a series of torsional oscillator measurements on solid hydrogen. Our experimental configu-

ration is similar to that used by KC [3]. A sample cell is suspended from the cooling stage of a  $^3\text{He}$ - $^4\text{He}$  dilution refrigerator by an annealed beryllium-copper torsion rod. The rod provides a restoring force to keep the cell in torsional motion and doubles as a filling line to the cell. The  $\text{H}_2$  space in the cell is comprised of an open annulus and a central filling line, which are connected by three channels that span the diameter of the cell. The annulus has an average radius, width, and height of 6.5 mm, 2.3 mm, and 4.8 mm, respectively. The radial channels and filling line have a diameter of 1 mm, a combined volume that constitutes 7% of the total cell volume, and contribute about 2% of the rotational inertia of all the  $\text{H}_2$  in the cell. Electrodes on the exterior of the torsion cell are used to capacitively drive and detect oscillatory motion. The resonant period ( $\tau_0$ ) of the oscillator, is determined by the moment of inertia ( $I$ ) of the torsion cell and the torsion spring constant ( $\kappa$ ) of the rod, such that  $\tau_0 = 2\pi\sqrt{I/\kappa}$ . The mechanical quality factor ( $Q$ ) of the oscillator at low temperature is  $1.1 \times 10^6$ , which allows for the detection of changes in  $\tau_0$  of one part in  $10^7$ .

Solid  $\text{H}_2$  samples were grown at saturated vapor pressure from high purity  $\text{H}_2$  gas containing very low levels of isotopic impurities: less than 10 ppm of both hydrogen deuteride (HD) and deuterium ( $\text{D}_2$ ). However, for pure  $\text{H}_2$  crystals there exist impurities of a second kind. The two lowest rotational energy states of each molecule are the para- (p- $\text{H}_2$ ) and ortho- (o- $\text{H}_2$ ) states, having angular momentum  $J = 0$  and  $J = 1$ , respectively. The equilibrium concentrations of p- $\text{H}_2$  ( $1 - x$ ) and o- $\text{H}_2$  ( $x$ ) at 300 K are respectively 0.25 and 0.75. At temperatures ( $T$ ) below 4 K the equilibrium value of  $x$  is approximately zero and the solid is essentially pure p- $\text{H}_2$ . However, the conversion rate from o- $\text{H}_2$  to p- $\text{H}_2$  in the solid is very slow for  $T > 500$  mK (eg. about three weeks of conversion are required for  $x$  to drop from 0.01 to 0.009). This rate can be increased substantially by keeping  $\text{H}_2$  in the liquid phase (with  $T > 13.8$  K, the triple point temperature) in the presence of a high surface area, magnetic material. In this way we attain  $x < 0.01$ . This process is irreversible

so that the average  $x$  of each sample can only decrease with time. Concentrations are determined by keeping the mixing chamber at 20 mK and measuring the temperature difference between the torsion cell and mixing chamber. Based on the temperature difference and the thermal conductance of the Be-Cu torsion rod, we can infer the amount of o-p conversion heat being released and hence  $x$  within the  $H_2$  sample. The smallest measurable temperature gradient corresponds to  $x = 0.01$ . All  $H_2$  samples discussed in this work have  $x < 0.01$  (i.e. no observed gradient). To limit the uncertainty in the absolute value of  $x$ , we measured the thermal conductivity of our Be-Cu torsion rod and found good agreement with extrapolated values from high temperature ( $T > 1$  K) data previously reported [12].

