### M axim al height statistics for 1=f signals

G.Gyorgyi, N.R.Moloney, K.Ozogany, and Z.Racz<sup>x</sup>

Institute for Theoretical Physics - HAS, Eotvos University, Pazmany setany 1/a, 1117 Budapest, Hungary

(D ated: M arch 23, 2022)

N um erical and analytical results are presented for the maximal relative height distribution of stationary periodic G aussian signals (one dimensional interfaces) displaying a 1=f power spectrum. For 0 < 1 (regime of decaying correlations), we observe that the mathematically established limiting distribution (Fisher-Tippett-G um bel distribution) is approached extremely slow by as the sample size increases. The convergence is rapid for > 1 (regime of strong correlations) and a highly accurate picture gallery of distribution functions can be constructed numerically. A nalytical results can be obtained in the limit ! 1 and, for large , by perturbation expansion. Furtherm ore, using path integral techniques we derive a trace form ula for the distribution function, valid for = 2n even integer. From the latter we extract the sm all argument asymptote of the distribution function whose analytic continuation to arbitrary > 1 is found to be in agreement with simulations. C om parison of the extrem e and roughness statistics of the interfaces reveals similarities in both the sm all and large argument asymptotes of the distribution functions.

PACS num bers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.-r, 68.35.Ct

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

W hereas the extrem e value statistics (EVS) of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables has been thoroughly understood for a long time [1, 2, 3], our know ledge about the EVS of correlated variables is less general. Many natural processes, like ood-water levels, m eteorological param eters, and earthquake m agnitudes [4, 5, 6], are, however, characterized by large variations, a phenom enon connected to long term correlations. Since extrem al occurrences in physical quantities m ay be of great signi cance, it is essential to develop an understanding of EVS in the presence of correlations. The last few years have seen increased activity in this direction, with several particular cases worked out in detail. For example, extrem al height uctuations in 1+1 dim ensional Edwards {W ilkinson surfaces have been investigated recently [7, 8], and a nontrivial distribution function, the Airy distribution, was found analytically for the stationary surface. Equivalently, considering the latter as a tim e signal, this result relates to m axim ald isplacem ents in Brownian random walks. O ther studies of surface uctuations also dem onstrate the e ect of correlations on EVS, and several examples show that nontrivial EVS may emerge even in the simplest surface evolution models [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Remarkable connections have also been found between EVS and propagating front solutions, exploited in such problem sas random fragm entation [15], or random binary-tree searches [16]. Correlations have also been shown to play an important role in e ecting extrem e events in weather records [17, 18]. To sum m arize, problem s related to extrem es regularly arise,

and it is a fundam ental question whether they obey a lim it distribution characterizing i.i.d. variables, or som e special, nontrivial, statistics em erges.

In order to develop an intuition about the e ect of correlations, we shall consider here the EVS of periodic signals displaying G aussian uctuations with 1=f power spectra. W hile we shall use the term inology of time signals, one-dimensional stationary interfaces may equally be in agined, with the same spatial spectrum, and periodic boundary conditions. System s with 1=f type uctuations are abundant in nature, with examples ranging from voltage uctuations in resistors [19], through tem – perature uctuations in the oceans [20], to climatological temperature records [21], to the number of stocks traded daily [22]. In addition, most of these uctuations appear to be G aussian, thus our results may have relevance in answering questions about the probability of extrem e events therein.

The 1=f signals we consider are rather simple in the sense that they decompose into independent modes in Fourier space. The modes are not identically distributed, however, giving rise to temporal correlations, which are by now well understood (see Sec. II). Correlations are tuned by , yielding signals with no correlations (= 0), decaying (0 < < 1), and diverging correlations (1 < 1). Thus 1=f processes are also well suited for studying the e ect of a wide range of correlations on extrem e events in signals.

The central quantity we investigate is the maximum relative height (MRH), rst studied in [23]. This is the highest peak of a signal over a given time interval T, measured from the average level. Speci cally, for each realization of the signal, h(t), the MRH is

$$h_{m} := \max_{t} h(t) \quad h(t):$$
(1)

<sup>y</sup>E lectronic address: m oloney@ general.elte.hu

where  $m ax_t h$  (t) is the peak of the signal and h (t) is its time average. The MRH,  $h_m$ , varies from realization to realization, and is therefore a random variable whose

E lectronic address: gyorgyi@ glu.elte.hu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>E lectronic address: ozogany@ general.elte.hu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>x</sup>E lectronic address: racz@ general.elte.hu

probability density function (PDF), denoted by P ( $h_m$ ), we would like to determ ine. The physical signi cance of  $h_m$  is obvious. For instance, in a corroding surface it gives the maxim aldepth of damage or, in general, it is the maxim alpeak of a surface. To name another exam – ple, when naturalwater level uctuations are considered, it is related to the necessary dam height.

Since the Fourier components of the signalare independent variables, it is relatively easy to generate hm -s num erically and thereby obtain su cient statistics for sam pling P (hm ) (see Sec. III). Scanning through 0 < 1 reveals that  $_{c} = 1$  separates two regions with distinct behaviors in both the lim iting functions and the convergence to them as the signal length (0 t T) tends to in nity. At = 0, the signal is made up of i.i.d. variables and the EVS is governed by the FTG distribution, which is one of the three possible lim it distributions for i.i.d. variables in the traditional categorization [24, 25]. In fact, this property extends to the whole 0 < 1 interval [26], where the correlations decay in a powerlaw fashion. Our results indicate that, at least in the 0 < 0:5 region, not only the lim it distribution but the convergence to it follows closely the logarithm ically slow convergence which characterizes = 0 (Sec. IV). We nd that the convergence further slows down in the 0 < 0:5 region and it remains an open question whether it is slower or not than logarithm ic.

For > 1, the signal becomes rough, that is, the correlations diverge with signal length, and we nd that the qualitative features of the EVS in this range are the sam e as in the = 2 case (Sec. V), exactly solved by Com tet and M a jum dar [7, 8]. N am ely, the divergent scale of the extrem e values  $h_m$  i T, where = ( 1)=2, is proportional to the scale of the uctuations in the signal (square root of the roughness in interface language) and, furtherm ore, the large- and sm all-argum ent asym ptotes of the lim iting distribution functions are of sim ilar type. In order to dem onstrate these sim ilarities, we study the generalized, higher order, random acceleration problem ( = even integer) in Sec. VI, and calculate the propagator of this process. U sing this result, we develop a generalization of the trace form ula (Sec.V II) which was instrum ental in solving the = 2 problem . It turns out that the trace form ula can be written in a scaling form, which yields the scale of the MRH values (Sec. VIII) as well as, under a rather m ild and natural assumption, the sm allargum ent asym ptote of the MRH distribution (Sec. IX). Our num erical evaluations of the distributions are all in excellent agreem ent with the analytical results.

A nalytical results can also be obtained in the ! 1 limit (Sec. V), where the lowest frequency mode determines the shape of the signal. We nd that the MRH distribution has the functional form  $x \exp(\hat{x})$ . Corrections to the ! 1 limit may be obtained by keeping the lowest frequency modes. With only three modes, a satisfactory description of the whole 6 region can be obtained (Sec. X). Since both the = 2 and = 1 results suggest that the large-argument tail of the distribution takes the form  $x \exp(x^2)$ , we checked this property for other -s as well, and found it to be an excellent description for all > 1.

The common scaling properties of the maximal height and the root mean square height for > 1 lead us to compare the MRH distributions to the roughness distributions of 1=f interfaces [27]. We nd in Sec. XI that, in addition to the general shape of the PDF-s, both the small and large argument asymptotes of these functions have analogous functional forms provided the replacement  $h_m$  ! (roughness)<sup>1=2</sup> is made. Sim ilar conclusions can also be reached when P ( $h_m$ ) is compared with the distribution of maximal intensities [10].

C oncluding rem arks are collected in Sec.X II while details of the calculations of the generalized random acceleration process and of the large expansion are given in Appendix A and B, respectively.

#### II. GAUSSIAN PERIODIC 1=f SIGNALS

W e consider G aussian periodic signals h (t) = h (t + T ) of length T . The probability density functional of h (t) is given by

$$P[h(t)] e^{S[h(t)]};$$
(2)

where the e ective action S can be form ally de ned in real space but, in practice, is de ned through its Fourier representation

$$S[c_n; ] = 2 T^{1}$$
  $n \dot{c}_n \dot{f}:$  (3)

Here is a sti ness parameter which is set to (2) = 2 hereafter (for the details and notation we follow [27]), and the  $c_n$ -s are the Fourier coe cients of h (t)

h (t) = 
$$c_n e^{2 \text{ in } t=T}$$
; (4)

where  $c_n = c_n$  and their phases (for  $n \in N=2$ ) are independent random variables uniform ly distributed in the interval [0;2], while  $c_{N=2}$  is real. Since  $c_0$  does not appear in the action (3) we can set the average of the signal to zero, i.e.  $c_0 = 0$ . Note that the cuto introduced by N m eans that the tim escale is not resolved below

$$= T = N$$
 (5)

and thus a measurem ent of h(t) yields e ectively N data points.

