

A unified origin for the 3D magnetism and superconductivity in Na_xCoO_2

Kazuhiko Kuroki¹, Shuhei Ohkubo^{1*}, Takumi Nojima^{1**}, Ryotaro Arita², Seiichiro Onari³, and Yukio Tanaka³

¹ *Department of Applied Physics and Chemistry,
The University of Electro-Communications, Chofu, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan*

² *RIKEN, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

³ *Department of Applied Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, 464-8603, Japan*

(Dated: March 23, 2022)

We analyze the origin of the three dimensional (3D) magnetism observed in nonhydrated Na-rich Na_xCoO_2 within an itinerant spin picture using a 3D Hubbard model. The origin is identified as the 3D nesting between the inner and outer portions of the Fermi surface, which arise due to the local minimum structure of the a_{1g} band at the Γ -A line. The calculated spin wave dispersion strikingly resembles the neutron scattering result. We argue that this 3D magnetism and the spin fluctuations responsible for superconductivity in the hydrated systems share essentially the same origin.

The discovery of superconductivity (SC) in $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$ has attracted much attention.[1] Although the experiments are still somewhat controversial, we briefly summarize the present understanding. (i) Up to now, all the angle resolved photoemission (ARPES) measurements [2, 3, 4, 5] show the absence of the e'_g hole pockets predicted in the first principles calculation.[6] Thus the remaining a_{1g} seems to be the relevant band. (ii) In the bilayer hydrated (BLH) SC samples, there is an enhancement in $(T_1T)^{-1}$ at low temperatures at the Co site [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] and at the O site, [9, 12] (T_1^{-1} is the spin-lattice relaxation rate) indicating the presence of spin fluctuations (SF) located away from the Brillouin zone (BZ) edge,[9, 12] while such an enhancement is not seen in non-SC monolayer hydrated and nonhydrated Na-poor samples. On the other hand, the Knight shift stays nearly constant at low temperatures in the SC samples,[9, 12, 13] which means that the SF is not purely ferromagnetic. The bottom line is that SF that is neither purely ferromagnetic nor antiferromagnetic is strongly related to SC. (iii) Unconventional SC gap is suggested from the absence of the coherence peak in T_1^{-1} as well as the power law decay below T_c . [7, 8, 14, 15] Several experiments suggest spin singlet pairing,[14, 16] and moreover, the effect of the impurities on T_c is found to be small, [17, 18] suggesting a s -wave like gap.

In a previous paper, as a solution for understanding these experimental results, we have proposed a mechanism for an unconventional s -wave pairing, in which the local minimum structure (LMS) of the a_{1g} band at the Γ point plays an important role.[19] For appropriate band fillings, LMS results in disconnected inner and outer Fermi surfaces (FS), whose partial nesting induces SF at wave vectors that bridge the two FS (see Fig.6 left panel). This incommensurate SF can give rise to an “extended s -wave” pairing in which the gap changes sign between the two FS, but not within each FS. A recent multiorbital analysis also shows that such a pairing can occur when the inner and outer a_{1g} FS are present.[20]

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the relation between this SC and the 3D spin-density-wave(SDW)-

like, metallic magnetism observed in the nonhydrated Na-rich systems, [21, 22, 23, 24] whose magnetic structure has been revealed by neutron scattering experiments to have in-plane ferromagnetic and out-of-plane antiferromagnetic character. [25, 26] Neutron scattering experiments have further obtained the spin wave dispersion of this 3D magnetism. Analysis of this dispersion based on the localized spin picture have found that the out-of-plane antiferromagnetic coupling is of the order of the in-plane ferromagnetic coupling, [25, 26, 27] despite the strong 2D nature of the material. Moreover, Curie-Weiss temperature is expected to be positive from the evaluated coupling constants,[25] while it is actually negative. [13, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 29] A theory based on a spin-orbital polaron picture has been proposed for this puzzle.[30]

