

Magnetic field dependence of the oxygen isotope effect on the magnetic penetration depth in hole-doped cuprate superconductors

R. Khasanov,^{1,*} A. Shengelaya,^{1,2} D. Di Castro,^{1,3} D.G. Eshchenko,^{1,4} I.M. Savić,⁵

K. Conder,⁶ E. Pomjakushina,⁶ J. Karpinski,⁷ S. Kazakov,⁷ and H. Keller¹

¹*Physik-Institut der Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland*

²*Physics Institute of Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze 3, GE-0128 Tbilisi, Georgia*

³*INFN-Coherentia and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", P.le A. Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy*

⁴*Laboratory for Muon Spin Spectroscopy, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland*

⁵*Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, 11001 Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro*

⁶*Laboratory for Neutron Scattering, ETH Zürich and Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland*

⁷*Solid State Physics Laboratory, ETH 8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

The magnetic field dependence of the oxygen-isotope (¹⁶O/¹⁸O) effect (OIE) on the in-plane magnetic field penetration depth λ_{ab} was studied in the hole-doped high-temperature cuprate superconductors YBa₂Cu₄O₈, Y_{0.8}Pr_{0.2}Ba₂Cu₃O_{7- δ} , and Y_{0.7}Pr_{0.3}Ba₂Cu₃O_{7- δ} . It was found that λ_{ab} for the ¹⁶O substituted samples increases stronger with increasing magnetic field than for the ¹⁸O ones. The OIE on λ_{ab} decreases by more than a factor of two with increasing magnetic field from $\mu_0 H = 0.2$ T to $\mu_0 H = 0.6$ T. This effect can be explained by the isotope dependence of the in-plane charge carrier mass m_{ab}^* .

PACS numbers: 76.75.+i, 74.72.-h, 74.72.Bk, 74.25.Ha

The presence of nodes in the superconducting gap is probably one of the most significant features of high-temperature cuprate superconductors (HTS) which has attracted considerable theoretical and experimental attention in recent years (see e.g. [1, 2]). The fourfold symmetric nature of the d -wave order parameter, together with the presence of gap nodes on the Fermi surface, open possibilities for novel effects to be observable in HTS. One of the most remarkable effect is the magnetic field dependence of the in-plane magnetic penetration depth λ_{ab} observed in various HTS in the mixed state (see e.g. [3] and references therein). It was shown that the field dependent correction to λ_{ab} arises from the nonlocal and nonlinear response of a superconductor to an applied magnetic field [4, 5]. In contrast to conventional extreme type-II BCS superconductors, both nonlinear and nonlocal corrections to λ_{ab} were found to be very strong in HTS [3, 4, 5].

The nonlinear correction to λ_{ab} arises from magnetic field induced quasiparticle excitation in the gap nodes [6]. The excitation energy ε is associated with the pair momentum $2\mathbf{q} = m^*\mathbf{v}_s$ (\mathbf{v}_s is the local superfluid velocity, and m^* is the charge carrier mass) and the Fermi velocity \mathbf{v}_F [7]:

$$\varepsilon = \mathbf{v}_F \cdot \mathbf{q}. \quad (1)$$

The density of the delocalized states was found to increase proportionally to \sqrt{H} [6]. The nonlocal correction to λ appears from the response of electrons with momenta on the Fermi surface close to the gap nodes. This is because the coherence length ξ , being inversely proportional to the gap, becomes very large close to the nodes and formally diverges at the nodal points. Thus, there exist areas on the Fermi surface where $\lambda/\xi \lesssim 1$, and the response of a superconductor to an applied magnetic

field becomes nonlocal [5].

A simple analysis reveals that both the nonlinear and the nonlocal corrections depend on the supercarrier mass m^* . Indeed, the nonlinear correction to λ_{ab} depends on the excitation energy ε [see Eq. (1)] and, therefore, will increase with increasing ε . Bearing in mind that $|\mathbf{v}_F| \propto 1/m^*$ and $|\mathbf{v}_s| \propto 1/m^*$ [6], the excitation energy is proportional to $1/m^*$. Thus the nonlinear correction to λ_{ab} decreases with increasing supercarrier mass m^* . On the other hand, the nonlocal correction depends on the Fermi surface area where, due to the growing of ξ close to the nodes, $\lambda/\xi \lesssim 1$ [5]. Therefore, the nonlocal correction will decrease with increasing Ginzburg-Landau parameter $\kappa = \lambda_{ab}/\xi_0$. Taking into account that within the simple London model $\lambda^{-2} \propto 1/m^*$ and $\xi_0 \propto |\mathbf{v}_F|/\Delta_0$, one can easily get $\kappa \propto (m^*)^{3/2}$ (ξ_0 and Δ_0 are the mean values of the coherence length and the superconducting gap, respectively). This implies that the nonlocal correction to λ_{ab} , in full analogy with the nonlinear one, decreases with increasing m^* . As a consequence, the magnetic field dependence of λ_{ab} is expected to be stronger for a superconductor with a smaller m^* .