A sone can see from Eqs. (2) and (3), the amplitudes of the Fourier m odes are independent, G aussian distributed variables { but they are not identically distributed. Indeed, the uctuations increase with decreasing wavenum ber, with power spectrum

$$hjc_n fi/\frac{1}{n};$$
 (6)



FIG.1: Typical pro les of various 1=f signals of length N = T = 8192. Note that, contrary to the visual illusion, the 0 < 1 surfaces are at, while the 1 < 1 signals are rough. In the form er case, the am plitude of the signals is size independent, while in the latter case the am plitude diverges with system size. For ease of com parison, we have rescaled the signals to be approximately equal in height.

as be tting a 1=f signal.

By scanning through , systems of wide interest may be generated. For example, = 0;1;2;4 correspond respectively to white-noise, 1=f-noise [28], an Edwards-W ilkinson interface [29] or Brownian curve, and a M ullins-Herring interface [30, 31] or random acceleration process [32].

An important feature of 1=f signals is that correlations may be tuned by the parameter . Indeed, as one can see in Fig.1, an -scan leads us from the absence of correlations (= 0, white noise) to the limit of a deterministic signal (= 1). In between = 0 and ! 1,  $_{\rm c}$  = 1 separates decaying (0 < 1) and strongly-correlated (1 < 1) signals. As we shall see, the extrem e statistics is di erent in these two regions, thus it may be worth spelling out the distinctions between decaying and strong correlations. We therefore brie y describe some known results regarding the correlations in 1=f signals that will be relevant to the understanding of the rest of the paper.

A global (integral) characteristic of correlations is given by the mean-square uctuations of the signal, called roughness or width in surface term inology [33, 34]

$$w_2 = [h(t) \ \overline{h}]^2 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N-2} \dot{f}_n \dot{f}';$$
 (7)

where the overbar indicates an average over t, and the

second equality shows that  $w_2$  is the integrated powerspectrum of the system. This quantity has been much investigated [27, 35] and its probability distribution will be compared to the extrem e statistics of the surface in Sec.XI. For the present purpose it is su cient to recall that the ensemble average over surfaces,  $hw_2i$ , yields the following asymptote for large system sizes (T ! 1)

Thus the uctuations diverge with system size for 1

< 1 in contrast to the nite uctuations in the 0

< 1 regime. Since diverging uctuations are the sign of strong correlations, this gives a reason for separating the 0 < 1 and 1 < 1 regions and attaching the name of decaying and strong correlations to each, respectively.

A more detailed characterization of the -dependence of the correlation can be obtained by examining the correlation function C  $(t;T) = hh(t^0)h(t^0 + t)i$  itself. A simple calculation shows that the limit T ! 1 and t=T ! finite yields the following scaling form

C 
$$(t;T) = T ^{\perp}F (t=T);$$
 (9)

and that the nature of the correlations follows from the properties of scaling function  ${\rm F}$  .

For 1 < < 1, the scaling function is of order 0 (1) and F (t=T ! 0) is nite. As a consequence,

$$C_{>1}(t;T) T^{1};$$
 (10)

so that the correlations diverge in the T ! 1 limit. The divergence is also present for = 1 but it is only logarithm ic,  $C_1$  (t;T) ln (T = ). System s with 1 < 1 can therefore be regarded as strongly correlated.

For 0 < < 1, the correlations are 0 (1) since the scaling function behaves as F (u)  $u^{1}$  for u 1 and, consequently, one has a power law decay of correlations, independent of system size

$$C_{<1}(t;T) = 1 = t$$
 (11)

In the bulk (u 1=2), the correlations quickly approach zero, C  $1=T^1$  in the T ! 1 limit. The correlations disappear entirely for = 0 since, in this case, h (t) are i.i.d. variables. System s with 0 < 1 have decaying correlations hence the name used for their identication. Thus we see how the regions 0 < 1 and 1

< 1 are distinguished. Furtherm ore, we also have a characterization of correlations taken into account when we study the EVS of periodic G aussian 1=f signals.

### III. EXTREME STATISTICS:TECHNICALITIES

The quantity of interest is the distribution function P ( $h_m$ ) of the maximum height  $h_m$  of the signal measured from the average, as de ned in Eq. (1). In order to

construct the histogram for the frequency distribution of  $h_m$ , we generate a large number ( $10^\circ \quad 10^\circ$ ) of signals, as prescribed by the action S  $[b_k; ]$  in Eq. (3). Each signal is Fourier transformed and the real-space signal, which has zero average  $(c_0=0)$ , is used to determ ine the value of  $h_m$ . Finally, the  $h_m$ -s are binned to build the histogram for the MRH distribution.

Since  $h_m$  is selected as the largest from  $N\ =\ T\ =\ num\ -\ bers, P\ (h_m\ )$  obtained by the above recipe depends on N . The goal of EVS is to nd the limiting distribution which emerges for  $N\ !\ 1$ 

$$P(z) = \lim_{N \leq 1} a_{N} P_{N} (h_{m} = a_{N} z + b_{N}) :$$
 (12)

Here  $a_N$  and  $b_N$  are introduced to take care of the possible singularities in  $h_m i_N$  and in  ${}^2_{_N} = h(h_m \quad hh_m i)^2 i$  (one expects e.g. that  $hh_m i_{N+1} ! 1$  for distributions with no nite upper endpoint).

For any nite N , the parameters  $a_{_N}$  and  $b_{_N}$  can be related to  $h_m~i_{_N}$  and  $_{_N}$  and, in practice, one builds a scaled distribution function where  $a_{_N}$  and  $b_{_N}$  do not play any role. In the following, we shall employ two distinct scaling procedures. If the large N behaviors of  $h_m~i_{_N}$  and  $_{_N}$  coincide (e.g.  $h_m~i_{_N}~_{_N}~$  N ) then we use scaling by the average by introducing the variable

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{m}} = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{N}} \tag{13}$$

which ensures that hxi = 1 and m akes the corresponding scaling function

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{N \leq 1} \mathbf{x}_{N} (\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{N \leq 1} \mathbf{h}_{m} \mathbf{i}_{N} \mathbf{P}_{N} (\mathbf{h}_{m} \mathbf{i}_{N} \mathbf{x})$$
(14)

devoid of any thing parameters.

If  $h_m i_N$  and  $_N$  scale di erently in the large N limit then the above procedure leads either to a delta function or to an ever widening distribution. One can deal with this problem by measuring  $h_m$  from  $h_m$  i in units of the standard deviation i.e. by introducing the scaling variable

$$y = \frac{h_m \quad h_{h_n} i}{h_m^2 i \quad h_{h_n} i^2} = (h_m \quad h_{h_n} i) = \sum_{N} :$$
 (15)

U sing y will be called -scaling and the corresponding scaling function will be denoted by  $\sim$ (y). Provided the lim it N ! 1 exists,

$$\sim (\mathbf{y}) = \lim_{\mathbf{N} \neq \mathbf{1}} \sim_{\mathbf{N}} (\mathbf{y}) = \lim_{\mathbf{N} \neq \mathbf{1}} \sum_{\mathbf{N} \neq \mathbf{1}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{N}} (\mathbf{h}\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{N}} + \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{y}) \quad (16)$$

is again a function without any thing parameters.

# IV . EVS IN THE REG IM E OF DECAY ING CORRELATIONS (0 $\,$ < 1)

In the white-noise limit ! 0, each point on the signal constitutes a random i.i.d. variable with Gaussian distribution. Under these conditions, the MRH lim iting distribution falls under the domain of attraction of the Fisher-Tippett-Gumbel distribution [1, 2]. In fact, in the range 0 < 1, it has been shown that the decaying correlations are too weak to change the FTG lim it [26]. Therefore, in the regime of decaying correlations, the MRH statistics of 1=f signals may be said to be universal.



FIG.2: Numerically constructed MRH distributions for = 0.5;0.8 and 1.0 for system size N = T = = 16384. The FTG distribution is shown by the thick black line. Each distribution is rescaled to zero mean and unit standard deviation. It should be mentioned that the < 0.5 curves are not displayed since they are indistinguishable from the = 0.5 case.

However, in the case of i.i.d. random variables drawn from a Gaussian parent distribution (i.e. for = 0), it has also been established that the convergence in N towards the limiting FTG distribution is logarithm ically slow [1, 36, 37]. Therefore, in practice, the MRH distribution may appear di erent from FTG. An even worse rate of convergence may be expected with increasing , since, heuristically, increasing correlations decrease the e ective number of degrees of freedom. In Fig. 2 we illustrate this trend by comparing numerical MRH distributions for a range of but xed N with the FTG limiting distribution. For < 0.5 the num erical distributions are practically indistinguishable from the case = 0.5. This gure serves as a warning when com paring real-world data with known extrem e value distributions.

We note here that E ichner et al. [38] have recently investigated EVS for = 0.6. A lthough they do not spell it out explicitly, their Figs. 2 and 3 do demonstrate that the convergence at = 0.6 (Fig. 3) is slower than at = 0 (Fig. 2), in agreem ent with our notings described above.

In order to shed more light on convergence rates towards limiting distributions, we have measured the skewness  $_1 = _3 = \frac{^{3=2}}{^2}$  where  $_n$  is the n-th cum ulant of the MRH distribution function. The results for a range of and N are displayed in Fig. 3. From this plot one can discern a number of remarkable features. First, in the range 0 < 1, we note that the measured skewnesses are far from the skewness of the FTG distribution (approximately 1:140 :::), even for the largest system size available. Second, for = 0, we know theoretically that the convergence rate is logarithm ically slow, but, som ewhat surprisingly, this convergence rate appears to be shared for all 0:5, after which convergence slows down markedly. Thus, the universality in the ultimate limiting distribution for 0 < 1 m ay not carry over to a universality in the nite-size corrections. Note that if we did not know the lim it but would try to determ ine it from nite-N skewnesses, then for . 1 we would be w rong to conclude that the asym ptotic value had nearly been reached.



