Persistence to high temperatures of interlayer coherence in an organic superconductor

John Singleton¹, PA Goddard^{1;2}, A Ardavan², AIColdea³, SJ Blundell², RD M cD onald¹, S Tozer⁴, JA Schlueter⁵

¹National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, MPA-NHMFL, TA-35,

M S-E 536, Los A lam os National Laboratory, Los A lam os, NM 87545, U SA

 2 D epartm ent of Physics, University of Oxford, The Clarendon Laboratory, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PU, UK

³H.H.W ills Physics Laboratory, Bristol University, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol, BS9 1TL, UK

⁴NHMFL, 1800 E. Paul Dirac Drive, Tallahassee FL 32310, USA

⁵M aterials Science D ivision, Argonne N ational Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, U SA

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

The interlayer magnetoresistance $_{zz}$ of the organic metal $-(BEDT-TTF)_2Cu(NCS)_2$ is studied in elds of up to 45 T and at temperatures T from 0.5 K to 30 K. The peak in $_{zz}$ seen in inplane elds, a de nitive signature of interlayer coherence, remains to T s exceeding the Anderson criterion for incoherent transport by a factor 30. Angle-dependent magnetoresistance oscillations are modeled using an approach based on eld-induced quasiparticle paths on a 3D Ferm i surface, to yield the T dependence of the scattering rate 1 . The results suggest that 1 does not vary strongly over the Ferm i surface, and that it has a T² dependence due to electron-electron scattering.

PACS num bers: 74.70 Kn, 71.20 Rv, 78.20 Ls

The past two decades have seen a trem endous blossom ing of interest in com pounds that possess quasi-twodimensional (Q 2D) electronic bandstructure; examples include crystalline organic metals [1, 2, 3, 4], cuprates [5] and layered nuthenates [6]. These materials may be described by a tight-binding H am iltonian in which the ratio of the interlayer transfer integral t_2 to the average intralayer transfer integral t_2 to the average intralayer transfer integral t_2 is 1 [1, 2, 3, 4]. The question arises as to whether the interlayer charge transfer is coherent or incoherent in these materials, i.e. whether or not the Ferm i surface (FS) is three dimensional (3D), extending in the interlayer direction. Various criteria for interlayer incoherence have been proposed, including [7]

$$k_{\rm B} T > t_{\rm ?}; \qquad (1)$$

where T is the temperature. In this picture, thermal uctuations \wipe out" details of the interlayer periodicity [7]. The consequent interlayer incoherence is used as a justi cation for a number of theories which are thought to be pivotal in the understanding of Q 2D materials (see e.g. [7, 8, 9, 10]). It is therefore im portant to test assertions such as Eq. 1. To this end, we have measured the magnetic-eld-orientation dependence of the resistance of the organic metal -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu(NCS)₂ [9] using elds of up to 45 T and Ts of up to 30 K (Fig. 1). This material was chosen because its FS is wellknown [9,11] (see Fig. 2 (c)), previous low -T experim ents have show n that the interlayer transfer integral 0:007 m eV [11, 12] and m odest T s allow $ist_{a} = 0.065$ the inequality in Eq.1 to be exceeded by orders of magnitude (t_a=k_B 0:5 K). Our data show that interlayer coherence survives to at least $k_B T > 30t_a$ (c.f. Eq.1). In addition, the results in this paper determ ine the low-T scattering rate ¹ for the quasiparticles. Recent works stress that an understanding of the e ects of the scattering mechanism in organic metals gives vital information about the mechanism for superconductivity [13, 14].

Electrical contacts were made to single crystals (

0:1 mm³) of -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu(NCS)₂ us-0:7 0:5 ing 12:5 m Pt wire bonded by graphite paste; contact resistances were 10 . Current and voltage term inals were arranged such that the measured resistance R_{zz} is proportional to the interlayer component of the resistivity tensor, $_{zz}$ [11]. The m easurem ents in Figs. 1 and 2 use a well-characterized crystal also employed in Refs. [4, 11, 15]. Additional experiments (e.g. Fig. 3) used d8- -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu(NCS)₂ crystals; here, \d8" indicates that the term inal hydrogens of BEDT-TTF have been replaced by deuterium. These crystals were studied in R ef. [11], where it was found that deuteration reduces t_a to 0:045 0:005 m eV. Sam ples were m ounted on a ceram ic holder attached to a cryogenic goniom eter allowing continuous rotation in static magnetic elds B. Crystal orientations are labeled by the angles ; . Here,

is the angle between B and the norm alto the highlyconducting planes and de ness the plane of rotation; = 0 is a plane of rotation containing the k_b direction and the norm alto the highly-conducting planes [11]. Sam ple T swere stabilized using a calibrated C emox sensor m ounted on the sam ple holder and a heater driven by a feedback circuit. The C emox resistance was m onitored during isotherm al eld sweeps in order to deduce the correction to the apparent T due to m agnetoresistance; results were in good agreem ent with the study of R ef. [16]. Static elds were provided by the 45 T H ybrid m agnet at N HM FL Tallahassee and 33 T B itterm agnets at Tallahassee and HFM L N im egen.