In the present study, since the system remains metallic in the magnetically ordered state, we take an itinerant spin viewpoint. From the consistency between the experiments and the calculated results, we propose that the origin of the 3D magnetism is essentially the same with the SF responsible for SC : the nesting between inner and outer portions of the Fermi surface that arises due to the local minimum of the a_{1g} band.[31]

There exist two CoO_2 layers within a unit cell due to the alternation of the oxygen arrangement, but neither the effective hopping integrals nor the on-site energy alter along the c axis, so the BZ folding in the c direction occurs without inducing a gap in the band. Thus, in a 3D effective theory in which O and Na site degrees of freedom are integrated out, the unit cell contains only one layer, which results in an unfolded BZ shown in the upper right of Fig.1. Taking into account only the a_{1g} band, we consider a single band Hubbard model $H = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\sigma} t_{ij} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$, on a 3D triangular lattice, where the 1st, 2nd and 3rd neighbor in-plane hopping integrals t_1 , t_2 , t_3 and the out-of-plane nearest neighbor hopping t_z (Fig.1 upper left) are chosen so as to roughly reproduce the a_{1g} portions of the band obtained in the first principles calculation.[6] Throughout the paper, we take $t_2 = -0.35$, $t_3 = -0.07$, and $t_z = -0.15$ (unless otherwise noted) in units of




FIG. 1: (color online) Upper left: the 3D model in the present study. Upper right: the Brillouin zone. The wave numbers in the parenthesis are those in the unfolded BZ scheme. Lower panel: the band structure of the present model with $U = 0$. $k_z = 0, \pi/2, \pi$ are k_z in the unfolded BZ scheme.

$t_1 = 1$, which corresponds to about (or slightly less than) 0.1eV according to ARPES measurements.[4] The band (with $U = 0$) for this choice of parameter values is shown in Fig.1. The band filling is n = number of electrons/site, and it is related to the actual Na content x by $n - 1 = x$ (provided that the e'_g bands are fully occupied). We calculate the spin susceptibility using the fluctuation exchange (FLEX) approximation[32] as $\chi_s(q) = \chi_{\text{irr}}(q)/[1 - U\chi_{\text{irr}}(q)]$, where $q = (\mathbf{q}, i\omega_n)$. Here, the irreducible susceptibility is $\chi_{\text{irr}}(q) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_k G(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q})G(\mathbf{k})$ (N : number of k -point meshes), where G is the renormalized Green's function self-consistently obtained from the Dyson's equation, in which the self energy is calculated using G and χ_{irr} . We take up to $N = 64 \times 64 \times 64$ k -point meshes and up to 16384 Matsubara frequencies.

In order to analyze the magnetically ordered state with a wave vector \mathbf{Q} at which the FLEX spin susceptibility is maximized, we consider a mean field Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
 H &= \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{m=0,1} \left[\varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + m\mathbf{Q}) c_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{Q},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{Q},\sigma} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + U \sum_{l=0,1} \langle n_{m\mathbf{Q},-\sigma} \rangle c_{\mathbf{k}+(m+l)\mathbf{Q},\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{Q},\sigma} \right] \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\alpha=1,2} E_{\alpha,\sigma}(\mathbf{k}) \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}^\dagger \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}
 \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 2: (color online) The vertical cross section ($k_y = 0$) of the FS for various n with $U = 0$. Here we use the unfolded BZ scheme, but for $n = 1.60$ we also show the FS in the usual folded BZ scheme by dashed lines. The unfolded BZ edge in the k_z direction is denoted as “ $\frac{\pi}{c/2}$ ” to stress that the unit cell is halved in the c direction. The finite thickness is due to a finite range of energy ($E_F \pm 0.03t_1$) taken in obtaining the FS. The thickness thus represents the density of states or the effective mass. The arrows present the diameter of the FS.




