Two years later { lessons from vortex dynamics in supermedia

P.Ao

Departments of Mechanical Engineering and Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA (Dated: October 24, 2006)

A bstract

Vortex dynam ics in supermedia has been one of most intriguing elds in physics. It is therefore not surprising that it had troubled great physicists such as L. Landau. 50 years after its conception, in 1990's the consistent fundamental vortex dynamics equation emerged after long and serious e ort by a group of researchers associated with Seattle. Nevertheless, this fundamental equation has been met with resistance. Two years ago the present author analyzed this situation (cond-mat/0407007). Five "mistakes" were identified to explain this resistance. Given the current tremendous interest in vortex dynamics, it would be desirable to provide a progress report: A survey of literature reveals that 3 out 5 "mistakes" has in fact been con med by other researchers, not a bad appreciation.

I. INTRODUCTION

To understand the resistance in the acceptance of the fundamental equation of vortex dynamics in supermedia, ve "mistakes" were identied two years ago. They are:

- 1) M isuse of the relaxation time approximation;
- 2) Mixing with another e ect;
- 3) Double counting the same topologicale ect;
- 4) No extra Berry phase contribution at vortex core; and
- 5) Hall anomaly and vortex many body e ect.

For background m aterial, the fundam ental contributions went back to B ardeen and Stephen [1] and to Nozieres and V inen [2]. The rst direct m easurem ent of the transverse force on moving vortices in superconductors was reported in 1997 in Sweden [3]. More background m aterials can be found in cond-m at [4, 5, 6].

It should be kept in m ind here that we are talking about the "m istakes" made by a very capable group of theoretical physicists. Normally it is already rare to make one such "m istake" by any of them. Instead, ve have been identified collectively. To some, it would be possible that there could exist m istake(s) in the identification process. Thus, it is reasonable to ask how it goes after two years.

A survey of recent literature has shown that till now three such m istakes have been actually con med during past two years by other researchers. Given the amount of intellectual e ort, this is a rather impressive number: 3 out 5, already a majority. In the following we discuss them one by one.

II. M ISU SE OF THE RELAXATION TIME APPROXIMATION

The most subtle inconsistency is the relaxation time approximation employed by Kopnin and his co-workers (Kopnin and Kratsov, JETP Lett., 1976; Kopnin and Lopatin, Phys. Rev. B, 1995; van Otterlo, Feigelman, Geshkenbein, Blatter, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1995, see the discussions and references in Ref's. [5, 6]. This type of mistakes frequently occurs in the force-balance type calculation of transport coecients, already noticed at least by Green in the 1940's and extensively and repeatedly discussed by R. Kubo. Unfortunately, as R. Kubo remarked in his coauthored famous book on statistical physics, in the literature such error

repeatedly appears in di erent disquises.

Expounding a statement in Thouless, Ao, and Niu [7], it was explicitly formulated that vortex friction can be obtained without such relaxation time approximation [8]. The Bardeen-Stephen case with disorder for swave superconductors was then explicitly veried within this formulation [8]. There is no in uence on Magnus force, expected from Anderson's dirty superconductor theorem and the topology (Berry phase, spectral ow, chiral symmetry, etc).

Now, this formulation [8] has been further extended to the d-wave superconductors [9]. From the point of vortex core contribution to vortex friction, this is the rst nontrivial result in more than 40 years since Bardeen-Stephen [1].

III. M IX ING W ITH ANOTHER EFFECT

The second type of mistake is very interesting. It concerns the contribution of "phonons" (Sonin, Soviet Phys. JETP, 1976; Phys. Rev. B, 1997, see the discussions and references in Ref's. [5, 6]).

The problem is clear: At zero temperature according to Sonin there should not exist any e ect. A coording to a group of researchers loosely associated with Seattle, there should be an dissipative e ect at zero temperature: Zero for constant vortex velocity but nite when vortex accelerating [10, 11, 12]. This is a non-conventional damping, corresponding to the non-ohmic damping in the quantum dissipative dynamics studied by Leggett.

