ARPES Study of the M etal-Insulator Transition in B ism uth C obaltates

Z.Yusof¹[y] B D.W ells¹ T.Valla² P D. Johnson² A.V. Fedorov²[z] Q.Li³ S M. Loureiro⁴ and R J. Cava⁴

(1) Department of Physics, University of Connecticut,

2152 Hillside Road U-46, Storrs, CT 06269-3046.

(2) Physics Department, Bldg. 510B, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000.

(3) D ivision of M aterials Sciences, B rookhaven N ational Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000.

(4) Department of Chemistry and Materials Institute, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544.

We present an angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES) study of a M ott-H ubbardtype bism uth cobaltate system across a m etal-insulator transition. By varying the am ount of Pb substitution, and by doping with Sr or Ba cation, a range of insulating to m etallic properties is obtained. We observe a system atic change in the spectral weight of the coherent and incoherent parts, accompanied by an energy shift of the incoherent part. The band dispersion also shows the emergence of a weakly dispersing state at the Ferm ienergy with increasing conductivity. These changes correspond with the changes in the tem perature-dependent resistivity behavior. We address the nature of the coherent-incoherent parts in relation to the peak-dip-hum p feature seen in cuprates superconductors.

PACS num bers: PACS num bers: 71.30.+ h, 79.60.-i, 71.28.+ d

A central issue in condensed matter physics is the metal-insulator transition (MIT) in strongly-correlated electron system s, an issue in such areas as high-T_c superconductors and CMR m anganites. An important step to understand the nature of charge transport in such m aterials would be the ability to understand and predict the single-particle excitation spectrum - the spectral weight function - as m easured by angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy (ARPES). In most materials, there are a variety of complications to the ARPES spectra making a comparison to theory di cult. For example, in high-T_c superconductors the most successful sam ples have multiple bands crossing the Ferm ienergy E_F , gaps at E_F , and anisotropic spectral lineshapes that di erstrongly in different areas of the Brillouin zone. It would be helpful to study a compound with similar many-body physics and a sim ilar M II but with a sim pler spectral function. Here we report on the ARPES-derived spectral functions of the layered oxide $B_{12} \times Pb_{x}M_{2}Co_{2}O_{8}$, with M = Sr, Ba, Ca. The spectral functions of these com pounds appear to be simple enough to allow for a qualitative comparison to popular many-body models and indicate important trends as a function of tem perature and doping.

D oping can cause a M IT in a d-band M ott insulator in two ways [1]. The rst is charge doping to change the electron lling level. The second is iso-electronic chemical doping to alter the U=W ratio, where U is the onsite coulomb repulsion and W is the bandwidth. B oth types of doping can be done in this fam ily of cobalt oxides. Theoretically, m odels for this transition typically fall into one of two categories. The rst starts from a description of the insulating state based upon the H ubbard H am iltonian. In the H ubbard picture [2], reducing U=W causes the gap between the upper and lower H ubbard bands to close and a transition to a m etal occurs when the bands overlap. The other category is the B rinkm anR ice picture that starts from the metallic phase. Here, the quasiparticle (QP) band at E_F narrows and loses spectral weight as the transition is approached [3]. An approach that bridges these two approaches is the Dynam ical M ean Field Theory (DM FT) [4]. As we show here, spectral functions for the DM FT are remarkably sim ilar to those we have measured in these cobalt oxides.

The structure of the layered oxides of the type $B_{12} _{x}Pb_{x}M_{2}Co_{2}O_{8}$ consist of rock salt layers of $Bi(Pb)MO_{2}$ interspersed with m is thexagonal layers of CoO_{2} [6, 7, 8]. In general, samples with M = Ba are more conducting than those with M = Sr; such doping is charge neutral and should be considered as primarily changing U=W. For a given M atom species, increasing the amount of Pb substituted for B increases the conductivity. It is believed that adding Pb increases the num ber of carriers, thus is primarily charge doping [8].