In Fig. 1a we have plotted the resonant period as a function of temperature for several samples. The empty cell period at 1 K ( $\tau_{1K}$ ) is 709,700 ns, which decreases by only 3 ns, or five parts in  $10^6$ , as the cell is cooled to 20 mK. This change is determined by the  $T$ -dependence, if any, of  $I$  and  $\kappa$ . Based on earlier work [13], the small change in  $\tau_0$  depicted in Fig. 1a is due to small changes in  $I$  and/or  $\kappa$  rather than large, nearly compensating changes of each. For the  $H_2$  sample in Fig. 1, the period increases from the empty cell value by 2682 ns upon solidification, indicating that the cell is 88% full. The mass loading due to the heavier and denser isotope, HD, is 4675 ns, corresponding to 91% filling. Each data set has been shifted vertically for easy comparison. The corresponding values of  $\tau_{1K}$  for each are noted in the figure. There is a slight difference in the slope of each curve shown. This modification of the “background” when the sample is introduced into the cell is always observed in torsional oscillator studies of liquid helium films [13], as well as in the solid helium work [3, 5].

The most striking feature in the data of Fig. 1a is the clear difference between  $H_2$  and HD. The abrupt drop in  $\tau_0$  below 180 mK for the cell containing  $H_2$  is absent for HD. This discrepancy is similar to that found between  $^4\text{He}$  and  $^3\text{He}$ , and indicates that the drop in the period could be a signature of *NCRI*, as it is seen only in bosonic solids. The net change in  $\tau_0$  is obtained by subtracting the measured period from values extrapolated from high temperature, as indicated in the figure. While the period drop is more than an order of magnitude smaller than that found in solid  $^4\text{He}$  in a similar geometry [3], its temperature dependence is similar [Fig. 1b]. The change in  $\tau_0$  first becomes measurable below 180 mK, and increases first gradually with decreasing  $T$  and then much more rapidly prior to saturation near 60 mK. This similarity in the  $T$ -dependence also suggests that the period drop in  $H_2$  could be a signature of *NCRI*.

However, there are four puzzling features in the  $H_2$  data. First, for solid  $^4\text{He}$  the drop in the period is accompanied by a minimum in the amplitude of oscillation at the temperature where  $\tau_0$  changes most rapidly [3].

There is no such minimum in oscillation amplitude near the transition region in the  $H_2$  experiment. Second, for  $^4\text{He}$  the measured *NCRIF* decreases once the maximum oscillation speed of the sample exceeds  $10 \mu\text{m/s}$  [3]. This speed has been interpreted as the critical velocity of superflow. There is no evidence of a critical velocity in solid  $H_2$ . We found the period drop to be independent of oscillation speed up to  $500 \mu\text{m/s}$ , the maximum within the linear response range of the oscillator.

Third, the apparent supersolid onset temperature (180 mK) and saturation temperature (60 mK) are remarkably close to the 230 mK and 50 mK values found for solid  $^4\text{He}$  [3]. The similarity in these characteristic temperatures is inconsistent with the substantial difference in  $\Lambda_{4\text{He}}$  and  $\Lambda_{H_2}$ . For solid  $^4\text{He}$  in Vycor glass, very low  $^3\text{He}$  impurity levels can significantly enhance the onset temperature [5]. While the  $T_C$  for a sample containing 0.2 ppm of  $^3\text{He}$  is about 175 mK, a sample with 10 ppm has an onset temperature around 370 mK. This effect has also been observed in bulk  $^4\text{He}$  [14], thus a small amount of HD impurities may alter  $T_C$  for solid  $H_2$ . However, we do not know the exact HD impurity level in our solid  $H_2$  samples. The reason is that HD is heavier than  $H_2$  and hence preferentially adsorbs onto surfaces, which are




FIG. 1: **a.)**  $T$ -dependence of the resonant period for both the “open” and “blocked” cells. All of the data shown were obtained at maximum rim velocities less than  $40 \mu\text{m/s}$ . For the open annulus, data is plotted for the empty cell, cell containing HD, and cell containing  $H_2$ .  $H_2$  data taken using the blocked cell exhibits the same qualitative features, including long relaxation times. **b.)**  $T$ -dependence of the absolute period change from the high- $T$  background, normalized by the total  $H_2$  mass loading (“*NCRIF*,” the apparent *NCRI* fraction). The  $T$ -dependence is similar to that observed in solid  $^4\text{He}$ . However, the same effect is seen in the blocked cell.

abundant in the  $\text{H}_2$  gas handling system leading into the torsion cell. Specifically, the capillary system contains a chamber filled with a high surface area,  $\text{FeO}(\text{OH})$  compound. Thus, we expect that the actual HD concentration in each sample is much less than the quoted value of 10 ppm. Since we do not know with certainty the exact HD concentration we cannot validate the 180 mK onset and 60 mK saturation temperatures of *NCRI*.

