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#### Abstract

This paper represents a detailed instruction $m$ anual for constructing the Landau expansion for $m$ agnetoelectric coupling in incom $m$ ensurate ferroelectric $m$ agnets. The rst step is to describe the $m$ agnetic ordering in term $s$ of sym $m$ etry adapted coordinates which serve as com plex vahued $m$ agnetic order param eters whose transform ation properties are displayed. In so doing we use the previously proposed technique to exploit inversion sym $m$ etry, since this sym $m$ etry had been universally overlooked. H aving order param eters of known sym m etry which describe the magnetic ordering, we are able to construct the trilinear interaction which couples incom m ensurate m agnetic order to the uniform polarization in order to treat $m$ any of the $m$ ultiferroic system $s$ so far investigated. The role of this theory in com parison to m icroscopic m odels is discussed.


PACS num bers: $75.25 .+z, 75.10 \mathrm{Jm}, 75.40 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{b}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been increasing interest in the interaction betw een $m$ agnetic and electric degrees of freedom ${ }^{11} \mathrm{M}$ uch interest has centered on a fam ily of m ultiferroics which display a phase transition in which uniform ferroelectric order appears sim ultaneously w ith incom $m$ ensurate $m$ agnetic ordering. Early exam ples of such a system whose ferroelectric behavior and m agnetic structure have been thorough $\mathrm{ry}_{1}$ studied are Ter-
 date, $\mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}(\mathrm{NVO})^{4}{ }^{4,515}$ have been show $n$ to, have, com bined $m$ agnetic and ferroelectric transitions, m agnetic structure has been less com prehensive. In itially this com bined transition w as som ew hat mysterious, but soon a Landau expansion was developedt to provide a phenom enological explanation of this phenom enqn. An altemative picture, sim ilar to an earlier resulin ${ }^{121}$ based on the concept of a \spin-current," and which we refer to as the \spiral form ulation,, $11_{13}^{-1}$ has gained popularity due to its sim plicity, but as we will discuss, the Landau theory is m ore universally applicable and has a num ber of advantages. T he purpose of the present paper is to describe the Landau form ulation in the sim plest possible term $s$ and to apply it to a large num ber of currently studied $m$ ultiferroics. In this w ay we hope to dem ystify this form ulation.

It should be noted that this phenom enon (which we call \m agnetically induced ferroelectricity") is closely related to the sim ilar behavior of so-called \im proper ferroelectrics," which are com m only understood to be the analogous system $s$ in which uniform $m$ agnetic order (ferrom agnetism or antiferrom agnetism) drive ferroelectricity 14 Several, dẹcades ago such system s w ere studied ${ }^{15}$ and review edi $1 \frac{16}{16}$ and present $m$ any parallels $w$ th the recent developm ents.

O ne of the problem s one encounters at the outset is how to properly describe the $m$ agnetic structure of system $s$ w ith com plicated unit cells. This, of course, is a very old sub ject, but sunprisingly, as w illbe docum ented
below, the full ram i cations of sym m etry are not $w$ idely known. A ccordingly, we feel it necessary to repeat the description of the sym $m$ etry analysis of $m$ agnetic structures. W hile the rst part of this sym metry analysis is well known to experts, we review it here, especially because our approach is often far sim pler and less technical than the standard one. H ow ever, either approach lays the groundw ork for incorporating the e ects of inversion sym m etry, which seep ,top have been_pverlooked until our analysis of NVO O 1314 sym $m$ etry $w$ as alsq addressed by Schw eizer $w$ ith a subsequent correction $1^{17.1}$ V ery recently a m ore form alapproach to this problem hasbeen given by R adaelliand C hapon 1 ㅍ․ But, at least in the sim plest cases, the approach initially proposed by us and used here seem s easiest. W e apply this form alism to a num ber of currently studied multiferroics, such as $\mathrm{MnWO}_{4}(\mathrm{MWO})$, $\mathrm{TbM} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ( TMO 25 ), $\mathrm{YM} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5},\left(\mathrm{YM} \mathrm{M}_{1} 25\right)$, and $\mathrm{GuFeO}_{2}$ (CFO). A swas the case for NVOU $m$ etry properties of the $m$ agnetic order param eters, one is then able to construct the trilinear m agnetoelectric coupling term in the free energy which provides a phenom enologicalexplanation ofthe com bined $m$ agnetic and ferroelectric phase transition.

This paper is organized in conform ity w ith the above plan. In Sec. II we review a sim pli ed version ofthe sym $m$ etry analysis know $n$ as representation theory, in which we directly analyze the sym $m$ etry of the inverse susceptibility m atrix. H ere we, arlsq- review the technique we proposed som e tim e aga $31,4!5,6,61, \frac{1}{2}$ to inconporate the consequences of inversion sym m etry. In Sec. III we apply this form alism to develop $m$ agnetic order param eters for a num ber of $m$ ultiferroic system $s$ and in Eq. (12-12) we give a simple example to show how inversion symmetry in uences the sym m etry of the allow ed spin distribution. Then in Sec. IV, we use the sym $m$ etry of the order param eters to construct a $m$ agnetoelectric coupling free energy, whose sym m etry properties are m anifested. In Sec. V we sum $m$ arize the results of these calculations and discuss their relation to calculations based on the spin current mode ${ }^{12}$ or the phenom enology of contin-
uum theory

## II. REVIEW OFREPRESENTATION THEORY

A s we shall see, to understand the phenom enology of the $m$ agnetoelectric coupling which gives rise to the com bined $m$ agnetic and ferroelectric phase transition, it is essential to characterize and properly understand the sym $m$ etry of the $m$ agnetic ordering. In addition, as we shall see, to fully include symmetry restrictions on possible $m$ agnetic structures that can be accessed via a continuous phase transition is an extrem ely pow erfulaid in the $m$ agnetic structure analysis, A ccordingly in this section we review how symm etry considerations restrict the possible $m$ agnetic structures which can appear at an ordering transition. The full sym $m$ etry, -analysis has previously been presented elsew here, 3 to repeat it here both to x the notation and to give the reader convenient acoess to this analysis which is so essential to the present discussion. To avoid the complexities of the $m$ ost general form of this analysis (called representation theory) system $s$ having som e crucial sim plifying features. First, we lim it consideration to system $s$ in which the $m$ agnetic ordering is incom $m$ ensurate. In the exam plesw e choose $k$ w illusually lie along a sym m etry direction of the crystal. Second, we only consider system swhich have a center of inversion sym $m$ etry, because it is only such system $s$ that have a shanp phase transition at which long-range ferroelectric order appears. Thirdly, we restrict attention to crystals having relatively sim ple sym $m$ etry. (W hat this $m$ eans is that except for our discussion of $T b M n_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ we w ill consider system s where we do not need the full apparatus of group theory, but can get aw ay w ith sim ply labeling the spin functions which describe m agnetic order by their eigenvalue under various sym $m$ etry operations.) By avoiding the com plexities of the $m$ ost general situations, it is hoped that this paper will be accessible to m ore readers. Finally, as we will see, it is crucial that the phase transitions we analyze are either continuous or very nearly so. In $m$ any of the exam ples we discuss, our sim ple approach ${ }^{6}$ is is vastly sim pler than that of standard representation theory augm ented by representation theory specialized techniques to explicitly include exploit inversion sym $m$ etry.

## A. Sym m etry A nalysis of the M agnetic Free Energy

In this subsection we give a review of the form alism used previously Since we are $m$ ainly interested in sym $m$ etry properties, we will describe the $m$ agnetic ordering by a version of $m$ ean- eld theory in which one $w$ rites the $m$ agnetic free
energy $F_{M}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}}= & \frac{1}{2}_{r ; ; r^{0}}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{1}\left(r ; r^{0}\right) \mathrm{S} \quad(r) S \quad\left(r^{0}\right) \\
& +0 S^{4} ; \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S(r)$ is the therm ally averaged -com ponent of the spin at position $r$. In a $m$ om ent, we will give an explicit approxim ation for the inverse susceptibility . W e now introduce Fourier transform $s$ in either of two equivalent form ulations. In the rst (which we refer to as \actualposition") one w rites

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q ;)=N{ }^{1} \quad S(R+) e^{i q}(R+) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas in the second (which we refer to as \unit cell") one w rites

$$
S(q ;)=N \sum_{R}^{1} S(R+) e^{i q} R
$$

where N is the number of unit cells in the system, is the location of the th site $w$ ith in the unit cell, and $R$ is a lattice vector. N ote that in Eq. (2, ) the phase factor in the Fourier transform is de ned in term s of the actual position of the spin rather than in term $s$ of the origin of the unit all, as is done in Eq. ( $\overline{3}_{1}^{-1}$ ). In som e case (viz. NVO) the results are simpler in the actual position form ulation whereas for others (viz. M W O) the unit all form ulation is sim pler. W e will use whichever form ulation is sim pler. In either case the fact that $S$ has to be real indicates that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q ;)=S(q ;): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We thus have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}}= & \frac{1}{2}{ }_{\mathrm{q} ;}^{\mathrm{X} ; ;_{;} ;}{ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \quad ;{ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{q} ;) \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{q} ;{ }^{0}\right) \\
& +0 \mathrm{~S}^{4} ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where (for the \actualposition" form ulation)

$$
{ }^{1}\left(q ; \quad ;{ }^{0}\right)={ }_{R}^{X} \quad{ }^{1}\left(; R+{ }^{0}\right) e^{i q}\left(R+{ }^{0} \quad\right):(6)
$$

To m ake our discussion $m$ ore concrete we cite the sim plest approxim ation for a system with generalanisotropic exchange coupling, so that the H am iltonian is
where $s(r)$ is the -com ponent of the spin operator at $r$ and we have included a single ion anisotropy energy assum ing three inequivalent axes, so that the K are all di erent. O ne has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{1}\left(r ; r^{0}\right)=J \quad\left(r ; r^{0}\right)+\mathbb{K}+c k T\right] ; r ; r^{0} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a ; b$ is unity if $a=b$ and is zero otherw ise and $c$ is a spin-dependent constant of order unity, so that ckT is the entropy associated with a spin $S$. Then if we have one spin per unit cell, one has

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{ }^{1}(q)=\quad 2 J_{1}\left[\cos \left(a q_{X}\right)+\cos \left(a q_{y}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\cos \left(a q_{z}\right)\right]+a k T+K \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

$w$ here $a$ is the lattioe constant in the -direction ${ }^{\mathbf{r}^{-} \alpha^{\prime}}$ and we assume that $K_{x}<K_{y}<K_{z}$. G raphs of ${ }^{1}(q)$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 for both the ferrom agnetic ( $J_{1}<0$ ) and antiferrom agnetic ( $~_{1}>0$ ) cases. For the ferrom agnetic case we now introduce a com peting antiferrom agnetic next-nearest neighbor ( nnn ) interaction $\mathrm{J}_{2}>0$ along the $x$-axis, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{1}\left(q_{x} ; q_{y}=0 ; q_{z}=0\right)=\left[4 J_{1}+2 J_{1} \cos \left(a_{x} q_{x}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+2 J_{2} \cos \left(2 a_{x} q_{x}\right)+\operatorname{akT}+k\right] ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and this is also shown in F ig. 1. As T is lowered one reaches a critical tem perature where one of the eigenvalues of the inverse susceptibility $m$ atrix becom es zero. $T$ his indicates that the param agnetic phase is unstable $w$ ith respect to order corresponding to the critical eigenvector associated w ith the zero eigenvalue. For the ferrom agnet this happens for zero $w$ avevector and for the antiferrom agnet for a zone boundary $w$ avevector in agree$m$ ent $w$ th our obvious expectation. For com peting interactions we see that the values of the J's determ ine a wavevector at which an eigenvalue of ${ }^{1}$ is $m$ inim al. $T$ his is the phenom enon called \w avevector selection," and in this case the selected value of $q$ is determ ined by extrem izing ${ }^{1}$ to $\mathrm{bet}^{1^{11}}-$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(a_{x} q\right)=J_{1}=\left(4 J_{2}\right) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

providing $J_{2}>J_{1}=4$. ( $O$ therw ise the system is ferro$m$ agnetic.) $N$ ote also, that crystal sym $m$ etry $m$ ay select a set of sym $m$ etry-related wavevectors, which com prise what is known as the star of $q$. (For instance, if the system were tetragonal, then crystalsym $m$ etry would im ply that one has the sam e nnn interactions along the $y$-axis, in which case the system selects a wavevector along the $x$-axis and one of equalm agnitude along the $y$-axis.

From the above discussion it should be clear that if we assum e a continuous transition so that the transition is associated w ith the instability in the term $s$ in the free energy quadratic in the spin am plitudes, then the nature of the ordered phase is determ ined by the critical eigenvector of the inverse susceptibility, i. e. the eigenvector associated w th the eigenvalue of inverse susceptibility which rst goes to zero as the tem perature is reduced. A ccordingly, the aim of this paper analyze how crystal symm etry a ects the possible form s of the criticaleigenvector.

W hen the unit cell contains $\mathrm{n}>1$ spins, the inverse susceptibility for each wavevector $q$ is a $3 n \quad 3 n m$ atrix.

The ordering transition occurs when, for som e selected wavevector(s), an eigenvalue rst becom es zero as the tem perature is reduced. In the above sim ple exam ples involving isotropic exchange interactions, the inverse susœeptibility was 3 diagonalm atrix, so that each eigenvector trivially has only one nonzero com ponent. The critical eigenvector has spin oriented along the easiest axis, $i$. $e$. the one for which $K$ is $m$ inimal. In the present $m$ ore general case $n>1$ and arbitrary interactions consistent $w$ ith crystal sym $m$ etry are allow ed. To avoid the technicalities of group theory, we use as our guiding principle the fact that the free energy, being an expansion in pow ers of the $m$ agnetizations relative to the the param agnetic state, $m$ ust be inyariant under all the sym $m$ etry operations of the crystal $1^{2} \frac{2}{2} 3 \mathrm{~T}$ his is the sam e principle that one uses in discussing the sym $m$ etry of the electrostatic potential in a crystall ${ }^{24}$. W e now focus our attention on the critically selected wavevector q which has an eigenvalue which rst becom es zero as the tem perature is low ered. This value of $q$ is determ ined by the interactions and we will consider it to be an experi$m$ entally determ ined param eter. O perations which leave the quadratic free energy invariant $m$ ust leave invariant the term in the free energy $F_{2}(q)$ which involves only the selected w avevector q, nam ely
$\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad{ }^{0} ; \quad{ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{q} ; \quad ;{ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{q} ; ~) \mathrm{S} \quad\left(\mathrm{q} ;{ }^{0}\right)(\mathbb{Z})$

A ny sym $m$ etry operation takes the original variables before transform ation, $S(q ;)$, into new ones indicated by prim es. W e w rite this transform ation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{0}(q ; \quad)=^{X} U \quad ; 0 \circ S \circ\left(q ;{ }^{0}\right): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to a well known statem ent of elem entary quantum $m$ echanics, if an operator $T$ com $m$ utes $w$ ith
${ }^{1}(q)$, then the eigenvectors of ${ }^{1}(q)$ are sim ultaneously eigenvectors_-qf T. (This much involves a well known analysis ${ }^{29,464} 4$ of inversion, the analysis of which is universally overlooked). W e will apply this sim ple condition to a num ber ofm ultiferroic system s currently under investigation. ( $T$ his approach can be $m$ uch $m$ ore straightforw ard than the standard one when the operations which conserve w avevector unavoidably involve translations.) A s a rst exam ple we consider the case of NVO and use the \actual position" Fourier transform s. In Table iఫ we give the generalpositions (this set of positions is the so-called W ycko orbit) for the space group Cm ca (\# 64 in Ref . (28) of NVO and this table de nes the operations of the space group of Cm ca. In Table "III we list the positions of the tw o types of sites occupied by the m agnetic ( $\mathbb{N}$ i) ions, which are called \spine" and \cross-tie" sites in recognition of their distinctive coordination in the lattice, as can be seen from $F$ ig. $\overline{2}$, where we, show the conventionalunit cell of $\mathrm{V} O$. Experim ents $\mathrm{g}^{4}$. 33 indicate that as the tem perature is low ered, the system rst develops in-



FIG. 1: Inverse susceptibility ${ }^{1}(q ; 0 ; 0)$. a) Ferrom agnetic model ( $\left.J_{1}<0\right)$, b) A ntiferrom agnetic $m$ odel ( $J_{1}<0$ ), and c) $M$ odel w ith com peting interactions (the nn interaction is antiferrom agnetic). In each panel one sees three groups of curves. Each group consists of the three curves for (q) which depend on the com ponent label due to the anisotropy. The $x$ axis is the easiest $a x$ is and the $z$ ax is is the hardest. (If the system is orthorhom bic the three axes $m$ ust all be inequivalent. $T$ he solid curves are for the highest tem perature, the dashed curves are for an interm ediate tem perature, and the dash-dot curves are for $T=T_{c}$, the critical tem perature for $m$ agnetic ordering. P anel c) illustrates the nontrivial wavevector selection whidh occurs when one has com peting interactions.

$$
\begin{array}{||c|c||}
\hline & E r=(x ; y ; z) \\
2_{b} r= & (\bar{x} ; y+1=2 ; \bar{z}+1=2) \\
& I r=(\bar{x} ; \bar{y} ; \bar{z}) \\
m_{b} r=(x ; \bar{y}+1=2 ; z+1=2) & 2_{a} r=(x ; \bar{y} ; \bar{z}) \\
m_{c} r=(x ; y+1=2 ; \bar{z}+1=2) \\
m_{a} r=(\bar{x} ; y ; z) \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- न
 for $C m$ ca which describe the sym $m$ etry operations ${ }^{\frac{13}{11}}$, of this space group. 2 is a tw o-fold rotation (or screw) axis and $m$ is a m irror (or glide) which takes r into r .