FIG. 3: (color online) Similar as in Fig.2 except $t_2 = t_3 = 0$. The band is shown in the inset.

where $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ is the bare band dispersion, $c_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{Q},\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2} u_{\alpha,\sigma,m}(\mathbf{k}) \gamma_{\mathbf{k},\sigma,\alpha}$ is a unitary transformation that diagonalizes the Hamiltonian to obtain the two bands $E_{1\sigma}$ and $E_{2\sigma}$, and $\langle n_{\mathbf{Q},\sigma} \rangle$ is self-consistently determined in the usual procedure. We then calculate the irreducible




FIG. 4: (color online) Upper left: The band filling dependence of T_{SDW} obtained by FLEX. The inset shows the T dependence of $\chi_s(\mathbf{0},0)^{-1}$ for $n = 1.82$ and $n = 1.7$. Upper right: The FLEX $\chi_s(\mathbf{q}, 0)$ for $n = 1.82$, $U = 6$, and $T = 0.05$. Lower left: the nesting vector of the FS for $n = 1.82$ is shown. Lower right: The FS in the ordered state ($T = 0.015$) obtained by the mean field calculation is shown. Although the unit cell is “truly” doubled in this case ($\pi/2 < |k_z| < \pi$ part should actually be drawn repeatedly in $0 < |k_z| < \pi/2$ region), we continue to use the unfolded scheme for a clear comparison with the FS in the non-ordered state in the left.

susceptibility matrix by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\chi_0^{\sigma\sigma'}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \right)_{ij} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{m,n,\alpha,\alpha'} u_{\alpha,\sigma,m+i}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) \\ &\times u_{\alpha,\sigma,m+n+j}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) u_{\alpha',\sigma',m+n}(\mathbf{p}) u_{\alpha',\sigma',m}(\mathbf{p}) \\ &\times \frac{f(E_{\alpha',\sigma'}(\mathbf{p})) - f(E_{\alpha,\sigma}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}))}{E_{\alpha,\sigma}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}) - E_{\alpha',\sigma'}(\mathbf{p}) + \omega + i\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(E)$ is the Fermi distribution function. The spin wave dispersion can be determined by the condition that the real part of the eigenvalue of the matrix $1 - U\chi_0^{\uparrow\downarrow}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ equals zero. We take up to $N = 192 \times 192 \times 96$ k -point meshes here.

We first show in Fig.2 the evolution of the 3D FS (with $U = 0$) as the band filling is increased. Here the vertical cross section of the FS is given in the unfolded BZ. The FS is a 2D cylinder for small band filling, that is, for low Na content. As the band filling is increased, an inner FS appears around the Γ point. As in the purely 2D (\sim BLH) case (see Fig.6 or ref.19), this FS is disconnected from the outer 2D cylindrical FS, but the inner FS is 3D in the NH case.[33] For larger band fillings, the two FS become connected (around $n = 1.73$), and then finally for higher band fillings it becomes a single 3D FS. The appearance of the 3D FS despite the small t_z is a consequence of LMS of the band along the Γ -A line. In fact, if we take a band

that does not have LMS, *i.e.*, for $t_2 = t_3 = 0$ but with the same $t_z (= -0.15)$, the FS remains to be 2D (unless the band filling becomes very close to 2) as shown in Fig.3. The 3D evolution of the FS is consistent with the ARPES observations, where the in-plane k_F barely decreases with the increase of the Na content for $x > 0.6$. [3, 34] In fact, the decrease of the FS diameter in Fig.2 is very small compared to that in Fig.3.