The Co ions appear to be in the low spin state as measured by susceptibility [6] and core level photoem ission [9]. The Co oxdation state in a Pb-free M = Sr sample has been calculated to be 3.33 [10]. Thus it is unlikely that any of the compounds studied here are at half-lling, though m any are strongly insulating. The m ore m etallic samples are typically in-plane metallic and out-of-plane insulating at room temperature, metallic in all directions below som e crossover tem perature near 150K, and insulating in all directions at the low est tem peratures [6, 11]. Our previous ARPES work has shown that emergence of a sharp peak at E_F tied to a change in the c-axis resistivity from insulating (d =dT < 0) to metallic-like (d = dT > 0) [11]. For the M = Sr and no Pb doping, the insulating low tem perature phase resistivity shows nearly activated behavior. In the same fam ily, for Pb content over 0.4, the low temperature resistivity indicates variable range hopping [10].

Single crystals of $B_{i_2} \times Pb_x M_2 Co_2 O_8$ with M = Sr





FIG.2: (a) B road EDC spectra of cobaltates, sam ples and tem peratures as in Fig. 1. (b) EDC spectra of B i2212 cuprates near the (;0) region in the superconducting state. UD 69 is underdoped cuprate with $T_c = 69K$, OP91 is optim ally-doped with $T_c = 91K$, and OD 51 is overdoped with $T_c = 51K$. For comparison, each spectra have been norm alized to the energy and intensity of the sharp peak. In both panels, the arrow s point to the visual estim ate of the location of the maximum broad peak.

FIG.1: (color) ARPES intensity for Sample Sr=0.52 (T = 50K, left column), Sr=1.0 (T = 40K, middle), and Ba=1.0 (T = 30K, right). The top panels are the 2D intensity as a function of energy ! and momentum k measured from $k^0 = k_F$, where $k^0 = k_F$, the Ferm is avevector for Sample Sr=1.0. The bottom panels are the corresponding EDCs over the same k range.

or Ba, were synthesized using the ux technique as described elsewhere [5]. For convenience we list the samples as M = x in order to describe both the cation and the Pb doping level. Thus Sr=0:52 indicates Bi_{1:48}Pb_{0:52}Sr₂Co₂O₈. All ARPES measurements were performed at Beam line U13UB of the National Synchrotron Light Source, with photon energies of 15 eV and 21.2 eV. The end station includes a Scienta SES-200 hem ispherical analyzer equipped for simultaneous collection of photoelectrons as a function of energy and angle. The crystals were cleaved in situ under vacuum with a base pressure of 1 10¹⁰ Torr. The total energy reso-15 m eV and m om entum resolution better lution was than 0.02 A 1 .

Fig. 1 shows the photoem ission intensity near E_F along the rock salt cube-edge direction for a set of cobalt oxide samples. There is some variation in the dispersion in other directions but the lineshapes are essentially the same. The data is plotted both as two dimensional intensity maps as collected as well as energy dispersion curves (EDC's) at several k values. The left most panel is sample Sr=0.52 which is the most insulating of those

exam ined here. Not surprisingly, there is no weight at E_F and a very broad feature with no discernible dispersion. The middle panel is sample Sr=1:0. This sample is charge doped with respect to Sr=0:52 shown in the left m ost panel and is barely m etallic, with details of the conductivity below. This sample has developed a barely discernible peak at E F with slight dispersion. The rightmost panel is B a=1:0. This is the most metallic of those studied here. The change from the Sr=1:0 in the middle panel involves substitution of B a for Sr, and thus decreasing U=W . In the near $E_{\,\rm F}\,$ region we see a more intense peak with slightly greater dispersion. The existence of a sharp, slow ly dispersion peak in the m etallic sam ples that weakens as the insulator is approached is rem in iscent of the Brinkm an-Rice state approaching the insulator with increasing U = W [3].

Fig. 2a displays the EDC's of the sam e sam ples from Fig. 1 over a broader energy range and in a manner suitable for sam ple to sam ple comparison. The position and extent of the broad, incoherent part of the excitation is more easily seen here. The spectra are arranged in order of increasing room temperature conductance with the bottom spectra (Sr=0.52) the least conducting, Sr=1.0 in the middle, and B a=1.0 on top the most conducting. From the bottom spectrum to the middle, the predom inant change is an increase in the number of carriers. From the middle spectrum to the top, the predom inant change is a decrease in U=W . The broad peak moves toward $E_{\rm F}$ with increasing conductivity regardless of the nature of the doping involved. The largest change is associated with adding charge carriers, presum ably connected with a change in the chem ical potential as in sem iconductors. As mentioned above, changing the number of carriers in sam ples that are already basically conducting, there is a sm all change in the position of the incoherent states but a large increase in the spectral weight of the sharp, quasiparticle related peak at $E_{\rm F}$.