$$
\begin{array}{lcc|}
\hline \hline r_{s 1}= & (0: 25 ; \quad 0: 13 ; 0: 25) \\
r_{s 2}= & (0: 25 ; 0: 13 ; 0: 75) \\
r_{s 3}= & (0: 75 ; 0: 13 ; 0: 75) \\
r_{s 4}= & (0: 75 ; \quad 0: 13 ; 0: 25) \\
r_{c 1}= & (0 ; 0 ; 0) \\
r_{c 2}= & (0: 5 ; 0 ; 0: 5) \\
\hline \hline \boldsymbol{r} \%
\end{array}
$$

TABLE II: Positions $S^{2}$ prim itive unit cell illustrated in $F$ ig. 2 . L . Here $r_{s n}$ denotes the position of the $n$th spine site and $r_{c n}$ that of the $n$th cross-tie site. N V O orders in space group Cm ca, so there are six more atom $s$ in the conventional orthormom bic unit cell which are obtained by a translation through ( $0: 5 \mathrm{a} ; 0: 5 \mathrm{~b} ; 0$ ).
com $m$ ensuixate order $w$ th $q$ along the a-direction $w$ ith q 0:28

T he group ofoperations w hich conserve w avevector are generated by a) the tw o-fold rotation $2_{x}$ and b) the glide operation $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$, both of which are de ned in Tabla'I. We now discuss how the Fourier spin com ponents transform under various sym m etry operations. H ere prim ed quantities denote the value of the quantity after transform ation. Let $O \quad \mathrm{O}_{s} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{r}}$ be a symmetry operation which we decom pose into operations on the spin $O_{s}$ and on the position $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{r}}$. The e ect of transform ing a spin by such
an operator is to replace the spin at the \ nal" position $R_{f}$ by the transform ed spin which initially $w$ as at the position $O_{r}{ }^{1} R_{f}$. So we w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{f}\right) & \left.=O_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{r}}^{1} \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{f}\right]\right) \\
& =\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \mathrm{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{i}} \boldsymbol{;}{ }_{i}\right) ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts \i" and \£" denote initial and nal values and $\left(\mathrm{O}_{s}\right)$ is the factor introduced by $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for a pseudovector, nam ely

$$
\begin{align*}
& x\left(2_{x}\right)=1 ; y_{y}\left(2_{x}\right)=z\left(2_{x}\right)=1 ; \\
& x\left(m_{z}\right)=y\left(m_{z}\right)=1 ;{ }_{z}\left(m_{z}\right)=1: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that $O S(R ;)$ is not the result of applying $O$ to $m$ ove and reorient the spin at $R+$, but instead is the value of the spin at $R+$ after the spin distribution is acted upon by O. Thus, for actual position Fourier transform s we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\quad\left(O_{s}\right) N{ }^{R}{ }_{R}^{X} S\left(R_{i} ; \quad i\right) e^{i q} \quad\left(R_{f}+\quad{ }_{f}\right) \\
& \left.=\quad\left(O_{S}\right) S(q ; \quad i) e^{i q} \quad R_{f}+\quad £ \quad R_{i} \quad i^{i}\right]:(16)
\end{aligned}
$$

W em ay w rite this as

$$
\text { OS }(q ; f)=\left(O_{s}\right) S(q ; i) e^{i q} \mathbb{R}_{f}+f_{i} \quad R_{i}^{i]}(\mathbb{F})
$$

This form ulation m ay not be totally intuitive, because one is tem pted to regard the operation $O$ acting on a spin at an initial location and taking it (and perhaps reorienting it) to another location. H ere, instead, we consider the spin distribution. T he transform ed distribution at a location $r$ is related to the distribution at the in itial location $O_{r}{ }^{1} r$.

Sim ilarly, the result for unit cellF ourier transform $s$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{0}\left(q_{i} f\right)=\left(O_{s}\right) S^{0}\left(q_{i} \text { i) } e^{i q} \mathbb{R}_{f} R_{i}\right]: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s before, we m ay write this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { OS } \left.(q ; f)=\left(O_{s}\right) S \quad(q ; i) e^{i q} \quad \mathbb{R}_{f} R_{i}\right]: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

U nder transform ation by inversion, (I) = 1 and

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{0}(q ; f) & =N{ }^{1} X^{R} S\left(R_{i} ; i\right) e^{i q}\left(R_{f}+f\right) \\
& =S(q ; i) e^{i q}\left[R_{f} \quad f R_{i} \quad i\right] \\
& =S(q ; i)
\end{align*}
$$

for actual position Fourier transforms. For unit cell transform s we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{0}(q ; f) & =S(q ; i) e^{i q}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
R_{f} & \left.R_{i}\right] \\
& =S(q ; i) e^{\text {iq }}\left[\begin{array}{l}
{[f+} \\
i
\end{array}\right]
\end{array},\right.
\end{align*}
$$

| Irrep | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(2_{x}\right)=$ | +1 | + 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\left(m_{z}\right)=$ | +1 | 1 | 1 | + 1 |
| S (q; s1) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | c |
| S (q; s2) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{s}^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ |
| S (q; s3) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; s4) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; c1) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; c2) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

TABLE III: A llowed spin functions (i. e. actual position Fourier coe cients) w ithin the unit cell of NVO for wavevector $(q ; 0 ; 0)$ which are eigenvectors of $2_{x}$ and $m_{z} w$ ith the eigenvalues listed. Irrep stands for the irreducib le rep resentation as labeled in R ef. G. The labeling of the sites is as in
 the complex quantity $n_{p}(q)$.

N ow we apply this form alism to nd the actualposition Fourier coe cients which are eigenfinctions of the two operators $2_{x}$ and $m_{z}$. In so doing note the sim plicity of Eq. (1]): since, for $N V O$, the operations $2_{x}$ and $m_{z}$ do not change the x coordinate, we sim ply have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{0}(q ; f)=S^{0}(q ; i): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the eigenvalue conditions for $2_{\mathrm{x}}$ acting on the spine sites (\# 1-\# 4) are
S $(q ; 1)^{0}=$
$\left(2_{x}\right) S$
$(q ; 2)=$
$\left(2_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \mathrm{S} \quad(\mathrm{q} ; 1)$
S $(q ; 2)^{0}=$
$\left(2_{x}\right) S$
$(q ; 1)=$
$\left(2_{x}\right) S$
(q;2)
$S(q ; 3)^{0}=\left(2_{x}\right) S$
$(q ; 4)=(2 x) S \quad(q ; 3)$
$S(q ; 4)^{0}=\left(2_{\mathrm{x}}\right) S(q ; 3)=\left(2_{\mathrm{x}}\right) S \quad(q ; 4) ;$
(23)
from which we see that $\left(2_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S & (q ; 2)=[ \\
S & \left.\left(2_{x}\right)=\left(2_{x}\right)\right] S  \tag{24}\\
\hline & (q ; 1) ; \\
{[ } & \left.\left(2_{x}\right)=\left(2_{x}\right)\right] S \\
(q ; 4):
\end{array}
$$

T he eigenvalue conditions form $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}}$ acting on the spine sites are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(q ; 1)^{0}=\left(m_{z}\right) S \quad(q ; 4)=\left(m_{z}\right) S \\
& \left.S \quad(q ; 4)^{0}=1\right) \\
& S(q ; 2)^{0}=\left(m_{z}\right) S \quad(q ; 1)=\left(m_{z}\right) S \\
& S ; 4) \\
& S \quad(q ; 3)^{0}=\left(m_{z}\right) S \quad(q ; 2)=\left(m_{z}\right) S \\
& (q ; 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we see that $\left(m_{z}\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q ; 4)=\left[\quad\left(m_{z}\right)=\left(m_{z}\right)\right] S \quad(q ; 1): \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e thereby construct the eigenvectors for the spine sites as given in Table ${ }^{\text {ITH.L. }}$. The results for the cross-tie sites are obtained in the sam e way and are also given in the table. E ach set of eigenvalues corresponds, in technical term $s$, to a single irreducible representation (irrep). Since each operator can have either of two eigenvalues, we have four irreps to consider. N ote that these spin functions, since they are actually Fourier coe cients, are com plex-valued quantities. [T he spin itself is real because $F(q)=F(q)$.] N ote that each colum n of Table "II gives the most general form of an allow ed eigenvector for which one has 4 (or 5, depending on the irrep) independent com plex constants. To further illustrate the m eaning of this table we explicitly write, in Eq. (4-근), below, the spin distribution arising from one irrep, 4.

So far, the present enalysis reproduces the standard results and indeed com puter program s exist to construct such tables. But for $m$ ultiferroics it $m$ ay be quicker to obtain and understand how to construct the possible spin functions by hand rather than to understand how to use the program! Usually these program s give the results in term $s$ of unit cell Fourier transform $s$, which we claim are not as natural a representation in cases like NVO. In term s of unit œellFourier transform s the eigenvalue conditions for $2_{x}$ acting on the spine sites (\# 1-\# 4) are the sam e as E q. (23) for actual position Fourier transform $s$ because the operation $2_{\mathrm{x}}$ does not change the unit cell. H ow ever, for the glide operation $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$ this is not the case. If we start from site \# 1 or site \# 2 the translation along the $y$ axis takes the spin to a nal unit cell displaced by ( $a=2$ ) $\hat{i}+(b=2) \hat{j}$, whereas if we start from site \# 3 or site \# 4 the translation along the $y$ axis takes the spin to a nal unit cell displaced by ( $a=2 \hat{i}+(b=2) \hat{j}$. N ow the eigenvalue conditions for $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$ acting on the spine sites (\# 1-\# 4) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(q ; 1)^{0}=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 4)=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 1) \\
& S(q ; 4)^{0}=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 1)=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 4) \\
& S(q ; 2)^{0}=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 3)=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 2) \\
& S(q ; 3)^{0}=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 2)=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; 3) ;(27)
\end{aligned}
$$



F IG .2: (C olor online). N isites in the conventionalunit cell of NVO.The prim itive translation vectors $v_{n}$ are $v_{1}=(a=2) \Delta \hat{a}+$ $(b=2) \hat{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{v}_{2}=(\mathrm{a}=2) \hat{\mathrm{a}} \quad(\mathrm{b}=2) \hat{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{v}_{3}=\mathrm{cc}$. The $\backslash$ cross-tie" sites (on-line $=\mathrm{blue}$ ) c 1 and c 2 lie in a plane with $\mathrm{b}=0$. T he \spine" sites (on-line= red) are labeled s1,s2,s3, and s4 and they $m$ ay be visualized as form ing chains parallel to the aaxis. These chains are in the buckled plane with $\mathrm{b}=$ where $=0: 13 \mathrm{~b}$ as is indicated. C ross-tie sites in adjacent planes (displaced by ( $\mathrm{b}=2$ ) $\hat{\mathrm{b}}$ ) are indicated by open circles. Spine sites in adjacent planes are located directly above (or below) the sites in the plane show $n$. In the incom $m$ ensurate phases the $w$ avevector describing $m$ agnetic ordering lies along the a axis. The axis of the two-fold rotation about the $x$-axis is show n . T he glide plane is indicated by the m irror plane at $\mathrm{z}=\frac{3}{4}$ and the arrow above $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$ indicates that a translation of $\mathrm{b}=2$ in the y -direction is involved.
where $=\exp (i q)$. O ne nds that all entries for $S(q ; s 3), S(q ; s 4)$, and $S(q ; c 2)$ now carry the phase factor $=\exp (i q)$. But this is just the factor to $m$ ake the unit cell result

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(R ;)=S(q ;) e^{\mathrm{iq} R} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the same (to within an overall phase factor) as the actualposition result

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(R ;)=S(q ;) e^{\mathrm{iq}}(\mathbb{R}+): \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e should em phasize that in such a sim ple case as $N V O$, it is actually not necessary to invoke any group theoretical concepts to arrive at the results of Table fill for the $m$ ost generalspin distribution consistent $w$ ith crystal sym $m$ etry.

M ore impontantly, it is not commonly understood ${ }^{55}$ $m$ ation using the sym $m$ etry of an operation (inversion)
which need does not conserve wavevector Since what we are about to say is unfam iliar, we start from rst principles. The quadratic free energy $m$ ay be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}={ }^{X} \quad{ }_{q} \quad{ }^{0} ; \quad \mathrm{F} \quad{ }^{0} S(q ;) S\left(q ;{ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we restrict the sum over wavevectors to the star of the wavevector of interest. O ne tem of this sum is

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}  \tag{31}\\
& ; 0 ;
\end{align*}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0} ;\right) \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0} ;{ }^{0}\right):
$$

It should be clear that the quadratic free energy, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is invariant under all the sym $m$ etry operations of the param agnetic space group (i. e. what one calls the space group of the crystal) $2{ }^{24231}$. For centrosym $m$ etric crystals there are three classes of such sym $m$ etry operations. The rst class consists of those operations which leave $q_{0}$ invariant and these are the sym, m, etries taken into account in the usual form ulation ${ }^{5512} 5127.1$ he second class consists of operationsw hich take $q_{0}$ into anotherw avevector of the star (call it $q_{1}$ ), where $q_{1} \in q_{0} \cdot U$ se of these sym $m$ etries allow s one to com pletely characterize the wavefiunction at $w$ avevector $q_{1}$ in term sof the wavefunction for $q_{0}$. These relations are needed if one is to discuss the possibility of sim ultaneously, condensing $m$ ore than one w avevector in the star of $q$ ㄹ․․ F inally, the third class consists of spatial inversion (unless the wavevector and its negative di er by a reciprocal lattioe vector, in which case inversion belongs in class \# 1). The role of inversion symmetry is alm ost universally overlooked 25 exam ination of a num ber of recent papers. Unlike the operations of class \# 1 which takes $S_{n}(q)$ into an $S_{n} 0(q)$ (for irreps of dim ension one which is true for $m$ ost cases considered in this paper), inversion takes $S_{n}(q)$ into an $S_{n} 0(\ldots)$. N evertheless it does take the form w ritten in Eq. (311) into itself and restricts the possible form of the wavefinctions. So we now consider the consequences of invariance of $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ under inversion we write Eq. (12) in term $s$ of the spin coordinates $m$ of Table 'III. (T he result w ill, of course, depend on which irrep we consider.) In any case, the part of $F_{2}$ which depends on $\mathrm{q}_{0}$ can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{q})={\underset{\mathrm{X}}{0} \mathrm{X}}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~F}{ }^{0} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0} ;\right) \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) \\
& =\quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} ; ; \mathrm{N} 0 ;}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}\right]\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} 0\right] \\
& { }^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\mathrm{iN}}{ }^{0} \text {; } \\
& =\quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N} ;} ; \mathrm{N}^{0} ;\left[\mathrm{In}_{\mathrm{N}}\right]\left[\mathrm{In}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{0}\right] \text {; }  \tag{32}\\
& \text { n ; ; }{ }^{\circ}{ }^{0} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ and $N^{0}$ assum $e$ the values " $s$ " for spin and " $C$ " for cross-tie and and labelcom ponents. N ow we need to understand the e ect of I on the spin Fourier coe cients listed in Table 'III. Since we use actual position Fourier coe cients, we apply Eq. (2-1 ). For the cross-tie
variables (w hich sit at a center of inversion sym m etry) inversion takes the spin coordinates of one spine sublattice into the com plex con jugate of itself:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { IS }(q ; c n)=[S(q ; c n)]: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in term $s$ of the $n$ 's this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{In}_{\mathrm{c}}=\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}\right] ; \quad=\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thee ect of inversion on the spine variables again follow s from Eq. (2O). Since inversion interchanges sublattioe \# 1 and \# 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[S(q ; s 3)]^{0}=[S(q ; s 1)]: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\left(2_{x}\right)=\left(m_{z}\right)=+1$ (i. e. for irrep $\left.{ }_{1}\right)$, we substitute the values of the spin vectors from the rst colum $n$ of Table,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right] ; & \mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right] ; \\
\mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}\right]: & \tag{36}
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote that som e com ponents introduce a factor 1 under inversion and others do not. (W hich ones have the $m$ inus signs depends on which irrep we consider.) If wem ake a change ofvariable by replacing $n_{s}$ in colum $n$ \# 1 ofTable III by in ${ }_{s}$ for those com ponents for which I introduces am inus sign and leave the other com ponents alone, then we $m$ ay rew rite the rst colum $n$ of $T a b l l^{-1} \frac{1}{-1}$ in the form given in Table l' $\mathbf{I N}_{\mathbf{V}}^{1}$. In term s of these new variables one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}\right]: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(It is convenient to de ne the spin Fourier coe cients so that they all transform in the sam e way under inversion. O therw ise one w ould have to keep track of variables which transform w ith a plus sign and those which transform w ith a minus sign.) Repeating this process for all the other irreps we w rite the possible spin functions as those of Table ! $\mathrm{IV}_{1}^{\prime}$. W e give an explicit form ula for the spin distribution for one irrep in Eq. ( $4 \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) below.

N ow we im plem ent Eq. (32'), where the spin functions are taken to be the variables listed in T able ${ }_{1} \overline{I N}_{-1}$. F irst note that the $m$ atrix $G$ in Eq. (32) has to be $\bar{H}$ erm itian to ensure that $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ be real:
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}} ; \mathrm{N} ;=\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}} ;\right.$; $;$ ] :
Then, using Eq. $\underset{\mathrm{X}}{\left(3 \bar{T}_{1}\right)}$, we nd that Eq. $\cdot(\underline{(3)} \underset{-}{2})$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{2}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}\right)=\quad\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}\right] \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M} ; ; \mathrm{N} ;} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \\
& \text { M ; ;N ; X } \\
& =\quad\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}\right] \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M}} ; ; \mathrm{N} ;\left[\mathrm{In}_{\mathrm{N}}\right] \\
& { }^{M} \text { X }^{\text {XN }} \text {; } \\
& =\quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{M} ; ; \mathrm{N} ;}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}\right] \\
& { }^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{X}^{\text {X }}{ }^{\text {N }} \\
& =\quad\left[n_{M}\right] G_{N} ; M_{M}\left[n_{N}\right] \text {; }  \tag{39}\\
& \text { M ; ;N ; }
\end{align*}
$$

| Irrep= | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(2_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=$ | + 1 | +1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\left(\mathrm{m}_{z}\right)=$ | + 1 | 1 | 1 | + 1 |
| S (q; s1) | ins ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ |
|  | in ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {c }}$ | in ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; s2) | $\mathrm{in}_{s}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | in ${ }_{s}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {b }}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{in}_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; s3) | $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | in ${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{in}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{in}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; s4) | $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | in ${ }_{\text {b }}$ |
|  | in ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\text {c }}$ | in ${ }_{\text {c }}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; c1) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| S (q; c2) | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

TABLE IV: As Table except that now the ect of inversion sym $m$ etry is taken into account, as a result of which, apart from an overallphase factor all the n's in this table can be taken to be real-valued.
where, in the last line, we interchanged the roles of the dum my indices M ; and N ; . By com paring the rst and last lines, one sees that the m atrix G is sym m etric. Since this $m$ atrix is also Herm itian, all its elem ents $m$ ust be real valued. Thus all its eigenvectors can be taken to have only real-valued com ponents. But the m 's are allow ed to be com plex valued. So, the conclusion is that for each irrep, we m ay w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}()=e^{j}\left[r_{\mathrm{N}}()\right] ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the r's are all real valued and is an overall phase which can be chosen arbitrarily for each. It is likely that the phase w illbe xed by high-order Um klapp term $s$ in the free energy, but the e ects of such phase locking $m$ ay be beyond the range of experim ents.

