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#### Abstract

In order to understand the experim entally proposed phase diagram s of $\mathrm{Nax} \mathrm{CoO}_{2} \mathrm{yH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, we theoretically study the $\mathrm{CoO}_{2}$-layer-thickness dependence ofm agnetic and superconducting (SC ) properties by analyzing a multionbital H ubbard m odelusing the random phase approxim ation. $W$ hen the $C o v a l e n c e s$ is +3.4 , we show that the $m$ agnetic uctuation exhibits strong layerthickness dependence where it is enhanced at nite (zero) mom entum in the thicker (thinner) layer system. A m agnetic order phase appears sandw iched by tw o SC phases, consistent w ith the experim ents. These two SC phases have di erent pairing states where one is the singlet extended $s-w$ ave state and the other is the triplet $p-w$ ave state. On the other hand, only a triplet p-w ave SC phase w ith dom e-shaped behavior of $T_{c}$ is predicted when $s=+3.5$, which is also consistent w ith the experim ents. C ontroversial experim ental results on the m agnetic properties are also discussed.
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A possible unconventional superconductivity (SC), particularly a spin- uctuation-m ediated one, has been expected in $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{COO}_{2} \quad \mathrm{y} \mathrm{HO}_{2} .^{1,2)} \mathrm{H}$ ence, its norm al-state $m$ agnetic property has been intensively studied, although the results are rather scattered. ${ }^{2\{10)}$

The bulk susceptibility show s Curie-w eiss type uptum below 130 K w ith decreasing tem perature. Several groups have observed a sim ilar uptum in K night shiff ( $K$ ) and a linear K - plot in NMR and SR m easure$m$ ents, suggesting an increase of spin uctuation at/near $\mathrm{q}=0 . .^{2,5,6,9)}$ Among them, Ishida et al. studied the relation between $1=T_{1} \mathrm{~T}$ and.${ }^{3,6}$ ) T hey rst reported an identical $T$ dependence of $1=T_{1} T$ and up to $T_{c}$, which suggests a dom inant ferrom agnetic (FM) uctuation at $\mathrm{q}=0 .{ }^{3)}$ Later, they reported a slightly di erent behavior in another sam ple where the uptum of is w eaker than that of $1=T_{1} T$, which suggests dom inant spin uctuations at $q \quad 0$ but not at $q=0 .{ }^{6}$ O n the other hand, N ing et al: and M ukham edshin et al: observed $T$-independent behavior ofk despite the strong $T$ dependence of $1=T_{1} T$, which suggests dom inant spin uctuations at q 07.8) W e also note that a neutron-scattering experim ent did not detect any evidence for spin uctuations. ${ }^{10)}$

On the other hand, a relationship between $T_{c}$ and CoO 2 -layer thickness has been pointed out by several groups. ${ }^{11(18)}$ In particular, Sakuraiet al: determ ined $x-$ T phase diagram $s$ where x is the N a content. ${ }^{11,12)} \mathrm{H}$ ere, x scales w th the CoO 2 -layer thickness, i.e., a larger-x sam ple has thicker CoO 2 layers. At the sam e tim e, they found that the Co valence, s , is constant at +3.4 al though $x$ changes because of the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ions in $N$ a-layers. As a function of $x$, they found successive three phases of SC (SC 1), a m agnetic order (MO) and another SC (SC 2). N ote that $s$ is directly related to the num ber of $t_{2 g}$-electrons perCoion $\left(n_{t 2 g}\right)$ as $n_{t 2 g}=9 \mathrm{~s}$. On the other hand, for sam ples w ith a slightly di er-
ent value ofs (s +3.5), only one SC phase appears, and $T_{c}$ shows a dom e-shaped behavior as a function of $x$. This indicates that a subtle change in the lattice param eter and that in the Covalence a ect drastically the electronic properties.

