Strong vs. W eak Coupling D uality and Coupling D ependence of the K ondo Tem perature in the Two-Channel K ondo M odel

Christian Kolf and Johann Kroha

Physikalisches Institut, Universitat Bonn, Nussallee 12, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

We perform numerical renormalization group (NRG) as well as analytical calculations for the twochannelK ondo model to obtain the dependence of the K ondo temperature T_K on the dimensionless (bare) spin exchange coupling g over the complete parameter range from g 1 to g 1. We show that there exists a duality between the regimes of sm all and large coupling. It is unique for the two-channelm odel and enables a mapping between the strong and the weak coupling cases via the identication g \$ 3=(2g), implying an exponential dependence of T_K on 1=g and g, respectively, in the two regimes. This agrees quantitatively with our NRG calculations where we extract T_K (g) over the complete parameter range and obtain a nonmonotonic T_K (g) dependence, strongly peaked at the 2CK xed point coupling g. These results may be relevant for resolving the long-standing puzzle within the 2CK interpretation of certain random defect systems, why no broad distribution of T_K is observed in those system s.

PACS num bers: 72.10 Fk, 72.15 Q m

I. IN TRODUCTION

The K ondo e ect¹ is a paradigm for strong electronic correlations in m etals, induced by resonant quantum spin scattering of electrons at the Ferm i energy from local defects with spin S. The generalization of the problem to the case of M equivalent conduction electron channels, the multi-channel K ondo problem, has attracted much attention ever since it has been introduced by Nozieres and Blandin² in 1980. While for a channel number M = 2S the impurity spin is exactly compensated by the conduction electron spins below the K ondo tem perature T_K , corresponding to a spin singlet strong coupling xed point with Ferm i liquid behavior³, they showed that for M > 2S both the weak and the strong coupling xed points are unstable, and hence a stable interm ediate coupling xed point was conjectured. It corresponds to an overcom pensation of the impurity spin at low tem peratures due to the simultaneous screening by each channel, im plying a nonvanishing zero-point entropy and non-Ferm i liquid behavior. In the following we will focus our discussion on the spin S = 1=2 two-channel Kondo (2CK) e ect. The anom alous behavior of various therm odynam ic quantities near the 2CK xed point has been worked out theoretically using the Bethe ansatz^{4,5}, a M a jorana ferm ion representation of the problem , 6 and conformal eld theory.^{7,8,9} Early on, the two-level-system (TLS) model of atom ic defects embedded in a metallic host was put forward by Zawadow skiand V ${\tt ladar}^{10,11}$ as a physical realization of 2CK defects, where the internal TLS degree of freedom takes the role of the K ondo spin (pseudospin) and the magnetic conduction electron spin serves as the conserved channel degree of freedom . How ever, it was shown thereafter that, unfortunately, within this model the 2CK xed point cannot be reached because of the instability of the 2CK xed point with respect to external perturbations: W ithin this model the TLS tunneling attem pt frequency sets the band cuto for

the 2CK e ect, since band electrons at higher energies instantaneously screen the tunneling defect without pseudospin ip. This turns out to prevent T_K to be greater than the tunneling-induced level splitting of the TLS.¹² It remains to be seen if this problem can be overcome by a recently proposed modil ed TLS model,¹³ where the 2CK xed point may be stabilized by an additional resonance enhancement of the conduction electron density of states (DOS).

On the experim ental side, signatures consistent with the 2CK e ect have been observed in both, certain bulk heavy ferm ion compounds14,15,16 and in mesoscopic defect structures.^{17,18} The existence of TLS uctuators in nanoconstrictions has been established by various experim ents.^{19,20} O ne of the best-studied case of 2CK signatures is perhaps the zero-bias conductance anom aly observed by Ralph et al. in nanoscopic point contacts of sim plem etals.^{17,18,21} A scaling analysis of the di erential conductance of these contacts^{22,23} and system atic param eter variations lend strong support to the 2CK hypothesis. However, the 2CK interpretation of these data has remained controversial $2^{4,25}$ due to the lack of an established m icroscopic m odel for the physical realization of the 2CK defects. See R ef. 26 for an alternative, statistical explanation of the zero bias anom aly. M ost recently, 2CK behavior seems to have been realized by system atically tuning a sem iconductor quantum dot system into the 2CK regim e_r^{27} as proposed theoretically in Ref. 28.