It is worth, noting how these results should be (and in a few cases ${ }^{3 / 4,461}$ have been) used in the structure determ inations. O ne should choose the best $t$ to the di raction data using, in tum, each irrep (i. e. each set of eigenvalues of $2_{x}$ and $m_{z}$ ). W thin each representation one param etrizes the spin structure by choosing the Fourier coe cients as in the relevant colum $n$ of $T$ able ${ }^{\prime} \bar{I} \bar{V}_{1}^{\prime}$. $N$ N ote that instead of having 4 or 5 com plex coe cients to describe the six sites w thin the unit cell (see Table 'İI), one has only 4 or 5 (depending on the representation) realvalued coe cients to detem ine. T he relative phases of the complex coe cients have allbeen xed by invoking inversion sym $m$ etry. This is clearly a signi cant step in increasing the precision of the determ ination of the $m$ agnetic structure from experim entaldata.

## B . O rder P aram eters

W e now review how the above sym m etry classi cation in uences the introduction of order param etters which allow the construction of Landau expansionst $4!\frac{1 G}{6} T$ he form of the order param eter should be such that it has the potential to describe all ordering which are allow ed by the quadratic free energy $F_{2}$. Thus, for an isotropic $H$ eisenberg model on a cubic lattice, the order param eter has three com ponents (i. e. it involves a three dim ensional irrep) because although the fourth order term s will restrict order to occur only along certain directions, as far as the quadratic term s are concemed, all directions are equivalent. The analogy here is that the overall phase of the spin function ( ) is not $x e d$ by the quadratic free energy and accordingly the order param eter m ust be a com plex variable which includes such a phase. O ne also recognizes that although the am plitude of the critical eigenvector is not $x e d$ by the quadratic term $s$ in the free energy, the ratios of its com ponents are xed by the speci c form of the inverse susceptibility $m$ atrix. A lthough we do not w ish to discuss the explicit form of this $m$ atrix, what should be clear is that the com ponents of the spins which order $m$ ust be proportional to the com ponents of the criticaleigenvector. $T$ he actualam plitude of the spin ordering is determ ined by the com petition betw een the quadratic and fourth order term $s$ in the free energy. If $p$ is the irrep which is critical, then just below the ordering tem perature we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q}) r_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{p}) ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the r's are realcom ponents of the criticaleigenvector (com ing from irrep $p$ ) of the $m$ atrix $G$ of Eq. (3Z~) and are now norm alized by

$$
\mathrm{X} \quad\left[\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}}\right]^{2}=1:
$$

N
H ere the order param eter for irrep (q), $p(q)$ is a com plex variable, since it has to inconporate the arbitrary complex phase $p$ associated $w$ ith irrep $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\dot{\operatorname{q}})=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{p}}}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orderparam eter transform sas indicated in the tables by its listed eigenvahes under the sym $m$ etry operations $2_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$. Since the com ponents of the criticaleigenvector are dom inantly determ ined by the quadratic term s , ${ }^{3}$. one can say that just below the ordering tem perature the description in term s of an order param eter continues to hold but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \quad \text { 化 } \mathrm{C} \text { Tip; } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ ean- eld theory gives, , $=1=2$ but corrections due to uctuation are expectedi?

To sum $m$ arize and ilhustrate the use of $T$ able ' 'IV', we w rite an explicit expression for the $m$ agnetizations of the
\# 1 spine sublattice and the \# 1 cross-tie sublattice for irrep $4\left[\left(2_{x}\right)=1\right.$ and $\left.\left(m_{z}\right)=+1\right]$. W e use the de nition of the orderparam eterand sum overboth signs of the wavevector to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{x}(r ; s 1)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{x} \cos \left(q X+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{y}(r ; s 1)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{Y} \sin \left(q X+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{z}(r ; s 1)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{z} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{x}(r ; s 2)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{x} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{y}(r ; s 2)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{y} \sin \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{z}(r ; s 2)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{z} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{x}(r ; s 3)=24 r_{s}^{x} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{y}(r ; s 3)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{y} \sin \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{z}(r ; s 3)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{z} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{x}(r ; s 4)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{x} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{Y}(r ; s 4)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{y} \sin \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{z}(r ; s 4)=2{ }_{4} r_{s}^{z} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{x}(r ; c 1)=0 \\
& S_{Y}(r ; c 1)=2{ }_{4} r_{c}^{y} \cos \left(q X+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{z}(r ; c 1)=2{ }_{4} r_{c}^{2} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{x}(r ; c 1)=0 \\
& S_{y}(r ; c 2)=2{ }_{4} r_{c}^{y} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \\
& S_{z}(r ; c 2)=2{ }_{4} r_{c}^{z} \cos \left(q x+{ }_{4}\right) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

and sim ilarly for the other irreps. H ere $r(x ; y ; z)$ is the actual location of the spin. U sing explicit expressions like the above (orm ore directly from Table'Nㅣㄴ), one can verify that the order param eters have the transform ation properties:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{q})=+\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{q}) ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{q})=+1(\mathrm{q}) ; \\
& 2_{\mathrm{x}} 2(\mathrm{q})=+2(\mathrm{q}) ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}_{2}}(\mathrm{q})=2(\mathrm{q}) ; \\
& 2_{\mathrm{x}}{ }_{3}(\mathrm{q})=3(\mathrm{q}) ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }_{3}(\mathrm{q})=3 \text { (q); } \\
& 2_{\mathrm{x}}{ }_{4}(\mathrm{q})={ }_{4}(\mathrm{q}) ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}_{4}}(\mathrm{q})=+{ }_{4}(\mathrm{q}) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(q)=\left[{ }_{n}(q)\right]: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that even when $m$ ore than a single irrep is present, the introduction of order param eters, as done here, provides a fram ew ork w ithin which one can represent the spin distribution as a linear com bination of distributions each having a characteristic sym $m$ etry, as expressed by, Eq. (4-9-1). W hen the structure of the unit cell is ignoredil: that inform ation is not readily accessible. A lso note that the phase ofeach irrep $n$ is de ned so that when $n=0$, the $w$ ave is inversion-sym $m$ etric about $r=0$. For a single irrep this speci cation is not im portant. H ow ever, when one has tw o irreps, then inversion sym $m$ etry is only $m$ aintained if their phases are equal.

In $m$ any system $s$, the initial incom $m$ ensurate order that rst occurs as the tem perature is low ered faecom es unstable as the tem perature is further low ered ${ }^{211}$ T ypically, the initial order involves spins oriented along their
easy axis w ith sinusoidally varying m agnitude. H ow ever, the fourth order term $s$ in the Landau expansion favor xed length spins. As the tem perature is low ered the xed length constraint becom es progressively m ore im portant and at a second, lower, critical tem perature a transition occurs in which transverse com ponents becom e nonzero. A though the situation is m ore com plicated when there are several spins per unit cell, the result is sim ilar: the xed length constraint is best realized when $m$ ore than a single irrep has condensed. So, for NVO and TMO as the tem perature is low ered one encounters a second phase transition in which a second irrep appears. W thin a low-order Landau expansion this phenom enon is described by a free energy of the form ' 6

$$
\begin{align*}
F= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T & T_{>}
\end{array}\right)_{>}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
T & T_{<}
\end{array}\right)_{<}^{2}+u_{>} \stackrel{4}{>} \\
& +u_{<} \stackrel{4}{<}+W_{>}^{2} \underset{<}{2} ; \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{>}>T_{<}$. This system has been studied in detail.by B ruce and A haronyis For our punposes, the most im portant result is that for suitable values of the param eters ordering in > occurs at $\mathrm{T}_{>}$and at som e lower tem perature order in < m ay occur. The application of this theory to the present situation is sim ple: we can (and usually do) have tw o m agnetic phase transitions in which rst one irrep and then at a low er tem perature a second irrep condense. A question arises as to whether the condensation of one irrep can induce the condensation of a second irrep. This is not possible because the tw o irreps have di erent symm etry. But could the presence of two irreps, > and < induce the appearance of a third irrep 3 at the tem perature at which < rst appears? For that to happen would require that $\stackrel{n}{>} \stackrel{m}{<} 3$ contain the unit representation for som $e$ values of $n$ and $m$. $T$ his or any higher com bination of representations is not allowed for the sim ple four irreps system like NVO. In m ore com plex system $s$ one $m$ ight have to allow for such a phenom enon.

## III. A P PLICATIONS

In this section we apply the above form alism to a num ber ofm ultiferroics of current interest.

$$
\text { A. } \mathrm{MnW} \mathrm{O}_{4}
$$

$\mathrm{MnW} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}$ W_O) crystallizes in the space group P 2/c (\# 14 in Ref. 28í) whose general positions are given in Table positions

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; y ; \frac{1}{4}\right) ; \quad 2=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 1 \quad \mathrm{y} ; \frac{3}{4}\right): \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Th, he wavevector of incom $m$ ensurate $m$ agnetic ordering is ${ }^{3}{ }^{41391} q=\left(q_{x} ; 1=2 ; q_{z}\right) w$ th $q_{x} \quad 0: 21$ and $\left.q_{z} 0: 46\right)$


TABLE V : G eneral Positions for space group P 2/c.
and is left invariant by the identity and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}} . \mathrm{W}$ e start by constructing the eigenvectors of the quadratic free energy (i. e. the inverse susceptibility $m$ atrix). H ere we use unit cellFourier transform sto facilitate com parison $w$ ith $R$ ef. i3g. Below $X, Y$, and $Z$ denote integers (in units of lattice constants). W hen

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}+\mathrm{f} & =(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z})+1 \\
& =\left(\mathrm{X}+\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{Z}+\frac{1}{4}\right) ; \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i}+i & =\left[m_{Y}\right]^{1}\left(R_{f}+f\right) \\
& =\left(X+\frac{1}{2} ; Y \quad Y ; Z_{\frac{1}{4}}\right) \\
& =(X ; Y \quad 1 ; Z 1)+2: \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Then Eq. (1-1 $)$ gives the eigenvalue condition to be

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{0}\left(q ; 1_{1}\right) & =\left(m_{y}\right) S(q ; 2) e^{2} \text { iq }[(2 \mathrm{Y}+1 \hat{\jmath}+\hat{k}] \\
& =\left(m_{Y}\right) S(q ; 2) e^{i+2 i q_{z}} \\
& =S(q ; 1) ; \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=1 . \mathrm{W}$ hen

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{f}+f & =(X ; Y ; Z)+2 \\
& =\left(X+\frac{1}{2} ; Y+1 \quad y ; Z+\frac{3}{4}\right) ; \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i}+i & =\left(X+\frac{1}{2} ; Y 11 y ; Z+\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
& =(X ; Y 1 ; Z)+1 ; \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

and Eq. (10) gives the eigenvalue condition to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{0}(q ; 2) & =\left(m_{y}\right) S(q ; 1) e^{2} \text { iq }(2 Y+1 \hat{\jmath} \\
& =\left(m_{y}\right) S(q ; 1)[1]=S(q ; 2):(55)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eqs. (52 $)$ and (55-1) we get $=e^{i} \Phi_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q ; 2)=\left[\quad\left(m_{y}\right)=\right] S(q ; 1): \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we get the results listed in Table
So far the analysis is essentially the com pletely standard one. N ow we use the fact that the free energy is invariant under spatial inversion, evep, though that operation does not conserve w avevector $3^{3} 4^{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$ $m$ ine the e ect of inversion on the $n$ 's. A s will become apparent use of unit cell Fourier transform $s m$ akes this

| Irrep $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=$ | $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{1} \hat{q}_{z}$ | $e^{i^{2} \hat{q}_{z}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S(q ; 1)$ | a $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> a $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> a $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | a $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}$ <br> $a \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}}$ <br> a $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}$ |
| S (q;2) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{an}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE VI: A llowed spin eigenfunctions for M W O (apart from an overall phase factor) before inversion symmetry is taken into account, where $a=\exp \left(i q_{2}\right)$. H ere the $n(q)$ 's are com plex and we have taken the liberty to ad just the overall phase to give a sym $m$ etrical looking, result. B ut these results are equivalent to Table II of Ref . $\underline{\underline{3}} \underline{\underline{1}}$.
analysis $m$ ore com plicated than if we had used actual position transform s. W e use Eq. (2121) to w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { IS }(q ;=1)= & S(q ;=2) e^{2 \text { iq } \hat{i}(\hat{j}+\hat{k})} \\
& \text { bS }(q ; 2) ; \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b=\exp \left[2 i\left(\hat{q}_{\mathrm{k}}+\hat{o}_{z}\right)\right]$. For 2 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}\right]=\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}\right] \mathrm{b} ; \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we can w rite as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { In }=b\left(m_{y}\right) n: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow the free energy is quadratic in the Fourier spin coefcients, which are linearly related to the n 's. So the free energy can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{2}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Gn} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}=\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ is a colum n vector and G is a 33 $m$ atrix which we write as
where, for Herm iticity the Rom an letters are real and the G reek ones com plex. N ow we use the fact that also we m ust have invariance w ith respect to inversion, which after all is a crystal sym $m$ etry. $T$ hus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{2}=[\operatorname{In}]^{Y} \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{In}]: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{2} & ={ }^{X} \quad b \quad\left(m_{y}\right) n G \quad b a \quad\left(m_{y}\right) n \\
& =X \quad\left(m_{y}\right) n G \quad\left(m_{y}\right) n:
\end{align*}
$$

| Irrep | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=$ | $e^{i q_{z}}$ | $e^{i} \hat{q}_{z}$ |
| $S(q ; 1)$ | $\begin{gathered} a r \\ \text { ia } s \\ a t \end{gathered}$ | ia $r$ <br> a s <br> ia $t$ |
| $S(q ; 2)$ | ar <br> ias <br> at | iar <br> as <br> iat |

TABLE VII: As Table ${ }^{-} \ddagger$, except that here inversion sym $m$ etry is taken into account. H ere $r, s$, and $t$ are real. A ll six com ponents can be multiplied by an overall phase factor which we have not explicitly w ritten.

Thuswe m ay write

where "tr" indicates transpose (so $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ is a row vector). Since the two expressions for $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, Eqs. ( $\left.6 \mathrm{\sigma O}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and (64), m ust be equal we see that $=$ ia, $=\overline{\mathrm{b}}$, and $={ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{c}$, where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and cm ust be real. T hus G is of the form
where all the letters are real. Thism eans that the critical eigenvector describing the long range order has to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r} ; \boldsymbol{i s} ; \mathrm{t}) ; \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, s$, and tare real. For 2 we set $e_{-}^{i}=i$. For ${ }_{1}$ a sim ilar calculation again yields Eq. (6̄̆), but here we set $e^{i}=1$. ( $T$ hese choiges are not essential. $T$ hey just $m$ ake the sym $m$ etry $m$ ore obvious.) Thus we obtain the nal results given in Tablel Vin In. Lautenschlager et ang say (just above Table II) \D epending on the choige of the am plitudes and phases ..." W hat we see here is that inversion symm etry xes the phases w ithout the possibility of a choige (just as it did for NVO). N ote again that we have about half the variables to $x$ in a structure deter$m$ ination when we take advantage of inversion invariance to $x$ the phase of the com plex structure constants.