FIG.3: Strong nite-size e ects at low values of as seen in the scaled skewness,  $_1 = _3 = _2^{3-2}$  of the M R H distribution. Note the exponential increasing system sizes N = T = used for comparisons. Note also that the scale of the horizontal axis changes at = 2:5. The limiting value of  $_1$  for < 1 is  $_1$  1:140. O ther exactly known values are  $_1$  ( = 2) 0:700 and  $_1$  ( ! 1 ) 0:631.

The case of strong correlations is discussed in the following sections. Here, we just observe that the skewnesses for > 1 rapidly collapse for di erent N, and that they are virtually indistinguishable from each other for & 1.5. In this case we may be quite sure that the skew nesses have practically reached their limiting values, since they match their corresponding theoretical values for = 2 and 1 with high accuracy. As we shall argue in Section V III, in contrast to the very slow convergence for 0 < 1, convergence rate in proves as it becomes a power law for > 1.

## V. STRONG-CORRELATION REGIME: EXACT RESULTSFOR = 2 AND = 1.

The 1 < 1 region is characterized by diverging mean-square uctuations (see Eq. (8)). Since  $h_m$  i

 $^{P}$   $\overline{hw_{2}i}$ , this is also a range where the characteristic scale of  $h_{m}$  i diverges with the size of the system at least as  $h_{m}$  i  $T^{(1)=2}$ . An important exact result in the strongly-correlated regime is related to the B rownian random walk (= 2). Majum dar and C om tet [7, 8] have shown that  $h_{m}$  i  $\overline{T}$  and, furtherm ore, they calculated the M RH distribution using path-integral techniques as well as by making a mapping to the problem of the area distribution under a B rownian excursion [39, 40]. The resulting distribution is known as the A iry distribution. Under scaling by the average (x =  $h_{m} = h_{m}$  i), the A iry distribution can be written as follows (note that slightly di erent scaling has been used in [7, 8])

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{8^{D} \overline{3}}{\mathbf{x}^{10-3}} \sum_{n=1}^{M} e^{v_{n} = x^{2}} v_{n}^{2=3} U \quad (5=6; 4=3; v_{n} = x^{2}):$$
(17)

Here U (a;b;z) is the con uent hypergeom etric function and  $v_n = (2=)$   $(2_n=3)^3$  is related to the n-th zero n of the A iry function.

The small and large x asymptotes of (x) have also been calculated [7, 8] with the results

$$(x ! 0) = \frac{8^{p} \overline{3} v_{1}^{3=2}}{p \overline{x}^{5}} e^{v_{1} = x^{2}}$$
 (18)

and

ln (x ! 1) 3  $x^2=4$ : (19)

It is notew orthy that the above asym ptotes are quite close in functional form to those obtained for the width distribution of the E dwards-W ilkinson m odel [35].

The plot of (x) is shown on Fig. 4a where a rather fast convergence to the limiting function can be seen (the convergence rate is T<sup>1=2</sup> as calculated in [41]). It is remarkable that the convergence is even faster if -scaling is used (Fig. 4b). The reason for this is the nite-size scaling of higher cum ulants of the M RH distribution function. At this point we present this just as a numerical observation. A detailed study of the nite-size scaling of M RH will be published separately [42].

The review of the properties of the M RH distribution for = 2 presented above gives a guidance for the discussion of EVS in the strong correlation regime. A swe shall see below, the basic properties of EVS ( $h_m$  i  $h_{W_2}$ i, the general shape of (x), the structure of the small- and large-x asymptotics of (x), the fast convergence to the limiting function) are similar in the whole 1 < < 1region.

The other analytically solvable case is the ! 1 limit. Indeed, here only the n = 1 mode survives, and the resulting signal h(t) =  $j_1 j sin (2 t=T + ')$ . Consequently,  $h_m = j_1 j$  and the distribution of  $h_m$ is just the distribution of  $j_1 j$  given by P ( $j_1 j$ )  $j_1 j exp [ (2) T^1 j_1 j_1]$ . Using the average scaling, the scaling function  $j_1(x) = h_m iP$  ( $h_m ix$ ) becomes

$$_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x})e^{-\mathbf{x}^{2}=4}$$
: (20)



FIG. 4: Convergence of the MRH distribution to its exactly known N ! 1 limit [7]. Results for same system sizes are displayed in both panels using scaling by the average (x =  $h_m = hh_m$  i) and -scaling [y = ( $h_m = hh_m$  i)=] in the upper and lower panels, respectively. Very small system sizes were also displayed in order to demonstrate the remarkably fast convergence by -scaling.

were (x) is Heaviside's step function. C om paring the above expression with the asymptotes (18) and (19), one can see that, in addition to the disappearance of the sm all x singularity, the large x asymptote has also changed by an extra x factor.

### VI. THE PROPAGATOR OF THE GENERALIZED RANDOM ACCELERATION PROCESS: = 2n

The derivation of subsequent analytical results on the scale of  $h_m$  i, and on the small  $h_m$  asymptote of the MRH distribution is based on the observation that 1=f signals are actually paths of generalized random acceleration processes, provided that = 2n is an even integer. This allows a path-integral representation of the

MRH distribution function (Sec.VII) from which rather general conclusions can be drawn and, furtherm ore, as indicated by the simulations, the results can be extended to any 1 < < 1.

The construction of the MRH distribution function in the path integral approach involves the calculation of a normalization factor which, in turn, requires the know ledge of the propagator (also called two-tim eG reenfunction, or transition probability) of the random acceleration process. Here we compute this propagator, i.e. the probability density of a position of the stochastic path at som e tim et conditioned on the initial point.

The equation of motion of the  $1=f^{2n}$  trajectory reads

$$h^{[n]}(t) = \frac{d^n h(t)}{dt^n} = (t);$$
 (21)

where (t) is white noise with zero m ean and correlation h (t)  $(t^0)i = (t \quad t^0)$ . Note that h (t) corresponds to the n 1-st integral of the B row nian random walk trajectory. Eq. (21) can be rewritten as a vector Langevin equation

$$\underline{z}_1 = (t); \ \underline{z}_k = z_{k-1}; \ (k = 2;3;:::n); \ z_n = h: (22)$$

For n = 1 we have the usual random walk, for n = 2 the random acceleration problem [32], also extensively studied, while for higher n-s one can speak about the generalized random acceleration processes [43, 44]. We are interested in the conditional probability that after time t the trajectory is at  $z = (z_1; z_2; \ldots; z_n)$  provided it started from  $z^0$ . In the following, we denote this propagator by  $G_n$  ( $z \not z^0$ ;t). Its subscript indicates the dimension of the vector arguments, and it obviously satis es the recursion relation

$$G_{n} (z \dot{z}^{0}; t) = dz_{n+1} G_{n+1} (z \dot{z}^{0}; t)$$
(23)

where the integration elim inates the dependence on  $z_{n+1}^0$ , too. The propagator has D irac delta initial condition,  $G_n(z \not{z}^0; 0) = {}^{(n)}(z z^0)$ , and satisfies the Fokker-P lanck equation, obtained in a standard way from the Langevin equation [45],

$$\theta_t G_n = \hat{H}_n^0 G_n \qquad (24)$$

$$\hat{H}_{n}^{0} = \frac{1}{2} \varrho_{1}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} z_{k} \varrho_{k+1}; \qquad (25)$$

where  ${\boldsymbol{\theta}}_t$  and  ${\boldsymbol{\theta}}_k$  are derivatives with respect to t and  $z_k$ , respectively. The superscript of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{H}}_n^0$  refers to the fact that we consider here the time evolution (21) without further constraints. The propagator has been calculated in previous studies up to n = 5 [44, 46]. We make an ansatz that m atches these functions and we show it to be valid for general n

$$G_{n}(z \dot{z}^{0};t) = \int_{k=1}^{Y^{n}} G(a^{k} z \overset{0ik}{a} \overset{0}{z}; _{k}); \quad (26)$$

where  $G(z; ) = \exp(z^2=2^2) = \frac{p}{2}$  is a Gaussian PDF with zero m ean and variance  $2, z^0$  is the initial condition vector, and the vector  $a^k$  only has nonzero components for i = 1;2;:::;k, i.e., for l > k we have  $a_1^k = 0$ . In order to rem ove am biguity we set  $a_k^k = 1$ . The  $a^k; a^{0,k}; k$  are time dependent quantities to be determ ined. The above form ula am ounts to the recursion relation

$$G_{n}(z jz^{0};t) = G_{n 1}(z jz^{0};t)$$

$$G(a^{n} z \overset{0a^{n}}{=} z^{0};n): (27)$$

Substitution of this ansatz into (24) leads to equations for the unknown parameters. The solution of the equations as described in Appendix A yields

$$a_{k}^{n} = \frac{(t)^{n-k} (n+k-2)!}{2^{n-1} (2n-3)!! (k-1)! (n-k)!}; \quad (28a)$$

$$a_{k}^{0,n} = (1)^{n-k} a_{k}^{n};$$
 (28b)  
+(2n-1)=2

$$n = \frac{p}{2^{n-1}} \frac{p}{2n-1} \frac{(2n-1)}{(2n-3)!!}$$
 (28c)