In the inset of the upper left of Fig.4, FLEX results of $\chi_s^{-1}(\mathbf{q} = 0, i\omega_n = 0)$ are plotted as functions of T for $U = 6$ and $n = 1.82$ or $n = 1.7$. The Curie-Weiss behavior at high temperatures with a negative Curie-Weiss temperature is consistent with the experiments. [9, 13, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 29] In the upper left of Fig.4, T_{SDW} , defined as the temperature where the maximum value of $U\chi_{\text{irr}}$ reaches $\simeq 1$, is plotted as a function of the band filling. T_{SDW} exists only in the regime $1.75 < n < 1.9$, which is consistent with the fact that the magnetic ordering is not observed experimentally for $x < 0.75$. [9, 23] The maximum T_{SDW} corresponds to about 20K, in agreement with the experiments. [21, 22, 24] Around $n \sim 1.8$, the ordering occurs with $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 0, \pi)$, as shown in the upper right of Fig.4 for $n = 1.82$, which corresponds to in-plane ferromagnetic, out-of-plane antiferromagnetic spin structure, as found experimentally. [25, 26] The origin of this spin correlation can be found in the shape of the 3D FS. Namely, the FS at $n \sim 1.8$ is partially nested with a nesting vector close to $(0, 0, \pi)$, as can be seen in Fig.4 (lower left panel). In fact, this nesting occurs between portions of the FS that can be considered as remnants of the inner and outer FS, which are disconnected for lower band fillings. [35] On the other hand, as the band filling comes close to $n = 1.9$ ($x = 0.9$), the FS becomes too small for $(0, 0, \pi)$ nesting, and the ordering wave number becomes incommensurate in the c direction, which is also consistent with the finding in ref.22.




FIG. 5: (color online) The calculated in-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) spin wave dispersion for $n = 1.82$, $U = 4$, and $T = 0.015$. Here the wave vectors are presented in units of the reciprocal lattice primitive vector in the usual *folded* BZ for clear comparison with Fig.4 of ref.25.




FIG. 6: (color online) The FS nesting relevant for SC and the 3D magnetism.

We now move on to the mean field results in the $\mathbf{Q} = (0, 0, \pi)$ SDW ordered state. We focus on $n = 1.82$ and take U smaller than $U = 6$ adopted in FLEX since the mean field approach tends to overestimate the tendency toward ordering. In fact, taking $U = 4$ gives a self-consistently determined $\langle n_{\mathbf{Q}_+} \rangle$ value of 0.075 at low T , corresponding to a magnetic moment of $0.15\mu_B$ per site (Co atom), in rough agreement with a μ SR estimation $0.18\mu_B$. [22] In Fig.4 (lower right panel), we show the calculation result of the FS in the ordered state. The portions of the FS around $k_z = \pm\pi/2$ disappear, but other portions remain to result in a FS with a strong 2D character. This is consistent with the fact that system remains metallic in the magnetically ordered state. [21] Note also that the disappearing portions of the FS are thick, i.e., they have heavy mass, which is consistent with what has been suggested in ref.21 from the enhancement of the mobility in the magnetically ordered state.

In Fig.5, we show the calculated spin wave dispersion. The overall feature as well as the in-plane to out-of-plane ratio $\omega(0.1, 0.1, 0)/\omega(0, 0, 0.5) \sim 2.1$ strikingly resembles the neutron scattering result of ref.25 for $x = 0.82$. The energy scale ($\omega(0, 0, 0.5) \simeq 0.1t_1$ corresponds to ~ 10 meV) is also close to the experimental result. Here again, our results show that the 3D magnetism is well understood within the present itinerant spin picture. [36]

Finally, let us discuss the relation between this 3D magnetism and SC. As we have seen, the SF in the non-hydrated systems becomes weak for small band fillings. This is because the nesting between the 2D outer and the 3D inner FS is not good. However, the nesting between the inner and the outer FS [37] can be restrengthened

if the two dimensionality is increased by hydration, resulting in enhanced SF. As we have mentioned in the beginning, our proposal is that this SF is responsible for SC. [19] Thus, in our view, the 3D magnetism and the incommensurate SF that gives rise to SC share the same origin as shown in Fig.6: the nesting between the inner and the outer (connected or disconnected) FS that originate from the local minimum of the a_{1g} band.