A study of the field dependence of the isotope effect on λ_{ab} can serve as a direct test of this prediction. Indeed, several oxygen isotope (¹⁶O/¹⁸O) effect (OIE) studies of the in-plane penetration depth λ_{ab} in various cuprate families (Y_{1-x}Pr_xBa₂Cu₃O_{7- δ} [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], YBa₂Cu₄O₈ [13], La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ [12, 13, 14, 15, 16], and Bi_{1.6}Pb_{0.4}Sr₂Ca₂Cu₃O_{10+ δ} [17]) showed a pronounced oxygen-mass dependence of the in-plane supercarrier mass with $^{18}m_{ab}^* > ^{16}m_{ab}^*$. This implies that $^{16}\lambda_{ab}$ should increase stronger with magnetic field than $^{18}\lambda_{ab}$. Bearing in mind that $^{18}\lambda_{ab}$ is always larger than $^{16}\lambda_{ab}$ [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18] the OIE on λ_{ab}




FIG. 1: (Color online) Temperature dependences of the superconducting part of the μ SR depolarization rate σ_{sc} of ^{16}O (\circ) and ^{18}O (\bullet) substituted $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$, $\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, and $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$. (a) $-\mu_0 H = 0.2$ T. (b) $-\mu_0 H = 0.6$ T. The errors are smaller than the size of the data points. The solid lines correspond to fits to the power law $\sigma_{sc}(T)/\sigma_{sc}(0) = 1 - (T/T_c)^n$ with parameters listed in the Table I.

$[\Delta\lambda_{ab}/\lambda_{ab} = (^{18}\lambda_{ab} - ^{16}\lambda_{ab})/^{{}^{16}}\lambda_{ab}]$ should decrease with increasing magnetic field (hereafter the indices 16 and 18 denote ^{16}O and ^{18}O substituted samples, respectively).

Here, we report a study of the magnetic field dependence of the OIE on λ_{ab} in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ and $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ ($x = 0.2, 0.3$) by means of the muon-spin rotation (μ SR) technique. The isotope shift of λ_{ab} at $T = 0$ was found to decrease from $\Delta\lambda_{ab}/\lambda_{ab} = 2.2(6)\%$, $2.4(4)\%$, and $2.1(6)\%$ at $\mu_0 H = 0.2$ T to $0.9(6)\%$, $1.0(4)\%$, and $0.9(6)\%$ at $\mu_0 H = 0.6$ T for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$, $\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, and $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, respectively. Moreover, measurements of the magnetic field dependence of λ_{ab} at $T = 20$ K for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ reveal that for $\mu_0 H \gtrsim 0.2$ T, the penetration depth λ_{ab} increases stronger for the ^{16}O substituted sample than for the ^{18}O substituted one, consistent with the finding $^{18}m_{ab}^* > ^{16}m_{ab}^*$.

Details on the sample preparation for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ and $\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{Pr}_x\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ ($x = 0.2, 0.3$) can be found elsewhere [19, 20]. Oxygen isotope exchange was performed

during heating the samples in $^{18}\text{O}_2$ gas. In order to ensure that the ^{16}O and ^{18}O substituted samples are the subject of the same thermal history, the annealing of the two samples was performed simultaneously in $^{16}\text{O}_2$ and $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (95% enriched) gas, respectively [20]. The ^{18}O content in all the samples, as determined from a change of the sample weight after the isotope exchange, was found to be $82(2)\%$.