For illustration, we use the above expressions to calculate and display explicitly the n = 4 propagator. Noting that the original coordinate, velocity, acceleration, and its time derivative are given by

$$h = z_4; v = z_3; a = z_2; \underline{a} = z_1;$$
 (29)

respectively, the propagator can be written in the form

$$G_4 (z \dot{z}^0; t) = \frac{720^p 105}{2t^8} e^{A=2};$$
 (30)

where A=2 is the sum of the exponents of the G aussians in (26), namely

$$A = {X^4 \atop k = 1} A_k;$$
(31)

with

$$A_{1} = \frac{1}{t} (z_{1} - z_{1}^{0})^{2}; \qquad (32a)$$

$$A_2 = \frac{12}{t^3} z_2 z_2^0 - \frac{t}{2} (z_1 + z_1^0)^2;$$
 (32b)

$$A_{3} = \frac{720}{t^{5}} z_{3} \qquad z_{3}^{0} \qquad \frac{t}{2} (z_{2} + z_{2}^{0}) + \frac{t^{2}}{12} (z_{1} \qquad z_{1}^{0})^{2} (32c)$$

$$A_{4} = \frac{100800}{t^{7}} z_{4} z_{4}^{0} \frac{t}{2} (z_{3} + z_{3}^{0}) + \frac{t^{2}}{10} (z_{2} z_{2}^{0});$$

$$t^{3} (z_{4} + z_{3}^{0})^{2} \cdot (z_{3} + z_{3}^{0}) + z_{3}^{0} z_{3}^{0} + z$$

$$\frac{c}{120}(z_1 + z_1^0)$$
 : (32d)

Up to the k = 3 term this incorporates the propagators of the random walk, k = 1, random acceleration, k = 2, and random velocity of acceleration, k = 3, and the above expressions are in agreement with previous results [44]. Note that, independently of k we have  $a_k^k = a_k^{0,k} = 1$ , and

$$a_{k}^{k} = a_{k-1}^{0;k} = t=2;$$
 (33)

but for l = k = 2 the  $\frac{1}{4}$ -s will vary with both l and k.

Later, for the construction of the form ula for the M R H distribution, we will need a special property of the propagator. N am ely, if we consider the propagator of a periodic path of length T and integrate it over the common values of the velocity, acceleration, etc., at the endpoints, we get the surprisingly simple result

<sup>Z</sup> <sup>Y</sup> <sup>1</sup>  
$$dz_k^0 G_n (z^0 \dot{z}^0; T) = T^{(n \ 1=2)} (2)^{1=2}$$
: (34)

Indeed, the periodic propagator does not depend on  $z_n^0$ , the integration over  $z_{n-1}^0$  cancels the normalizing constant of the n-th G aussian but brings in a factor of 1=T. The integration over  $z_{n-2}^0$  does the same with the n 1-st G aussian, and so on, until nally we are left with the norm factor of the n = 1 G aussian, 1= 2 T, divided by T<sup>n-1</sup>, as shown in (34). The key to this remarkable cancellation of the total num eric prefactor of the propagator is that (33) holds uniform ly for all k-s.

## VII. PATH INTEGRAL FORMALISM AND THE TRACE FORMULA FOR THE MRH ( = 2n)

For = 2 M a jum dar and Com tet [7, 8] introduced a path integral representation of the M R H distribution. The technique allowed for the form ulation of the PDF in terms of the spectrum of a quantum mechanical, onedimensional, H am iltonian  $\hat{H}$  with a hard wall and elsewhere linear potential, through the trace of e  $\hat{H}^{T}$ , valid in the case of periodic boundary conditions. The spectrum is known to consist of the A iry zeros, so the trace form ula resulted in the PDF called the A iry distribution.

In what follows we show that, in the case of periodic boundary conditions, for a general =  $2n, n = 2;3; \dots$ an analogous trace form ula holds. Rem arkably, the formula turns out to be essentially the same as in the = 2case, with the only dierence that now a generalized \Ham iltonian"  $\hat{H}_n$  appears. However, the  $\hat{H}_n$ , a di erential operator in an n dimensional space, is no longer Herm itian. W hereas we shallnot solve the spectral problem necessary for the calculation of the MRH distribution, this form ulation will allow us to (i) determ ine the scale of the MRH as function of T, and (ii) give explicitly the initial asym ptote of the PDF, with the only undeterm ined parameter being the ground state energy of the Ham iltonian  $\hat{H_n}$ . W hat is more, the results (i-ii) will lend them selves to a continuation to real -s, so the use of the path integral technique extends beyond its original region of validity, the generalized random acceleration problem = 2n.

W e begin with the probability functional of a periodic path h (t), where h is measured from the time average,

$$P [h (t)] = A \exp \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{T}} dt [h^{[n]}(t)]^{2}$$

$$Z_{T} \qquad !$$

$$dth (t) : \qquad (35)$$

Following [7, 8] we have introduced a normalizing  $\cos - \operatorname{cient} A$ , ensuring

$$D_n h (t) P [h (t)] = 1;$$
 (36)

where PBC indicates that periodic boundary conditions for all derivatives of the path up to  $h^{[n \ 1]}$  is understood. The m easure  $D_n h(t)$  is de ned such that the propagator  $G_n(z \not z^0; T)$  of Sec. VI is a path integral without extra norm alization, and the boundary conditions of the integral are specified by the arguments of  $G_n$ , i.e.

$$G_{n}(z j z^{0}; T) = \sum_{n=1}^{Z} D_{n}h(t) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z} dt [h^{[n]}(t)]^{2}; (37)\right)$$

with  $h^{[n \ k]}(0) = z_k^0; h^{[n \ k]}(T) = z_k$ , where k = 1; :::n. This  $D_n h(t)$  is in fact the measure leading naturally to the quantum -mechanical-like operator representation of the path integral

$$G_{n}(z \dot{z}^{0}; T) = z \dot{z}^{H_{n}^{0}T} \dot{z}^{0};$$
(38)

where  $\hat{H}_n^0$  is given by Eq. (25), and  $z^0$  (hz) are its right (left) eigenvectors corresponding to the n dimensional positions indicated therein. Note that since this Ham iltonian is non-Herm itian for n 2, the left and right eigenfunctions are di erent in general.

A third version of the propagator we shall utilize com es from a path integral by a measure of one order lower as

Here the D irac delta produces the norm alized density for the added area variable  $z_n + 1$ . Note that if we take into account Eq. (37) then the consistency relation (23) immediately follows.

In order to determ ine the norm alization coe cient A in (35), we express the equal-points propagator com plem ented with the area variable set to zero at both ends. By integrating over the path except for a single point and using Eqs. (35) and (39)

$$P_{PBC}(z^{0}) = D_{n}h(t)P[h(t)]$$
  
= AG<sub>n+1</sub>(z<sup>0</sup>;0;z<sup>0</sup>;0;T): (40)

where the mark PBC  $z^0$  refers to the time derivatives at the ends xed at  $h^{[k]}(0) = h^{[k]}(T) = z_{n-k}^0$ ; k = 0;:::;n = 1. The  $P_{PBC}(z^0)$  is the joint probability density of  $h^{[k]}(t) = z_{n-k}^0$  s in a periodic path at any xed time t, so as a byproduct we obtained that density in term softhe propagator, explicitly given in Sec.VI. That joint probability density is obviously normalized to unity. However, we know from Eq. (34) that the integral of the rh.s. is independent of the  $z_{n+1}^0$ -st variable, and therefore we have

$$A = T^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{p}{2}$$
 (41)

For n = 1 the norm alizing coe cient derived in [7, 8] is recovered.

The integrated distribution M ( $h_m$ ;T) of the MRH, i.e., the probability that the maximum does not exceed  $h_m$ , has been formulated in terms of a path integral in [7,8]. That expression is valid for any path density P [h(t)] and reads form ally as

$$M (h_{m};T) = {}^{Z} {}^{h_{m}} dh P (h;T)$$

$$Z^{1} Y$$

$$= {}^{D} h (t) P [h (t)] (h_{m} h (t)): (42)$$

$${}^{PBC} t$$

N ote that here P ( $h_m$ ;T) is the density of M RH. Changing the integration variable and then introducing the hard wall potential  $V_0$  (h) = 1 for h < 0 and  $V_0$  (h) = 0 for h > 0, one obtains

$$M (h_{m};T) = Dh(t)P[h_{m} h(t)] (h(t))$$

$$Z^{PBC} t$$

$$= Dh(t)P[h_{m} h(t)]e^{R_{T}} dtV_{0}(h(t))$$

$$:(43)$$

U sing the specic form (35) of the probability functional we nd

$$Z \qquad Z_{T}$$

$$M (h_{m};T) = A \quad Dh(t) \quad h_{m} T \quad dth(t)$$

$$\prod_{T} Z_{T} \qquad \qquad \#$$

$$exp \qquad dt \quad \frac{1}{2} (h^{[n]})^{2} + V_{0} (h(t)) \quad (44)$$

Next, we introduce the scaled Laplace transform of the integrated MRH distribution

$$Z_{1}$$
K (u;T) = T dh<sub>m</sub> e <sup>uh<sub>m</sub>T</sup> M (h<sub>m</sub>;T)  

$$Z^{0}$$
= A D h (t)  

$$P^{BC} Z_{T}$$
exp dt  $\frac{1}{2}$  (h<sup>[n]</sup>)<sup>2</sup> + uV (h (t)) (45)

where the potential V (h) = 1 for h < 0 and V (h) = h for h > 0.