## 1. O rder P aram eter

N ow we discuss the de nition of the order param eter for this system. For this punpose we replace $r$ by $r$, $s$
by s. etc., w ith the nom alization that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}+s^{2}+t^{2}=1: \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the order param eter is com plex because we always have the freedom to multiply the wavefiunction by a phase factor. (T his phase factorm ight be \locked" by higher order term $s$ in the free energy, but we do not consider that phenom enon here ${ }^{43}{ }^{3}$ ) $W$ e record the sym $m$ etry properties of the order param eter. W ith our choige of phases we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{n}(q) & =[n(q)] ; \\
m_{y}(q) & =\left(n_{n}\right) q_{n} \\
m_{\mathrm{y}}(q) & =\left(n_{n}\right) \quad{ }_{n}(q) ; \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})$ is the com plex-valued order param eter for ordering of irrep $n$ and ( $n$ ) is the eigenvalue of $m_{y}$ given in Table, $\bar{I}$ the spin distribution in term s of the order param eters of the tw o irreps:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h } \\
& S(\mathbb{R} ; \quad=1)=2_{1} \quad\left(r_{1} \hat{i}+t_{1} \hat{k}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+r_{1} \quad q_{q}=2\right) \\
& +\mathrm{s}_{1} \underset{\mathrm{~h}}{\hat{j} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1} \quad q_{2}=2\right)} \\
& +22_{2}\left(r_{2} \hat{i} \quad t_{2} \hat{k}\right) \sin (q \quad R+2 \quad q=2) \\
& +s_{2} \hat{j} \cos (q \quad R+2 \quad q=2) ; \\
& \text { h } \\
& S(\mathbb{R} ; \quad=2)=2_{1} \quad\left(r_{1} \hat{i}+t_{1} \hat{k}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}+\quad q_{q}=2\right) \\
& s_{1} \hat{j} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}+\quad q=2\right) \\
& \text { h } \\
& +2_{2} \quad\left(r_{2} \hat{i}+t_{2} \hat{k}\right) \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{2}+\quad q_{2}=2\right) \\
& +s_{2} \hat{j} \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{2}+q_{Q}=2\right) \quad: \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can explicitly verify that these expressions are consistent with Eq. (681). N ote that when only one of the order param eters (say $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) is nonzero, we have inversion sym $m$ etry w ith respect to a rede ned origin where $\mathrm{n}=0$. For each irrep we have to specify three real param eters, $r_{n}, S_{n}$, and $t_{n}$ and one overall phase $n$ rather than three com plex-valued param eters had we not invoked inversion sym $m$ etry.

$$
\text { B. } \quad \mathrm{TbM} \mathrm{nO} 3
$$

Here we give the fill details of the calculations for TbM nO 3 described in Ref. 'న్ర1. The presentation here di ens cosm etically from that in $R$ ef. 1 . T hespace group of $\mathrm{TbMnO}_{3}$ is Pbnm which is \# 62 in Ref. 28́ㅗ (although the positions are listed there for the P nm a setting). T he space group operations for a general W ycko orbit is given in Table NIIIN. In Table 'IX', we list the positions

| Er $=(x ; y ; z)$ | $2_{x} r=\left(x+\frac{1}{2} ; \bar{y}+\frac{1}{2} ; \bar{z}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2_{z}=\left(\bar{x} ; \bar{y} ; z+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $2_{\mathrm{y}}=\left(\bar{x}+\frac{1}{2} ; y+\frac{1}{2} ; \bar{z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| $I r=(\bar{x} ; \bar{y} ; \bar{z})$ | $m_{x} r=\left(\bar{x}+\frac{1}{2} ; y+\frac{1}{2} ; z\right)$ |
| $m_{z} r=\left(x ; y ; \bar{z}+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $m_{y} r=\left(x+\frac{1}{2} ; \bar{y}+\frac{1}{2} ; z+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |

TABLE VIII: General Positions for Pbnm. Notation as in Table $\frac{1}{4}$.

| Mn | $(1)=\left(0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; 0\right)$ | $(2)=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; 0\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(3)=\left(0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right)$ | $(4)=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; \frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| Tb | $(5)=\left(x ; y ; \frac{1}{4}\right)$ | $(6)=\left(x+\frac{1}{2} ; \bar{y}+\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{3}{4}\right)$ |
|  | $(7)=\left(\bar{x} ; \bar{y} ; \frac{3}{4}\right)$ | $(8)=\left(\bar{x}+\frac{1}{2} ; y+\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{4}\right)$ |

TA B LE IX :Positions oftheM agnetic Ions in the P prom Structure of TbM nO 3 , w ith $x=0: 9836$ and $y=0: 08104^{411}$
of the M n and T b ions within the unit cell and these are also shown in $F$ ig.

To start we study the operations that leave invariant the wavevector of the incom $m$ ensurate phase which rst orders as the tem perature is low ered. Experim entally ${ }^{12}$.this wavevector is found to be $(0 ; q ; 0)$, w the ${ }^{34} \underline{q}_{\text {. }}$ $0: 28(2 \mathrm{mb})$. These relevant operators (see Table $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ III $)$ $m_{x}$ and $m_{z}$. We follow the approach used for $M W O$, but use \actual location" Fourier transform s. W e set $R_{f}+f \quad r$ in order to use Eq. (1-1 $\left.{ }_{2}^{1}\right)$ and we need to evaluate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp 2 i(q=b) \quad\left[r \quad\left[\mathfrak{m}_{x}\right]^{1} r\right. \\
& \left.=\exp 2(q=b) \hat{j} \quad \hat{y} \quad\left[m_{x}\right]^{1} y \hat{j}\right]=e^{i} Q \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \exp 2 i(q=b) \quad[r \\
& {\left[m_{2}\right]^{1} r } \\
&= \exp 2 i(q=b) \hat{j} \quad\left[\hat{y} \quad\left[m_{x}\right]^{1} y \hat{j}\right]=1:(72)
\end{aligned}
$$

W e list, in Table 'x.' the transform ation table of sublattice indiges of TMO.
$T$ herefore the eigenvalue conditions for transform ation by $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ are

$$
S^{0}(q ; f)=\left(m_{x}\right) S(q ; i)=\left(m_{x}\right) S(q ; f)(73)
$$

and

$$
S^{0}(q ; f)=\left(m_{z}\right) S \quad(q ; i)=\left(m_{z}\right) S(q ; f) ;(74)
$$

where $x\left(m_{x}\right)=y_{r}\left(m_{x}\right)=z_{x}\left(m_{x}\right)=1$ and $\left(m_{z}\right)$ was de ned in Eq. $(\overline{1}(\bar{p})$. From these equations we see that $\left(m_{x}\right)$ assum es the values and $\left(m_{z}\right)$ the values

1. T hen solving the above equations leads to the results


F IG . 3: (C olor on line). M n sites (sm aller circles, on-line red) and Tb sites (larger circles, on-line blue) in the prim itive unit cell of TbM nO 3 . The Tb sites are in the shaded planes at $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{n} \quad \frac{1}{4}$ and the M n sites are in planes $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{n}$ or $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}$, $w h e r e n$ is an integer. The incom $m$ ensurate $w$ avevector is along the b axis. The m irror plane at $\mathrm{z}=1=4$ is indicated and the glide plane $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ is indicated by the m irror plane at $x=3=4$ follow ed by a translation (indicated by the arrow) of $\mathrm{b}=2$ along the y -axis.

| i | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{I})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 | 8 | 5 | 7 |
| 6 | 7 | 6 | 8 |
| 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| 8 | 5 | 8 | 6 |

TABLE X: Transform ation table for sublattice indices of TM O under various operations.
given in Table those in Ref. because here the Fourier transform s are de ned relative to the actual positions, whereas there they are de ned relative to the origin of the unit cell.)

Now, since the crystal is centrosym $m$ etric, we take sym $m$ etry $w$ ith respect to spatial inversion, $I$, into account. A s before, recall that I transports the spin to its spatially inverted position w ithout changing the orientation of the spin (a pseudovector). The change ofposition is equivalent to changing the sign of the w avevector in the Fourier transform and this is accom plished by com plex con jugation. Since the M n ions sit at centers of inversion sym $m$ etry, one has, for the $M \mathrm{n}$ sublattioes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { IS }(q ; n)=S(q ; n) ; \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Irrep | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=$ | + |  |  | + |
| $\left(m_{z}\right)=$ | + 1 | 1 | + 1 | 1 |
| S (q;M 1) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| S (q;M 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}_{M}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}_{M}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}_{M}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| S (q;M 3) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{M}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| S (q;M 4) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| S (q; T 1) | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| S (q; ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| S (q;T 3) | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}{ }_{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{c}} 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| S (q; ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 11}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 0 $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{b}} \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE XI: Spin functions (i. e. actualposition Fourier coe cients) w th in the unit cell of TM O for w avevector $(0 ; q ; 0)$ which are eigenvectors of $m_{x}$ and $m_{z} w$ th the eigenvalues listed, w ith $=\exp (i$ q). All the param eters are com plexvalued. T he irreducible representation (irrep) is labeled as in $R$ ef. 131.1 Inversion sym $m$ etry is not yet taken into account. N ote that the two Tb orbits have independent com plex am plitudes.
where the second argum ent speci es the sublattioe, as in Table ${ }^{1 \times 1}$.'. In order to discuss the symmetry of the coordinates we de ne $x_{1}=n_{M}^{a}, x_{2}=n_{M}^{b}, x_{3}=n_{M}^{c}$ and for irreps 1 and ${ }_{3}, \mathrm{x}_{4}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{5}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{C}}$, whereas for irreps 2 and ${ }_{4}, \mathrm{x}_{4}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{x}_{5}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{x}_{6}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{x}_{7}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{b}}$. Thus Eq. (7⿹\zh26) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I x_{n}=x_{n} ; \quad n=1 ; 2 ; 3: \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Tb ions I interchanges sublattices \# 5 and \# 7 and interchanges sublattioes \# 6 and \# 8. So we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { IS }(q ; 5)=S(q ; 7) \\
& I S(q ; 6)=S(q ; 8): \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I x_{4}=x_{5} ; \quad I x_{6}=x_{7}: \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we use the invariance of the free energy under I to w rite

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2}=\frac{X}{\mathrm{x} ; ; \mathrm{Y} ;} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{X}) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{Y})
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}} G_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}} \\
& \left.=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{nm}}\left[I \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}\right] ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the $m$ atrix $G$ is $H$ erm itian.
For irreps 1 and 3 the $m$ atrix G in Eq. (7"19) couples ve variables. Equation '(7\%) im plies that the upper left 33 subm atrix of $G$ is real. Equations (7G) and (7G) im ply that $G_{n ; 4}=G_{5 ; n}$ and for $n=1 ; 2 ; 3$. We nd that $G$ assum es the form
where the R om an letters are real valued and the G reek are com plex valued. A s show $n$ in the appendix, the form of this $m$ atrix ensures that the criticaleigenvector can be taken to be of the form

$$
=\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{C}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \quad(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t} ; \quad ; \quad) ;(81)
$$

where the Rom an letters are real and the $G$ reek ones com plex. O f course, because the vector can be com plex, we should include an overallphase factor (which am ounts to arbitrarily placing the origin of the incom $m$ ensurate structure), so that m ore generally

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e^{i}(r ; s ; t ; \quad ; \quad: \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

For irreps 2 and 4 them atrix G in Eg. ( $7 \overline{T V}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) couples the seven variables listed just below Eq. (75 ${ }^{\prime}$ ) . Equations (7-9) and (7-9) im ply that $G_{n ; 4}=G_{5 ; n}$ and $G_{n ; 6}=G_{7 ; n}$ for $n=1 ; 2 ; 3$. Therefore $G$ assum es the form
where Rom an letters are real and G reek are com plex. As shown in the appendix, this form ensures that the eigenvectors are of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{c}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{T} 1}^{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t} ; ; ; ;): \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

These results are sum $m$ arized in Table the use of inversion symmetry xes most of the phases and relates the am plitudes of the tw o Tb orbits, thereby elim inating alm ost half the tting param eters3

| Irrep | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=$ | + |  |  | + |
| $\left(m_{z}\right)=$ | + 1 | 1 | + 1 | 1 |
| S (q;M 1) | r | r | r | r |
|  | s | s | s | s |
|  | t | t | t | t |
| S (q;M 2) | r | r | r | r |
|  | s | s | s | s |
|  | t | t | t | t |
| S (q;M 3) | r | r | r | r |
|  | s | s | s | s |
|  | t | t | t | t |
| S (q;M 4) | r | r | r | r |
|  | s | s | s | s |
|  | t | t | t | t |
| S (q; T 1) | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| S (q; T 2) | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| S (q; T 3) | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| S (q;T4) | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 0 |  | 0 |

 for each irrep, inversion sym $m$ etry restricts all the $m$ anganese Fourier coe cients to be real and all the Tb coe cients to have the indicated phase relations.

## 1. O rder P aram eters

We now introduce order param eters $n(q) \quad{ }_{n} e^{i} n$ for irrep $n$ in term $s$ of which we can w rite the spin distribution. For instance under 3 one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{M} 1)=2 \mathrm{r}_{3} \cos (\mathrm{qy}+3) \\
& S_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{M} 1)=2 \mathrm{~s}_{3} \cos \left(\mathrm{qy}+{ }_{3}\right) \\
& S_{z}(r ; M 1)=2 t_{3} \cos (q y+3) \\
& S_{x}(r ; M 2)=2 r_{3} \cos (q y+3) \\
& S_{y}(r ; M 2)=2 s_{3} \cos (q y+3) \\
& S_{z}(r ; M 2)=2 t{ }_{3} \cos (q y+3) \\
& S_{x}(r ; T 1)=S_{y}(r ; T 1)=0 \\
& S_{z}(r ; T 1)=23 \cos (9 y+3+\quad) \\
& S_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{T} 2)=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{T} 2)=0 \\
& S_{z}(r ; T 2)=23 \cos (q y+3) ; \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

where we set $=e^{\dot{j}}$ and the param eters are norm alized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.r^{2}+s^{2}+t^{2}+j\right\}=1: \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. $\left.{ }^{(8-5}\right) r(x ; y ; z)$ is the actual position of the spin in question. From Table le_ $\ddagger$ one can obtain the sym m etry

| $\mathrm{Er}=(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})$ | $2_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{r}=\left(\mathrm{x}+\frac{1}{2} ; \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\frac{1}{2} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2_{\mathrm{z}}=(\overline{\mathrm{x}} ; \overline{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{z})$ | $2_{\mathrm{y}}=\left(\mathrm{x}+\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{y}+\frac{1}{2} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ir}=(\mathrm{x} ; \overline{\mathrm{y}} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}})$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{r}=\left(\mathrm{x}+\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{y}+\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{z}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}})$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{r}=\left(\mathrm{x}+\frac{1}{2} ; \overline{\mathrm{y}}+\frac{1}{2} ; \mathrm{z}\right)$ |

TABLE X III: A s Table $\overline{\text { XIIt. }}$. G eneral $P$ ositions for $P$ bam .
properties of the order param eters for each irrep. For instance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{x} 1(q)=+\quad 1(q) ; \quad m_{z} 1(q)=+1(q) \\
& m_{x} 2(q)=2(q) ; \quad m_{z}(q)=2(q) \\
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} 3(\mathrm{q})=3(\mathrm{q}) ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}} 3(\mathrm{q})=3(\mathrm{q}) \\
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}^{4}(\mathrm{q})=+\quad{ }_{4}(\mathrm{q}) ; \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }_{4}(\mathrm{q})={ }_{4}(\mathrm{q})(87)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(q)={ }_{n}(q): \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that in contrast to the case of NVO, inversion symmetry does not $x$ all the phases. However, it again drastically reduces the num ber of possible magnetic structure param eters w hich have to be determ ined. In particular, it is only by using inversion that one nds that the $m$ agnitudes of the Fourier coe cients of the two distinct Tb sites have to be the same. N ote that if we choose the origin so that $=0$ (which am ounts to renam ing the origin so that that becom es true), then we recover inversion sym $m$ etry (taking account that inversion interchanges terbium sublattice \# 3 and \#1). O ne can determ ine that the spin structure is inversion invariant when one condenses a single representation.
$T$ he result of Table 5 applies other $m$ anganates provided their $w$ avevector is also of the, form $\left(0 ; q_{y} ; 0\right)$. T his includes $\mathrm{YM} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{4-4}$ and $\mathrm{HOMnO}_{3} \mathrm{~A}^{45140}$ Both these system $s$ order into an incom $m$ ensurate structure at about $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad 42 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{The} \mathrm{Y}$ compound has a second lowertem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase, whereas the Ho com pound has a low er-tem perature com $m$ ensurate phase.

$$
\text { C. } \quad \mathrm{TbM} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}
$$

$T$ he space group of T bM $\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (TMO25) is Pbam (\# 55 in Ref. "(2)") and its general positions are listed in Table XIII. The positions of the $m$ agnetic ions are given in Table

W e w ill address the situation just below the ordering tem perature of 43K $1^{10}$ W e take the ordering w avevector to be ${ }^{10}$ - to be $\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; q\right)$ with ${ }^{134}$ q $0: 306$. (This may be an approxim ate value 'Al $^{9}$ ) In itially we assum e that the possible spin con gurations consistent with a continuous transition at such a wavevector are eigenvectors of the operators $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$ which leave the w avevector invariant. W e proceed as for TM O. W e use the unit cell


FIG. 4: (C olor online). Two representations of $\mathrm{TbM} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Top: Mn sites (on-line red) w ith sm aller circles $M n^{3+}$ and larger circles ${ }^{4+}$ and Tb sites (squares, on-line blue) in the prim itive unit cell of $\mathrm{TbM} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The $\mathrm{Mn}^{+4}$ sites are in the shaded planes at $z=n \quad w$ ith $0: 25$ and the $M$ n $^{+3}$ sites are in planes $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{n}$, where n is an integer. T he Tb ions are in the planes $z=n+\frac{1}{2}$. The glide plane $m x$ is indicated by the $m$ irror plane at $x=3=4$ followed by a translation (indicated by the arrow) of $\mathrm{b}=2$ along the y -axis and sim ilarly for the glide plane $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$. B ottom : Perspective view. H ere the $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{3+}$ are inside oxygen pyram ids of $s m$ all balls and the $M n^{4+}$ are inside oxygen octahedra.