M otivated by these ndings, we previously studied effects of COO 6 distortion on the band structures. ${ }^{19)} \mathrm{We}$ constructed an eleven-band tight-binding (T B ) m odelincluding the Co 3 d and the O 2 p orbitals, which reproduces very well the LDA data for the bilayer-hydrate system of ref. 20 . In the case of $s=+3.4$, we found that; (i) Ferm i surface ( $F S$ ) w ith double $a_{1 g}$-band cylinders around the point is realized in a system with thick CoO 2 layers. This FS w as referred to as FS1 (see the bottom gure of Fig. 1 (b)). (ii) FS w ith a single $a_{g}$ toand cylinder and six $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}$-band hole pockets (FS2) is realized in a thin-layer system. (iii) In the m oderate-thickness case, another type of FS w ith double $a_{1 g}$ cylinders and six $e_{g}^{0}$ pockets (FS3) is expected.W e discussed that th is FS deform ation can explain the experim entals $=+3.4$ phase diagram w ith three successive phases. ${ }^{19)}$

In this letter, we perform m icroscopic calculations on the SC gap structures as well as the nature of spin
uctuation since their know ledge is essentially im portant to understand the experim ental results. W e resolve the above discrepancies of the experim ental results of the character of $m$ agnetic uctuation. The $\mathrm{COO}_{2}$-layerthickness dependence of $m$ agnetic uctuation and SC states are studied by constructing the multionbital H ubbard model with threefold Co $t_{2 g}$ orbitals and by applying the random phase approxim ation (RPA).W hen $s$ is +3.4 , we show that the spin uctuation is critically enhanced $w$ th decreasing $T$ tow ard M $O$ in the $m$ oderatethickness system with FS3 (see Fig. 1 (b)). This is in agreem ent $w$ ith the existence of $\mathrm{M} O$ phase in the experin ental phase diagram. By solving the E liashberg equation, we show that the singlet extended s-w ave pairing is
expected in the thick-layer system s w ith F S1, while the triplet p-w ave pairing is expected in the thin-layer system $s$ w ith FS2. For the $s=+3.5$ case (see Fig. 1 (c)), in contrast, the $m$ agnetic instability hardly occurs and only a triplet p-w ave SC phase w ith dom e-shaped $T_{C}$ behavior appears, which is also consistent $w$ ith the experim ental $\mathrm{s}=+3.5$ phase diagram .

First, let us discuss the TB m odel used in this paper. A s noted before, w e developed the eleven-band T B m odel in ref. 19. B ut that m odel is not covenient for num erical calculations because of the large degrees of freedom. Instead, we construct a sim pler three-band T B m odelw ith only $\mathrm{Cot}_{2 g}$ orbitals, which reproduces the layer-thickness dependence predicted in the previous eleven-band analysis. ${ }^{19)}$

T he optained three-band T B H am iltonian is given by

$$
\mathrm{H}_{3 \mathrm{~TB}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{km}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{kn}} \quad \mathrm{w} \text { ith }, ~(t)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{t}_{1} \operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 t_{2} \operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{b}}+2 \mathrm{t}_{2} \cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+2 \mathrm{t}_{5} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+2 \mathrm{t}_{5} \cos \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)
$$

$$
+2 t_{6} \cos \left(k_{a}+2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+2 \mathrm{t}_{9} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)
$$

$$
+2 \mathrm{t}_{10} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)+2 \mathrm{t}_{10} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)
$$

$$
=2 t_{3} \operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{0}+2 t_{4} \cos \left(k_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}\right)+2 t_{4} \operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(2^{0}\right)
$$

$$
+2 t_{7} \cos \left(k_{a}^{0}+2 k_{b}^{0}\right)+2 t_{7} \cos \left(k_{a}^{0} k_{b}^{0}\right)
$$

$$
+2 t_{8} \cos \left(2 k_{a}^{0}+k_{b}{ }^{0}\right)+2 t_{11} \cos \left(2 k_{b}{ }^{0}\right)
$$

$$
+2 \mathrm{t}_{12} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}+2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{b}}^{0}\right)+2 \mathrm{t}_{12} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}\right)
$$

Here, and 0 represent $x y, y z$ and $z x$ orbitals, and denotes the trigonal crystal eld ( $C F$ ) from the $O$ ions. Forde nitions ofk ${ }^{(0)}$ and $k_{b}{ }^{(0)}$, one could see ref. 25.