O ne of the problem swith the 2CK interpretation of the anom alies in disordered, m esoscopic nanoconstrictions is the fact that within this interpretation these system s exhibit a sharp value of the K ondo tem perature $T_{\rm K}$, while one expects a broad distribution of the pseudospin $\,$ ip coupling J due to the random nature of the 2CK defects. In fact, for single-channel K ondo in purities in nanoconstrictions the observed behavior^{29} has consistently been explained 30 in term s of a broad $T_{\rm K}$ distribution, induced by m esoscopic uctuations of the local DOS.

2

In the present paper we make a contribution to the resolution of this puzzle. We com pute the dependence of T_K (J) on J within the generic, symmetric 2CK model, covering the complete range from small to large J. Since the 2CK xed point is at an interm ediate coupling J , one expects that for J = J the 2CK regime extends in energy up to the band cuto $D_{,}^{11,31}$ i.e. for the 2CK case T_{K} (J) should have a maximum at J = J with T_K (J) ' D . In Section II we de ne the model and, following the ideas of Nozieres and Blandin, ^2 establish a duality between the large J and the small J region which makes it possible to give analytical expressions for T_K (J) in both regimes. Details of this calculation can be seen in the Appendix. In addition, we compute the com plete dependence T_K (J) using the NRG, as explained in Section III. The results are presented in Section IV, which are in quantitative agreement with the analytic expressions of section II and indicate a strongly peaked dependence of T_K on J. The conclusions and possible consequences for the 2CK interpretation of anom alies in nanoconstrictions are drawn in Section V.

II. DUALITY OF THE 2CK W EAK AND STRONG COUPLING REG IM ES

W e consider the isotropic 2CK Ham iltonian,

$$H_{2CK} = \sum_{k}^{X} \mathbf{v}_{k} c_{k}^{y} c_{k} + \frac{J}{2} \sum_{0}^{X} c_{0}^{y} \sim \circ c_{0} \quad S \quad (1)$$

where c_k^y are the usual creation operators for electrons in channel number = 1 with momentum k and spin =";#. c_0^y = $_k^p c_k^y$ is the creation operator for an electron at the in purity site, ~ $_0$ the vector of P aulim atrices and S the impurity spin operator of size 1=2. The exchange coupling J > 0 is taken to be antiferrom agnetic. We de ne the dimensionless coupling g = $_0$ J, where $_0$ = 1=2D is the DOS at the Ferm i level. Throughout this paper, all energy scales and coupling constants are given in units of the band cuto D.

In the weak coupling regime, g = 1, the crossover scale to the 2CK non-Fermi liquid behavior can be obtained by perturbative analysis in g. It is well known as the weak coupling K ondo temperature and reads, including subleading logarithm ic corrections,³

$$T_{K}^{(wc)}$$
 / $De^{\frac{1}{2g} + \ln (2g) + O(g)}$; g 1 (2)

Turning now to the strong coupling regime, g 1, it is convenient to consider the Ham iltonian in site representation,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & & X \\ & {}^{w}_{k}c^{y}_{k} & c_{k} & = t & c^{y}_{i} & c_{j} \\ & & & & & \\ ^{k} & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

where i is the site index and an in nite, one-dimensional lattice with a nearest neighbor hopping am plitude t is assum ed without loss of generality. In the limit g ! 1 the kinetic energy in the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) is negligible, and we have,

$$H^{(sc)} = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{0}^{X} c_{0}^{y} \sim 0 c_{0} \quad S:$$
(3)

The m apping of the strong coupling regime of the 2CK m odel (1) onto a weak coupling problem proceedes in two steps. We rst represent the H am iltonian (1) in the basis of low -lying eigenstates of the strong coupling H am iltonian (3), which will be of the type of a generalized A nderson in purity m odel. Then we project this m odel in the low -energy regime onto an elective weak coupling 2CK m odel.