The final and most unusual feature of the  $\text{H}_2$  data, compared to  $^4\text{He}$ , is the exceedingly long relaxation time ( $t$ ) of the period reading [Fig. 2]. It is expected that the oscillator comes into thermal and mechanical equilibrium in the following manner. The  $e^{-1}$  time constant required for thermal equilibration increases with decreasing  $T$  and typically reaches 15 minutes below 50 mK. Since the mechanical  $Q$  is on the order of  $10^6$ , the necessary time to allow for mechanical equilibrium is about 10 minutes. Therefore it is necessary to wait at least 75 minutes after each temperature step before collecting  $\tau_0$  data. This protocol was used in the solid helium experiments to ensure reproducible data upon warming and cooling. However, in gathering data on  $\text{H}_2$  we observe  $t$ -values as long as 36 hours just above 60 mK. There is no such evidence of relaxation in the HD sample or for the empty cell, for which  $\tau_0$  equilibrates within 75 minutes at all  $T$ . We will return to the issue of  $t$ -values below.

We have carried out a crucial control experiment to ascertain whether the observed effect in solid  $\text{H}_2$  is a signature of superfluidity. We constructed another sample cell, identical to the first except for the addition of a barrier at one point in the annulus. Its purpose is to make the path for the irrotational superflow much more tortuous, leading to a predictable decrement in *NCRI* [15, 16], thus reducing the drop in the period. This control experiment provides a convincing case for the supersolid phase of  $^4\text{He}$ . It was found that when the annulus was blocked, the drop in  $\tau_0$  was reduced by a factor of 70 from the open annulus value [3]. This reduction is in agreement with what is expected for irrotational superflow [16]. The result of this control experiment for solid  $\text{H}_2$  is plotted alongside the data of the “open annulus cell” in Fig. 1a. The period drop below 180 mK is still present for the “blocked annulus cell.” In fact, we find the magnitude is larger in the latter. One possible reason for this is that, while each sample has  $x < 0.01$ , the exact ortho-concentrations of the two samples may differ. Regardless, the result of the control experiment clearly indicates that the  $\text{H}_2$  result is completely different from that found in solid  $^4\text{He}$  and is not related to superfluidity. We note that, as in the open cell, long relaxation times are observed just above the saturation temperature of 60 mK.

Long equilibration times are typical in studies of o- $\text{H}_2$  diffusion in solid p- $\text{H}_2$  [17]. In the dilute limit where  $x$  is less than several percent, isolated o- $\text{H}_2$  molecules (singles) cluster together below 1 K even though thermally activated diffusion is severely limited. The o- $\text{H}_2$  impuri-

ties effectively propagate throughout the lattice via the transferral of  $J$  from molecule to molecule [18], rather than by particle exchange. The “equilibrium” state of the solid thus involves a temperature dependent distribution of singles, pairs (two neighboring o- $\text{H}_2$  molecules) and larger clusters of o- $\text{H}_2$ . At several kelvin, all of the o- $\text{H}_2$  molecules are randomly distributed as singles. As the temperature is lowered the concentration of isolated singles decreases in favor of the formation of pairs and larger clusters. Since o- $\text{H}_2$  and p- $\text{H}_2$  have different densities, in the limit of zero temperature the system prefers to be in a macroscopically phase-separated state.

