

Frank's constant in the hexatic phase

P. Keim, G. Maret*, and H.H. von Grünberg

Universität Graz, 8010 Graz, Austria

*Universität Konstanz, 78457 Konstanz, Germany

(Dated: April 15, 2024)

Using video-microscopy data of a two-dimensional colloidal system the bond-order correlation function G_6 is calculated and used to determine the temperature-dependence of both the orientational correlation length ξ_6 in the isotropic liquid phase and the Frank constant F_A in the hexatic phase. F_A takes the value 72π at the hexatic \rightarrow isotropic liquid phase transition and diverges at the hexatic \rightarrow crystal transition as predicted by the KTHNY-theory. This is a quantitative test of the mechanism of breaking the orientational symmetry by disclination unbinding.

PACS numbers: 64.70.Dv, 68.35.Rh, 82.70.Dd

The theory of melting in two dimensions (2d) developed by Kosterlitz, Thouless, Halperin, Nelson and Young (KTHNY-theory) suggests a two-stage melting from the crystalline phase to the isotropic liquid. The first transition at temperature T_m is driven by the dissociation of thermally activated dislocation pairs into isolated dislocations breaking the translational symmetry [1, 2]. The liquid phase directly above T_m still exhibits orientational symmetry and is called the hexatic phase. It may be viewed as an anisotropic liquid with a six-fold director [3, 4] which is characterized by a finite value of Frank's constant F_A , the elastic modulus quantifying the orientational stiffness. At the second transition at $T_i > T_m$, the dissociation of some of the dislocations into free disclinations destroys the orientational symmetry. Now, the liquid shows ordinary short-range rotational and positional order as it is characteristic of an isotropic liquid.

Following an argument given in [1, 4], T_m and T_i can be estimated using the defect interaction Hamiltonian H_d between a pair of disclinations ($d = \text{disc}$) and a pair of dislocations ($d = \text{disl}$) which for both defect pairs and at large distances goes like $H_d = c_d \log r$ with the dimensionless strength parameter c_d depending on the defect type. Defect dissociation is completed at a temperature where the thermally averaged pair distance $\langle r_d^2 \rangle$ diverges. Evaluating this expression for H_d one generally finds divergence if $c_d = 4$. The unbinding condition $c_d = 4$ translates into $\lim_{T \rightarrow T_m} K(T) a_0^2 = 16$ for dislocation pairs ($\epsilon = 1/k_B T$, a_0 is lattice spacing) and into $\lim_{T \rightarrow T_i} F_A(T) = 72\pi$ for disclination pairs, where K is the Young's modulus of the crystal. Connecting thus the defect pair unbinding condition to the two transition temperatures T_i and T_m , two expressions are obtained that summarize the microscopic explanation of the KTHNY theory for two-stage melting.

In this Letter we study the temperature-dependence of Frank's constant of a 2D system in the hexatic phase. We first determine the hexatic \rightarrow isotropic liquid transition temperature T_i and then check if Frank's constant takes the value 72π at T_i , thus testing the KTHNY theory

and its prediction that disclination unbinding occurs at T_i . In addition, we analyze the divergence behavior of the orientational correlation length at T_i and of Frank's constant at T_m .

Different theoretical approaches invoking grain boundary induced melting [5, 6] or condensation of geometrical defects [7, 8] suggest one first order transition. However some simulations for Lennard-Jones systems indicate the hexatic phase to be metastable [9, 10]. The transition in hard-core systems seem to be first-order [12] probably due to finite-size effects [11]. Simulations with long-range dipole-dipole interaction clearly show second order behavior [13]. Experimental evidence for the hexatic phase has been demonstrated for colloidal systems [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19], in block copolymer films [20, 21], as well as for magnetic bubble arrays and macroscopic granular or atomic systems [22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. Still the order of the transitions is seen to be inconsistent. The observation of a phase equilibrium isotropic/hexatic [17, 21] and hexatic/crystalline [17] indicates two first order transitions. In our system we find two continuous transitions.