C om pared w ith the previous T B m odel in ref. 25 , the present TB m odel is m uch m ore elaborate including additional transfer integrals. It reproduces subtle features of LDA results of ref. 20. N ote that the num bering of transfer integrals slightly di ens from ref. 25.

In Fig. 1, we show (a) band dispersions and (b) FSs for several values of O C oO boond angles ( oc oo). H ere, the angle ocoo expresses the CoO 2-layer thickness where a thinner $\mathrm{CoO}_{2}$ layer has a larger oc oo value (see Fig. 1 (a) in Ref. 19). The LDA data used in the previous study w as calculated using experim ental structure data w ith ocoo = 97:5. T he param eter values of the present model are $\left(t_{1} ; t_{2} ;::: ; t_{12} ;\right)=(35.0,22.0,153.5$, 46.1, 17.7, 14.9.3.10, 52.4, 41.0, 27.6,8.16, 4.98, 80.0) for the case of $0 \mathrm{coo}=97: 5 \mathrm{w}$ were the unit is meV . N ote that FS1 (FS2) is reproduced for the thick (thin) layer system, while FS3 is reproduced for the m oderate case. This type of F Stopology variation is referred to as C ase C in the previous study. ${ }^{19)}$

By further adding the C oulom b interaction term $H$ int:, we obtain the multiorbital Hubbard model; $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mo}}=$ $H_{3 T B}+H_{\text {int: }}$ where $H_{\text {int }}=H_{U}+H_{U} 0+H_{J_{H}}+H_{J 0}+H_{V}$. A $s$ in the previous studies, ${ }^{25,26)}$ the term $s H_{U}$ and $H_{U}{ }^{0}$ represent the intra- and inter-orbital Coulomb interactions, respectively, and $H_{J_{H}}$ and $H_{J 0}$ represent the H und's-rule coupling and the pair hopping, respectively. T hese interactions are expressed using K anam oriparam -