In order to nd K (u;T), we write down the evolution equation for the PDF of the position and its derivatives  $P_n$  (z;t) corresponding to the above path probability

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\mathsf{t}} \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{n}} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathsf{n}} (\mathsf{u}) \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{n}};$$
 (46)

$$\hat{H}_{n}(u) = \hat{H}_{n}^{0} + uV(z_{n});$$
 (47)

where  $\hat{H_n^0}$  was given in (25) and the variables  $z_k$  are dened by (22). Thus the Laplace transform can be written in short as

$$K(u;T) = A Trexp \hat{H}_n(u)T$$
: (48)

It is straightforward to show that the eigenvalues  $E_{n;!}$  (u) of  $\hat{H_n}$  (u), where ! summarizes all discrete indices, obey a simple scaling in u. For that purpose, let us consider the eigenvalue problem for  $h = z_n > 0$ 

$$\hat{H}_{n}(u) = \frac{1}{2} e_{1}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} z_{k} e_{k+1} + u z_{n} = E_{n}(u)$$
 (49)

and apply a scale transform ation by substituting

$$u^{k} z_{k} ! z_{k}; u E_{n} ! E_{n}:$$
 (50)

W e recover an equation free of u, if all powersm ultiplying various terms are the same, that is

$$2_1 = 1_2 = n = n = n + 1 = ; (51)$$

so  $_{k} = (n \quad k+1)$  1 and also  $2_{1} = .$  Hence  $= \frac{2}{2n+1}$ , so the eigenvalues scale like  $E_{n;!}(u) = \frac{2}{n;!} u^{\frac{2}{2n+1}}$ , where  $_{n;!}$  is the spectrum of  $\hat{H_{n}} = \hat{H_{n}}(1)$ .

It thus follows that, using (41), we get the scaling relation for the Laplace transform of the integrated distribution

K (u;T) = 
$$T^{n+\frac{1}{2}}K(uT^{n+\frac{1}{2}})$$
 (52)

$$K (s) = {}^{P} \overline{2} \operatorname{Trexp} \quad \hat{H}_{n} s^{\frac{2}{2n+1}}$$
$$= {}^{P} \overline{2} \operatorname{exp}_{n;!} s^{\frac{2}{2n+1}} : (53)$$

Hence, using (45), we obtain for the PDF of the MRH P ( $h_m$ ;T) and its moment generating function G (u;T) in scaling form s

$$P(h_{m};T) = \underset{Z_{1}}{\underline{a}_{h_{m}}} M(h_{m};T) = T^{\frac{1}{2} n} P(h_{m} T^{\frac{1}{2} n}); \quad (54)$$

$$G(v;T) = \underset{0}{\underline{a}_{h_{m}}} P(h_{m};T) e^{vh_{m}} = G(vT^{n-\frac{1}{2}}); \quad (55)$$

7

where

$$G(s) = dz P(z)e^{sz}$$

$$= sK(s)$$

$$= p\frac{1}{2}sTrexp \quad \hat{H}_{n}s^{\frac{2}{2n+1}}$$

$$= p\frac{1}{2}s \exp_{n;!}s^{\frac{2}{2n+1}} : (56)$$

Note that the same symbols P;G are used for single-and double-argument functions, but that should not cause confusion. Remarkably, the trace formula is exactly the same as in the case of the simple random walk n = 1, with the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_1$  replaced by  $\hat{H}_n$ . Note that, in the special case of n = 1, the scaling function of the MRH distribution (17) is ultimately recovered from the above trace formula 81.

The scaled m om ent generating function Eq. (56) together with the preceding scaling form ulas are our m ain result here. In the next two sections, we shall exploit the above results to draw conclusions about the scale and the sm all argument asymptote of the MRH distribution function.

# V III. STRONG -CORRELATION REGIME (1 < < 1 )

In order to evaluate the trace form ula one would need the energy eigenvalues of  $\hat{H_n}(1)$ . Although they are known [7] only for n = 1, assuming that these eigenvalues exist, the scale of the MRH in T can be derived since Eq. (54) yields

$$hh_{m} i T^{n} \frac{1}{2}$$
: (57)

As one can see, the scale of  $h_m$  i is the same as that of the square root of the roughness [27], i.e. we have  $h_m$  i  $h_{w_2}$  i just as in the case of random walks [7]. It should be emphasized that while the above reasoning holds strictly for = 2n, the exponent can, in fact, be continued naturally to real values. Thus it is plausible to sum ise that  $T^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is the scale of the M RH for any > 1. This power emerges quite sharply for = 1.3;1:6;2;4 in num erical simulations as shown on the rst panel of Fig. 5.

Fig. 5 also displays the 2nd and 3rd cumulants of P ( $h_{\rm m}$  ;T). We can observe the emergence of well de ned scaling with T

$$_{k}$$
 ()  $T^{k(1)=2}$  : (58)

The scaling exponents are again equal to those of the gum ulants of the width-distribution provided the  $h_{\rm m}$ 

 $P_{\overline{W_2}}$  correspondence is used. This suggest that there is an intim ate connection between the uctuations of MRH and those of the signal width.

In order to see how the general shape changes as is increased, we have perform ed sim ulations as described in Sec. III. The results are shown in Fig. 6 where we used scaling by the average to present the scaling functions (x).

The main features can be readily seen. The scaling function is a unimodal (single peaked) function which spreads out as increases and approaches its ! 1 limit (see Eq. (20)) rather fast. This is not entirely surprising since a glance at Fig. 1 convinces one that the = 10 signal already consists of a single mode for all



FIG. 5: Cumulants,  $_{k}$ , of the MRH distribution for various > 1 showing that  $_{k}$ () scales with system size, N = T = , as  $_{k}$ () N  $^{k(-1)=2}$ . The straight lines have the appropriate asymptotic slopes k( 1)=2.

practical purposes, and thus the MRH distribution will be very well approximated by the  $_1$  (x) function.

The function decays to zero extrem ly fast in the x ! 0 lim it. The nonanalytical behavior and the actual functional form at small x will be the subject of the next Section. Here, we call the reader's attention to the fact that the region where the nonanalytic asymptotic behavior dom inates is shrinking as increases and, according to Eq. (20), entirely disappears in the ! 1 lim it.



FIG.6: Num erically constructed MRH distributions for various 1 < < 1. The = 1 curve is the analytic result given in Eq. (20). System sizes N 16384 were su ciently large to observe the convergence of the PDF-s within the width of the lines drawn. Note that the = 8 results are almost indistinguishable form the ! 1 limit.

The large x lim it is harder to treat analytically and we have only num erical evidence (F ig. 7) that the asymptotic behavior for large x is given by

(x) 
$$C x e^{B x^2}$$
 (59)

where the parameters B, C, and depend on . The above functional form is consistent with the exact result at = 1 (see Eq. (20)). At = 2, the ansatz of a G aussian decay was shown to be in agreement [7] with the large-order moments of the distribution function. However, the possibility of a prefactor x was not excluded by the analysis. We found that the generalized asymptote (59) with 2 gives a superior t to the large-x (x > 1:5) behavior of the exactly known PDF.

We have also tted our numerical data in the region x > 1.5 for larger -s, resulting in 1.4 and 1.1 for = 3 and 4, respectively. The general trend of the exponent with increasing is consistent with the ! 1 limit of  $_1 = 1$ .

#### IX. IN IT IA L A SYM PTOTE

The trace form ula (56) allows us to perform an asymptotic analysis of the MRH distribution for small arguments. The calculation is based on the large s behavior of the moment generating function (56), wherein we assume that there is a positive, = 2n-dependent, non-degenerate ground state energy  $_0$  (), which gives the leading term of the sum (while is strictly even, several results will lend them selves to continuation). Under this assumption, the PDF in the scaled variable  $z = h_m T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

is asymptotically given by

$$P(z) = \begin{cases} Z & \frac{ds}{2i}G(s)e^{sz} \\ Z & \frac{ds}{2i}s^{p}\overline{2exp} sz = 0 \\ 0 & s^{\frac{2}{i}+1} \end{cases} (60)$$

The above integral can be calculated using the saddle point m ethod. For sm all z, the saddle point of the exponent is located on the real axis at

s 
$$\frac{2_0}{(+1)z}$$
 (61)

and the integral in the neighborhood of the saddle point reduces to evaluating a Gaussian integral which yields the following asymptote

$$P(z) \quad Cz \quad exp \quad B=z ; \quad (62)$$

where the param eters are given by

$$=\frac{2}{1};$$
 (63a)

$$=\frac{2+1}{1};$$
 (63b)

$$B = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2_0}{+1}$$
(63c)

$$C = \frac{r}{\frac{+1}{1}} \frac{2_0}{+1} \frac{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{1}}{+1} :$$
 (63d)

O ne should note that the exponents and depend only on while the amplitudes also depend on the ground state energy,  $_0$  (). The value of  $_0$  () is known only for = 2 where  $_0$  (2) =  $_1 = \frac{5}{2}$ , with  $_1 = 2.3381$  being the absolute value of the rst zero of the A iry function [7, 8].