Clustering was first observed by monitoring the time evolution of the nuclear magnetic resonance (nmr) absorption spectra for o- $\text{H}_2$  singles and pairs after rapid warming or cooling of solid  $\text{H}_2$  crystals [19]. Representative growth (above 1 K) and decay (below 1 K) rates of the singles spectrum [20] are presented in Fig. 2. The singles decay rate exhibits a non-monotonic  $T$ -dependence, decreasing dramatically between 300 mK and 100 mK. Well below 100 mK the decay of singles is on a time scale of minutes rather than hours, rendering the measurement impossible. The pair spectrum also shows an enhancement in its decay rate at low  $T$  but time constants are at least one order of magnitude longer, eg. on the order of 10 hours at 25 mK [21]. Also included in Fig. 2 are relaxation times obtained from simultaneous thermal conductivity measurements [20]. The time evolution of the thermal conductivity depends strongly on  $T$ . For  $T > 300$  mK the time dependence is described by a simple exponential. However, contrary to the singles decay rate, a sum of two exponentials best fits the conductivity data below 300 mK. This difference may be related to the presence of o- $\text{H}_2$  clusters at lower temperature. Since it is difficult to resolve the spectra of triples or larger clusters [22], nmr studies cannot quantify the degree of mobility of larger o- $\text{H}_2$  clusters that form at low  $T$ . Their presence, however, has been detected for solid  $\text{H}_2$  crystals held at 25 mK for only 24 hours [23].

Our torsional oscillator data are obtained using the following protocol. Upon cooling  $\text{H}_2$  samples to 20 mK, one to two weeks are allowed for equilibration, during which time  $\tau_0$  drops smoothly until finally stabilizing to within 0.05 ns, our limiting resolution. We note that the rate of irreversible o-p conversion is enhanced for  $T < 200$  mK since local  $x$ -values are higher in clustered regions [24]. However, upon stabilizing at 20 mK, we observe no long term drift in the  $\tau_0$ -reading. After complete equilibration the temperature is raised in successive steps, for each of which  $\tau_0$  is measured as a function of time [Fig. 2 inset]. We find for all samples that  $\tau_0$  equilibrates quickly for any  $T < 60$  mK. However, further increase in  $T$  leads to extremely slow relaxation. Near and above 180 mK we find that  $t$  shortens, returning to a value of about 15 minutes, the usual  $e^{-1}$  equilibration time. When the temperature sweep is complete the system is returned




FIG. 2:  $T$ -dependence of measured relaxation times, including data from the literature [20]. The sharp increase in  $t$  coincides with the observed period shift. At  $T \sim 180$  mK, a minimum in  $t$  is observed for both the torsional oscillator and thermal conductivity measurements. Inset: Time dependence of  $\tau_0$  at several different temperatures. The curves have been shifted vertically for clarity. The longest relaxation times are observed at  $T \sim 80$  mK.

to 20 mK and allowed to re-equilibrate. Our measured  $t$ -values are presented in Fig. 2.

Associating the motion of o- $H_2$  impurities with the observed time dependence of  $\tau_0$  in the present work explains the absence of this unusually long relaxation in HD,  $^4\text{He}$ , and  $^3\text{He}$ . In our experiment we allow clustering to ensue for up to two weeks at 20 mK. Based on measured decay times in the literature, no isolated o- $H_2$  singles or pairs remain in our samples at the time we begin each temperature sweep. Thus, we are able to follow the unclustering process upon gradual warming of the torsion cell.