Typical R_{zz} data are shown in Figs. 1(a) (= 160) and 1(b) (= 90) for Ts in the range 5.3 K to 29.3 K. In the former rotation plane, features in _{zz} are known to be due to orbits on the Q 1D FS sections [11], whereas in the latter they can be con dently attributed to Q 2D FS orbits [11]. A series of angle-dependent m agnetoresistance oscillations (AM RO s), periodic in tan [9, 11] is observed at both ; those due to the Q 2D FS sections are frequently known as Yam aji oscillations [9]. In addition,




FIG. 1: Interlayer resistance R_{zz} (/ $_{zz}$) of a -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu (NCS)₂ crystal versus tilt angle for various constant T; B = 45 T. (a) D ata for = 160, a plane of rotation at which $_{zz}$ is determined by phenomena on the Q1D FS sections. In order of increasing R_{zz} at = 35, the curves are for T = 5:3, 6.5, 7.6, 8.6, 9.6, 10.6, 12.1, 13.1, 14.6, 17.1, 19.6 and 29.3 K respectively. In addition to AMROs, DKCOs can be seen as twin peaks on either side of = 90 in the lower T data. (b) Similar data for = 90; here $_{zz}$ features are associated with the Q2D FS section. In order of increasing R_{zz} at = 70, the curves are for T = 5:3, 7.6, 8.6, 9.6, 10.6, 12.1, 13.1, 14.6, 17.1, 19.6, 24.5 and 29.3 K respectively.

D anner-K ang C haikin oscillations (D K C O s) [11, 17] are observed as peaks either side of = 90 w hen = 160. A s T increases, the AM RO s decrease in intensity, with the higher-index oscillations (i.e. those closer to = 90 [11]) disappearing rst. A fter m ost AM RO s disappear, the slow ly-varying background m agnetoresistance begins to increase m ore m arkedly.

Figures 2 (a) and (b) show expansions of data in Fig. 1 close to = 90 at selected Ts. For ease of compari- $_{zz}$ = $_{zzBG}$, the fractional deson, data are plotted as viation in zz from the background magnetoresistance zzBG determined by tting a smoothly-varying curve through the data on either side of = 90 [4]. In such m easurem ents, interlayer coherence is detected using a phenomenon known as the \coherence peak" or \SQUIT (Suppression of QU asiparticle Interlayer Transport) peak" [1, 2, 4, 9, 11], a maximum in zz= zzBG observed when B lies exactly in the intralayer plane (i.e. at = 90). This occurs because of the e cient interlayer velocity averaging caused by closed orbits on the side of the FS (Fig 2 (c-e)); these can exist if, and only if [1, 4], the interlayer transport is coherent, i.e. the FS is




FIG. 2: (a) The 45 T magnetoresistance close to at. = 160 , plotted as $z_z = z_{zBG}$, the fractional change in zz from the more slowly-varying background. Data for T = 5:3 (highest), 7.6, 9.6 and 13.1 K (lowest) are shown, o set for visibility. (b) Similar data for = 90; the traces are for T = 5:3 (highest), 7.6, 8.6, 9.6, 10.6, 12.1, 13.1 and 14.6 K (lowest). Each trace has been o set for clarity. (c) 3D representation of the FS of -(BEDT-TTF)2Cu (NCS)2 (after Ref. [11]); the nite ta gives the corrugations (shown greatly exaggerated) on the sides of the FS.Q 1D and Q 2D FS sections are shown in red and blue respectively. (d) Consequent eldinduced closed orbits on the side of the Q 1D FS section when = 90 and = 0. (e) Similar closed orbits on the Q 2D FS section when = 90 and = 90. Orbits such as those in (d) and (e) give rise to the SQUIT peak in $_{zz}$.

a 3D entity extending in the interlayer direction. In both Figs. 2(a) and (b), the SQUIT peak is visible close to = 90. In spite of the small size of t_a , this demonstration of interlayer coherence continues to be observable up to at least 14.6 K, exceeding the criterion in Eq.1 by a factor 30 [18].