It should be emphasized that we did not scale the mean to 1, being ignorant about the full PDF as well as its mean for general . So if comparing the above form ula to the numerically scaled PDF as function of x = z=hzithen the factors B; C will change and become thing param eters. Figure 7 demonstrates the t of (62) to simulation results for several -s, and we nd that the ts are excellent in a surprisingly large interval. It should be noted that in the large limit the initial slope is positive, so one expects a decreasing range of validity of the asymptote for increasing , nevertheless, the t on Fig.7 is quite good even for the largest . The case = 3 demonstrates the continuation of the = 2n based formula, and suggests that naive continuation of at least the exponents ; in (63) is justified.

Returning to the problem of scale-dependence of the amplitudes B and C, we note that even if the fullPDF is unknown, one can construct a parameter from the smallx asymptote which does not depend on the scale. In order to see this, let us consider scaling by the average. W ith the rescaled variable x, one has the PDF as hziP (x hzi)



FIG. 7: MRH distributions for (a): = 2, (b): = 3, and (c): = 4, calculated for system size N = 16384 (solid lines). The sm all and large x asymptotes (dashed lines) are also shown. The sm all x behavior in the range 0 < x < 1is tted to the functional form (62) with the exponents ; taken from (63). The prefactors B;C are tting parameters (note that the form ulas in (63) contain an unkown parameter 0). Large x data in the range x > 1:5 are tted to the form (59), where B, C and are tting parameters.

and writing it again in the form (62) yields the following change of the amplitudes

$$B^{0} = \frac{B}{hzi}; \quad C^{0} = \frac{C}{hzi^{-1}}; \quad (64)$$

It follows from the above expressions that the following combination

$$D = \frac{B^{\frac{+2}{2}}}{C} = \frac{B^{\frac{0+2}{2}}}{C^{0}}$$
$$= \frac{(1)^{\frac{+3}{2}}}{2^{\frac{+2}{2}}P^{\frac{+3}{2}} + 1} \cdot \frac{2_{0}}{+1}$$
(65)

remains independent of any scale change.

W e should reiterate that the energy parameter  $_0$  () is not known generally, but it is plausible to assume that it is a well de ned number. It may be determined num erically for = 2n by a direct study of the corresponding local H am iltonian. R em arkably, how ever, the above asymptotic formula allows for the computation of  $_0$  () for any > 1 from a numerical t of the simulation result. Thus, precise MRH statistics e ectively extract the ground state energy level of the H am iltonian w ithout solving the corresponding di erential equation. Continuation of (62) for 6 2n is also natural here, but in this case we have a non-local H am iltonian, whose spectralproblem would be an even more challenging task to solve. Unfortunately, very high precision simulations are required to determ ine the ground state energy from the sm all-x asym ptote. In particular, our sim ulated data did not even allow the computation of the ground state energy to within a factor of 2 for the case of = 2 where the low est eigenvalue is known.

### X. MRH DISTRIBUTION FOR LARGE

W e have calculated the M R H distribution for the ! 1 limit in Sec.V. There we found that only the n = 1m ode survives and, as a result, the PDF (20) emerges. Here we discuss a procedure for perturbatively computing the leading corrections to (20) by taking into account the m odes n = 2;3;:::.

F irst we reiterate that the amplitude of modes  $c_n$  obey the distribution with action (3) and measure proportional to  $_n$  ( $c_n$ ) $c_n$  d $c_n$ . Thus, separating the n = 1 mode, the path in Fourier representation is written as

$$h(t) = a_1 \sin(t) + "_n a_n \sin(nt + '_n); \quad (66)$$

where the " $_n = 1=n^{-2}$  is the mean square root deviation of the am plitude of the n-th mode, and the  $a_n = c_n = "_n - s$  are i.i.d. variables distributed according to

$$P_0(z) = 2z(z) \exp((z'):$$
 (67)

F inally the phases '  $_n$  are independent and uniform ly distributed in [0;2]. The n = 0 phase is om itted, because the choice of the origin is arbitrary. O byiously, x m easures the height from the time average of the path, which is here set to zero. Note that now time t is in units of 2 = T.

The leading correction from higher frequency modes can be calculated independently for each mode, thus here we only consider the n-th mode. Then the path is

$$h(t) = a_1 \sin(t) + "_n a_n \sin(nt + '_n)$$
 (68)

and the calculation to leading order is straightforward. We compute the maximum of the path and then, know - ing the distribution of all parameters therein, we can determ ine the PDF of the maximum. The details are presented in Appendix B, where we obtain the perturbed PDF for  $h_m T^{\frac{1}{2}} = z$  as

$$P(z) = P_0(z) + \frac{u^2}{n} P_{2,n}(z)$$
(69)

with

$$P_{2;n}(z) = (1 n^2 = 2) (z) + z^0(z) = 2$$
  
+  $e^{z^2}(z) 2z^3 + (n^2 3)z$ : (70)

The singular part needs som e explanation here. As has been discussed in Sec. IX, for nite -s the PDF starts nonanalytically with zero initial slope for nite -s, in contrast to the = 1 case, where the PDF has a nite slope. The nonanalyticity is not expected to be recovered by any expansion. Nonetheless, the form al expansion gives an explicit correction function  $P_{2n}$ , with delta-singularity at the origin. It is plausible to conclude that while the expansion cannot be correct overall, the singularity \tries" to take care of the nonanalytic di erence in the small-z behavior, while the nonsingular part is expected to be a faithful correction for z > 0. This leaves open the possibility that the large expansion is not convergent, rather it is asymptotic.

Next we scale the PDF to unit average. Using the result (B8) from Appendix B one nds

$$(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1} (\mathbf{x}) + \prod_{n=2}^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{n} (\mathbf{x})$$
(71)

where (x) is given in (20) and

$$_{2;n}(x) = (1 \quad n^2=2) \quad (x) + x \quad {}^{0}(x)=2$$
  
+  $e^{-x^2=4} \quad (x) - \frac{1}{8} (n^2 \quad 1) \quad 6x \quad x^3 \quad (72)$ 

Form ally, we can sum up the leading corrections for all n-s. However, this is not a consistent approximation, because, for instance,  $\mathbf{w}_2^2 = \mathbf{w}_4$ , so the leading correction from n = 4 is of the same order as the quadratic one from n = 2. Therefore we use the sum of the corrections for only n = 2;3 to test the prediction. On F ig.8 we display the correction

$$(x) = (x) _{1} (x)$$
 (73)

of the PDF from simulation for a series of -s, together with the theoretical prediction for corrections added up from the modes n = 2;3,

(x) 
$$\binom{2}{2}_{2;2}(x) + \binom{2}{3}_{2;3}(x)$$
: (74)



FIG.8: Dierence P (x) between the MRH distribution for large and = 1, as de ned in (73). We also display the smooth part of the leading correction (74) from perturbation theory added up from the modes n = 2;3.

It should be mentioned that the sum of leading corrections for all modes has a prefactor  $\prod_{n=2}^{1} (n^2 - 1) \prod_{n=2}^{n} = (2)$  (). This diverges for  $3^+$ , so the series does not converge for c = 3, which is the borderline for the di erentiability of the path. We cannot exclude that higher order corrections, involving higher order di erentiation of the path, further tighten the range of convergence.

### XI. COMPARISON W ITH THE ROUGHNESS AND THE MAXIMAL INTENSITY

Here we compare the statistical properties of  $h_m$  to those of the roughness  $w_2$ , i.e. of the mean square deviation, or width of the trajectory (7). The latter was one of the rst global quantities of stochastic signals whose scaling properties and statistics were extensively studied for 1=f processes [27].

One of the reasons for comparison is that both  $h_m$  i hw\_i scale sim ilarly with T for and 1 and, furthermore, there are many common features at the level of their PDF-s. Namely, for algebraically diverging correlations, > 1, after scaling by the mean, the PDF-s are nondegenerate (each cum ulant is nite), that is, scaling by the mean is a natural representation of both PDFs. As ! 1, the PDF-s scaled by the mean approach a Dirac-delta and, in the range 1, they both lend them selves to scaling by the standard deviation. Here an im portant di erence em erges. In the range 0.5 <1 the roughness has a nontrivial PDF while below the critical = 0:5 it becomes trivial, i.e. the roughness becom es Gaussian distributed. On the other hand, the MRH has the trivial FTG limiting distribution in the entire 0 < < 1 region. We can only speculate that the threshold near = 0:5 m an ifests itself in the M R H distribution in its approach to the FTG lim it, as suggested by the nite-size dependence of the simulation results shown in Fig. 3. This, how ever, is just a num erical observation w ithout theoretical foundations as yet.

Furtherm otivation for a closer com parison com es from the sim ilarities in the shape of the two fam ilies of PDFs in the > 1 region. First, in the ! 1 lim it, the PDF-s are the same if the  $h_m = \frac{P}{w_2}$  correspondence is made. Second, for nite -s, the unim odal PDF-s have asym ptotes which are sim ilar for both sm all and large argum ents. Speci cally, there is a G aussian decay at large x, while the sm all x behavior is dom inated by an exponential nonanalytic term with a power prefactor. Here, the com parisons can be made quantitatively for sm all x, since analytic results are available for general .