Fourier transform $s$ and $w$ rite the eigenvector conditions for transform ation by $m x$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
S(q ; f)^{0} & =\left(m_{x}\right) S(q ; i) e^{i q\left(r_{f} R_{i}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{x} S(q ; f) ; \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i$ and $R_{i}$ are respectively the sublattioe indioes and unit cell locations before transform ation and f and

| M ${ }^{3+}$ | $\begin{gathered} (1)=(x ; y ; 0) \\ \text { (3) }\left(x+\frac{1}{2} ; y+\frac{1}{2} ; 0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2)=(\bar{x} ; \bar{y} ; 0) \\ (4)=\left(x+\frac{1}{2} ; \bar{y}+\frac{1}{2} ; 0\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M n ${ }^{4+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (5) }=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; z\right) \\ & \text { (7) }=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; \bar{z}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (6) }=\left(0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; z\right) \\ & (8)=\left(0 ; \frac{1}{2} ; \bar{z}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| R E | $\begin{gathered} \text { (9) }=\left(X ; Y ; \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ (11)=\left(X+\frac{1}{2} ; Y+\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left.(10)=\overline{(X} ; \bar{Y} ; \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ (12)=\left(X+\frac{1}{2} ; \bar{Y}+\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE XIV : Positions of the $m$ agnetic ions of $T b M n_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{in}^{2}$ the Pbam structure. H ere $\mathrm{x}=0: 09, \mathrm{y}=0: 15, \mathrm{z}=0: 25 \frac{147 \text {. }}{4}$. $\mathrm{X}=0: 14$, and $\mathrm{Y}=0: 17^{14 \mathbf{L}^{\prime}}$. A 11 these values are taken from the isostructural com pound $\mathrm{H} \circ \mathrm{OM}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
$R_{f}$ are those after transform ation. The eigenvalue equation for transform ation by $m_{y}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
S(q ; f)^{0} & =\left(m_{y}\right) S(q ; i) e^{i q\left(r_{f} R_{i}\right)} \\
& ={ }_{y} S(q ; f): \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

If one attem pts to construct spin functions which are sim ultaneously eigenfunctions of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$ one nds that these equations yield no solution. W hile it is, of course, true that the operations $m_{x}$ and $m_{y}$ take an eigenfunction into an eigenfunction, it is only for irreps of dim ension one that the initial and nal eigenfunctions are the sam e, as we have assum ed. The present case, when the wavevector is at the edge of the B rillouin zone is analogous to the phenom enon of \sticking" $w$ here, for nonsym $m$ orphic space group (i. e. those having a screw axis or a glide plane) the energy bands (or phonon spectra) have an alm ost $m$ ysterious degeneracy at the zone boundary ${ }^{5}$ a and the only active irrep has di$m$ ension tw $o$. $T$ his $m$ eans that the sym $m$ etry operations induce transform ations w ithin the subspace of pairs of eigenfunctions. W e now determ ine such pairs of eigenfunctions by a straightforward approach which do not require any know ledge of group theory. H ere we explicitly consider the sym $m$ etries of the $m$ atrix ${ }^{1}$ for the quadratic term $s$ in the free energy which here is a 3636 dim ensionalm atrix, which we w rite as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llll}
2 & M^{(x x)} \quad M^{(x y)} \quad M^{(x z)} 3
\end{array} \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M^{(a b)}$ is a 12 dim ensional subm atrix which describes coupling betw een a-com ponent and b-com ponent spins and is indexed by sublattioe indices and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~T}$ he sym $m$ etries we invoke are operations of the screw axes, $m_{x}$ and $m_{y}$ which conserve $w$ avevector (to $w$ th in a reciprocal lattice vector), and I, whose e ect is usually ignored. To guide the reader through the ensuing calculation we sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain steps. We rst analyze separately the sectors involving the $x, y$, and $z$ spin com ponents. W e develop a unitary transform ation which takes M ( ) into a m atrix allofw hose elem ents are real.

| $n_{i}$ | $m_{x}$ | $m_{y}^{a}$ |  | $m_{x} m_{y}^{a}$ |  | $I^{b}$ |  | $m_{x} m_{y} I$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n_{f}$ | $n_{f}$ | $e^{i}$ | $n_{f}$ | $e^{i}$ | $n_{f}$ | $e^{i}$ | $n_{f}$ |
| 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
| 6 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 8 |
| 7 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
| 8 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 9 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| 10 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| 11 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| 12 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 12 |

TABLE XV:Transform ation table for sublattice indices $w$ ith associated factors for TM O 25 under various operations. as de ned by Eq. (1-19). For $m_{x}$, one has $\exp \left[i q \quad\left(R_{£} \quad R_{i}\right)\right]=$ 1 for all cases and for $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{I}$ the analogous factor is +1 in all cases and this operator relates $S(q ;)$ and $S(q ;)$. NOTE:This table does not include the factor of (O) which $m$ ay be associated $w$ th an operation.
a) $=q \quad\left(R_{E} \quad R_{i}\right)$, as required by Eq. (18).
b) ${ }^{0}=q \quad(i+f)$, as required by Eq. (2I).

This xes the phases within the 12 dim ensional space of the spin com ponents within the unit œlll (assum ing these relations are not invalidated by the form ofm ( ), $w$ ith ). The relative phases betw een di erent spin com ponents is xed by show ing that the unitary transfor$m$ ation introduced above leads to $\mathrm{M}^{(\mathrm{xy})}$ having all realvalued $m$ atrix elem ents and $M^{(x z)}$ and $M$ ( $y z$ ) having all purely im aginary $m$ atrix elem ents. T he conclusion, then, is that the phases in the sectors of $x$ and $y$ com ponents are coupled in phase and the sector of $z$ com ponents are out of phase $w$ ith the $x$ and $y$ com ponents.

## 1. x C om ponents

A s a prelm inary, in Table 'XV'I we list the e ect of the sym $m$ etry operations on thēsublattice index. $W$ hen these symm etries are used, one nds the 1212 sub$m$ atrix of $M^{(x x)}$ which couples only the $x$-com ponents of spins assum es the form

where R om an letters are real quantities and G reek ones com plex. (In this $m$ atrix the lines are used to separate di erentW ycko orbits.) Thenum bering of the row sand colum ns follow s from Table $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \overline{\mathrm{V}} 1.1$. I give a few exam ples of how symm etry is used to get this form. C onsider the term $\mathrm{T}_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}={ }_{1 ; 5}^{1} S_{x}(q ; 1) S_{x}(q ; 5): \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing T able' $\mathrm{X} \overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{I}$ ' we transform this by $\mathrm{m} \times$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1}^{0}={ }_{1 ; 5}^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 3) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 6) ; \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

which says that the $1,5 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ent is equal to the $3,6 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ent. $\left(\mathbb{N}\right.$ ote that in writing dow $\mathrm{T}_{1}^{0}$ we did not need to worry about, since this factor com es in squared as unity.) Likew ise if we transform by $m_{y}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1}^{0}={ }_{15}^{1}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 4)\right]\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 6)\right] ; \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

which says that the $1,5 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ent is equal to the negative of the $4,6 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ent. If we transform by $m_{x} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{y}}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1}^{0}={ }_{1 ; 5}^{1}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 2)\right]\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 5)\right] ; \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

which says that the $1,5 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ent is equal to the negative of the $2,5 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix elem ent. To ilhustrate the ect of $I$ on $T_{1}$ wewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{1}^{0}={ }_{1 ; 5}^{1}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 2)\right]\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q} ; 7)\right] ; \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the 1,5 elem ent is the negative of the 7,2 elem ent. From the form of the matrix in Eq. (92) (or equivalently referring to $T a b l e$ l $\bar{X} \bar{X}_{1}^{\prime}$ in A ppendix ${ }^{\text {B }}$ ), we see that we bring this $m$ atrix intō $\bar{b}$ lock diagonalform by introducing the $w$ avefunctions for $S_{x}(q ;)$,

| = | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{O}_{1 ;}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 1)}=$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{V}^{2} \mathrm{O}_{2 \text {; }}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 1)}{ }^{(x)}=$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20_{3 ;}^{(x ; 1)}=$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{pa}_{4 ;}^{(x ; 1)}=$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | i | i |  | i | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{2} \overline{20}_{5}^{(x ; 1)}{ }^{(x)}=$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{20}_{6 ;}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 1)}=$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

The superscripts ; in on O label, respectively, the C artesian com ponent and the colum $n$ of the irrep according to which the wavefunction transform s. The subscripts m; label, respectively, the index num ber of the wavefunction and the sublattioe label. In this subspace $h O_{n}^{(x ; 1)} M^{(x x)} f_{m}^{(x ; 1)}$ i $\quad \mathrm{hn} \mathrm{M}^{(x x)}$ in $i$ is

where the coe fients are separated ipto real and im ag-
 nonzero $m$ atrix elem ents betw een wavefunctions which transform according to di erent colum ns of the irrep.
$T$ he partners of these functions can be found from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 2)}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 1)} \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, using Table $\overline{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{I}$ and including the factor , we get

$W$ ithin this subspace them atrix $\mathrm{hn} \mathrm{M}^{(\mathrm{xx})} \mathrm{jn} \mathrm{i}$ is the sam e as in Eq. (99) because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hn } \min _{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{M}^{(\mathrm{xx})} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}} \text { in } i=\operatorname{hn} \mathrm{M}^{(\mathrm{xx})} \text { gin } i: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

These functions transform as expected for a two di$m$ ensional irrep, nam ely,
. W e w ill refer to the transform ed coordinates of Eqs. (98) and (1011) as \sym m etry adapted coordinates." The fact that the m odel-speci cmatrix that couples them is real, $m$ eans that the critical eigenvector is a linear com bination of sym $m$ etry adapted coordinates $w$ ith real 0 e cients.

## 2. y C om ponents

The $12 \quad 12 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $M{ }^{(\mathrm{yy})}$ coupling $y$ com ponents of spin has exactly the sam e form as that given in Eq. (92), although the values of the constants are unrelated. This is because here one has ${ }_{y}^{2}=1$ in place of ${\underset{x}{x}}_{2}=1$. Therefore the associated wavefunctions can be expressed just as in Eqs. (90) and (101) exœept that all the superscripts are changed from $x$ to $\bar{y}$ and now labels $S_{y}(q ;)$. H ow ever, the transform ation of the $y$ com ponents rather than the x components, requires replacing x by y which induces sign changes, so that

W e want to construct wavefunctions in this sector which transform just like the x com ponents, so that they can be appropriately combined with the wavefunctions for the $x$-com ponents. In view of Eq. (103) we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{y} ; 1)}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 2)} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{y} ; 2)}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 1)}: \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the coe cients for $O_{n}^{(y ; 1)}$ are given by Eq. (101 1 those for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(\mathrm{y} ; 2)}$ by Eq. (908) . These wavefunctions are constructed to transform exactly as those for the x com ponents.

## 3. $z$ C om ponents

Sim ilarly, we consider the e ect of the transform ations of the $z$ com ponents. In this case we take account of the factor $z$ to get

W e now construct wavefunctions in this sector which transform just like the x com ponents. In view of Eq. (10은) we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{z} ; 1)}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 2)} ; \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{z} ; 2)}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{(\mathrm{x} ; 1)} ; \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the coe cients for $O_{n}^{(z ; 1)}$ are given by Eq. (10-1 ${ }^{1}$ ) and those for $\mathrm{O}_{n}^{(z ; 2)}$ are the negatives of those of Eq . (998). T hese w avefunctions are constructed to transform exactly as those for the x com ponents.

## 4. The TotalW avefunction and $O$ rder $P$ aram eters

 using inversion sym $m$ etry. To do this it is convenient to invoke invariance under the sym $m$ etry operation $m_{x} m_{y} I$ whose e ect is given in Tablé $\bar{X} \underline{V}$. We w rite

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m_{x} m_{y} I S_{a}(q ;)=a\left(m_{x}\right)_{a}\left(m_{y}\right) \\
 \tag{108}\\
S_{a}(q ; R) ;
\end{array}
$$

where $R=$ for $5 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8$, otherw ise $R=2$ w ithin the rem aining sector of 's and a (and later b) denotes one of $x, y$, and $z$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
T & S_{a}(q ;) M M_{0}^{(a b)} S_{b}\left(q ;{ }^{0}\right) \\
= & {\left.\left[m_{x} m_{y} I S_{a}(q ;)\right] M^{(a b)} \underset{0}{(q)} m_{x} m_{y} I S_{b}\left(q ;{ }^{0}\right)\right] } \\
= & C_{a b} S_{a}\left(q ; R \quad M^{(a b)} S_{b}\left(q ; R^{0}\right) ;\right. \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a b}=a\left(m_{x}\right)_{a}\left(m_{y}\right)_{b}\left(m_{x}\right)_{b}\left(m_{y}\right): \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{R}}^{(\mathrm{ba})_{0 ;}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{M} \underset{0}{(\mathrm{ab})} ; \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, since $M$ is Herm itian that
$N$ ow we consider the $m$ atrices $M\left({ }^{(a b)}\right.$, in the sym $m$ etry adapted representation where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n \rightarrow m}^{(a b)}=X^{X}\left[D_{n}^{a p}\right] M \underset{0}{(a b)} O_{m}^{b p} \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =C_{a b}^{X}\left[O_{n R}^{a p}\right] \stackrel{h}{M} \underset{; 0}{(a b)} O_{m R}^{\mathrm{bp}} \circ \text { : } \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

There are no $m$ atrix elem ents connecting $p$ and $p^{0} \in p$ and the result is independent of $p$. O ne can verify from


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{ap}}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n} ;}^{\mathrm{ap}} ; \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{ab})} & =\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ab}}\left[\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}\right] \mathrm{M}_{; 0}^{(\mathrm{ab})} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{bp}} 0 \\
& =\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nm}}: \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e have that $C_{X Y}=C_{X Z}=C_{Y Z}=1$, so that all the elem ents $\circ \mathrm{fM}{ }^{(x y)}$ are realand all the elem ents of $M(x z)$ and $M^{(y z)}$ are im aginary. Thus apart from an over all phase for the eigenfunction ofeach colum $n$, the phases of all the Fourier coe cients are xed. W hat this means is that the critical eigenvector can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
= & X^{X^{2}} \sum_{p=1}^{X^{6}} r_{n=1} \quad r_{n X} O_{n}^{(x ; p)}+r_{n y} O_{n}^{(y ; p)} \\
& +i r_{n z} O_{n}^{(z ; p)} ; \tag{116}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the r's are all real-valued and are norm alized by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}^{6} \mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{n}=1 \tag{117}
\end{gather*} \quad\left[\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]^{2}=1 ;
$$

and $p$ are arbitrary com plex num bers. Thus we have the result of T able
$T$ he order param ēters are

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad 1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ; \quad 2 \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2}: \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ either the relative $m$ agnitudes of 1 and 2 nor their phases are xed by the quadratic term $s$ w thin the Landau expansion. N ote that the structure param eters of a ble $X \bar{X} \bar{T}$ are determ ined by the $m$ icroscopic interactions

| Spin | 1 | 2 | Spin | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S (q;1) | $\begin{aligned} & r_{1 x} \\ & r_{1 y} \\ & \mathbf{r}_{1 z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{2 x} \\ & r_{2 y} \\ & i_{2 z} \\ & i_{2 z} \end{aligned}$ | S (q;7) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{iz} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{iz} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ |
| S (q; 2 ) | $\begin{aligned} & r_{2 x} \\ & r_{2 y} \\ & i r_{2 z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{r}_{1 \mathrm{x}} \\ & \mathrm{r}_{1 \mathrm{y}} \\ & \mathrm{ir} \mathrm{r}_{1 \mathrm{z}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | S (q;8) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{iz} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{iz}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{array}$ |
| S (q;3) | $\begin{gathered} r_{1 \mathrm{x}} \\ r_{1 \mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{ir}_{1 \mathrm{z}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{2 x} \\ & r_{2 y} \\ & i r_{2 z} \end{aligned}$ | S (q;9) | $\begin{aligned} & r_{5 x} \\ & r_{5 y} \\ & i_{5 z} \\ & i_{5 z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{6 x} \\ & r_{6 y} \\ & r_{6 z} \end{aligned}$ |
| S (q;4) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{r}_{2 \mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{r}_{2 \mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{r}_{2 z} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{1 \mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{r}_{1 \mathrm{y}} \\ i r_{1 \mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | S (q;10) | $\begin{aligned} & r_{6 x} \\ & r_{6 y} \\ & i r_{6 z} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{5 x} \\ & r_{5 y} \\ & i r_{5 z} \end{aligned}$ |
| S (q;5) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{iz}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{i} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | S (q;11) | $\begin{aligned} & r_{5 x} \\ & r_{5 y} \\ & i r_{5 z} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{6 x} \\ r_{6 y} \\ i r_{6 z} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| S (q; ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{iz}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{x}} \\ \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{y}} \\ \mathrm{iz}_{\mathrm{z}} \end{gathered}$ | S (q;12) | $\begin{gathered} r_{6 x} \\ r_{6 y} \\ i r_{6 z} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{5 x} \\ r_{5 y} \\ i r_{5 z} \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE XVI: Norm alized spin functions (i. e. Fourier coe cients) $w$ ith in the unit cell of $\mathrm{T} \beta \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for w avevector $\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; q\right)$. H ere $z=\left(r_{3}+i r_{4}\right)=\overline{2}$. All the $r^{\prime}$ s are real variables. The wavefunction listed under 1 ( 2 ) transform $s$ according to the rst (second) colum $n$ of the irrep. T he actualspin structure is a linear com bination of the two colum ns w ith arbitrary com plex coe cients.
which determ ine the $m$ atrix elem ents in the quadratic free energy. (Since these are usually not well know $n$, one has recourse to a sym $m$ etry analysis.) $T$ he direction in $1^{-} 2$ space which the system assum es, is determ ined by fourth or higher-order term $s$ in the Landau expansion. Since not $m$ uch is know $n$ about these term $s$, this direction is reaosonably treated as a param eter to be extracted from the experim ental data. W e use Table $\bar{X} \bar{V} \bar{I}$ to w rite the $m$ ost general spin functions consistent $w$ ith crystal sym m etry as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { h } \\
& S(R ; 1)=1 \quad\left(r_{1 x} \hat{i}+r_{1 y} \hat{j}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right) \\
& +r_{1 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right) \\
& +2\left(r_{2 x} \hat{i}+r_{2 y} \hat{j}\right] \cos (q \quad R+2) \\
& +r_{2 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{2}\right) \\
& \text { h } \\
& S(R ; 2)= \\
& 1 \quad\left(r_{2 x} \hat{i}+r_{2 y} \hat{j}\right) \cos \left(q_{i} R+1\right) \\
& r_{2 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & R
\end{array}\right) \\
& +2\left(r_{1 x} \hat{i}+r_{1 y} \hat{j}\right] \cos (q \quad R+2) \\
& \text { i } \\
& r_{1 z} \hat{k} \sin (q \quad R+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
S(R ; 5)= & 1\left(z_{x}^{0} \hat{i} \quad z_{y}^{0} \hat{j} \quad z_{z}^{\infty} \hat{k}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right) \\
i
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
S\left(R_{6}\right)=1\left(z_{x}^{0} \hat{i}+z_{y}^{0} \hat{j}+z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
+\underset{h}{\left(z_{x}^{\infty} \hat{i}+z_{y}^{\infty} \hat{j}_{i} \quad z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right) \sin (q \quad R+1)}
$$