The ground states of this strong coupling H am iltonian (3) are 3-body states comprised of one electron in each of the two channels, located at the impurity site and antiferrom agnetically coupled to the impurity spin. These states are easily calculated as

$$j_{*}^{0}i = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{6}} (2 j_{*}^{*} i j_{*}^{*} i j_{*}^{*} i) = E_{*}^{y} j_{aci}(4)$$

$$j_{*}^{0}i = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{6}} (2 j_{*}^{*} i j_{*}^{*} i j_{*}^{*} i) = E_{*}^{y} j_{aci}(5)$$

and have the energy $E_0 = J, H^{(sc)} j_{(\#)}^0 i = J j_{(\#)}^0 i$. In the D irac ket notation above the thick arrow represents the impurity spin, while the rst and the third (thin) arrow describes the conduction electron spin in the = +1 and = 1 channel, respectively. For later use we have also de ned ferm ionic operators F Y which create these states out of the vacuum jvaci (i.e. the free Ferm i sea without im purity). Note that the ground states cannot simply be product states of 2-particle singlets, but necessarily contain triplet admixtures, a frustration effect im plied by the quantum nature of the H am iltoniam (3). The degeneracy of the j $^{0}_{"(\#)}$ i is the reason why the 2CK model remains nontrivial even in the strong coupling lim it, in contrast to the single-channel K ondo model. The next excited eigenstates of Eq. (3) are the 2-body singlet and triplet states j sm i,

$$j_{001}i = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}}(j"+0i j#*0i)$$
 (6)

$$j_{101}i = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}}(j"+0i+j\#*0i)$$
 (7)

$$j_{1} j_{1} = j \# + 0 i;$$
 (9)

and analogous de nitions for the = 1 channel. In the above notation, s = 0;1 denotes the total spin, m = 0; 1 its z-component and = 1 the occupied conduction channel of the 2-body state. The energies of these states with respect to Eq. (3) are $E_{00} = \frac{3}{4}J$ and $E_{1m} = +\frac{1}{4}J$, respectively. Switching on the hopping t removes an electron from the 3-body states Eqs. (4) and puts it onto a site i \neq 0 in the conduction band. In this way, 8 states are generated which can be expressed in term s of the strong coupling eigenstates Eqs. (6)-(9), see Appendix. It follows that in the strong coupling eigenbasis Eqs. (4)-(9) the 2CK H am iltonian (1) takes the form of a generalized 2-channel Anderson in purity model, Eq. (A.4), where the j⁰ i play the role of the occupied and the j_{sm} i the role of the unoccupied in purity. By a straight-forward Schrie er-W ol transormation³² for low energies, ! J, this H am iltonian is projected onto the 2CK m odel

$$H_{2CK}^{(sc)} = t \qquad X \qquad c_{i}^{y} c_{j} + \frac{J}{2} c_{0}^{y} \sim c_{0} c_{0} \tilde{S};$$

$$(10)$$

where $\tilde{S} = {}^{P} {}_{_{0}} F^{\gamma} {}_{\sim} {}_{_{0}}F_{_{0}}$ is the spin operator of the strong coupling compound, and $\mathcal{J} = (1=)(4t)^{2}=J$, with = 30=46 2=3, is the electrive spin ip coupling in the strong coupling regime (see the Appendix for a detailed derivation). Using, like in our NRG calculation of the following section, a at DOS of $_{0} = 1=4t$, the dimensionless coupling reads, $g = _{0}\mathcal{J}$. Following Eq. (2), the K ondo temperature is consequently given in the strong coupling regime by,

$$T_{K}^{(sc)}$$
 / $De^{\frac{1}{2}g \ln(\frac{1}{2}g)+O(1=g)}$; g 1 (11)

C om parison of Eq. (11) with Eq. (2) exhibits the duality of the 2CK m odel in the weak and strong coupling limits via the identi cation

$$\frac{1}{_{0}J}$$
\$ $_{0}J$: (12)

III. NRG TREATMENT AND RESULTS

For the num erical solution of the 2CK problem we developed an e cient NRG code, following W ilsons's original algorithm.³³ Since for the two-channel model the H ilbert space dim ension grows particularly fast with the number N of NRG iterations, i.e. as 16^N , the use of conservation laws is essentual to reduce the H am iltonian to block structure. The M -channel spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ K ondo m odel has a full sym m etry group of SU (2) spin Sp(M), where Sp(M) is the symplectic group.³⁴ In the two-channel case (M = 2), the only decompositions into invariant subgroups of Sp (2) are (i) SU (2) U (1), corresponding to channeland charge conservation, and (ii) SU (2) SU (2), corresponding to a separate axial charge conservation, used in the work of Pang and Cox.³⁵ In our im plem entation of the NRG for the 2CK model, we have chosen to use the decomposition (i), where we use the charge Q, z-component of the total (K ondo) spin S_{tot}^{z} and the z-component of the channel spin as labels for the many particle states only, corresponding to the following con-