The experimental setup is essentially the same as in [27]. Spherical and super-paramagnetic colloids (diameter $d = 4.5 \mu\text{m}$) are confined by gravity to a water/air interface formed by a water drop suspended by surface tension in a top sealed cylindrical hole of a glass plate. The field of view has a size of $835 \times 620 \mu\text{m}^2$ containing typically up to 3×10^6 particles (out of 3×10^8 of the whole sample). A magnetic field H is applied perpendicular to the air/water interface inducing in each particle a magnetic moment $M = \chi H$. This leads to a repulsive dipole-dipole pair-interaction with the dimensionless interaction strength given by $\beta = (\chi_0 = 4) (\chi H)^2 (\rho)^{-3/2}$. Here χ is the susceptibility per colloid while ρ is the 2d particle density and the average particle distance is $a = \rho^{-1/2}$. The interaction strength can be externally controlled by means of the magnetic field H ; it can be interpreted as an inverse temperature and is the only parameter controlling the phase behavior of the system. For each β the coordinates of the colloids are recorded




FIG. 1: Structure factor $S(\mathbf{q})$ of our colloidal system at three different inverse temperatures corresponding to the isotropic liquid ($\Gamma = 52.4$), the hexatic phase ($\Gamma = 59.6$) and the crystalline ($\Gamma = 61.0$) phase. The central cross is an artifact of the Fourier transformation.

via video-microscopy (resolution of particle position $dr = 100$ nm) and digital image processing over a period of 1–2 h using a frame rate of 250 ms.

To set the stage we first visualize in Fig. (1) the three phases and their symmetries by plotting the structure factor

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{N} \overline{\sum_i e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i}}^2; \quad (1)$$

as calculated from the positional data of the colloids for three different temperatures. Here, $\overline{}$ runs over all N particles in the field of view while $\overline{}$ denote the time average over 700 configurations. In the liquid phase, concentric rings appear having radii that can be connected to typical inter-particle distances. The hexatic phase, on the other hand, is characterized by six segments of a ring which arise due to the quasi long-range orientational order of the six-fold director [28]. In the crystalline phase the Bragg peaks of a hexagonal crystal show up with a finite width that is due to the quasi long-range character of the translational order.

To quantify the six-fold orientational symmetry the bond-order correlation function

$$G_6(r) = \overline{\langle \cos(6\theta_{ij}) \rangle}; \quad (2)$$

is calculated with $\cos(6\theta_{ij}) = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_j e^{6i\theta_{ij}}$. Here the sum runs over the N_j next neighbors of the particle i at position \mathbf{r} and $\theta_{ij}(\mathbf{r})$ is the angle between a fixed reference axis and the bond of the particle i and its neighbor j . $\overline{}$ here denotes not only the ensemble average which is taken over all $N(N-1)/2$ particle-pair distances for each configuration (resolution $dr = 100$ nm) but also the time average over 70 statistically independent configurations.

KTHNY theory predicts that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} G_6(r) &\neq 0 && \text{crystal: long range order} \\ G_6(r) &\sim r^{-6} && \text{hexatic: quasi long range} \\ G_6(r) &\sim e^{-r/\xi_6} && \text{isotropic: short range}; \end{aligned}$$

$\xi_6 < 1/\lambda$ and takes the value $1/\lambda$ right at $T = T_i$. All three regimes can be easily distinguished in Fig. (2) showing $G_6(r)$ for a few representative temperatures. Note, that $G_6(0)$ is not normalized to 1.

We next fit $G_6(r)$ to r^{-6} and e^{-r/ξ_6} to extract ξ_6 and λ_6 . The fits are performed for radii $r = a/2$ to $20a$ [29]. To check for the characteristics of the orientational correlation function, the ratio of the reduced chi-square




FIG. 2: Orientational correlation function $G_6(r)$ as function of the inverse temperature in a log-log plot. From top to bottom: Three curves for the crystalline phase showing the long-range orientational order ($\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} G_6(r) \neq 0$), two curves showing the quasi long-range order of the hexatic phase ($G_6(r) \sim r^{-6}$) and three curves showing the short-range order typical of the isotropic liquid ($G_6(r) \sim e^{-r/\xi_6}$).




FIG. 3: Quantitative test for the long distance behavior of $G_6(r)$. For $\chi^2_{\text{alg}} = \chi^2_{\text{exp}} < 1$ the algebraic decay fits better.

The goodness-of-fit statistic of the algebraic (χ^2_{alg}) and exponential (χ^2_{exp}) fits is shown in Fig. (3) as a function of Γ for three different measurements. For melting, a crystal free of dislocations was grown at high Γ and then Γ was reduced in small steps. For each temperature step the system was equilibrated 1=2 h before data acquisition started. This was done at different densities: melt_1 with average particle distance of $a = 11.8$ nm and melt_2 with $a = 14.8$ nm containing 3200 respectively 2000 particles in the field of view. The measurement denoted freeze in Fig. (3) ($a = 11.8$ nm) started in the isotropic liquid phase and Γ was increased with an equilibration time of 1 h between the steps. For $\chi^2_{\text{alg}} = \chi^2_{\text{exp}} > 1$ an exponential decay fits better than the algebraic and vice versa for $\chi^2_{\text{alg}} = \chi^2_{\text{exp}} < 1$. We observe in Fig. (3) that the change in the characteristic appears at $\Gamma_i = 57.5 \pm 0.5$. This value is the temperature of the hexatic \leftrightarrow isotropic liquid transition.