The moment of inertia and hence  $\tau_0$  are extremely sensitive to the radial density profile of the sample. Changes in the period require the rearrangement of o- $H_2$  within the torsion cell in such a way as to reduce or enhance the density at large radii. We think the most likely explanation for the observed drop in  $\tau_0$  below 180 mK is the formation of large clusters of nearly pure o- $H_2$  in the center of the cell (fill line and radial channels), increasing the purity of p- $H_2$  in the annulus. Since the density of the o- $H_2$  is 1.7% higher than that of p- $H_2$ , the clustering of o- $H_2$  toward the center has the net effect of reducing  $I$ . An extreme case is where all of the o- $H_2$  molecules cluster to the center of the torsion cell (the filling line). In this situation, the reduction of  $\tau_0$  is  $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$  of the total  $H_2$  mass loading. This is on the same order of our observations [Fig. 1], i.e.  $5.5 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $9.0 \times 10^{-4}$  in the open and blocked cells, respectively. It is known that solid  $H_2$  does not wet many metal substrates [25]. If the

contact angle of the sample to the cell walls is different for the mixed and phase-separated phases (eg. p- $H_2$ ),  $I$  will show a change upon phase separation. This is one possible explanation for larger than expected change in  $\tau_0$  that we observe.

In conclusion, a resonant period shift in our torsional oscillator containing solid  $H_2$  is observed. While the phenomenon shares some features with the supersolid transition in  $^4\text{He}$ , there are several dissimilarities. Most notable is the presence of the period drop in both the open and blocked cells, proving that the effect is not related to superfluidity. We believe the abrupt rise in  $\tau_0$  accompanied by a sudden increase in  $t$  near 60 mK is consistent with a transition from a phase-separated configuration to one that is un-clustered at high temperature.

We thank E. Kim and W. N. Hardy for their advice. Special thanks to H. Meyer for many enlightening discussions. Support comes from NSF Grant DMR 0207071.

---

\* Electronic address: bg20339@hotmail.com

- [1] M. Wolfke, Ann. Acad. Sci. techn. Varsovie **6**, 14 (1939).
- [2] A. F. Andreev and I. M. Lifshitz, Sov. Phys. JETP **29**, 1107 (1969); G. V. Chester, Phys. Rev. A **2**, 256 (1970).
- [3] E. Kim and M. H. W. Chan, Science **305**, 1941 (2004).
- [4] E. Kim and M. H. W. Chan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 115302 (2006).
- [5] E. Kim and M. H. W. Chan, Nature **427**, 225 (2004).
- [6] E. Kim and M. H. W. Chan, J. Low Temp. Phys. **138**, 859 (2005).
- [7] A. J. Leggett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25**, 1543 (1970).
- [8] A. S. C. Rittner and J. D. Reppy, cond-mat 0604528 (2006); M. Kondo *et al.*, cond-mat 0607032 (2006); A. Penzyliev and M. Kubota, private communication.
- [9] J. de Boer, Physica **14**, 139 (1948).
- [10] M. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. B **7**, 1626 (1973).
- [11] C. A. Burns and E. D. Isaacs, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 5767 (1997).
- [12] V. Gröger and F. Stangler, Z. Metallkd. **72**, 487 (1981).
- [13] G. Agnolet, D. F. McQueeney, and J. D. Reppy, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 8934 (1989).
- [14] E. Kim and M. H. W. Chan, private communication.
- [15] A. L. Fetter, J. Low Temp. Phys. **16**, 533 (1974).
- [16] E. J. Mueller, private communication (2005).
- [17] H. Meyer, Can. J. Phys. **65**, 1453 (1987); Low Temp. Phys. **24**, 381 (1998).
- [18] R. Oyarzun and J. Van Kranendonk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26**, 646 (1971).
- [19] L. I. Amstutz, J. R. Thompson, and H. Meyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21**, 1175 (1968).
- [20] X. Li, D. Clarkson, and H. Meyer, J. Low Temp. Phys. **78**, 335 (1990).
- [21] S. Washburn, R. Schweizer, and H. Meyer, J. Low Temp. Phys. **40**, 187 (1980).
- [22] A. B. Harris *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **175**, 603 (1968).
- [23] R. Schweizer *et al.*, J. Low Temp. Phys. **37**, 309 (1979).
- [24] R. Schweizer *et al.*, J. Low Temp. Phys. **37**, 289 (1979).
- [25] M. Sohaili, J. Klier, and P. Leiderer, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **17**, S415 (2005).