The AM ROs and DKCOs in Fig. 1 are very similar to those observed at T = 0.5 K [11], the only di erence being that they decrease in am plitude as T increases; i.e. the magnetoresistance features do not change in form or angular position as T grows, they merely fade gradually. This suggests that the same mechanism is responsible for the form of the magnetoresistance at all T exam ined. M oreover, the SQUIT peak (Fig. 2) demonstrates that the FS of $-(BEDT-TTF)_2Cu(NCS)_2$ remains 3D up to at least T 15 K [18]. We therefore choose to simulate the data using the numerical method based on eld-




FIG.3: Comparison of experimental $_{zz}$ data (a) and the numerical simulation (b) method described in Ref. [11]. T = 1:5 K and = 15; for the lowest to the highest traces, B is 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42 and 44 T (no o set is applied). (c) AM RO data for = 150, T = 1:5 K and elds of 20 (lowest), 24 and 28 T (highest). The arrow indicates the amplitude R $_{zz}$ of a particular AM RO feature; < R $_{zz}$ > is the resistance at the arrow's midpoint. (d) Experimental AM RO R $_{zz}$ = < R $_{zz}$ > for = 150 plotted as a function of the orbit angular frequency ! times scattering time . Data for several AM RO s, denoted by their Yam aji indices [9] i are shown (i = 2 (square), i = 3 (dot), i = 4 (triangle) and i = 5 (inverted triangle)) for several Ts in the range 1.7 K to 5.5 K.

induced quasiparticle trajectories across a 3D FS that was used to model T = 0.5 K AM RO results successfully in Ref. [11]. This procedure employs Chambers' Equation and the experimentally-deduced FS (Fig. 2 (c) [11]); given B and the angles ; , the only input parameter required to calculate $_{zz}$ is . The model has the restriction that m agnetic breakdown between the Q 1D and Q 2D FS sections [20] is not included; recently it was shown that breakdown in $-(BEDT-TTF)_2Cu(NCS)_2$ can produce a series of AM RO s [21]. However, the breakdown am plitude falls o rapidly with increasing [20], so that these e ects are small for B 45 T when > 70 [21]. In the following, we neglect data at lower for this reason.

A typical comparison of experiment and simulation is shown in Figs. 3(a) and (b) (= 15). For each , it is possible to map the normalized AMRO amplitude $R_{zz} = \langle R_{zz} \rangle$ (see Fig. 3(c)) from the simulation onto the experimental value by adjusting . Moreover, for each T, it was found that the same , to within experimental errors, tted all the AMRO features. Given this




FIG. 4: Scattering time deduced from AMROs at 160 (a) and 90 (b) versus T. Consistent values of are deduced from several AMRO features at elds of 30 T and 45 T (insets show eld and for each feature). The data are tted to = $\binom{1}{0}$ + AT² $\binom{1}{1}$ (curves) with $_{0}$ = 3:3 0:1 ps and A = 0:0062 0:0003 ps 1 K 2 (a) and $_{0}$ = 3:5 0:1 ps and A = 0:0065 0:0003 ps 1 K 2 (b). These scattering rates are identical to within experimental errors, even though the AMROs in (a) and (b) are produced by di erent FS sections.

result, it is useful to nd a single parameter to describe all AMRO amplitudes for a particular . A good candidate is ! , where ! is the angular frequency at which the orbit responsible for the AMRO feature is traversed. In the case of AMROs due to the Q2D FS sections, $! = (eB = m) \cos the cyclotron frequency [11]. Here,$ m is the = 0 e ective mass of the Q2D pocket of the Ferm i surface [9, 20]. For orbits on the Q1D Ferm i surface, the relevant period is the time to cross the Brillouin zone [22]. As long as one avoids the region close to = 90 where the SQUIT and DKCOs occur (the latter involving orbits that \snake" across contours on the FS [11, 17]), this frequency can be expressed as m)) cos , where m is the = 0 e ec-! = (2eB = m)tive m ass of the \setminus " breakdown orbit [20].

U sing these !s, the $R_{zz} = \langle R_{zz} \rangle$ values of all of the AM RO features at a particular collapse onto a single curve as a function of !; an example is shown in Fig.3(d) for Y am a juoscillations due to the Q 2D FS.O nce this correspondence is known for each , can be readily found from AM RO data. The values thus obtained follow a T-dependence of the form $1 = 0^{1} + AT^{2}$ rather closely. M oreover, to within experimental errors, the scattering rates have the same 0 and values of A, irrespective of the FS orbits involved. This is illustrated in Fig. 4, which shows deduced from AMROs at = 160 ((a)-due to Q1D FS sections) and = 90 ((b)-due to Q2D FS sections). In spite of the fact that the quasiparticle orbits involved are very di erent, and involve distinct FS sections, the T dependence of for both is virtually identical. This suggests that mechanisms for superconductivity in organic metals that invoke a large variation in scattering rate over the FS (e.g. \FLEX " methods [23]) are inappropriate for $-(BEDT - TTF)_2Cu(NCS)_2$. For all FS orbits studied, the inferred T = 0 scattering time ($_0 = 3.4$ 0.2 ps-see Fig. 4) is close to values measured by other means [15].