Fig. 1. (a) B and dispersions for various 0 c oo values calculated from the three-band tight-binding $m$ odel $\mathrm{H}_{3 \text { т в }}$. H orizontal dashed lines denote the Ferm i level for $s=+3.4$. (b) D eform ation of the Ferm i surface $w$ ith varying 0 coo for the $s=+3.4$ case. (c) D eform ation of the Ferm i surface for the $s=+3.5$ case.
eters, $U, U^{0}, J_{H}$ and $J^{0}$, which satisfy the relations; $U^{0}=U \quad 2 J_{H}$ and $J_{\mathbb{B}}=J^{0}$. In this paper, we include the last term $H_{V}=V \quad{ }_{i ; j} n_{i} n_{j}$ representing the C oulom b repulsion betw een adjacent $i$ and $j$ sites.
$W$ e analyze this model by applying RPA. In the present three-orbital case, the $G$ reen's function $\hat{G}$ is expressed in the 33 m atrix form corresponding to the $x y$, yz and zx orbitals. T he irreducible susceptibility ^0 has a 9 9m atrix form. The singlet (triolet) pairing interaction ${ }^{\wedge} s\left({ }^{t}\right)$ is expressed using the interaction $m$ atrices. For detailed expressions of $\hat{G}, \wedge^{\wedge},{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}$ and ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{t}$, see ref. 25 . N ote that the $m$ atriges $\hat{U}^{s}(q)$ and $\hat{U}^{c}(q)$ slightly di er from those in ref. 25 since the present $m$ odel includes long-range C oulom b repulsion $H_{V}$. T he matrix elem ents $U_{m n}^{s}$; (q) $\left(U_{m n}^{c}{ }_{m}\right.$; (q)) are $U(U+2 V(q))$ for $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}=\quad=, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(2 \mathrm{U}^{0}+2 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{m}=$ $\mathrm{n} \xi=, \mathrm{U}^{0}\left(\mathrm{U}^{0}+2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ for $\mathrm{m}=\xi \mathrm{n}=$, $J^{0}\left(J^{0}\right)$ for $m=\mathrm{n}=$, and 0 for others, where $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{q})=2 \mathrm{~V}\left[\cos \left(q_{1}\right)+\cos \left(q_{2}\right)+\cos \left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)\right] w$ ith $q_{1}=$
$\overline{3}=2 q_{x} \quad 1=2 q_{y}$ and $q_{2} \cdot q_{y} \cdot W$ e discuss the nature of SC by solving the E liashberg equation. C alculations are num erically carried out w ith 128128 k m eshes in the rst B rillouin zone, and 1024 M atsubara frequencies.
W e nst discuiss the results for the $s=+3.4$ case, which are calculated taking $\mathrm{U}=0.5 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.05 \mathrm{eV}$. From now on, the energy unit is eV . In Fig .2 (a), w e display the spin susceptibility $s$ (q) for several values of $T$ and the angle ocoo, which show s strong layer-thickness dependence. For the thick-layer casesw ith FS1 ( o c oo = 96:5 and $97: 0$ ), s (q) haspeak structures at nitem om entum $q=Q_{s}$ in the low $-T$ region. $T h$ is $q=Q_{s}$ uctuation is induced by the electron scattering betw een the inner and outer $\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ FSs ow ing to the intra-orbitalC oulom b repulsion $U$. A ctually, $Q_{s}$ is the wave num ber which bridges these inner and outer FSs. On the other hand, for the thin-layer cases w ith FS2 ( o coo $=98: 0$ and $98: 5$ ), s (q) has a FM peak at $q=0$. This $F M$ uctuation is induced by the inter-orbital H und's-rule coupling $J_{H}$ betw een $\mathrm{a}_{1 g}$ and $e_{g}^{0}$ FSs as discussed previously. ${ }^{\text {25) }}$


 $s=+3.4$ case. (a) $M$ om entum dependence of $s(q)$ for several $T$ and 0 coo values. (b) $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q})$ at $\mathrm{q}=0(\mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{q}=0))$ and m axim um $s(q)(m a x[s(q)]) p l o t t e d ~ a s ~ f u n c t i o n s ~ o f ~ T h r ~ s e v e r a l ~ o c o o ~$ values.

For the m oderate layer-thickness system s w th FS3 ( ocoo = 97:0-98:0), a critical enhancem ent of $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q})$ w th decreasing T occurs, which is consistent w th the existence ofM O phase sandw iched by tw O SC phases. ${ }^{11,12)}$ Indeed, $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q})$ for $0 \mathrm{coo}=98: 0$ diverges at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=$ $0: 012$. T his m agnetic instability is caused by cooperative contributions from the intra-band scattering betw een the inner and outer $a_{1 g}$ FSs and the inter-band scattering betw een the $\mathrm{a}_{1 g}$ and $e_{g}^{0}$ FSs ow ing to the FS3 geom etry. In addition, the structure ofdensity of states (DOS), where both $\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}$ orbital com ponents are large, is also responsible for the $m$ agnetic instability. ${ }^{19)}$ Indeed, as w ill be show $n$ later, $m$ agnetic instability does not occur for the $s=+3.5$ case $w$ th FS3 because of a $s m$ all $a_{1 g}$ onbital com ponent of DOS.