Numerical calculation were performed at the facilities of the Supercomputer Center, ISSP, University of Tokyo. This study has been supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, and from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

-
- [*] Present affiliation: NHK Spring Co.
 [**] Present affiliation: Nikon Co.
- [1] K. Takada *et al.*, Nature **422**, 53 (2003).
 - [2] M.Z. Hasan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 246402 (2004).
 - [3] H.-B. Yang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146401 (2005).
 - [4] T. Takeuchi *et al.*, Proc. 24th Int. Conf. Thermoelectrics. (2005) p.435.
 - [5] T. Shimojima *et al.*, cond-mat/0606424.
 - [6] D.J.Singh, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 13397 (2000).
 - [7] K. Ishida *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72**, 3041 (2003).
 - [8] T. Fujimoto *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 047004 (2004).
 - [9] F.L. Ning *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 237201 (2004); F.L. Ning and T. Imai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 227004 (2005).
 - [10] Y. Ihara *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **74**, 867 (2005).
 - [11] C. Michioka *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 063701 (2006).
 - [12] Y. Ihara *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **74**, 2177 (2005).
 - [13] I.R. Mukhamedshin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 247602 (2005).
 - [14] Y. Kobayashi *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **74**, 1800 (2005).
 - [15] G.-q. Zheng *et al.*, J. Cond. Matt. **18**, L63 (2006).
 - [16] G.-q. Zheng *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 180503 (2006).
 - [17] M. Yokoi *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73**, 1297 (2004).
 - [18] N. Oeschler *et al.*, cond-mat/0503690.
 - [19] K. Kuroki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 051013 (2006).
 - [20] M. Mochizuki and M. Ogata, cond-mat/0609443, to be published in J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.
 - [21] T. Motohashi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 064406 (2003).
 - [22] J. Sugiyama *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 214420 (2003); *ibid.* **69** 214423 (2004).
 - [23] M.L. Foo *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 247001 (2004).
 - [24] S.P. Bayrakci *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 100410 (2004).
 - [25] S.P. Bayrakci *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 157205 (2005).
 - [26] A.T. Boothroyd *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 197201 (2004); L.M. Helme *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 157206 (2005).
 - [27] M.D. Johannes *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 214410 (2005).
 - [28] J.L. Galivano *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 100404 (2004).
 - [29] Y. Wang *et al.*, Nature **423**, 425 (2003).
 - [30] M. Daghofer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 216404 (2006).
 - [31] Quite recently, M.M. Korshunov *et al.* in cond-mat/0608327 discuss the relation between LMS and ferromagnetic SF, but neglect the three dimensionality of the system.
 - [32] N.E. Bickers, D.J. Scalapino, and S.R. White, Phys. Rev.

- Lett. **62**, 961 (1989).
- [33] The term “inner” and “outer” FS in the present study should not be mixed up with the commonly known “bilayer-coupling-originated inner and outer” a_{1g} FS, which in the unfolded BZ scheme correspond to FS at $k_z = 0$ and $k_z = \frac{\pi}{c/2}$ (see Fig.2, $n = 1.60$).
 - [34] D. Qian *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 216405 (2006).
 - [35] We have investigated other sets of hopping values and found that the $(0,0,\pi)$ spin correlation (with a possible slight incommensurability as expected from the nesting vector) is robust when the band filling is around $n \sim 1.8$ as far as LMS of the band exists.
 - [36] In cond-mat/0509308, Y.V. Sushko *et al.* find that the magnetic ordering temperature increases with applying pressure, unusual for an SDW state. In fact, applying pressure should increase $|t_z|/t_1$, which according to our FLEX calculation *favours* the SDW (as far as the increase of $|t_z|$ is within $\sim 10\%$) because the origin of the nesting here is the 3D FS itself. Applying pressure may also increase $|t_2|/t_1$ and $|t_3|/t_1$, which makes LMS of the band deeper, and thus also favors the SDW.
 - [37] The inner FS can appear despite the low Na content of $x \sim 0.35$ because of the presence of H_3O^+ ions suggested in e.g., H. Sakurai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 092502 (2006).