FIG.1: (Color online) Lowest energy levels of the isotropic 2CK m odel as a function of the number of NRG iterations N (N even) for di erent initial couplings J and = 4 with 900 states kept at each iteration. As a guide to the eye, the levels obtained in the di erent NRG iterations N are connected by straight lines. Independently of initial weak-coupling (J = 0.2), interm ediate coupling (J = 0.7) or strong-coupling (J = 20) strengths, the same xed point spectrum is reached. For odd num er of iterations N a non-equidistant xed point spectrum is obtained as well (not shown).

served operators,

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{X^{i}}{\prod_{n=0; \ i}} f_{n}^{y} f_{n} \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\hat{S}_{tot}^{z} = \frac{X^{i}}{\prod_{n=0; \ i}} f_{n}^{y} f_{n} + S^{z}$$

$$\hat{S}_{ch}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{i}}{\prod_{n=0; \ i}} f_{n}^{y} f_{n} :$$

Thus we exploit only the U (1) subgroups of the full SU (2) spin and channel symmetries, respectively. A ccordingly, our code e ectively uses a U (1) U (1) U (1) symmetry. This turned out to be an optimal compromize between computatinal e ciency and program ming clarity. The H am iltonians are diagonalized in each irreducible subspace $D; S_{tot}^z; S_{ch}^z$ i and about 900 states were su cient to be retained at each NRG iteration. A fler each NRG iteration the H am iltonian is rescaled by the parameter , > 1.³³ The correct convergence of the NRG procedure was checked by comparing the results obtained with two di erent -values, = 3 and = 4. It yielded excellent quantitative agreem ent, as seen below in Fig. 3.

We have solved the isotropic 2CK model for a wide range of bare spin couplings J in order to determ ine the J-dependence of T_K . Typical ow diagrams of the energy eigenvalues are shown in Fig. 1, exhibiting non-equidistant level spacings characteristic for the non-Ferm iliquid xed point.¹¹ The xed point coupling J is characterized by the fact that, when the inital coupling is



FIG.2: (Coloronline) Dependence of the K ondo temperature $T_{\rm K}$ on the bare coupling strength J (both in units of D), as determined by NRG for = 3 and = 4. The inset shows $T_{\rm K}$ on a logarithm ic scale.

J=J, the energy eigenvalues settle in m ediately (after 1 or 2 iterations) to their xed point values (red dashed curves in Fig. 1). It is thus identi ed as J=0.7D in agreem ent with Ref. 35. Follow ing standard procedures, the K ondo tem perature $T_{\rm K}$ can be determ ined as the energy scale either where the energy ow diagrams have an in ection point or where the rst excited energy level has reached its xed point value within, e.g., 10 percent. B oth de nitions of this crossover scale are equivalent up to a constant prefactor, as seen for the weak coupling region in Fig. 3. Since, how ever, in the strong coupling region, J>J, the com plexity of the level ow m akes it di cult to identify a single in ection point (see Fig. 1), we adopt the second de nition.

O ur results for the dependence of $T_{\rm K}$ on the bare K ondo coupling J are shown in Fig.2. It shows a strong peak at around J = 0:7D, as expected. The results for the two discretizations considered, = 3, = 4, show no signi cant di erences. The deviations in the interm ediate coupling regime around the peak maximum in Fig.2 arise from the di culty to determ ine the exact T $_{\rm K}$ when the crossover happens at the very beginning of the NRG iterations where the energy resolution is low. The behavior of $T_{\rm K}$ is exam ined over nearly three decades of J and extends over more than 10 decades in $T_{\rm K}$, as illustrated in the inset of Fig.2.