The puzzle in the $N M R / N Q R$ and $S R$ results can be solved by considering layer-thickness dependence of ${ }_{s}(q)$. The quantities $K$ and $1=T_{1} T$ scale, respectively, w ith $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q}=0)$ and m aximum $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q})(\mathrm{max}[\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q})])$. These quantities obtained in the present theory are plotted in Fig .2 (b). In the thick-layer case ( 0 coo $=96: 5$ ) w ith FS1, $s(q=0)$ is rather $T$-independent since the spin uctuation is not FM, which is consistent with the reports about $T$-independent $N M R K$ from $N$ ing et al and M ukham edshin et al. ${ }^{7,8)}$ In the thin-layer case ( ocoo = 98:5) w ith FS2, on the other hand, the spin uctuation is $F M$, and $s(q=0)$ and $m a x[s(q)]$ show an identical increase, which reproduces the $-\left(1=T_{1} \mathrm{~T}\right)$ relation in ref. 3. Finally, in the m oderately-thick case ( ocoo = 97:0), s $(q=0)$ show s w eaker increase than m ax[ $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q})]$, which reproduces the $-\left(1=\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}\right)$ relation in ref. 6. Indeed, the sam ple in ref. 6 tumed out to have $m$ oderately thick layers according to the $m$ easured $N Q R$ frequency $Q$, which is consistent $w$ ith the present result.

Next, we discuss the SC properties. In Fig. 3, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for several pairing states are plotted as functions of V both for the thick-layer case w ith $\mathrm{ocoo}=96: 5$ ( $F$ ig. $3(a)$ ) and for the thin-layer case with $0 \mathrm{coo}=$ 98:5 (Fig. 3 (b)). We nd that in the thick-layer system w ith FS1, the singlet extended s-w ave state is stabilized in the $w$ ide range of $V$ value while the triplet $p-w$ ave
state is stabilized in the thin-layer system with FS2.F igures 3 (c)-(e) show the $k$-dependence of the obtained SC gaps w ith (c) extended s-wave, (d) $p_{x}-w a v e$, and (e) $p_{y}-$ $w$ ave sym $m$ etries. This result show $s$ that two SC states w th di erent sym $m$ etries are possibly realized in this m aterial depending on the $\mathrm{CoO} 2_{2}$-layer thickness.

The extended $s$-w ave gap here obtained for the FS1 case is equivalent to the one previously proposed by K urokiet al. ${ }^{21)}$ The signs in this gap are the sam ew ith in each FS but are opposite betw een the inner and outer FSs. This gap structure is stabilized not only by the spin uctuation ${ }^{\circledR}(q)$ but also by the charge uctuation ${ }^{\wedge c}(\mathrm{q})$ as discussed previously. In fact, when $\mathrm{V}=0$, for $p-w$ ave state is slightly larger. T he extended $s-w$ ave state dom inates when we introduce sm all V (at least $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{U} \quad 0: 02$ ). N ote that in the expression of the singletpairing interaction, the contribution from ${ }^{\wedge s}(q)$ and that from ${ }^{\wedge C}(\mathrm{q})$ have di erent signs. T he repulsive contribution from ${ }^{\wedge s}(q)$ around $q=Q_{\text {s }}$ favors the sign change between the inner and outer FSS. On the other hand, the attractive one from ${ }^{\wedge c}(q)$ favors the sam e sign in the outer FS.This is because ${ }^{\wedge c}(q)$ tends to have peak structures around the $M$-points as well as near the $K-p o i n t s$

$F$ ig. 4. C alculated results for the $S=+3.5$ case. (a) Inverse of $m$ axim um $s(q) p l o t t e d$ against $T$ for several ocoo values. (b) $T$ - ocoo phase diagram, which shows a dom e-shaped $T_{c}$ of the triplet $p-w$ ave pairing state.
when $V$ is introduced (see $F$ ig. 3 ( f ). . T hese wave num bers correspond to those across the outer FS.