Last but not least, a reason for a closer com parison com es from the fact that the roughness can also be conceived as obeying an EVS. Bertin and Clusel [13, 47] made the remarkable observation that since the roughness is essentially the integrated power spectrum, i.e., the sum of nonnegative Fourier intensities, it is in e ect the maximum of positive partial sums. In general, the partial sum s are correlated but, for the special case of = 1, they correspond to the ordered sequence of i.i.d. variables. As a consequence, FTG distribution em erges for  $w_2$  at = 1, thus providing insight to an earlier rather puzzling result [9] in connection with 1=f noise. It then becomes a rather interesting open question how the MRH distribution di ers from the roughness distribut ion for > 1 where the latter also describes the EVS of correlated variables.

The initial asymptote of the MRH distribution

(62),(63) should be compared with that for the roughness distribution obtained in appendix E of [10]

$$_{w}$$
 (x)  $C_{w} x \stackrel{w}{=} exp \quad B_{w} = x \stackrel{w}{=} ;$  (75)

where the param eters are given by

$$_{W} = \frac{1}{1};$$
 (76a)

$$_{W} = \frac{3}{2(-1)};$$
 (76b)

$$B_w = (1) \frac{1}{\sin(1)} (1) \frac{1}{1} (76c)$$

$$C_{w} = \frac{\binom{2}{p}}{\frac{p}{1}} \frac{1}{\sin(1-p)} (1-p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-p)^{-\frac{1}{2$$

with () denoting the R iem ann's zeta function. Note that this asymptote does not contain unknown parameters such as  $_{\rm 0}$  in the M RH distribution.

Interestingly, comparison with the exponents in the asymptote of the M RH, (62), shows that the respective -s are the same, if  $\frac{P}{w_2}$  is considered, i.e., 2 w = . Nevertheless, the respective exponents in the prefactor, 2 w + 1 and , agree only at an accidental and are otherw ise di erent.

The present results on the sm all-x asym ptotem ay also be compared to the asymptote of the distribution of the maximalFourier intensity. It is de ned as the maximal of the  $jc_n f$  intensity components for a given realization of the path, which obeys som ePDF if the ensem ble of 1=f paths is considered. This was to our know ledge the st quantity whose EVS was studied in the context of 1=f signals [10]. Again, the overall shape of the PDF of the extrem al intensity is sim ilar to those of the MRH and the roughness: its initial part is suppressed nonanalytically and has a single maximum, before sm oothly decaying for large argum ents. There the critical  $\rm_{c}$  where the FTG lim it distribution emerges is  $_{c} = 0$ , in contrast to the MRH and the roughness, where this critical values are  $_{\rm c}$  = 1 and  $_{\rm c}$  = 0.5, respectively. As we have shown in [10], written with  $_{\rm c}$ , the powers in the asymptotic form ula for the maxim al intensity and for the roughness are the sam e

$$_{w} = _{I} = \frac{1}{_{c}}; \quad _{w} = _{I} = \frac{3(_{c}) + 2}{2(_{c})}; (77)$$

where the exponents  $_{I}$ ;  $_{I}$  are de ned in the sam e way for the initial asym ptote of the PDF of the maxim alintensity as  $_{w}$ ;  $_{w}$  were for the PDF of the roughness.

In conclusion, the respective PDF-s of the MRH, the maximal intensity, and the roughness are similarly looking, unimodal functions, with nonanalytically slow initial behavior. Despite the qualitative similarities, however, it is clear that the three PDF-s are quantitatively dierent. This is natural since they describe dierent physical quantities. One may, however, speculate that the similar features have their roots in the divergent correlations present in the 1 region.

#### X II. FINAL REMARKS

It should be emphasized that we are only at the rst stages of understanding the e ects of correlations on EVS.One of the important tasks for future studies should be the understanding of the convergence properties in the 0 << 1 range. A lthough the lim it distribution is known here, the convergence is extrem ely slow. Since m ost of the environm ental time series of general interest (data on tem perature, precipitation, etc.) correspond to this range, as they exhibit generically correlations with power-type decay, and the length of the series is naturally restricted, the developm ent of a theory of nite-size corrections is important. The much discussed ! 1 case is even m ore challenging since it appears to be outside the reach of present com puting abilities. Thus new analytical approaches and ideas for num erical recipes are called for.

Another relevant problem is the question of boundary conditions. It is known from the  $= 2 \operatorname{case}$ , where both periodic and free boundary conditions were investigated [7], that the MRH distribution depends on boundary conditions. Since the analysis of a real time series usually means cutting it up into sm aller pieces and making statistics out of the properties of these subsequences, the appropriate boundary conditions in this case are the so-called window boundary conditions, when the window under consideration is embedded in a longer signal. These boundary conditions have been discussed in connection with the roughness distribution of 1=f signals [27]. It has been found that the lim it distributions depends on the window size (even in the lim it of large external system) and furtherm ore, the e ects become stronger as increases. C learly, sim ilar studies should be carried out for the EVS problem .

Finally, it remains to be seen if the investigations of the e ects of correlations, in particular the e ects of strong correlations, will allow us a universal classi cation of EVS similar to that existing for therm odynamic critical points.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

This research has been partly supported by the Hungarian A cademy of Sciences (G rants N o. O TKA T 043734 and TS 044839). NRM gratefully acknow ledges support from the EU under a Marie Curie Intra European Fellow ship.

### 

Here we show that the ansatz (27) indeed satis es the Fokker-P lanck equation (25) with the coe cients (28).

Let us start out from (25)

and substitute

$$G_n = G_{n-1} G;$$
 (A2)

where the arguments are understood as in (27). Using the fact that G  $_{\rm n-1}$  also satis es (A1), we arrive at

$$\varrho_t G = \frac{1}{2} \varrho_1^2 G + \varrho_1 G \varrho_1 \ln G_{n-1} \qquad \begin{array}{c} X^{1} \\ z_k \varrho_{k+1} G : \\ k=1 \end{array}$$
(A 3)

From (27) we have

where, denoting  $a^n z d^{0}a^n z^{0}by x$ ,

$$G^{0}(x; ) = \frac{x}{2}G(x; );$$
 (A 5a)

$$G^{(0)}(x; ) = \frac{G(x; )}{2} - \frac{x}{2}G^{(0)}(x; );$$
 (A 5b)

and, furtherm ore, using the full exponent of the ansatz (26) we have

D i erentiation of the G aussian G by tim e gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{t}G &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{2}{n}}{\frac{2}{n}}G & \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{2}{n}}{\frac{2}{n}}(a^{n} \ z \ {}^{0}a^{n} \ {}^{0}z)G^{0} \\
&+ (\underline{a}^{n} \ z \ \underline{a}^{0m} \ {}^{0}z)G^{0}; \quad (A7)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have condensed some z dependence by factoring out  $G^0$ . On the other hand, according to Eqs. (A 4), (A 5), the rhs. of (A 3) yields

Equating (A7) with (A8) should give the sought after equations for a;  $a^0$ ; . Com paring the z-independent factors of G in (A7) and in (A8) gives

$$\frac{2}{n} = (a_1^n)^2$$
: (A 9)

Thus the full nst lines on the rhs.of (A7) and (A8) are equal. In the rest we change the sum mation variable k to l and then equate the respective factors of  $z_k$  and those of  $z_k^0$  to obtain di erential equations for the coe cients

$$\underline{a}_{k}^{n} = a_{1}^{n} \underbrace{a_{1}^{1}}_{\substack{k = k}{2}}^{X^{1}} \frac{a_{1}^{1}a_{k}^{1}}{2} a_{k+1}^{n};$$
 (A 10a)

$$a_{k}^{0,m} = a_{1}^{n} \sum_{l=k}^{N-1} \frac{a_{1}^{l} a_{k}^{0,l}}{2};$$
 (A 10b)

where we have used the condition that  $a_k^1 = a_k^{0,1} = 0$  for k > 1. Next, we determ ine the time dependence of the a-s by assuming it to be power law and requiring that terms in each dimensial equation have the same power. Thus we separate the time dependence, and for later purposes also factorize the constants as

$$a_k^n = t^{n-k} b_k^n c_n; \quad a_k^{0;n} = t^{n-k} b_k^{0;n} c_n;$$
 (A11)

for all nonnegative integers  $n\,;k$ . We also set  $b_k^n$  = 0 for  $n\,<\,k$  to ensure that  $a_k^n$  vanishes for such indices. The  $c_n$ -s are made unambiguous by requiring  $b_1^n$  = 1 and, furtherm ore, since  $a_n^n$  = 1 thus  $b_n^n$  = 1= $c_n$ . Then (A9) gives

$$C_n^2 = (C_n)^2 \frac{t^{2n-1}}{2n-1}$$
: (A 12)

The param eterization in (A11) is justified by the fact that substituting it into (A10) the c-s disappear, so what remains are equations for the b-s as

$$b_{k+1}^{n} = (n \quad k)_{R}^{p} \qquad \stackrel{X^{1}}{\underset{l=k}{\overset{l=k}{\longrightarrow}}} (2l \quad 1)_{R}^{l} \qquad (A \quad 13a)$$
$$b_{k}^{0;n} = \frac{1}{n \quad k} \stackrel{X^{1}}{\underset{l=k}{\overset{l=k}{\longrightarrow}}} (2l \quad 1)_{R}^{0;1} \qquad (A \quad 13b)$$

For a few sm all integer indices these equations can be solved, whence the following general form ulas can be surmised

$$b_{k}^{n} = (1)^{k-1} \frac{(n+k-2)!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!};$$
 (A14a)

$$b_k^{0;n} = (1)^{n-k} b_k^n$$
: (A 14b)

Note that Eqs. (A13a) and (A13b) are homogeneous linear equations leaving room for overall factors in the solution. They are set by the conditions (i)  $b_1^n = 1$  and (ii)  $b_n^{0,m} = b_n^n$ . Condition (i) was stated earlier below Eq. (A11), while (ii) is equivalent to the requirement that G<sub>n</sub> depends on  $z_n$  and  $z_n^0$  only through their di erence.