There is a close connection between the spectral lineshape at E_F and the resistivity measurements. For Sr=0:52, the spectra consist of the broad, in coherent peak and a lack of any intensity at E_F. This corresponds with the insulating behavior in all directions of the tem perature-dependent resistivity [5, 6]. In Sr=1:0, the spectra is still dom inated by the broad incoherent peak, but a nite intensity develops at E_F with a weak coherent peak. As shown in Fig. 3(b) this sharp peak dim inishes with increasing temperature, and is no longer detected at 130K.Fig. 3(a) shows that in-plane ab is metallic over the tem perature range studied while $_{\rm c}$ shows predom inantly insulating behavior with a crossover to weakly metallic behavior below 120K. The downtum in c corresponds to the crossover tem perature where the sharp peak in the ARPES spectra appears. Fig. 3 (c) and (d) show sim ilar behaviour, but with more pronounced peaks and a more pronounced turnover to m etallic tem perature dependence for c. These characteristics are consistent with that described in our earlier paper [11] which included measurements on a Ba=1:0 type sample. In both m etallic samples, the presence of the coherent, sharp peak in the spectra corresponds with the metallic behavior of c, whereas the m etallic behavior of ab corresponds to the presence of a non-zero intensity in the spectra at the E $_{\rm F}$.

Our previous paper established a connection between the tem perature-dependent behavior of $_{ab}$ and $_{c}$ with the e ective dimensionality of the system [11]. A 3D system is de ned as having ab with similar tem perature dependence as $_{c}$, i.e. $_{ab}=_{c}$ is constant. Hence, sam ple Ba=1:0 is essentially 3D below 150K since both ab and $_{\rm c}$ have similar behavior (d =dT > 0). On the other hand, above 200K, the sam ple shows 2D properties since ab and c have opposite behavior. The nature of the charge carriers in this 2D phase is unknown but appears to not be independent quasiparticles. In all cases the lowest temperature phase appears to be 3D in nature, whetherm etallic or insulating. This is rem in iscent the of high-T_c superconductors such as La_{2 x}Sr_xCuO₄ where measurement of the resistivity at low temperatures in the norm al state induced by large magnetic elds, has shown ab and c to turn insulating for less than optimal doping [13]. Further, in those samples, for any doping ab and c are either both insulating or both m etallic at low est tem perature.

W e are not aware of a model that explicitly predicts a two-partM IT as a function oftem perature. How ever, the



FIG.3: Tem perature dependent behavior of $_{ab}$ and $_{c}$ (top panels), and the ARPES spectra (bottom panels) for Sam ples Sr=1:0 (Fig (a) and (b)) and Ba=1:0 (Fig. (c) and (d)).

ARPES spectra them selves have a strong resemblance to the spectral functions calculated within the fram e work of the in nite-dimensional DMFT for a reasonable range of param eters [14, 15]. A com parison of Figs. 7-10 vs. 12-15 of Pruschke et al. [15] show the M II as a function of carrier concentration. Pruschke et al. give the spectral functions in g.7 (for half lling and U=3) and Fig. 12 (for hole doping of 0.03 and U = 3). The experimentally similar variation is going from the spectra of Sr=0.52to Sr=1:0. The di erences are that our lower level of doping is not half lling and U in our sam ples is enough larger that there is a gap in the lesser-doped material. A spects of our data which seem to match the calculation include the ubiquitous presence of a broad high energy excitation, a tendency for the broad peak to sharpen and move to lower energy with increasing conductivity, and the formation of a slowly dispersing sharp peak in the m etallic state. The agreem ent for S r=1:0 extends to the region of low lling and high tem peratures in which the calculated spectra have states at E_F but no QP peak. Further, changes between Sr=1:0 and Ba=1:0 are largely a function of changing U=W .W hile it is di cult to nd a study that explicity exam ines the spectral function as a function of U=W away from half lling, the trends can be seen in studies at half lling. Both Fig. 16 and Fig. 18 of reference [4] show the evolution of the spectral function as a function of U = W . The trends match our data in showing a substantial increase in the spectral weight of the sharp peak near E_F with decreasing U = W as well as signi cant low ering of the energy position of the broad,

incoherent peak. The m ost striking agreem ent between our data and the DMFT calculated spectral functions is for the tem perature dependence of our m ost conducting sam ple, Sr=0.52 as shown in Fig 3d here and Fig. 2 of [11], com pared to Fig. 12 of Ref. [15].