The transverse-field μ SR experiments were performed at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Switzerland, using the π M3 μ SR facility. In a powder sample the magnetic penetration depth λ can be extracted from the muon-spin depolarization rate $\sigma(T) \propto 1/\lambda^2(T)$, which probes the second moment $\langle \Delta B^2 \rangle$ of the probability distribution of the local magnetic field function $p(B)$ in the mixed state [21]. For highly anisotropic layered superconductors (like cuprate superconductors) λ is mainly determined by the in-plane penetration depth λ_{ab} [21]: $\sigma(T) \propto 1/\lambda_{ab}^2(T)$. The depolarization rate σ was extracted from the μ SR time spectra using a Gaussian re-

laxation function $R(t) = \exp[-\sigma^2 t^2/2]$. The superconducting contribution σ_{sc} was then obtained by subtracting the dipolar contribution σ_{nm} measured above T_c as $\sigma_{sc}^2 = \sigma^2 - \sigma_{nm}^2$.

Fig. 1 shows the temperature dependences of σ_{sc} for the samples studied in this work, measured after field-cooling the samples from far above T_c in $\mu_0 H = 0.2$ T (a) and 0.6 T (b). In both fields σ_{sc} for the ^{18}O substituted samples is systematically lower than those for the ^{16}O substituted samples. The data in Fig. 1 were fitted with the power law $\sigma_{sc}(T)/\sigma_{sc}(0) = 1 - (T/T_c)^n$ [21] with $\sigma_{sc}(0)$, n , and T_c as free parameters. The values of T_c and $\sigma_{sc}(0)$, obtained from the fits are listed in Table I. From $\sigma_{sc}(0)$ the relative isotope shift of the in-plane penetration depth $\Delta\lambda_{ab}(0)/\lambda_{ab}(0) = -0.5 \cdot [\sigma_{sc}(0)^{18} - \sigma_{sc}(0)^{16}]/\sigma_{sc}(0)^{16}$ was determined (see Table I). The values of $\Delta\lambda_{ab}(0)/\lambda_{ab}(0)$ were corrected for the incomplete ^{18}O content (82%) of the ^{18}O substituted samples.

Two important points should be considered: (i) For all the samples (except ^{18}O substituted $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_{7-\delta}$) $\sigma_{sc}(0)$ decreases with increasing magnetic field. The changes of $\sigma_{sc}(0)$ for both oxygen isotopes were found to be $[\sigma_{sc}(0.6\text{T}) - \sigma_{sc}(0.2\text{T})]/\sigma_{sc}(0.2\text{T}) \simeq -5\%$, $\simeq -6\%$, and $\simeq -1\%$ for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$, $\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, and $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, respectively. Note, that the values for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ and $\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ are in fair agreement with those reported in the literature for optimally doped $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ and $\text{La}_{1.85}\text{Sr}_{0.15}\text{CuO}_4$ [3]. The value of -1% obtained for $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ is somehow small. The reason for that is probably the enhancement of the magnetic contribution to the muon relaxation rate σ at higher fields, observed in similar samples below ~ 10 K [9]. (ii) In both fields $^{16}\sigma_{sc}(0) > ^{18}\sigma_{sc}(0)$ [$^{16}\lambda_{ab}(0) < ^{18}\lambda_{ab}(0)$], implying that the in-plane charge carriers are heavier in the ^{18}O substituted samples than in the ^{16}O substituted ones, as it was previously observed for various HTS [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. For all the samples the oxygen isotope shift $\Delta\lambda_{ab}(0)/\lambda_{ab}(0)$ decreases by more than a factor of two with increasing the magnetic field from 0.2 T to 0.6 T (see Table I). This implies that $^{16}\lambda_{ab}(0)$ increases stronger with field than $^{18}\lambda_{ab}(0)$, as expected for $^{16}m_{ab}^* < ^{18}m_{ab}^*$ (see above).

I order to study the magnetic field dependence of λ_{ab} in more detail, σ_{sc} was measured as a function of H at $T = 20$ K for the ^{16}O and ^{18}O substituted $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ samples (see Fig. 2). For both samples σ_{sc} first increases, goes through a pronounced maximum around $\mu_0 H \sim 0.2$ T and then decreases. Note, that for conventional s -wave superconductors, where λ is field independent, σ_{sc} increases with increasing magnetic field up to $H \simeq 2H_{c1}$ and then stays constant for fields much smaller than H_{c2} [22] (H_{c1} and H_{c2} are the first and the second critical fields, respectively). For d -wave super-




FIG. 2: (Color online) Magnetic field dependence of the μSR depolarization rate σ_{sc} for ^{16}O (\circ) and ^{18}O (\bullet) substituted $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ samples at $T = 20$ K. Solid curves represent fits of the data using Eq. (2) (see text for details).