The T² dependence of ¹ suggests electron-electron scattering [24]. A T² scattering rate was inferred from B = 0 resistivity measurements [25]. However, problems in deconvolving the in-plane resistivity component jj from zz in experimental data [9, 15], and the in uence of the broad superconducting transition on the T-dependence of the measured resistivity [9] mean that this attribution can not be considered conclusive. By contrast, the T-dependent AM RO s provide an unambiguous gauge of the scattering rate of normal-state quasiparticles, allowing the mechanism to be de nitively identi ed.

W hen $k_B\,T>4t_a$, the interlayer contribution to the bandwidth, the FS shown in Fig.2(c) is \blurred" on a wavevector scale $k_B\,T=\sim v_F\,$ (where $v_F\,$ is the Ferm i velocity) exceeding the amplitude of interlayer corrugation. Nevertheless, a Ferm i-liquid picture predicts that the sem iclassical dynam ics of each electron are still governed by an equation of motion which contains and recets the detailed nature of the interlayer dispersion. Quasiparticle states within $k_B\,T$ of the chem ical potential continue to contribute to the AMROs and SQUIT, as long as the underlying dispersion is not a ected by a variation in

In summary, the orientation dependence of the interlayer magnetoresistance - (B E D T -ΖZ of elds of up $TTF)_2Cu(NCS)_2$ has been studied in to 45 T as a function of tem perature T. The \SQUIT" or coherence peak in zz seen in exactly in-plane elds, a de nitive signature of a 3D Ferm i surface (i.e. interlayer coherence), persists to temperatures exceeding the proposed Anderson criterion for incoherent transport (Eq.1 [7]) by a factor 30. Features in the magneto resistance have been successfully modelled using an approach based on eld-induced quasiparticle paths on a 3D Ferm i surface, to yield the temperature dependence of the quasiparticle scattering rate. The results suggest that the average scattering rate does not vary strongly over the Ferm i surface, and that it follows a T 2 dependence attributable to electron-electron scattering.

This work is funded by US Department of Energy (DoE) LDRD grants 20040326ER and 20030084DR and by EPSRC (UK).NHMFL is supported by the National Science Foundation, DoE and the State of F brida, and HFML Nijn egen by EuroM agNET (EU contract R II3-CT-2004-506239). Work at A rgonne was supported by the O \propto of Basic Energy Sciences, US DoE (contract W -31-109-ENG-38).PAG and AA acknow ledge support from the G lasstone Foundation and the Royal Society respectively. Neil H arrison, R oss M cK enzie and N ic Shannon are thanked for helpful discussions.

- [1] R H. M cK enzie and P. M oses, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 4492 (1998).
- [2] T.O sada et al, Physica E 18 200 (2003).
- [3] A G. Lebed et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 157006 (2004).
- [4] J.Singleton et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 037001 (2002).
- [5] N E. Hussey J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 67 227 (2006).
- [6] E.R zepniewskiet al., J. Phys.: Condens. M at. 14 3759 (2002).
- [7] The theory of superconductivity in the high T_c cuprates, P W .Anderson (Princeton University Press, 1997), p 50.
- [8] D.G. Clarke and S.P. Strong, Adv. Phys. 46 545 (1997):
 J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 8, 5415 (1996).
- [9] J. Singleton J and C H. M ielke, Contemp Phys. 43, 63 (2002).
- [10] N.Shannon et al, Phys. Rev. B 55, 12963 (1997).
- [11] P.A. Goddard et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 174509 (2004).
- [13] B J.Powelland R H.M cKenzie, Phys. Rev. B 69, 024519

(2004).

- [14] J.G. Analytis et al; Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 177002 (2006).
- [15] J. Singleton et al., J. Phys: Condens. M at., 15, L203 (2003).
- [16] B L. Brandt et al. Rev. Sci. Inst. 70 104 (1999).
- [17] G M .Danner et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 3714 (1994).
- [18] In fact the data show that some vestiges of a 3D FS persist up to at least 50 K [19].
- [19] P.A.Goddard et al, preprint (2006).
- [20] N.Harrison et al.J. Phys.: Condens. M at. 8 5415 (1996).
- [21] A. Bangura et al., preprint (2006).
- [22] A.Ardavan et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81 R 6129 (1997).
- [23] K. Kuroki et al, Phys. Rev. B 65 R100516 (2002); J. Schm alian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 4232 (1998).
- [24] Solid State Physics, by N W . Ashcroft, N D . M em in (Holt-Saunders, New York, 1976), p 347.
- [25] Organic Superconductors by T. Ishiguo, K. Yamaji and G. Saito (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1998).
- [26] J.M errino and Ross H.M cK enzie, Phys. Rev. B 61, 7996 (2000)