In the vicinity of the phase transition, approaching Γ_i from the isotropic liquid the orientational correlation length ξ_6 should diverge as [4],

$$\xi_6(\Gamma) \sim \exp\left(\frac{b}{\Gamma - \Gamma_i}\right); \quad (3)$$

with b a constant and $\Gamma_i = 1/2$. This behavior is observed in Fig. (4a). ξ_6 indeed increases dramatically near $\Gamma_i = 57.5 \pm 0.5$ irrespective of whether the system is heated or cooled. Before discussing this feature we first address the finite size effect. To this end, we have computed $G_6(r)$ and ξ_6 for subsystems of different size ranging from 720×515 nm²; 615×405 nm²; 505×300 nm²; 400×190 nm² to 390×80 nm². The resulting data-points are plotted as triangles in Fig. (4) and belong to the black filled squares which they converge to. No finite size effect is found for $\Gamma < 56$, but a considerable one at $\Gamma = 56.9$ close to Γ_i where we obviously need the full field of view to capture

the characteristic of the divergence. At $\Gamma = 58.0$ there is a huge finite size effect indicating that ξ_6 is much larger than the field of view. However, inside the hexatic phase, ξ_6 is no longer well defined as the decay is algebraic. We fit our data to eq. (3) in the range $49 < \Gamma < 57.5$ and find the critical exponent $\nu = 0.5 \pm 0.03$ and $\Gamma_i = 58.9 \pm 1.1$, a value which due to the finite-size effect is slightly larger than Γ_i obtained from Fig. (3).

The exponent ν is related to Frank's constant F_A [4]

$$\nu(\Gamma) = \frac{18k_B T}{F_A(\Gamma)}; \quad (4)$$

So the critical exponent $\nu(\Gamma_i) = 1/4$ corresponds to $F_A(\Gamma_i) = 72k_B$ at the hexatic \leftrightarrow liquid transition. This quantity is plotted in Fig. (4b). Indeed, F_A crosses the value $72k_B$ at $\Gamma_i = 57.5 \pm 0.5$ exactly at that temperature which in Fig. (3) has been independently determined to




FIG. 4: Correlation length ξ_6 (a) and Frank constant F_A (b) as a function of the inverse temperature. ξ_6 diverges at Γ_i and F_A at Γ_m . In between the system shows hexatic symmetry. The solid lines are fits to eq. (3) and (5) resulting in critical exponents $\nu = 0.5 \pm 0.03$ and $\nu = 0.35 \pm 0.02$ respectively. Triangles are shifted by 0.1 for clarity.

be the transition temperature T_i . For $\phi < \phi_i$, F_A should jump to zero which is not completely reproduced. We note that since ϕ_i is not well defined in the isotropic fluid, it becomes problematic to extract F_A from eqn. (4) below ϕ_i . At ϕ_m , at the hexatic \rightarrow crystalline transition, F_A must diverge which indeed it does. This divergence can be identified with the divergence of the square of the translational correlation length ξ_t [4]

$$F_A(\phi) = k_B T \sum_{j=1}^2 \exp\left(-\frac{2c}{1 - \phi/\phi_m}\right); \quad (5)$$

where c is again a constant and $\phi_m = 0.36963$. Fitting the values of F_A to the expression in eqn. (5) in the range $57.5 < \phi < 61$ we obtain $\phi_m = 0.35 \pm 0.02$ and $\phi_m = 61.3 \pm 0.4$ as an upper threshold. Again triangles represent evaluation of our data in sub-windows of variable size (same sizes as above). The finite size effect for $\phi = 57.0$ is negligible. Close to ϕ_m it increases but the values saturates for $\phi = 59.1$ and $\phi = 60.8$ and remain within the error-bars for the biggest sub-windows.

In conclusion, we have checked quantitatively the change of quasi-long-range to short-range orientational order and extracted the correlation length ξ_ϕ in the isotropic fluid and Frank's constant F_A in the hexatic phase from trajectories of a 2d colloidal system. We find a hexatic \rightarrow isotropic liquid transition at $\phi_i = 57.5 \pm 0.5$. Three observations support this result: (i) the change of the distance dependence of $G_\phi(r)$ (Fig. (3)), (ii) the condition $F_A(\phi_i) = 72\pi$ for Frank's constant and (iii) the divergence of ξ_ϕ . For the transition hexatic \rightarrow crystal F_A diverges at ϕ_m . Both divergencies (extracted from just one correlation function) lead to critical exponents that are in good agreement with the KTHNY-theory. The measurements for melting and freezing support each other; so we may conclude that there is no hysteresis effect of the phase-transitions. At the two transitions, the order parameters are observed to change continuously (within the resolution of $\phi/1 = T$); no indication of a phase-separation (as for example strong fluctuations of the order parameters) has been found [30] as has been reported by [17, 21]. So we believe that in our system – having a well-defined, purely repulsive pair-potential and a conformation to 2D that is free of any surface roughness – the transitions are second order.