conductors, however, λ depends on the field. This leads to a decrease of $\sigma_{sc}(H)$ for magnetic fields higher than $2H_{c1}$. Such behavior is generally observed for various HTS [3, 21]. The solid lines represent results of the fits by means of the relation

$$\frac{\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(B)}{\lambda_{ab}^{-2}(B=0)} = \frac{\sigma_{sc}(B)}{\sigma_{sc}(B=0)} = 1 - K \cdot \sqrt{B}, \quad (2)$$

which takes into account the nonlinear correction to λ_{ab} [7, 23]. Here K is the parameter depending on the strength of the nonlinear effect. In the analysis only the points above 0.1 T were considered. The magnetic field inside the sample was assumed to be equal to the external magnetic field $B \simeq \mu_0 H_{ext}$. The fits yield: $^{16}\sigma_{sc}(B=0) = 3.25(3) \mu\text{s}^{-1}$, $^{16}K = 0.282(5) \text{ T}^{-1/2}$, and $^{18}\sigma_{sc}(B=0) = 3.02(3) \mu\text{s}^{-1}$, $^{18}K = 0.220(5) \text{ T}^{-1/2}$ for the ^{16}O and ^{18}O substituted samples, respectively. The larger $^{16}\sigma_{sc}(B)$ and its stronger field decrease in comparison with $^{18}\sigma_{sc}(B)$ is consistent with the finding $^{18}m_{ab}^* > ^{16}m_{ab}^*$ [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] and with the statement that the nonlinear and the nonlocal corrections to λ_{ab} decrease with increasing in-plane supercarrier mass m_{ab}^* . One should mention, however, that the measurements were performed in magnetic fields well below H_{c2} ($0.0006 \leq H/H_{c2} \leq 0.008$), so that it is difficult to draw any firm conclusion about the precise field dependence of λ_{ab} . In particular, the stronger field dependence of $^{16}\sigma_{sc}$ suggests that at some characteristic

TABLE I: Summary of the OIE results for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$, $\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, and $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ extracted from the experimental data (see text for an explanation). The values of $\Delta\lambda_{ab}(0, H)/\lambda_{ab}(0, H)$ were corrected for incomplete ^{18}O exchange of 82%.

Sample	$\mu_0 H = 0.2 \text{ T}$					$\mu_0 H = 0.6 \text{ T}$				
	$^{16}T_c$	$^{16}\sigma_{sc}(0)$	$^{18}T_c$	$^{18}\sigma_{sc}(0)$	$\frac{\Delta\lambda_{ab}(0)}{\lambda_{ab}(0)}$	$^{16}T_c$	$^{16}\sigma_{sc}(0)$	$^{18}T_c$	$^{18}\sigma_{sc}(0)$	$\frac{\Delta\lambda_{ab}(0)}{\lambda_{ab}(0)}$
	[K]	$[\mu\text{S}^{-1}]$	[K]	$[\mu\text{S}^{-1}]$	[%]	[K]	$[\mu\text{S}^{-1}]$	[K]	$[\mu\text{S}^{-1}]$	[%]
$\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$	80.03(45)	3.06(2)	79.77(45)	2.95(2)	2.2(6)	80.17(37)	2.86(2)	79.99(37)	2.82(2)	0.9(6)
$\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$	72.22(38)	2.05(1)	71.82(44)	1.97(1)	2.4(4)	73.19(35)	1.89(1)	72.84(34)	1.86(1)	1.0(4)
$\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$	57.05(57)	1.45(1)	55.23(34)	1.40(1)	2.1(6)	56.88(39)	1.42(1)	56.21(31)	1.40(1)	0.9(6)

field the sign of the OIE on λ_{ab} should change. Further investigations at higher fields are needed to clarify this point.

All the above observed features are consistent with the picture where the mass of the charge carriers m_{ab}^* depends on the oxygen isotope mass. It stems from the isotope dependence of the in-plane magnetic penetration depth λ_{ab} , that was found to be larger for the samples with the heavier oxygen isotope ($^{18}\lambda_{ab} > ^{16}\lambda_{ab}$). An additional confirmation comes from the stronger magnetic field dependence of λ_{ab} in the ^{16}O substituted samples. The later one is determined by the fact that both the non-linear and the nonlocal corrections to λ_{ab} , arising from the presence of nodes in the superconducting gap, decrease with increasing m_{ab}^* .