A s for the p-w ave state, which is realized on FS2, the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ states are degenerate in the triangular lattice. Below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, a linear com bination of these two should be realized. ${ }^{25,26)}$ A s show $n$ in $F$ igs. 3 (d) and (e), the gap am plitude is large on the $\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ band while it is m arkedly sm all on the $e_{g}^{0}$ band. $T$ his is in contrast to the previous results, which show dom inant gaps on the $e_{g}^{0}$ pockets. ${ }^{25(28)}$ This di erence is caused by the di erence of TB m odels used. In the previous $m$ odel, the $a_{1 g}$ band has a steep slope at the Ferm i level resulting in a sm all DOS, which is unfavorable for the gap opening on the $a_{1 g}$ FS. On the other hand, the present $m$ odel has the $\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ band whose slope is rather gradual, resulting in a larger D O S and the dom inant SC gap on the $a_{1 g}$ FS. Since the present $m$ odel reproduces the LD A band structure $m$ uch $m$ ore precisely, we consider that the present result is $m$ ore realistic.

Som e groups observed decreasing $K$ below $T_{C}$ in the NM R experim ents. ${ }^{22\{24)} \mathrm{W}$ e speculate that sam ples used by K obayashiet al: are located in the predicted singlet extended $s-w$ ave phase according to the $m$ easured $N Q R$ frequency $\& .^{29)} \mathrm{On}$ the other hand, T -independent behavior of $K$ below $T_{c} m$ ay be observed if we m easure a sam ple w ith the triplet p-w ave phase. H ow ever, synthesis of such a sample is rather di cult and should be done carefully since the triplet SC is quite fragile against im purities and oxygen defects. T here exist discrepancies in the experim entaldata on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ and speci cheat.') These can be also resolved if we consider the two di erent SC states w ith di erent FS topologies. The inner $a_{g} F S$ in FS1 and the $e_{g}^{0}$ pockets in FS2, which reproduce the experim entaldata, are proved to be quite sm all. These issues w ill be discussed in detail elsew here. $T$ he predicted FS deform ation should be detected in the bilayer-hydrate m aterials by the bulk-sensitive angle resolved photoem ission spectroscopy. ${ }^{30)}$

Finally, let us discuss brie $y$ the case $w$ ith $s=+3.5$. In this case, the $m$ agnetic instability is weak as com pared to the $s=+3.4$ case. In F ig. 4 (a), we show $1 / \mathrm{m}$ ax [ $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q})$ ] plotted against T for several ocoo values, which are calculated taking $U=0.58, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}}=0.05$ and $V=0.0$. T his gure show s no critical enhancem ent of $s(q)$ even though we use slightly larger C oulom b param eters than those used
in the calculations for the $s=+3.4$ case. A $s$ for the $S C$ state, it is proved that only the triplet p-w ave pairing is stabilized. A s show $n$ in $F$ ig. 4 (b), $T_{c}$ show s a dom $e^{-}$ shaped behavior as a function of ocoo, which is consistent $w$ th the experim ents. ${ }^{11,12)}$

To summarize, we have studied the CoO 2 -layerthickness dependence of $m$ agnetic and SC properties in $\mathrm{Nax}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{COO}_{2} \quad \mathrm{yHO}$. By analyzing the multiondital Hub bard model using RPA, we have reproduced the experim entally obtained $s=+3.4$ phase digram containing successive SC1, MO and SC 2 phases as well as the $\mathrm{s}=+3.5$ phase diagram containing one SC phase with dom e-shaped $T_{C}$ behavior. $W$ e have show $n$ that two SC phases for $s=+3.4$ have di erent pairing states where one is the singlet extended $s$-w ave state and another is the triplet $p-w$ ave state, while the SC phase for $s=+3.5$ has the $p$-w ave state. $W$ e also discuss that the puzzling $\mathrm{NMR} / \mathrm{NQR}$ and SR results on the character of m agnetic uctuation can be understood by considering the strong layer-thickness dependence of the $m$ agnetic uctuation. ${ }^{31)}$
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