$$
+2\left(z_{x}^{0} \hat{i}+z_{y}^{0} \hat{j} \quad z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right] \cos (q \quad R+2)
$$

$$
+\left(z_{x}^{\infty} \hat{i}+z_{y}^{\infty} \hat{\jmath}+z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right) \sin (q \quad R+2)
$$

$$
S(R ; 7)=1 \quad\left(z_{x}^{0} \hat{i} \quad z_{y}^{0} \hat{j}+z_{z}^{00} \hat{k}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
+\left(z_{x}^{\infty} \hat{i}+z_{y}^{\infty} \hat{\jmath}+z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right) \sin (q \quad R+1)
$$

$$
h^{\wedge}
$$

$$
+2\left(z_{x}^{0} \hat{i}+z_{y} \hat{\jmath}+z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right] \cos (q \quad R+2)
$$

$$
+\left(z_{x}^{\infty} \hat{i} \quad z_{y}^{\infty} \hat{j}+z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right) \sin (q \quad R+2)^{\perp}
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}
$$

$$
S\left(R_{8}\right)=1\left(z_{x}^{0} \hat{i}+z_{y}^{0} \hat{j} \quad z_{z}^{00} \hat{k}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
+\left(z_{x}^{\infty} \hat{i} \quad z_{y}^{\infty} \hat{j} \quad z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right) \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
+2\left(z_{x}^{0} \hat{i}+z_{y} \hat{j}+z_{z}^{00} \hat{k}\right] \cos (q \quad R+2)
$$

$$
+\left(z_{x}^{\infty} z_{y}^{\infty} \hat{\jmath}+z_{z}^{0} \hat{k}\right) \sin (q \quad R+2)
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}
$$

$$
S(R ; 9)=1 \quad\left(r_{5 x} \hat{i}+r_{5 y} \hat{j}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
+r_{5 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}
$$

$$
+2\left(r_{6 x} \hat{i}+r_{6 y} \hat{j}\right] \cos (q \quad R+2)
$$

$$
+r_{6 z} \hat{k} \sin (q \quad R+2)
$$

i
h

$$
S(R ; 10)=1 \quad\left(r_{6 x} \hat{i}+r_{6 y} \hat{j}\right) \cos \left(q_{i} R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
r_{6 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { h } \\
& S(R ; 3)=1 \quad\left(r_{1 x} \hat{i} \quad r_{1 y} \hat{j}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right) \\
& r_{1 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right) \\
& \text { h } \\
& +2\left(r_{2 x} \hat{i}+r_{2 y} \hat{j}\right] \cos \left(q \quad R+{ }_{2}\right) \\
& +r_{2 z} \hat{k} \sin (q \quad R+2) \\
& S(R ; 4)=1^{h}\left(r_{2 x} \hat{i} \quad r_{2 y} \hat{j}\right) \cos \left(q \quad R+r_{1}\right) \\
& +r_{2 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{1}\right) \\
& h(1) \\
& +2\left(r_{1 x} \hat{i}+r_{1 y} \hat{j}\right] \cos (q \quad R+2) \\
& r_{1 z} \hat{k} \sin \left(q \quad R+{ }_{2}\right) \\
& \text { h }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \left.+{ }_{2}^{h} \quad r_{5 x} \hat{i}+r_{5 y} \hat{j}\right] \cos (q \\
i
\end{array} \quad R+{ }_{2}\right)
$$

In Table $\bar{X} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \overline{\mathrm{~T}}$ the position ofeach spin is $R+{ }_{\mathrm{n}}$, where the are listed in $T$ able $\overline{I N}$ and $R$ is $B$ ravais lattice vector. The sym $m$ etry properties of the order param eters are


W e now check a few representative cases of the above transform ation. If we apply $m_{x}$ to $S(q ; 1)$ we do not change the signs of the x com ponent but do change the signs of the $y$ and $z$ com ponents. A s a result we get $S(q ; 3)$ except that $y$ has changed sign, in agreem ent $w$ th the rst line ofEq. (120). Ifwe apply $m_{y}$ to $S(q ; 1)$ we do not change the sign of the $y$ com ponent but do change the signs of the $x$ and $z$ com ponents. A s a result we get $S(q ; 4)$ except that now 1 is replaced by 2 and
2 is replaced by ${ }_{1}$, in agreem ent $w$ ith the second line of Eq. (12a). W hen inversion is applied to $S(q ; 1)$ we change the sign of $R$ but not the orientation of the spins which are pseudovectors. W e then obtain $S(q ; 2)$ providing we replace 1 by ${ }_{1}$ and 2 by ${ }_{1}$, in agreem ent $w$ ith the last line of Eq. ( 12 Q ).

## 5. C om parison to $G$ roup $T$ heory

H ere Ibrie y com pare the above calculation to the one using the sim plest form ulation of representation theory. The rst step in the standard formulation is to nd the irreps of the group of the w avevector. T he easiest way to do this is to introduce a double group having eight
elem ents (see A ppendix B) since we need to take account of the operatorm ${ }_{y}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E}$. (This is done in A ppendix B.) From this one nds that each W ycko orbit and each spin com ponent can be considered separately (since they do not transform into one another under the operations we consider). T hen, in every case the only irrep that appears is the two dim ensional one for which we set

$$
m_{x}=\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array} m_{y}=\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array} m_{x} m_{y}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}(121)
$$

Indeed, one can verify that the functions in the second (third) colum $n$ of $T a b l e$ XV $\bar{i} \frac{1}{4}$ com prise a basis vector for colum $n$ one (two) of this two dim ensional irrep. O ne m ight ask: \W hy have we undertaken the ugly detailed consideration of the $m$ atrix for $F_{2}$ ?" $T$ he point is that w ithin standard representation theory all the variables in Table XV $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{I}$ w ould be independently assigned arbitrary phases. In addition, the am plitudes for the T b orbits (sublattices \# 5, \# 6 and sublattices \# 7, \# 8) would have independent am plitudes. To get the results actually show $n$ in TablexVin one would have to do the equivalent of analyzing the $\bar{e}$ ect of inversion invariance of the free energy. This task would be a very technical exercise in the arcane aspects of group theory which here we avoid by an exercise in algebra, which though messy, is basically high school $m$ ath. I also wam the reader that canned program sto perform the standard representation analysis can not alw ays be relied upon to be correct. It is w orth noting that published papers dealing w ith TM O 25 have not invoked inversion sym $m$ etry. For instance in $R$ ef. ${ }^{1} 100_{1}^{1}$ one sees the statem ent $\backslash A s$ in the incom $m$ ensurate case [3], each of the $m$ agnetic atom $s$ in the unit cell is allow ed to have an independent SDW, i. e., its own am plitude and phase," and later on in Ref. 429, "all phases were subsequently $\quad$ xed ... to be rational fractions of ." U se of the present theory would elim inate most of the phases and would relate the two distinct $M \mathrm{n}^{4+} \mathrm{W}$ ycko orbits (just as happened for TMO).

Finally, to see the ect of inversion on a concrete level I analyze the situation w ithin the $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ orbit and consider only the $x$ com ponents of spin. The inverse susceptibility would then be the upper left 44 subm atrix
 this subm atrix would be the sam e except that one w ould have had

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{14}=M_{23}=M_{32}=M_{41}=\text { ir ; } \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is real. O ne can verify that when $r=0$ the eigenvectors can be taken to have only real com ponents, $w$ hereas when $r$, the eigenvectors are com plex $w$ ith relative phases dependent on the value of $r$,

$$
\text { 6. } \mathrm{C} \text { om parison to } \mathrm{YM}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}
$$

$\mathrm{YM}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ ( YMO 25 ) is isostructural to TM 025 , so its m agnetic structure is relevant to the present discussion.

I will consider the highest tem perature $m$ agnetically ordered phase, which appears betw een about 20K and 45K. In this com pound $Y$ is nonm agnetic and in the highertem perature ordered phase $q_{2}=1=4$, so the system is com $m$ ensurate. But since the value of $q_{z}$ is not special, the sym $m$ etry of this state is essentially the sam e as that of TMO25. Throughout this subsection the structural inform ation is taken from $F$ ig. 2 of Ref. "II". (T he upperm ost panel is m islabeled and is obviously the one we w ant for the highest tem perature ordered phase.)


FIG.5: (C olor online). T op: The spin structure of the $M \mathrm{n}^{3+}$ ions in $Y M_{-1} n_{2} O_{5}$ (lim ted to one a-b pane), taken from F ig. 2 of $R$ ef. $\mathbf{i 1 1}$. The sublattices are labeled in our convention. B ottom left: the spin structure of after transform ation by $m \times$. B ottom right: spin structure of $\mathrm{TbM} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ after transform ation by $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$.

From Fig. eigenvector of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{w}$ ith eigenvalue 1 . So this structure $m$ ust be that of the second colum $n$ of the irrep. In accordance with this identi cation one sees that the initial wavefunction is orthogonal to the wavefunction transform ed by $m_{y}$ (since this transform ation $w i l l$ produce a wavefunction associated with the rst colum $n$ ). Referring to Eq. (1191), one sees that to describe the pattem of $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ spins one chooses

$$
\begin{gather*}
1=0 ; \quad r_{2 \mathrm{x}}=r_{1 \mathrm{x}} \quad 0: 95 ; \\
r_{1 \mathrm{y}}=r_{2 \mathrm{y}} \quad 0: 3: \tag{123}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ he point we make here is that ${ }_{1}=0$. A though the values of these order param eters were not given in Ref. "III", it seem s clear that in the low er tem perature phase the order param eters $m$ ust be com parable in $m$ agnitude.

$$
\text { D. } \mathrm{CuFeO}_{2}
$$

 in Ref. (2 ${ }^{2}$ ) and its general positions are given in Table XVII.

W e are interested in structures that can appear for generalw avevectors of the type $q$ ( $q ; q ; 0$ ) (in crystall graphic notation), in otherw ords for $w$ avevectors parallel

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Er}=(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z}) \\ \mathrm{m}{ }_{3} \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 3 \mathrm{r}= & (\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}) \\ \mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{r} & (\mathrm{z} ; \mathbf{y} \boldsymbol{z}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} 3^{2} \mathrm{r} & =(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{x}) \\ \mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{r} & =(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{y}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ir}=(\overline{\mathrm{x}} ; \overline{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{z})$ | $\mathrm{I} 3 \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{z} ; \overline{\mathrm{x}} ; \overline{\mathrm{y}})$ | $\underline{I} 3^{2} \mathrm{r}=\left(\mathrm{y} ; \bar{z}^{\prime} ; \overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Im}_{3} \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{y} ; \overline{\mathrm{x}} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}})$ | $\underline{I m}{ }_{2} \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{z} ; \overline{\mathrm{y}} ; \overline{\mathrm{x}})$ | $\underline{\mathrm{Im}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{X} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}} ; \overline{\mathrm{Y}})$ |

TABLE XVII: General Positions for $R \overline{3} \mathrm{~m}$, with respect to rhom bohedral axes. H ere "3" denotes a three-fold rotation and $m_{n}$ labels the threem irrorplanes which contain the threefold axis.


FIG. 6: The lattice of $m$ agnetic Fe ions in CFO. Here I show sections of three ad jacent triangular lattice layers. T he $w$ avevector lies along the $x$-axis. The dashed line indicates that the central site lies directly above (below) the center of gravity, indicated by a dot, of a triangle of the layer below (above) it.
to a nearest neighbor vector of the triangular plane of Fe ions. The only operation (other than the identity) that conserves $w$ avevector is $2_{x}$ a tw o-fold rotation about the axis of the wavevector. C learly, the Fourier com ponent $m_{z}(q)$ obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
2_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{q}) \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we call this irrep \# 1. For irrep \# 2 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2_{x} m_{y}(q)=m_{y}(q) \\
& 2_{x} m_{z}(q)=m_{z}(q): \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

A sbefore inversion xes the phase of these coe cients to be the sam e (w ithin a given irrep), so one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{x}=1 \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}=2 \mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}=2 \mathrm{~S} ; \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{2}+s^{2}=1$ and $\left.n(\dot{\mathcal{q}}\rangle\right)={ }_{n} e^{i n}$. Thus, if both irreps are present, we w ould have

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{x}(r)=2{ }_{1} \cos (q x+1) \\
& m_{y}(r)=2{ }_{2} r \cos (q x+2) \\
& m_{z}(r)=2{ }_{2} \operatorname{scos}(q x+2): \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have the transform ation properties

$$
\begin{align*}
2_{\mathrm{x}} 1 & =1 ; 2_{\mathrm{x}} 2=2 \\
\mathrm{I}_{1} & =[1] ; \mathrm{I}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
2
\end{array}\right]: \tag{129}
\end{align*}
$$

For fiture reference it is interesting to note that at zero applied electric and $m$ agnetic elds the free energy $m$ ust be invariant under taking either 1 or 2 into its negative. To see this w rite the free energy as an expansion in pow ers of the order param eters:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=\begin{array}{llllll}
X & a_{k l m} n & 1 & 1 & m_{2} & 2^{n}:
\end{array}  \tag{130}\\
& \mathrm{k} ; 1, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n}
\end{align*}
$$

To be tim e reversalinvariant, the totalnum ber ofpow ers $(k+l+m+n) m$ ust be even. A lso, to be invariant under $2_{x}$ the total num ber of powers of $2(m+n)$ has to be even. So both $k+l$ and $m+n$ are even. But wavevector conservation implies that $l+n=k+m$ (assum ing we have a truly incom $m$ ensurate phase). Thus $l=k+2$ and $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{m} \quad 2$. Then

$$
F={\underset{k i l m ; n}{ } \quad a_{k l m} n{ }_{1}^{2 k+2}{\underset{2}{2 m}}_{2}^{2} e^{2 i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1)
\end{array}:(131)\right.}^{(1)}
$$

E. D iscussion

1. Sum $m$ ary of Results

In Table $\bar{X} \mathrm{~V}$-IIT we collect the results for various multiferroics.
2. E ect of $Q$ uartic Term s

A swe now discuss, the quartic term $s$ in the Landau expansion can have signi cant qualitative e ect'śs. In general, the quartic term s are the low est order ones which favor the xed length spin constraint, a constraint which is know $n$ to be dom inant at low tem perature 54 H ow this constraint com es into play depends on what state is selected by the quadratic term s. For instance, in the sim plest scenario w hen one has a ferrom agnetior an antiferrom agnet, the instability is such (see Fig. '11 (1) that ordering w ith uniform spin length takes place. Thus, as the tem perature is low ered w ithin the ordered phase, the ordering of wavevectors near $q=0$ for the ferrom agnet (near $\mathrm{q}=$ for the antiferrom agnet) which would have becom e unstable if only the quadratic term s w ere relevant, is strongly disfavored by the quartic term s . In the system s considered here the situation is quite di erent. For
 term $s$ select an incom $m$ ensurate structure in which the spins are aligned along an easy axis and their magnitudes are sinusoidally $m$ odulated. A s the tem perature is low ered the quartic term $s$ lead to an instability in which transverse spin com ponent break the sym m etry of the longitudinal incom $m$ ensurate phase. This scenario explainsw hy the highest-tem perature incom $m$ ensurate longitudinalphase becom es unstable to a low er-tem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase which has both longitudinal and transverse com ponents which m ore nearly conserve spin length.

To see this result form ally for NVO, TMO, or M WO, let > ( < ) be the com plex valued order param eter for the higher-tem perature longitudinal (low er-tem perature transverse) ordering. The fourth order term $s$ then lead to the free energy as

$$
\begin{align*}
F= & a\left(T \quad T_{>}\right) j>j+b\left(T \quad T_{<}\right) j<J \\
& +A(j>j+j<J)^{2}+B j><j \\
& +C\left[(<>)^{2}+(<>)^{2}\right] ; \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

where A, B, and C are real. That C is real is a result of inversion sym $m$ etry, which, for these system $s$ leads to I ${ }_{n}={ }_{n}$. The high-tem perature representation does allow transverse com ponents and could, in principle, satisfy the xed length constraint. In the usual situation, how ever, the exchange couplings are nearly isotropic and this state is not energetically favored. If the higher tem perature structure is longitudinal, then $B$ will surely be negative, whereas if the higher tem perature structure conserves spin length B will probably be positive. By properly choosing the relative phases of the two order param eters the term in C always favors having two irreps. So the usual soenario in which the longitudinal phase becom es unstable relative to transverse ordering is explained (in this phenom enology) by having B be negative, so that the discussion after Eq. (4d) applies.

To nish the argum ent it rem ains to consider the term in $C$, which can be wrilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{4}=2 \mathrm{C} \stackrel{2}{<} \stackrel{2}{>} \cos (2<2>) ; \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

where again we expressed the order param eters as in Eq. (433') . N orm ally, if tw o irreps are favored, it is because together they better satisfy the xed length constraint. $W$ hat that $m$ eans is that when spins have substantial length in one irrep, the contribution to their spin length from the second irrep is sm all. In other words, the two irreps are out of phase and we therefore expect that to $m$ inim ize $F_{4}$ we do not set $<=$, , but rather

$$
\begin{equation*}
<=\quad=2: \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, we expect C in Eq. (13 $T$ he sam e reasoning indicates that the fourth order term $s$ w ill favor $21_{1}==2$ in Eq. (128) forCFO.