The J-dependence of T_K can be further analyzed by plotting it in Fig.3 versus the parameter (1=g+g). It shows the exponential behavior of T_K as a function of 1=J in the weak coupling lim it 1=J ! 1 and as a function of J in the strong coupling lim it 1=J ! 1, with logarithm ic corrections tow ands the interm ediate coupling regime, in agreement with Eqs.2 and 11. Note that the strong coupling and the weak coupling branches in Fig.3 are parallel to each other, i.e. the NRG quantitaively con rms the analytical value of the parameter = 30=46. The



FIG.3: (C olor online) The K ondo tem perature $T_{\rm K}$ is shown on a logarithm ic scale versus the param etcr $\left(\frac{1}{{}_0{\rm J}}+{}_0{\rm J}\right)$, = 30=46 2=3. The upperbranch of the curves corresponds to the weak coupling, the low er branch to the strong coupling regime. The results for $T_{\rm K}$ obtained from the \in ection point m ethod" (see text) in the weak coupling regime (J < J) are shown for com parison and di er only by a constant prefactor.

shift of the two branches can be traced back to the fact the strong coupling Anderson in purity model, Eq. (A A), produces higher-order logarithm ic corrections which are di erent from those of the weak coupling model, Eq. (1) and which are, thus, not included in the elective lowenergy 2CK model, Eq. (10).

IV. CONCLUSION

The two-channel K ondo m odel exhibits for low energies a duality between the regions of weak and strong bare K ondo coupling J. This results from the fact that in both limits, J! 0 and J! 1 the ground state is doubly degenerate. W hile for J ! 0 it is the decoupled impurity spin doublet, for J ! 1 it is a doubly degenerate quantum frustrated 3-body state, com prised of the impurity spin and the conduction electron spins located at the impurity site in each of the two channels. W e have shown that, hence, the complete strong coupling behavior can be obtained from the solution in the weak coupling regime via the identi cation of the dimensionless coupling, g ! 1=g, where = 30=462=3. These results have been con med quantitatively by the exact num erical renorm alization group solution of the problem .

As a result, the dependence of the K ondo tem perature T_K on the J is strongly peaked at the two-channel K ondo xed point coupling, J=J 0:7, and decays exponentially both for smalland for large couplings. The maximum is of the order of the band cuto , T_K (J) D, with non-Ferm i liquid behavior for all energies below T_K .

W e conjecture that this could be the reason why in experimental conductance anom alies of nanoconstrictions with two-channel K ondo signatures^{17,18,21} no broad distribution of T_K is observed: The band cuto and hence $T_{\rm K}$ (J) in two-channel K ondo systems can be provided by a decoherence scale of the order of a few Kelvin.¹² This would mean that, even if there is a broad distribution of bare couplings, only for those couplings su ciently close to J the non-Ferm i liquid behavior would extend up to su ciently high energies to be observable. However, more detailed calculations as well as a detailed microscopic model for the two-channel K ondo defects will be required to substantiate this conjecture.

A cknow ledgm ents

We would like to thank R.Bulla, F.B.Anders and T.A.Costi for fruitful discussions concerning the NRG. This research is supported by the DFG through the Collaborative Research Center SFB 608 and by grant No. KR1726/1.

APPEND IX : DETA ILS ON THE DUALITY ANALYSIS

Destruction of an electron from the 3-particle com pound ground states (Eqs. 4), (5) in channel = 1 generates the (unnorm alized) states,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} c_{0} & & & \\ c_{0} & & & \\ c_{0} & & & \\ i & & \\ c_{0} & & \\ c_{0} & & \\ i & & \\ c_{0} & & \\ c_{$$

which can be expressed in term s of the strong coupling singlet/triplet eigenstates Eqs. (6)-(9) as indicated. We de ne bosonic creation operators for the latter states,

$$j_{sm} i = B_{sm}^{Y} jvaci;$$
 (A.2)

which transform with respect to the channel SU (2) group according to the adjoint representation, i.e. = Together with the ferm ionic operators of Eqs. (4), (5) they satisfy the constraint

...

$$\hat{Q} = {}^{X} F^{y}F + {}^{X} B_{sm}^{y} B_{sm} = 1;$$
 (A.3)

an expression of the uniqueness of the strong coupling basis states. In the strong coupling basis, using Eq. (A.1) the 2CK Ham iltonian (1) then takes form of a generalized two-channel Anderson impurity model in one dimension,

$$H = t \qquad \begin{array}{c} X & X \\ H = t \\ \begin{array}{c} x & X \\ r_{i}^{y} & c_{j} \\ r_{j}^{y} & J \end{array} F^{y}F \qquad \begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{4}J \\ \frac{3}{4}J \\ B^{y}_{00} \\ B_{00} \\ B_{00} \\ B_{00} \\ r_{m} \\ r_$$

where V = 2t plays the role of the band-in purity hybridization and the factor 2 arises from the fact that there is hopping from the impurity site 0 to the two sites i = 1. By means of a Schrie er-W ol transform ation³² this Ham iltonian maps for energies ! J onto the e ective 2CK modelEq. (10), where potential scattering terms have been neglected. Since only the intermediate (bosonic) states with m = 0 contribute to an elective K ondo spin ip