One can con m proposition (A14) by substituting it

into (A13) and then using the identities

$$\overset{X^{1}}{\underset{l=k}{\overset{(21 1)(k+1 2)!}{(1 k)!}} = \frac{n}{k} \frac{1}{\binom{n+k}{(n-k-1)!}} \overset{(A 15a)}{(A 15a)}$$

$$\overset{X^{1}}{\underset{l=k}{\overset{(1)^{1}}{(21 1)(k+1 2)!}} = (1)^{n+1} \frac{(n+k-2)!}{(n-k-1)!} \overset{(A 15b)}{(1 1)}$$

which may be proved by induction. Finally, with (A14) together with

$$c_n = \frac{1}{b_n^n} = \frac{1}{(2)^{n-1}(2n-3)!!}$$
 (A16)

we have all ingredients of (A 11) to calculate the a-s, the result being displayed in Eq. (28). The standard deviation  $_{\rm n}$  given in (28) follows then from (A 12) and (A 16).

# $\begin{array}{c} \texttt{APPENDIX} \texttt{B:LEADING} \texttt{PERTURBATION} \texttt{OF} \\ \texttt{THEPDFFORLARGE} \texttt{FROM THE} \texttt{nTH} \texttt{M} \texttt{ODE} \\ \end{array}$

We start out from the Fourier representation (68) of the path with one mode of frequency n beside the basic one (n = 1). From the condition  $h^0(t_0) = 0$ , we obtain the correction in the position  $t_0 = =2 + \frac{1}{n}$  of the maximum to leading order

$$n = n "_n \frac{a_n}{a_1} \cos \frac{n}{2} + \prime_n$$
 : (B1)

Hence we can calculate the maximum to second order in " $_n$  (the quadratic correction in  $_n$  contributes only to cubic order)

$$h_{m} = h(t_{0}) \qquad h(=2) + h^{0}(=2)_{n} + 1 = 2h^{(0)}(=2)_{n}^{2}$$

$$a_{1} + "_{n}a_{n}\sin\frac{n}{2} + \prime_{n}$$

$$+ \frac{n^{2}n_{n}^{2}a_{n}^{2}}{2a_{1}}\cos^{2}\frac{n}{2} + \prime_{n} : \quad (B2)$$

- R.Fisher and L.Tippett, Procs.Cam bridge Philos.Soc. 24, 180 (1928).
- [2] B.Gnedenko, Ann.Math. 44, 423 (1943).
- [3] E.G. um bel, Statistics of Extrem es (D over Publications, 1958).
- [4] R.W. Katz, M.B. Parlange, and P.Naveau, Adv.WaterResour. 25, 1287 (2002).
- [5] H.v.Storch and F.W. Zwiers, Statistical Analysis in Clim ate Research (C am bridge University Press, C am bridge, 2002).
- [6] B.G utenberg and C.F.R ichter, Bull. Seism ol. Soc.Am. 34, 185 (1944).
- [7] S. Majum dar and A. Com tet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 225501 (2004).

Now the PDF for the MRH  $h_m$  is obtained by averaging over  $a_1; a_n; '_n$  in

$$P(z) = h(z h_m)i$$
: (B3)

Expanding to second order, one nds

$$P(z) = h(z = a_{1})i^{D} (z = a_{1})^{n} a_{n} \sin \frac{n}{2} + a_{n}'^{n} + \frac{n^{2} n^{2} a_{n}^{2}}{2a_{1}} \cos^{2} \frac{n}{2} + a_{n}'^{n} + \frac{n^{2} n^{2} a_{n}^{2}}{2a_{1}} \cos^{2} \frac{n}{2} + a_{n}'^{n} + \frac{n^{2} n^{2} a_{n}}{2} + a_{n}'^{n} + \frac{n^{2}$$

Note that here derivatives of the Dirac-delta appear. Now performing the averages yields ( $P_0$  is given by Eq. (67))

$$P(z) = P_0(z) + "_n^2 P_{2;n}(z);$$
 (B5)

$$P_{2;n}(z) = \frac{n^2}{2} (z)e^{z^2} + \frac{1}{2} (z)ze^{z^2} B6$$

D i erentiation of the term swith step-functions gives

$$P_{2,n}(z) = (1 n^2=2) (z) + z^{0}(z)=2$$
  
+  $e^{z^2}(z) 2z^3 + (n^2 3)z;$  (B7)

where the term proportional to  $z^2$  (z) has been om itted, since it does not contribute to the average and other moments of nonsingular functions. Hence we obtain form ula (70).

The mean to second order is best calculated from (B5), (B6) resulting in

hzi = 
$$\frac{p_{-}}{2} + \frac{n^2 p_{-}}{4}$$
: (B8)

The scaled PDF is then obtained by the change of variable from z to x = z=hzi, and expanding the resulting expression to second order in "<sub>n</sub> yields Eqs. (71) and (72).

- [8] S. Majum dar and A. Com tet, J. Stat. Phys. 119, 777 (2005).
- [9] T. Antal, M. Droz, G. Gyorgyi, and Z. Racz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 240601 (2001).
- [10] G. Gyorgyi, P. Holdsworth, B. Portelli, and Z. Racz, Phys. Rev. E 68, 056116 (2003).
- [11] D.-S.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett.95, 150601 (2005).
- [12] C. Bolech and A. Rosso, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 125701 (2004).
- [13] E.Bertin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 170601 (2005).
- [14] H.Guclu and G.Komiss, Phys. Rev. E 69, 065104 (R) (2004).
- [15] P. K rapivsky and S. M ajum dar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5492 (2000).

- [16] S.M ajum dar and P.K rapivsky, Phys. Rev. E 65, 036127 (2002).
- [17] A. Bunde, J. F. Eichner, J. W. Kantelhardt, and S.Havlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 048701 (2005).
- [18] A.K iraly, I.Bartos, and I.M. Janosi, Tellus A 58A, 593 (2006).
- [19] A.V.Yakim ov and F.N.Hooge, Physica B 291, 97 (2000).
- [20] R.A.M onetti, S.Havlin, and A.Bunde, Physica A 320, 581 (2002).
- [21] R. Blender, K. Fraedrich, and B. Hunt, Geophys. Res. Lett. 33, L04710 (2006).
- [22] F. Lillo and R. N. Mantegna, Phys. Rev. E 62, 6126 (2000).
- [23] S. Raychaudhuri, M. Cranston, C. Przybyla, and Y.Shapir, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 136101 (2001).
- [24] J. G alam bos, The A sym ptotic Theory of Extrem e V alue Statistics (John W iley & Sons, 1978).
- [25] L. de H aan and A. Ferreira, Extrem e V alue T heory: A n Introduction (Springer, N ew York, 2006).
- [26] S.M. Berm an, Ann. Math. Statist. 33, 502 (1964).
- [27] T. Antal, M. D roz, G. G yorgyi, and Z. Racz, Phys. Rev. E 65, 046140 (2002).
- [28] M.Weissman, Rev.Mod.Phys. 60, 537 (1988).
- [29] S.Edwardsand D.W ilkinson, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London A 381, 17 (1982).
- [30] W .W .Mullins, J.Appl.Phys.28, 333 (1957).
- [31] J.V illain, J.Phys. IFrance 1, 19 (1991).
- [32] T. W. Burkhardt, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26, L1157 (1993).

- [33] J.K rug, Adv. Phys. 46, 139 (1997).
- [34] A.-L.Barabasi and H.Stanley, Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [35] G.Foltin, K.Oerding, Z.Racz, R.W orkman, and R.Zia, Phys. Rev. E 50, R 639 (1994).
- [36] L. de H aan and S. Resnick, Annals of Probability 24, 97 (1996).
- [37] M.I.G om es and L. de H aan, Extrem es 2, 71 (1999).
- [38] J. F. Eichner, J. W. Kantelhardt, A. Bunde, and S. Havlin, Phys. Rev. E 73, 016130 (2006).
- [39] L. Takacs, Adv. Appl. Prob. 23, 557 (1991).
- [40] L. Takacs, J. Appl. Prob. 32, 375 (1995).
- [41] G.Schehrand S.N.Majum dar, Phys. Rev. E 73, 056103 (2006).
- [42] G.G yorgyi, N.R.M oloney, K.O zogany, and Z.R acz, to be published.
- [43] S.M a jum dar and A.J.Bray, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3700 (2001).
- [44] J. Schwarz and R. Maimon, Phys. Rev. E 64, 016120 (2001).
- [45] N.G. van K am pen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (North Holland, Am sterdam, 1992).
- [46] M. Chaichian and A. Demichev, Path Integrals in Physics, vol. 1 (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 2001).
- [47] E.Bertin and M.Clusel, J.Phys.A: M ath.Gen.39, 7607 (2006).