For all of these system s which have two consecutive continuous transitions one has a fam ily of broken sym $m$ etry states. At the highest tem perature transition one

| P hase | $\mathrm{T}_{<}$(K) | T > ( K ) | q | Irreps | Refs. | FE? | Refs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NVO (HTI) | 6.3 | 9.1 | $(q, 0,0)$ | 4 | ,61331 | No | 山, ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| NVO (LT I) | 3.9 | 6.3 | $(\mathrm{q}, 0,0)$ | ${ }_{4}+1$ | , $6^{1} 133_{1}$ | j${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4, |
| TMO (HTI) | 28 | 41 | (0; ; $^{(0)}$ | 3 | , | No | 言 |
| TMO (LT I) |  | 28 | (0; ; ; 0) | $3+$ | - | jic | 21 |
| $\mathrm{TbM}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (HTI) | 38 | 43 | $\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; q\right)^{(a)}$ | (b) | - ${ }^{10} 0$ | No | '58' |
| TbM $\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (LT I) | 33 | 38 | $\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; q\right)$ | (c) | 110,49 |  | ${ }^{581}$ |
| YMn ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (C) ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | 23 | 45 | ( $\left.\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; \frac{1}{4}\right)$ | (b) | i11 | j̈b | , 51 |
| $\mathrm{YM} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (IC) |  | 23 | ( $\left.\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; q\right)$ |  | :111 | \#̈b | 58 |
| $\mathrm{CFO}^{(e)}$ (HTI) | 10 | 14 | ( $q ; q ; 0$ ) |  | \% 51 | No |  |
| CFO (LTI) | 0 ? | 10 | ( $\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{q} ; 0$ ) | $1+$ | $\overline{9}^{(1)}$ | ? c | -1 |
| M W O | 12.7 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\left(q_{1} ; \frac{1}{2} ; q_{z}\right)$ | 2 | $\bigcirc$ |  | - |
| M W O | 7.6 | 12.7 | ( $q_{*} ; \frac{1}{2} ; q_{2}$ ) | $2+1$ | 139 | j̈b | 14 |

TA B LE XV III: Incom m ensurate P hases ofvarious m ultiferroics. E xcept for C FO each phase is stable for zero applied magnetic eld for $\mathrm{T}_{<}<\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{>}$. W hen $\mathrm{T}_{<}=0$ it m eans that the phase is stable dow n to the low est tem perature investigated. W e give the incom $m$ ensurate $w$ avevector and the associated irreducible representations in the notation of our tables. In the colum $n$ labeled \FE ?" if the system is ferroelectric we give the direction of the spontaneous polarization, otherw ise the entry is "N o."
a) At the highest tem perature the value of $q_{x} m$ ight not be exactly $1 / 2$.
b) T he irrep is the two dim ensional one (see A ppendix B). In the H T I phase only one basis vector is active.
c) T he irrep is the two dim ensional one (see A ppendix B). In the LT I phase both basis vectors are active.
d) T his phase com m ensurate.
e) D ata for CuFeO 2 is for $\mathrm{H} \quad 8 \mathrm{~T}$.
f) Them agnetic structure was inferred from the existence of ferroelectricity.
has spontaneously broken sym $m$ etry which arbitrarily selects betw een > and >. ( T his is the sim plest scenario $w$ hen the $w$ avevector is not truly incom $m$ ensurate.) Independently of w hich sign is selected for the order param eter > , one sim ilarly has a further spontaneousbreaking of symm etry to obtain arbitrarily either $i<$ or $i<$. (H ere, as $m$ entioned, we assum e a relative phase $=2$ for < . In this scenario, then, there are four equivalent low tem perature phases corresponding to the choice of signs of the tw o order param eters.

The cases of TMO 25 and YMO 25 are di erent from the above because they have two order param eters from the sam e tw o-dim ensional irrep and which therefore are sim ultaneously critical. At quadratic order one has $\mathrm{SU}_{2}$ sym $m$ etry, but this is broken by quartic term $s$ in the free energy. The sym $m$ etry of these is such that in the representation of Eq. ( $122_{1}^{-1}$ ) one has

$$
\begin{align*}
F= & a\left(T \quad T_{C}\right) j_{1} j+j 2 j \\
& +A\left(j_{1} J^{2}+j_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}+B j 12 j^{2} \\
& +C\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{2}: \tag{135}
\end{align*}
$$

Term sodd in 2 are not allow ed according to Eq. (120'). A lso w avevector conservation indicates that tw o variables $m$ ust be at wavevector $q$ and two at wavwevector $q$. A lso A, B, and C are real. That C is real is a result of sym $m$ etry under $m_{y}$, as in Eq. (120). H ere the fourth order anisotropy $m$ akes itself felt $\overline{\mathrm{S}}$ s soon as the ordered phase is entered. O ne can see that the phase di erence
between 1 and 2 depends on the term proportional to $C$. Since the $x e d$ spin length constraint favors this phase di erence to be $=2$, we intuit that $C$ is positive, so that ${ }_{2}=i_{1}$. In any case, after $m$ inim izing $w$ ith respect to the relative phase of the tw o order param eters, one gets the sum of the $B$ and $C$ term sas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{4}=\left(\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{C} \supset \mathrm{j}_{1} \quad 2{ }^{J}:\right. \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $B \quad X j$ is positive, then either $1=0$ or $2=0$. In the form er case the state is odd under $m_{x}$ and in the latter case even under $m_{x}$. If $B \quad X j$ is negative, then the quartic term $s$ favor

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1} j=j_{2} j: \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is am using that the quartic term $s$ in the Landau expansion dictate that these are the tw o allow able scen arios unless one adm its to having a m ulticritical point where B $\quad j=0$.

Now we rst consider YMO25 in its higher tem perature com m ensurate (H T C) ordered phase. For it additionalfourth order tem s occur because 4q is a reciprocal lattice vector, but these are not im portant for the present discussion. H ere the analysis ofR ef. '111', indicates (see the discussion ofour $F$ ig. '(') ') that only a single order param eter condenses in the H TC phase. This indicates that energeticsm ust favorpositive $B \quad J C$ jin this case. Thequestion is whether B $\mathcal{j} j$ is also positive for TMO25. As we w ill see in the next section one has ferroelectricity unless the $m$ agnitudes of the two order param eters are the
sam e. For YM O 25 the H TC phase is ferroelectric and the conclusion that only one order param eter is active com ports w ith this. H ow ever, for TM O 25 the situation is not com pletely clear. A pparently there is a region such, that one hasm agnetic ordering $w$ thout ferroelectricity 11.15 this is so, then TMO25 di ers from YMO 25 in that its high tem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase has two equal m agnitude order param eters.

## IV. M A GNETOELECTRIC COUPLING

Ferroelectricity is induced in these incom $m$ ensurate $m$ agnets by a coupling which is som ew hat sim ilar to that for the so-called \im proper ferroelectrics. ${ }^{1} 124$ To see how such a coupling arises within a phenom enological picture, we im agine expanding the free energy in powers of the $m$ agnetic order param eters which we have studied in detail in the previous section and also the vector order param eter for ferroelectricity which is the spontaneous polarization $P$, which, of course, is a zero wavevector quantity. If we had noninteracting $m$ agnetic and electric system s , then we w ould w rite the noninteracting free energy, $\mathrm{F}_{\text {non }}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\text {non }}= & \frac{1}{2} X \quad{ }_{E}^{1} ; P^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}^{X} \text { a }\left(\begin{array}{llll}
T & T & ) j & (q) \jmath+O\left({ }^{4}\right):(138)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The rst term describes a system which is not close to being unstable relative to developing a spontaneous polarization (since in the system swe consider ferroelectricty is induced by $m$ agnetic ordering). Them agnetic term $s$ describe the possibility of having one orm ore phase transitions at which successively $m$ ore m agnetic order param eters becom e nonzero. A s we have $m$ entioned, the soenario of having tw o phase transitions in incom $m$ ensurate m agnets is a very com m on one $1^{211}$ - and such a scenpario is well docum ented for both NVO 6133 and TMO sim ilar phenom enologicaldescription of second harm onic generation has inveked the necessity of having sim ultaneously tw o irreps.551. Below wew ill indicate the existence of a term linear in $P$, schem atically of the form $M^{2} P$, where is a coupling constant about which not m uch beyond its sym $m$ etry is know $n$. O ne sees that w hen the free energy, including this tem, is $m$ inim ized $w$ ith respect to $P$ one obtains the equilibrium value of $P$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h P i=E M^{2}: \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

A. Sym m etry of M agnetoelectric Interaction

W e now consider the free energy of the com bined $m$ agnetic and electric degrees of freedom which we w rite as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=F_{\text {non }}+F_{\text {int }}: \tag{140}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of tim e reversal invariance and wavevector conservation, the low est com bination of $M$ (q)'s that can appear is proportional to M (q)M (q). So generically the term we focus on w illbe of the form

$$
F_{\text {int }}=\begin{array}{llllll} 
& \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{C} & (\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{M} & (\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{P} & \text {; }
\end{array}
$$

where , and label C artesian com ponents. But, as we have seen in detail, the quantities M (q) are linearly related to the order param eter _(q), associated with the irrep . Thus instead of Eq. ' (1711) we w rite

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\text {int }}=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}  \tag{142}\\
& ; 0 ;
\end{align*} \mathrm{A} \quad \text { (q) } 0 \text { (q) } \mathrm{P} \quad:
$$

$T$ he advantage of this $w$ riting the interaction in this form is that it is expressed in term $s$ of quantities whose sym $m$ etry is $m$ anifest. In particular, the order param eters we have introduced have well speci ed sym $m$ etries. For instance it is easy to see that form ost of the system sstudied here, $m$ agnetism can not induce ferroelectricity when there is only a single representation present $3^{3} \mathbf{l}_{4}^{4} \mathrm{~T}$ his follows from the fact that for NVO and TMO, for instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I j_{n} \tilde{J}=j_{n} \tilde{J} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

as is evident from Eq. $\left(4 \bar{T}_{-}\right)$. The intenpretation of this is sim ple: when one has one representation, it is essentially the sam e as having a single incom $m$ ensurate $w$ ave. But such a single wave $w$ ill have inversion sym $m$ etry (to as close a tolerance as we wish) w ith respect to som e lattige point. This is enaugh to exclude ferroelectricity. So the canonical scenario ${ }^{13} \mathrm{t}^{4}{ }^{4}$ is that ferroelectricity appears, not when the rst incom $m$ ensurate $m$ agnetic order param eter condenses, but rather when a second such order param eter condenses. U nless the tw $\mathrm{o} w$ aves have the sam e origin, their centers of inversion sym $m$ etry do not coincide and there is no inversion sym $m$ etry and hence ferroelectricity w illoccur. O nem ight ask whether or not the two waves (i. e. two irreps) will be in phase. The e ect, discussed above, of quartic term $s$ is crucial here. The quartic term stypically favors the xed length spin constraint. To approxim ately satisfy this constraint, one needs to supenpose two waves which are out of phase. Indeed the form al result, obtained below, show s that the spontaneous polarization is proportional to the sine of the phase di erence betw een the two irrepst ${ }^{4}$ W e now consider the various system $s$ in tum.

$$
\text { B. } \mathrm{NVO}, \mathrm{TMO} \text {, and } \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{O}
$$

W e now analyze the canonicalm agneto-electric interaction in the cases ofNVO,TMO, adM W O.These cases are all sim ilar to one another and in each case the order param eters have been de ned so as to obey Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}\right)$ ). $T$ his relation indicates that if $w$ em ay choose the origin of the incom $m$ ensurate system so that the phase of the order
param eter at the origin of a unit cell is anbitrarily close to zero. W hen this phase is zero, the spin distribution of this irrep has inversion sym $m$ etry relative to this origin. In the case when only a single irrep is active, this sym $m$ etry then indicates that the $m$ agnetic structure can not induce a spontaneous polarization $\frac{4_{1}^{1}}{1^{\prime}}$ A sm entioned, in the high tem perature incom $m$ ensurate phases of $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{TMO}$, and MW O only one irrep is present, and this argum ent indicates that the $m$ agneto-electric interaction, san,ishes in agreem entw ith the experim entalobservation $n^{2}$ this phase is not ferroelectric.
$W$ e now tum to the, general case when one or $m$ ore
 interaction as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\text {int }}= \frac{1}{2}^{X} \quad \mathrm{P}[A \quad 0 \\
&+A \circ  \tag{144}\\
& 0 \\
& 0(q) \\
&\text { (q) }]:
\end{align*}
$$

For this to yield a realvalue off wem ust have $H$ em iticiy : A $0=$ A . . In addition, because this is an expansion relative to the state in which allorderparam eters are zero, this interaction has to be inversion under all $\rho_{\bar{\prime}}$ erations which leave this \vacuum " state invariant $2_{2}^{2} 2_{2}^{23 \prime}$ In other words this interaction has to be invariant under inversion (which takes $P$ into $P$ ). This condition, together with Eq. (471) indicates that A $0=A 0$. $T$ his condition taken in conjunction w ith Herm iticity indicates that A 0 is pure im aginary. Thus

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{\text {int }}=\frac{i}{2}^{X} \quad P r \circ[\quad(q) \quad \circ(q) \\
0(q) \quad 0(q)]: \tag{145}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since usually we have only two di erenet irreps, we w rite this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {int }}={ }^{X} r P><\sin (><): \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is real. $T$ he fact that the result vanishes $w$ hen the two waves are in phase is clear because in that case one can nd a com $m$ on origin forboth irreps about which one has inversion sym $m$ etry. In that special case one has inversion sym $m$ etry and no spontaneous polarization can be induced by $m$ agnetism. . 7 he above argum ent applies
 w ill see in a mom ent, it is still possible for inversion sym $m$ etry to be broken and yet induced ferroelectricity not be allow ed.

W e can also deduce the direction of the spontaneous polarization by using the transform ation properties of the order param eters. given in Eq. (4"G). W e start by analyzing the experim entally relevant cases at low or zero applied magnetic eld. For NVO the $m$ agnetism in the low ertem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase is described $4,1,33$ by the two irreps 4 and 1 . O ne sees from Eq. (4-G) that the product 14 is even under $m_{z}$ and odd under $2_{\mathrm{x}}$. For the interaction to be an invariant, P
has to transform this way also. This im plies that only the $b$-com ponent of the spontaneous polarization can be nonzero, as observed ${ }^{4}{ }^{4}$ For TM O the low er tem peratune incom $m$ ensurate phase at low $m$ agnetic eld is described ${ }^{1 / 1}$ by irreps 3 and 2 . From Table even under $m_{x}$ and odd under $m_{z}^{--}$, hich indicates that $P$ has to be even under $m_{x}$ and odd under $m_{z}$. This can only happen ifP lies along the c direction, as observed ${ }^{2}$

Finally, for M W O , we see that $1_{2}$ is odd under $m_{y}$. $T$ his indicates that $P$ also has to be odd under $m_{y}$. In other words P can only be oriented along the $b$ direction, again as observed $\mathrm{A}^{01}$ In this connection one should note that this conclusion is a result of crystal sym me try, assum ing that the $m$ agnetic structure results from two continuous transitions, so that representation theory is relevant. This conclusion is at variance w th the argum ent given by Heyer et all ${ }^{5}$. ${ }^{61}$ who \expect a polarization in the plane spanned by the easy axis and the b axis ..., , which they justify on the basis of the spiralm odell 1213 It should be noted that their observation that the spontaneous polarization has a nonzero com ponent along the a-axis at zero applied $m$ agnetic eld contradicts the sym $m$ etry analysis given here. The authorsm ention that som e of the unexpected behavior they observe $m$ ight possibly be attributed to a sm all content of im purities.

It is im portant to realize that the above results are a consequence of crystal sym $m$ etry. In view of that, it is not sensible to claim that the fact that a theory gives the result that the polarization lies along b m akes it m ore plausible than som e com peting theory. The point is that any model, if analyzed correctly, m ust give the correct orientation for $P$.

It is also worth noting that this phenom enology has som e sem iquantitative predictions. To see this, we m ini$m$ ize $F_{\text {non }}+F_{\text {int }} W$ ith respect to $P$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=E ; r><\sin (><): \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result indicates that near the $m$ agneto-ferroelectric phase transition of NVO one has P / $411^{57}$ ? or since the high-tem perature order param eter ${ }_{4}$ is m ore or less saturated when the ferroelectric phase is entered, one has P / 1 , where 1 is the order param eter of the lower tem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase.

A s we discussed, in the low tem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase one will have arbitrary signs of the two order param eters. H ow ever, the presence of a sm llelectric
eld w ill favor one particular sign of the polarization and hence, by Eq. (1471) one particular sign for the product > <. P resum ably this could be tested by a neutron di raction experim ent.
C. TMO25

The case of TMO25 is som ewhat di erent. Here we have only a single irrep. O ne expects that as the tem perature is low ered, ordering into an incom $m$ ensurate state
will take place, but the quadratic term $s$ in the free energy do not select a direction in $1^{-} 2$ space. At present the data has not been analyzed to say which direction is favored at tem perature just below the highest ordering tem perature. (For Y M O 25, as m entioned above, the direction $1=0$ is favored.) As the tem perature is reduced, it is not possible for another representation to appear because only one irrep is involved. H ow ever, ordering according to a second eigenvalue could occur. W e
rst analyze the situation assum ing that we have only a single doubly degenerate eigenvalue. In this case we can have a spin distribution [as given in Eq. ( $11 \mathcal{I}^{-1}$ ) ] involving the two order param eters 1 and 2 which $m$ easure the am plitude and phase of the ordering of the eigenvector of the second and third colum ns of $T$ able In term $s$ of these order param eters, the $m$ agneto-electric coupling can be w ritten as

$$
F_{\text {int }}=\begin{array}{lllll}
X & a_{n m} \quad n \quad m P \quad ; \tag{148}
\end{array}
$$

where $=x ; y ; z$ and $n ; m=1 ; 2$ label the colum ns of the irrep labeled 1 and 2 , respectively, in Table $\bar{X} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{f}$. Since reality requires that $a_{n m}=a_{m n}$, this interaction is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " } \\
& F_{\text {int }}=\begin{array}{l}
X \\
P
\end{array} a_{1} j_{1} \jmath^{2}+a_{2} j_{2} \jmath^{2} \\
& \text { \# } \\
& +\mathrm{b} \quad 1 \quad 2+\mathrm{b} \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad: \tag{149}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow use invariance under inversion, taking note of Eq. (120'). O ne sees that under inversion $1_{2} \mathrm{P}$ changes sign, so the only term s which survive lead to the result

$$
F_{\text {int }}=X^{X} \quad r P\left[\begin{array}{lll}
j_{1} J^{2} & j & \left.J^{2}\right]: \tag{150}
\end{array}\right.
$$

A swe discussed in connection w th Eq. (13-1) we have tw o scenarios depending on whether B $X{ }^{-j}$ in Eq. ( $13 \underline{a}$ ) is positive or negative. If it is positive, then only one order param eter is nonzero and we have a nonzero spontaneous polarization according to Eq. (150'). In that case, using
 odd under $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$. For $\mathrm{F}_{\text {int }}$ to be invariant under inversion therefore requires that $P$ be odd underm and even underm ${ }_{x}$, so $P$ has to be along b as is found $5^{581}$. In the other scenario, when B $j C j$ is negative, then the right-hand side of q . ( $\left(15{ }^{-1}\right.$ ) is zero and the state is not ferroelectric. For TM O 25 we are probably in the ferroelectric scenario. O ur analysis therefore suggests that the spin structure of TM O 25 should be given by Eq. ( $\mathbf{I N}^{-1} \underline{q}^{\prime}$ ) w ith only one of the order param eters nonzero. It would be interesting to analyze the di raction data to test this assertion.