In conclusion, the magnetic field dependence of the OIE on the in-plane magnetic field penetration depth λ_{ab} was studied in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$, $\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, and $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ hole-doped HTS. As a result λ_{ab} for the ^{16}O substituted samples increases stronger with magnetic field than for the ^{18}O substituted ones. The OIE on λ_{ab} was found to decrease from $\Delta\lambda_{ab}(0)/\lambda_{ab}(0) = 2.2(6)\%$, $2.4(4)\%$, and $2.1(6)\%$ at $\mu_0 H = 0.2 \text{ T}$ to $0.9(6)\%$, $1.0(4)\%$, and $0.9(6)\%$ at $\mu_0 H = 0.6 \text{ T}$ for $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$, $\text{Y}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, and $\text{Y}_{0.7}\text{Pr}_{0.3}\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$, respectively. Both of the above mentioned effects can be explained by the isotope dependence of the in-plane charge carrier mass m_{ab}^* .

This work was partly performed at the Swiss Muon Source (S μ S), Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI, Switzerland). The authors are grateful to A. Amato and D. Herlach for assistance during the μSR measurements. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation in part by the EU Project CoMePhS, and by the K. Alex M \ddot{u} ller Foundation.

* Electronic address: rustem.khasanov@physik.unizh.ch

- [1] D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rep. **250**, 329 (1995).
 [2] C.C. Tsuei, J.R. Kirtley, C.C. Chi, L.S. Yu-Jahnes, A. Gupta, T. Shaw, J.Z. Sun, and M.B. Ketchen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 593 (1994).

- [3] J.E. Sonier, J.H. Brewer, and R.F. Kiefl, Rev. Mod. Phys. **72**, 769 (2000).
 [4] M.H.S. Amin, M. Franz, and I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5864 (2000).
 [5] M.H.S. Amin, Ph.D. thesis, University of British Columbia, (1999); comd-mat/0011455.
 [6] G.E. Volovik, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. **58**, 469 (1993).
 [7] H. Won and K. Maki, Europhys. Lett. **54**, 248 (2001).
 [8] G.M. Zhao and D.E. Morris, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 16487 (1995).
 [9] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, K. Conder, E. Morenzoni, I.M. Savić, and H. Keller, J. Phys.: Cond. Matt, **15**, L17 (2003).
 [10] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, E. Morenzoni, M. Angst, K. Conder, I.M. Savić, D. Lampakis, E. Liarokapis, A. Tatsi, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 220506(R) (2003).
 [11] R. Khasanov, D.G. Eshchenko, H. Luetkens, E. Morenzoni, T. Prokscha, A. Suter, N. Garifianov, M. Mali, J. Roos, K. Conder, and H. Keller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 057602 (2004).
 [12] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, E. Morenzoni, K. Conder, I.M. Savić, and H. Keller J. Phys.: Cond. Matt. **16**, S4439 (2004).
 [13] R. Khasanov, A. Shengelaya, K. Conder, E. Morenzoni, I.M. Savić, J. Karpinski, and H. Keller Phys. Rev. B **74**, 064504 (2006).
 [14] G.M. Zhao, M.B. Hunt, H. Keller, and K.A. M \ddot{u} ller, Nature (London) **385**, 236 (1997).
 [15] G.M. Zhao, K. Conder, H. Keller, and K.A. M \ddot{u} ller, J. Phys.: Condens Matter **10**, 9055 (1998).
 [16] J. Hofer, K. Conder, T. Sasagawa, G.-M. Zhao, M. Willemin, H. Keller, and K. Kishio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4192 (2000).
 [17] G.M. Zhao, V. Kirtikar, and D.E. Morris, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 220506(R) (2001).
 [18] J.L. Tallon, R.S. Islam, J. Storey, G.V.M. Williams, and J.R. Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 237002 (2005).
 [19] J. Karpinski, S. Rusiecki, E. Kaldis, B. Bucher, and E. Jilek, Physica C **160**, 449 (1989).
 [20] K. Conder, Mater. Sci. Eng. **R32**, 41 (2001).
 [21] P. Zimmermann, H. Keller, S.L. Lee, I.M. Savić, M. Warden, D. Zech, R. Cubitt, E.M. Forgan, E. Kaldis, J. Karpinski, and C. Kr \ddot{u} ger, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 541 (1995).
 [22] E.H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 2349 (1988).
 [23] I. Vekhter, J.P. Carbotte, and E.J. Nicol, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1417 (1999).