The connection between the conductivity data and the DMFT calculations is less clear. The calculations indicate that the disappearance of the sharp peak in the density of states with increasing tem perature corresponds to a transition to insulating isotropic resistivity at half ling (Figs. 12 and 14 of Ref. [15]). This what we observe for $_{\rm c}$ for the m etallic phases [11]. The m easured behavior of $_{\rm ab}$, i.e. that it rem ains m etallic with no indication of the crossover, is not present in the DMFT calculations.

There are sim ilarities between the spectral function for the cuprate superconductor B i2212 and these cobaltates. Unlike the cobaltates, the cuprate spectral lineshapes are strongly anisotropic within the plane, at least for optimalor lesser doping. The cuprate spectra in the vicinity of the M-point are qualitatively sim ilar to the cobaltates [16]. In Fig. 2b, spectra from Bi2212 at di erent doping levels: underdoped ($T_c = 69K$), optim ally doped ($T_c = 91K$) [17], and overdoped ($T_c = 51K$) [18]. The cuprate spectra are taken in the superconducting state near the M-point of the Brillouin zone. The spectra are arranged such that the top spectra com es from the most conducting compound (OD 51) while the bottom spectra is from the least conducting (UD 69) [19]. The two overall patterns that were observed in the cobaltates are repeated here for the cuprates. W ith increasing conductivity of the sam ple, the broad hum p sharpens and shifts to lower energies, while the intensity of the sharp peak increases. In both cases the spectral evolution is consistent with that expected from DMFT calculations and it appears that the appearance of QP states corresponds with the emergence of isotropic electronic behavior.

A possible caveat to the above observation is that som e literature has attributed the broad peak near the M-point in Bi2212 as being due to a second band of the bilayer CuO planes [20]. W hile there are few band calculations that explicitly consider charge doping, indications are that the splitting of the bilayer bands should be roughly independent of doping [21]. Since ARPES results show that the energy di erence between the sharp peak and the broad peak clearly shrinks with doping, and the relative spectralweight of the broad peak continuously decreases, we conclude that this broad peak is not predom inantly a second band. On the other hand, the evolution of this broad low energy peak is consistently predicted if this feature is predom inantly the incoherent part of the excitation. In addition, at least one calculation that considers the e ects of bilayer split bands explicitly predicts that this broad feature is predom inantly due to the incoherent excitation [22].

The general picture put forward here concerning the relationship between the sharp quasiparticle peak and the broad incoherent peak it energes from is extremely similar in spirit to a recent work concerning the evolution

of the cuprate com pounds from M ott insulator to superconductor [23]. However, that work does not address the tem perature evolution. Another system for which com parisons are naturally drawn are the com pounds of the type N $a_x C \circ O_2$. For doping near x= 0.35 these are the precursors to the water intercalated superconductors with $T_{C} = 5K$. Those compounds consist of edge sharing $C \circ O_6$ octahedra forming electronically active triangular sheets separated by N a layers and water in the hydrated versions. Thus those com pounds have sim ilar structure to the cobaltate compounds discussed here in many aspects. For heavily doped sam ples N a0:7C oO 3 the electronic structure near the Ferm i level is very sim ilar to that reported here for the most conducting com pounds, Ba=1:0 [24]. At low temperatures there is a predom inantly circular Ferm i surface, a broad peak near 0.7 eV about 0:6eV in width out of which a small, sharp peak em erges that is weakly dispersive. This was labelled as the quasiparticle peak similar to our own identication. The tem perature dependence of that quasiparticle peak is also sim ilar to what we detect, em erging below T = 120K. In that case it was reported that this tem perature coincides to a change in the in-plane resistivity from T-linear at low tem perature to a stronger dependence at high tem perature. The out of plane resistivity was not reported. Interestingly, the lower doped N $a_{0,3}$ C oO₃ the equivalent quasiparticle peak has a much greater dispersion, perhaps indicating that U=W is much smaller for this latter compound [25]. A nother group reporting on N a0:6C oO 3 found dispersion of the quasiparticle peak that was fairly broad, closer to that of N $a_{0:3}$ C oO $_3$ [26]. The exact behavior of this peak as a function of doping should prove very interesting.