## D. CFO

A gain we start $w$ ith the fam iliar $m$ agneto-electric interaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\text {int }}=\mathrm{nm}_{\mathrm{nm}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{nm}} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~m} \text {; } \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here really im plies that $A_{n m}=A_{m n}$. Since we have $I_{n}=n^{n}$, we elim inate term $s w$ ith $n=m:$ we need two irreps for ferroelectricity. Indeed, the higher tem perature phape $w$ ith a single order param eter 1 is not ferroelectric ${ }^{\text {星 }} \mathrm{T}$ hus the m agnetoelectric interaction m ust be of the form

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\text {int }}=\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{a} & 1 & 2
\end{array}+\mathrm{a} \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{P} \quad:
$$

Inversion sym $m$ etry indicates that $a=a$, so wew rite

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{F}_{\text {int }} & =\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{r}\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 2 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{P} \\
& =2^{X} \quad r  \tag{153}\\
1 & 2 \sin \left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) P
\end{array}
$$

where $r$ is real. N ow use Eq. (1291) which gives that 12 changes sign under $2_{x}$. So $\overline{\text { for }} \bar{r}$ the interaction to be invariant under $2_{x}$ (as it $m$ ust be), $P$ has to be odd under $2_{x}$. This $m$ eans that $P$ has to be perpendicular to the $x$ axis. N ote that sym $m$ etry does not force $P$ to lie along the three-fold axis because the orientation of the incom $m$ ensurate $w$ avevector has broken the threefold sym $m$ etry.

In the above analysis we did not $m$ ention the fact that the existence of the ferroelectric phase rquires a m agnetic
eld of about 8-10T oriented along the three-fold axis. In principle one should expand the free energy in powers of $H$. Then presum ably as a function of $H$ one reaches a regim e where rst one incom $m$ ensurate phase orders and then at a low er tem perature the second incom $m$ ensurate order param eter appears. T hen the phenom enology of the trilinear $m$ agnetoelectric interaction would com e into play as analyzed above. There is one additional point which merits attention. Nam ely, q could assum e a sym $m$ etry-related value obtained by one or tw o threefold rotations about the c axis. In the absence of any extemalperturbation to break the three-fold sym $m$ etry, the system would spontaneously break sym $m$ etry by arbitrarily selecting one of the w avevectors in the star. H ow ever, it is interesting to speculate w hether the application of a weak in-plane magnetic (or electric) eld would be enough to en force the selection of one of the w avevectors in the star. If this were so, then the transverse com ponent of the polarization (which, how ever, $m$ ight be sm all) would be rotated by the application of such a sm all extemal eld.

## E. $H$ igh $M$ agnetic $F$ ield

W e can also say a word or two about what happens when a m,agnetic eld is applied. In TMO, for instance, one nol's that for applied $m$ agnetic elds above about 10 T in either the a orb directions, the low er tem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase has a spontaneous polarization along the a axis. $K$ eep in $m$ ind that we want to identify this phase w ith two irreps and from the phase diagram we know that the higher tem perature incom $m$ ensurate phase is $m$ aintained into this high eld regim e. So the higher tem perature phase is still that of 3 at these high elds. Referring to Tablax X be odd under $m_{x}$ and even under $m_{z}$ (in order to get a polarization along the a axis) we can only com bine irrep
1 W th the assum ed preexisting $3 . \mathrm{T}$ herefore it is clear that the $m$ agnetic structure has to change at the sam e tim e that direction of spontaneous polar,ization changes as a function of applied $m$ agnetic eldin It is also interesting, in this connection to speculate on what happens if the low er additional irrep had been 4 so that 4 and
3 would coexist. In that case $4{ }_{3}$ is odd under both $m_{x}$ and $m_{z}$. These conditions are not consistent $w$ ith any direction of polarization, so in this hypothetical case, even though we have tw o irreps and break inversion sym m etry, a polar vector (such as the spontaneous polarization) is not allow ed ${ }^{631}$

For MW O a magnetic eld along the b axis of about 10T causes the spontaneous polarization to sw itch its direction from along the $b$-axis to along the a axis ${ }^{401} \mathrm{We}$ have no phenom enologicalexplanation ofthis behavior at present. This behavior seem sto im ply that the w avevector for $H>10 T$ is no longer of the form $q=\left(q_{x} ; \frac{1}{2} ; q_{z}\right)$.

## F. D iscussion

W hat is to be leamed from the sym $m$ etry analysis of the $m$ agnetoelectric interactions? Perhaps the $m$ ost im portant point to keep in $m$ ind is to recognize which results are purely a result of crystal sym $m$ etry and which are $m$ odel dependent. For instance, as we have seen, the direction of the spontaneous polarization is usually a result of crystal sym $m$ etry. So the fact that a $m$ icroscopic theory leads to the observed direction of the polarization does not lend credence to one $m$ odel as opposed to another. In a sem iquantitative vein, one can say that sym $m$ etry alone predicts that near the com bined $m$ agnetoelectric phase transition $P$ will be approxim ately proportional to the order param eter raised to the nth power, $w$ here the value of $n$ is a result of sym $m$ etry. ( $n=1$ for NVO or TMO, whereas $n=2$ for TMO25).

It also goes w ithout saying that our phenom enological results are supposed to apply generally, independently of $w$ hat $m$ icroscopic $m$ echanism $m$ ight be operative for the system in question. (A num ber of-suah-m-incoscopic calculations have appeared recently, 120 fore, we treat YM O 25 and NVO w ith the sam em ethod-
ology although these system s are saidiá to have di erent $m$ icroscopic $m$ echanism s. A popular phenom enological description is that given by M ostovoy $1^{13}$. based on a continuum form ulation. H ow ever, this developm ent, although appealing in its sim plicity, does not correctly capture the sym $m$ etry of several system sbecause it com pletely ignores the e ect of the di erent possible sym $m$ etries $w$ thin the $m$ agnetic unit cell 63 Furthem ore, it does not apply to multiferroic system s, such as TMO 25 or YMO25, in which the plane of potation of the spins is perpendicular to the wavevector $I^{11}$ (The spin-current mode $1^{12}$ also does not explain ferroelectricity in these system s.) In addition, a big advantage of the sym me try analysis presented here concems sm allperturbations. W hile the structure of NVO and TMO is predom inantly a spiral in the ferroelectric phase, one can speculate on whether there are sm all spiral-like com ponents in the nonferroelectric phase. In otherw ords, could sm alltransverse com ponents lead to a $s m$ all ( $m$ aybe too $s m$ all for current experim ents to see) spontaneous polarization? If we take into account the $s m$ all $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents induced on the oxygen ions, could these lead to a sm all spontaneous polarization in an otherw ise nonferroelectric phase? The answ er to these questions is obvious w ithin a sym $m$ etry analysis like that we have given: these induced e ects still are govemed by the sym $m$ etry of the phase which can only be low ered by a spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking (which we only expect ifw e cross a phase boundary). Therefore all such possible induced e ects are taken into account by our sym $m$ etry analysis.

Finally, we note that the form of the $m$ agneto-electric interaction $\quad M{ }^{2} P$ suggests a $m$ icroscopic $m$ echanism that has generalvalidity, although it is not necessarily the dom inant $m$ echanism. This observation stim ulated an investigation of the spin phonon interaction one obtains by considering the exchange H am ilton

$$
H=\sum_{i j}^{X} \quad J \quad \text { (i;j)S (i)S (j) }
$$

when $J \quad(i ; j)$, is expanded to linear order in phonon displacem ents-59. A fter som e algebra it was shown that the results for the direction of the induced spontaneous polarization (when the spins are ordered appropriately) agrees $w$ th the results of the sym $m$ etry argu$m$ ents used here. In addition a rst-principles calculation of the phonon $m$ odes led to plausible guesses as to which phonon $m$ odes play the key role in the $m$ agneto-electric coupling. But whatever the $m$ icroscopic $m$ odel, the phenom enology presented here should apply.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have show $n$ in detail how one can describe the sym $m$ etry of $m$ agnetic and $m$ agneto-electric phenom ena and have ilhustrated the technique by discussing severalexam ples recently considered in the literature.

## The principal results of this work are

W e discussed a method altemative to the traditional one (called representation analysis) for constructing allowed spin functions which describe incom $m$ ensurate $m$ agnetic ordering. In $m$ any cases this technique can be especially sim ple and does not require an understanding of group theory.

For system s w th a center of inversion sym me try, whether the simple $m$ ethod $m$ entioned above or the $m$ ore traditional traditional representation form alism is used, it is essential to further include the restrictions im pased, by, inversion sym $m$ etry, as we pointed out


W e have illustrated this technique by applying it to system atize the $m$ agnetic structure analysis of several m ultiferroics $m$ any ofw hich had not been analyzed using inversion sym $m$ etry.

By considering several exam ples of $m$ ultiferroics we further ilhustrated the general applicability of the trilinearm agneto-electric coupling of the form M (q)M ( q)P, where M ( $q$ ) is the $m$ agnetization at $w$ avevector $q$ and $P$ is the uniform spontaneous polarization. U sually, when expressed in term s of order param eters, this interaction requires tw o active irreducible representations.

For $\mathrm{TbM}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ we analyzed the fourth order term s in the Landau expansion and predict that the fact that the system $m$ agnetically orders into a ferroelectric phase indicates that the spin state is described by a single order param eter according to Eq. (1199). T he analysis of the di raction data to test this assertion has not yet been done.

W ebrie $y$ discussed the im plications of sym $m$ etry in assessing the role of various $m$ odels proposed for $m$ ultiferroics.
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## APPENDIXA:FORM OFEIGENVECTOR

In this appendix we show that the $m$ atrix $G$ of the form ofeq. (83), [and this includes as a subcase the form of Eq. $\left.\left.{ }^{88} \mathrm{O}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ has eigenvectors of the form given in Eq.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (84i'). De ne G }{ }^{0} \quad U^{1} G U \text {, where }
\end{aligned}
$$

We nd that
where ${ }^{0}$ and ${ }^{\infty}$ are the real and im aginary parts, respectively of and sim ilarly for the other com plex variables. $N$ ote that w e have transform ed the originalm atrix into a realsym $m$ etric $m$ atrix. A ny eigenvector (which we denote $\mathcal{R} i$ ) of the transform ed $m$ atrix has real-valued com ponents and thus satis es the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U{ }^{1} G U R i=R \mathcal{R} i ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[G] U R i=R U R i ; \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that any eigenvector of $G$ is of the form $U R i$, where all com ponents of $\mathrm{R} i$ are real. If $\mathrm{f} i$ has com ponents r1;r2;:::r7, then

$$
\begin{align*}
U \mathcal{R} i= & {\left[r 1 ; r 2 ; r 3 ;\left(r_{4}+i r_{5}\right)={ }^{P} \overline{2} ;\left(r_{4} \quad i r_{5}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} ;\right.} \\
& \left.\left(r_{6}+i r_{7}\right)=\bar{P} \overline{2} ;\left(r_{6} \quad i r_{7}\right)=\overline{2}\right] ; \quad(A \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

which has the form asserted.

## APPENDIX B: RREPS FOR TMO25

In this appendix we give the representation analysis for $T b M n_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ for $w$ avevectors of the form $\left(\frac{1}{2} ; 0 ; q\right)$, where $q$ has a nonspecial value. The operators we consider are $E, m_{x}, m_{y}$ and $m_{x} m_{y}$, as de ned in Tablel $X$ IIT. N ote that $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})=(\mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})$, so that $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}=1$ for this wavevector. Thus, the above set of four operators do not actually form a group. A ccordingly we consider the double group which follows by introducing $E$ de ned by $m_{y}^{2}=E,(E)^{2}=E$, and (E)O(E)=O.Since addition has no $m$ eaning $w$ thin a group we do not discuss additive properties such as $(E)+(E)=0$. Then, if we de ne O.. ( E ) O, we have the character table given in Table 式工:

| Irrep | $E$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| b | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| c | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| d | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| z | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| G | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | n |

TABLE XIX: Character table for the double group of the wavevector. In the rst line we list the ve classes of operators for this group. In the last line we indicate the characters for the group $G$ which is induced by the $n$-dim ensional reducible representation in the space of the spin com ponent of spins in a given $W$ ycko onbit.

| Spin | 1 | 2 | Spin | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S (q;1) | $r_{1 \times}$ | $r_{2 x}$ |  | $r_{6 x}$ | $r_{6 x}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{r}_{1 \mathrm{y}}$ | $r_{2 y}$ | $S(q ; 7)$ | $\mathrm{r}_{6 y}$ | $r_{6 y}$ |
|  | $r_{1 z}$ | $r_{2 z}$ |  | $\mathrm{r}_{67}$ | $r_{6 z}$ |
| S (q; 2 ) | $r_{2 x}$ | $r_{1 \times}$ |  | $r_{6 x}$ | $r_{6 x}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{r}_{2 \mathrm{y}}$ | $r_{1 Y}$ | S (q;8) | $r_{6 y}$ | $r_{6 y}$ |
|  | $r_{2 z}$ | $r_{1 z}$ |  | $r_{6 z}$ | $r_{6 z}$ |
| S (q; 3 ) | $r_{1 \mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{r}_{2 \mathrm{x}}$ |  | $r_{3 x}$ | $r_{4 x}$ |
|  | $r_{1 Y}$ | $r_{2 y}$ | $S(q ; 9)$ | $r_{3 y}$ | $r_{4 y}$ |
|  | $r_{1 z}$ | $r_{2 z}$ |  | $r_{3 z}$ | $r_{4 z}$ |
| S (q; 4) | $r_{2 x}$ | $r_{1 x}$ |  | $\mathrm{r}_{4 \mathrm{x}}$ | $r_{3 x}$ |
|  | $r_{2 y}$ | $r_{1 \mathrm{y}}$ | S (q;10) | $r_{4 y}$ | $r_{3 y}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{r}_{2 \mathrm{z}}$ | $r_{1 z}$ |  | $r_{4 z}$ | $r_{3 z}$ |
| S (q; 5) | $r_{5 x}$ | $r_{5 x}$ |  | $r_{3 x}$ | $r_{4 x}$ |
|  | $r_{5 y}$ | $r_{5 y}$ | S (q;11) | $r_{3 y}$ | $r_{4 y}$ |
|  | $r_{5 z}$ | $r_{5 z}$ |  | $r_{3 z}$ | $r_{4 z}$ |
| S (q; 6) | $r_{5 x}$ | $r_{5 x}$ |  | $r_{4 x}$ | $r_{3 x}$ |
|  | $r_{5 y}$ | $r_{5 y}$ | S (q;12) | $r_{4 y}$ | $r_{3 y}$ |
|  | $r_{5 z}$ | $r_{5 z}$ |  | $\mathrm{r}_{4 \mathrm{z}}$ | $r_{3 z}$ |

TABLE XX: Spin functions (i. e. un斗 cell Fourier coe cients) determ ined by standard representation analysis $w$ ithout invoking sym $m$ etry operations that relate $q$ and $q$. The second and third colum ns give the functions which transform according to the rst and second colum $n$ of the two dim ensional irrep. T hese coe cients are all com plex param eters.

The $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+} \mathrm{W}$ ycko orbits contain two atom s and all the other orbits contain four atom s. In either case we $m$ ay consider separately an orbit and a single com ponent, $x, y$, or $z$ of spin. So the corresponding spin functions form a basis set of $n$ vectors, where $n=2$ for the $\sin -$ gle spin com ponents of $\mathrm{M}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{n}=4$, otherw ise. In each case, the operations involving $m x$ and/or $m_{y}$ interchange sites and therefore have zero diagonal elem ents. Their character, which is their trace w ithin this space of $n$ vectors is therefore zero. On the other hand $E$ and

E give diagonal elem ents of +1 and 1 , respectively. So their character (or trace) is $n$ and we have the last line of the table for this reducible representation $G$.

In this character table we also list (in the last line) the characters of these operations $w$ ith in the vector space of wavefunctions of a given spin com ponent over a $W$ ycko orbit of $n$ sites. C om paring this last line of the table to the character of the irreps we see that $G$ contains only the irrep 2 and it contains th is irrep $n=2$ tim es. $T$ his $m$ eans that for the system of three spin com ponents over 12 sites, w e have 36 com plex com ponents and these function generate a reducible representation which contains 218 tim es. If there were no other sym $m$ etries to consider, this result would im ply that to determ ine the structure one would have to $x$ the 18 com plex-valued param eters. The two dim ensional representation can be realized by Eq. (1211). The basis vectors which transform as the rst and second colum ns, respectively of the tw o dim ensional representation are given in Table XXI. O ne can check the entries of this table by verifying that the ect ofm $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $m_{y_{-}}$on the vectors of this table are in conform ity w ith Eq. (1211).

H ow ever, after taking account of inversion sym $m$ etry we have only 18 real-valued structural param eters of Table $X$ XVV
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