(i.e. only products of the 1st and the 4th term and of the 3rd and the 6th term of the hybridization part in Eq. (A.4)), the e ective spin ip coupling, as de ned through Eq. (10) reads,

$$J = 2\frac{4t^2}{J} - \frac{\frac{3}{4}}{1 - \frac{3}{4}} + \frac{\frac{1}{12}}{1 + \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{J}\frac{(4t)^2}{J};$$

where = 30=46 2=3.

- ¹ J.K ondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 32, 37 (1964).
- ² P.Nozieres and A.Blandin, Journal de Physique (Paris) 41, 193 (1980).
- ³ A.C. Hewson, The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- ⁴ N.Andreiand C.Destri, Phys.Rev.Lett. 52, 364 (1984).
- ⁵ A.M.Tsvelick and P.B.W iegm ann, Z.Phys.B 54, 201 (1984).
- ⁶ P.Coleman, L.B. Io e, and A.M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B 52, 6611 (1995).
- ⁷ I.A eck and A.W.W.Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. B 352, 849 (1991).
- ⁸ A.W.W.Ludwig and I.A eck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3160 (1991).
- ⁹ I.A eck and A.W.W.Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7297 (1993).
- ¹⁰ J.L.Black, K.V ladar, and A.Zaw adow ski, Phys. Rev.B 26, 1559 (1982).
- ¹¹ D.L.Cox and A.Zawadowski, Adv.Phys.47, 599 (1998).
- ¹² I.L.Aleiner, B.L.Altshuler, Y.M.Galperin, and T.A. Shutenko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2629 (2001).
- ¹³ G.Zarand, Phys. Rev. B 72, 245103 (2005).
- ¹⁴ C.L.Seam an et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67, 2882 (1991).
- ¹⁵ D.L.Cox, Phys.Rev.Lett. 59, 1240 (1987).
- ¹⁶ T.Cichorek et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 236603 (2005).
- ¹⁷ D.C.Ralph and R.A.Buhman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2118 (1992).
- ¹⁸ D.C.Ralph and R.A.Buhm an, Phys.Rev.B 51, 3554 (1995).

- ¹⁹ R.J.P.K eijers, O.I.Shklyarevskii, and H.van Kempen, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 3411 (1996).
- ²⁰ J.A.Gupta, C.P.Lutz, A.J.Heinrich, and D.M.Eigler, Phys.Rev.B 71, 115416 (2005).
- ²¹ J.v.Delft et al, Ann.Phys.263,1 (1998).
- ²² D.C.Ralph, A.W.W.Ludwig, J.v.Delft, and R.A. Buhrm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1064 (1994).
- ²³ M.H.Hettler, J.K roha, and S.Hersh eld, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 1967 (1994).
- ²⁴ N. S. W ingreen, B. L. Altshuler, and Y. Meir, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75,769 (1995).
- ²⁵ D.C.Ralph, A.W.W.Ludwig, J.v.Delft, and R.A. Buhrm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 770 (1995).
- ²⁶ V.I.Kozub and A.M.Rudin, Phys. Rev. B 55, 259 (1997).
- ²⁷ R.M. Potok, I.G. Rau, H. Shtrikman, Y.O reg, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon, cond-m at/0610721.
- ²⁸ Y.O reg and D.G oldhaber-G ordon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 136602 (2003).
- ²⁹ I.K. Yanson et al, Phys. Rev. Lett 74, 302 (1995).
- ³⁰ G.Zarand and L.U dvardi, Phys. Rev. B 54, 7606 (1996).
- ³¹ S.Florens, Phys. Rev. B 69, 113103 (2004).
- ³² J.R.Schnie er and P.A.Wol, Phys.Rev. 149, 491 (1966).
- ³³ K.G.W ilson, Rev. M od. Phys. 47, 773 (1975).
- ³⁴ I.A eck, A.W.W.Ludwig, H.B.Pang, and D.L.Cox, Phys.Rev.B 45, 7918 (1992).
- ³⁵ H.B.Pang and D.L.Cox, Phys.Rev.B 44, 9454 (1991).