In summary, we have shown ARPES results for the bism uth cobaltates across the MIT. The correlated insulators have broad, non-dispersing, incoherent spectra. The nom inally metallic samples are more complex with at least two phases; (i) metallic behavior in the plane accompanied by the appearance of a Fermiedge in an otherwise broad spectral function and (ii) at lower tem peratures a 3D electronic state occurs accompanied by the transfer of spectral weight to a sharp, slow ly dispersing quasiparticle peak. As conductivity increases from sample to sample, either through increasing the number of holes or decreasing U = W , the broad incoherent part of the spectrum sharpens and shifts towards the Fermi energy and the sharp peak increases in intensity. The spectral function near the M region of the Bi2212 superconducting com pounds evolves sim ilarly. Despite the prom inence of a phase that is m etallic in-plane and insulating out-of-plane, the zero tem perature phase for all of the layered com pounds appear to be 3D in nature.

W e acknow ledge valuable discussions with V. Perebeinos, A. Tsvelik, J. Tu, and R. W emer. W ork supported in part by D ept. of E nergy under contract num ber D E -A C 02-98C H 10886, D E -FG 02-00E R 45801, and D O E -BES W -31-109-ENG -38, and BOW acknow ledges the support of a C ottrell Scholar Fellow ship.

- [y] P resent address: H igh E nergy P hysics D iv., A rgonne N ational Laboratory, A rgonne, IL 60439.
- [z] P resent address: ALS, Law rence B erkeley N ational Laboratory, B erkeley, CA 94720.
- [1] A.Fujim oriet al, J.Elec. Spec. 117-118, 277 (2001).
- [2] J.Hubbard, Proc.R.Soc.London A 281, 401 (1964).
- [3] W F.Brinkman and TM.Rice, Phys.Rev.B 2, 4302 (1970).
- [4] A Georges et al, Rev. M od. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).
- [5] S.M. Loureiro et al, Phys. Rev. B 63, 94109 (2001).
- [6] I.T sukuda et al, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 834 (2001).
- [7] TEM studies in the group of R J.C ava have con med a C oO hexagonal layer.
- [8] T.Yam am oto et al, Phys.Rev.B 65, 184434 (2002).
- [9] T.M izokawa et al, Phys. Rev. B 64, 115104 (2001).
- [10] T suyoshiY am am oto et al, Jpn.J.Appl.Phys. 39, L747 (2000).
- [11] T.Valla et al., Nature 417, 627 2002.
- [12] Y.W atanabe et al, Phys.Rev.B 43, 3026 (1991).
- [13] G.S.Boebinger et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5417 (1997).
- [14] M J.Rozenberg et al, Phys.Rev.Lett 83, 3498 (1999).

- [15] Pruschke et al, Phys. Rev. B 47, 3553 (1993).
- [16] W e note that the cuprate electronic structure is highly anisotropic. Only the cuprates spectra along the Cu-O bond direction (M) show similar lineshapes with the cobaltates. This is the region that determ ines the c-axis transport of the cuprates.
- [17] A.V.Fedorov et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.82,2179 (1999).
- [18] Z M .Yusofet al, Phys.Rev.Lett.88, 167006 (2002).
- [19] C.Kendziora et al, Physica C 257, 74 (1996).
- [20] D L. Feng et al, Phys. Rev. B 65, 220501 (2002); Y.-D. Chuang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 117002 (2001); P. Bogdanov et al, Phys. Rev. B 64, 180505 (2001).
- [21] I. Liechtenstein et al, Phys. Rev. B 54, 12505 (1996). See Fig. 5.
- [22] M. Eschrig and M.R. Norman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 277005 (2002).
- [23] K M . Shen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 267002 (2004).
- [24] M Z.Hasan et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246402 (2004).
- [25] M.Z.Hasan et al, cond-m at/0501530 (2005).
- [26] H.-B.Yang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 246403 (2004).