In [31, 32] we verified that the Young's modulus becomes 16 at T_m . We have now checked that F_A takes the value 72π at T_i . These two findings together confirm the two-stage KTHNY melting scenario with its underlying microscopic picture of breaking the translational symmetry by dislocation-pair- and orientational symmetry by disclination-pair-unbinding.

P. Keim gratefully acknowledges the financial support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

-
- [1] J. Kosterlitz and D. Thouless, *J. Phys. C*, **6**, 1181 (1973).
 - [2] A. P. Young, *Phys. Rev. B*, **19**, 1855 (1979).
 - [3] B. I. Halperin and D. R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41**, 121 (1978).
 - [4] D. R. Nelson and B. I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 2457 (1979).
 - [5] S. T. Chui, *Phys. Rev. B* **28**, 178 (1983).
 - [6] H. Kleinert, *Phys. Lett.* **95A**, 381n (1983).
 - [7] M. A. G. Lasier and N. A. Clark, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **83**, 543 (1993).
 - [8] Y. Lansac, M. A. G. Lasier, and N. A. Clark, *Phys. Rev. E* **73**, 041501 (2006).
 - [9] K. Chen, T. Kaplan, and M. Mostoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4019 (1995).
 - [10] F. L. Sommer, G. S. Canright, T. Kaplan, K. Chen, and M. Mostoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 3431 (1997).
 - [11] C. H. Mak, *Phys. Rev. E*, **73**, 065104(R) (2006).
 - [12] A. Jaster, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 2594 (1999).
 - [13] S. Z. Lin, B. Zheng, and S. Trimper, *Phys. Rev. E*, **73**, 066106 (2006).
 - [14] C. A. Murray and D. H. Van Winkle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 1200 (1987).
 - [15] Y. Tang, A. J. Armstrong, R. C. Mockett, and W. J. O'Sullivan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 2401 (1989).
 - [16] R. E. Kusner, J. A. Mann, J. Kerins, and A. J. Dahm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 3113 (1994).
 - [17] A. H. Marcus and S. A. Rice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2577 (1996).
 - [18] K. Zahn and G. Maret, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3656 (2000).
 - [19] A. V. Petukhov, D. van der Beek, R. P. A. Dullens, I. P. Dolbnya, G. J. Vroege, and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 077801 (2005).
 - [20] R. A. Segalman, A. Hexemer, R. C. Hayward, and E. J. Kramer, *Macromolecules*, **36**, 3272 (2003).
 - [21] D. E. Angelescu, C. K. Harrison, M. L. Trawick, R. A. Register, P. M. Chaikin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 025702 (2005).
 - [22] R. Seshadri and R. M. Westervelt, *Phys. Rev. B*, **46**, 5142 (1992).
 - [23] P. M. Reis, R. A. Ingale, and M. D. Shattuck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 258001 (2006).
 - [24] X. H. Zheng and R. Grieve, *Phys. Rev. B*, **73**, 064205 (2006).
 - [25] P. Dimon, P. M. Hom, M. Sutton, R. J. Birgeneau, and D. E. Moncton, *Phys. Rev. B*, **31**, 437 (1985).
 - [26] D. Li and S. A. Rice, *Phys. Rev. E*, **72**, 041506 (2005).
 - [27] P. Keim, G. Maret, U. Herz, and H. H. von Grunberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 215504 (2004).
 - [28] The segments will merge to rings, if the system's size tends towards infinity.
 - [29] The upper value is motivated by the maximum value of the histogram of distances and $r=a=0$ is excluded in the algebraic case to avoid the singularity. The histogram over distances is used as statistical weight of the t -function taking into account the different frequency of occurrence of the data-points in the minimum and maximum of $G_\phi(r)$.
 - [30] We indeed do see a local clustering of dislocations as already noted by [14, 15] in the hexatic phase close to ϕ_i (which is not too surprising for dislocations with finite density having an attractive interaction) but this averages out in G_ϕ if the field of view is big enough.

- [31] H. H. von Grunberg, P. Keim, K. Zahn, and G. Maret, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 255703 (2004).
- [32] J. Zanghellini, P. Keim, and H. H. von Grunberg, J. Phys. Cond. Mat. 17, 3579 (2005).