Now, such e ect has been suggested to be responsible to account for the dissipation puzzle in quantum turbulence at zero temperature [13], closely resembles to the process discussed by Vinen [14].

IV. HALL ANOMALY AND VORTEX MANY BODY EFFECT

This is the so-called Hall anomaly: How could the large and universal transverse force (Magnus force) lead to a small Hall angle, and, sometime to a sign change? The ingredients to explain the Hallanomaly are actually already in plied in the works of Dirac and Abrikosov.

Let us stand one step away from vortex dynamics and consider the Halle ect in semiconductors. The transverse force there, the Lorentz force, on a moving electron is universal. There exist such extremely rich Hall phenomena in semiconductors: small Hall angle, sign change, Quantum Halle ect, etc. It would be puzzling that how could a universal Lorentz force generate such a complexity. Fortunately, this puzzle has long been solved: The competition between electron many body e ect (Coulomb interaction, Ferm i-D irac statistics) and pinning (lattice, impurities, etc). The key to solve the puzzle is logically parallel to D irac's idea of a void in a led Ferm i sea: the existence of holes in a led energy band.

The ubiquitous existence of the Abrikosov lattice, the starting point of theoretical considerations in the mixed state of superconductors, already loudly suggests that one must consider vortex many body e ect. Following this suggestion, it was argued in 1995 that the competition between vortex many body interaction and pinning can explain the Hall anomaly in the mixed state [15]. It may be further pointed out that the vortex many body e ect-pinning model appears consistent with all major experimental observations on Hall anomaly [16]. The earlier theories by Kopnin and his associates/collaborators on Hall anomaly based on independent vortex dynamics model have been shown to be wrong both mathematically [17] and physically [18].

Because of those critiques on their work, Kopnin and Vinokur later published a paper [19] completely embraced the idea of vortex many body plus pinning for Hallanomaly. The interesting thing is that they completely "forgot" the relevant prior theoretical work. It may be instructive to compare the abstract of Ao, 1998 [16]:

Physical arguments are presented to show that the Hall anomaly is an elect of the vortex many-body correlation rather than that of an individual vortex. Quantitatively, the characteristic energy scale in the problem, the vortex vacancy formation energy, is obtained for thin lms. At low temperatures a scaling relation between the Hall and longitudinal resistivities is found, with the power depending on sample details. Near the superconducting transition temperature and for smallmagnetic elds the Hall conductivity is found to be proportional to the inverse of the magnetic eld and to the quadratic of the difference between the measured and the transition temperatures.

to the abstract of Kopnin and Vinokur, 1999 [19]:

We demonstrate that pinning strongly renormalizes both longitudinal and Hall resistivity in the ux ow regime. Using a simple model for the pinning potential we show that the magnitude of the vortex contribution to the Hall voltage decreases with increase in the pinning strength. The Hall resistivity rho(xy) scales as rho(xx)(2) only for a weak pinning. On the

contrary, a strong pinning breaks the scaling relation and can even result in a sign reversal of rho(xy).

The readers are advised to read the full original articles in the span of 10 years. It is clear that once the correct physical understanding has been reached, there exists numerical other mathematical approaches.

During past two years, explicit and controllable experiment was designed to test the idea of vortex many body e ect for Hall anomaly. The experimental results were surprisingly consistent with theoretical predictions [20].

We also witness further development of theory based on this vortex many-body e ect plus pinning for Hall anomaly from the competing research group [21].

Because same results have been repeatedly obtained by competing groups it may be concluded that the Hall anomaly is now solved at least conceptually. This is not an easy achievement given its vortex-like history bothering at least two generations of condensed matter physicists.

V. FURTHER PROGRESS

Though 3 out of 5 have been con med by other researchers, it is still possible in the remaining 2 "m istakes" the present author identied could be mistakes in them selves. Because nobody can prediction the future, we have to wait for the further progresses on vortex dynamics. The only thing we can hope is that this would be too long. In the same time, it is reasonable to expect that the three mistakes already identied will continue to be identied in one form or another by more researchers.

The reference list here is incomplete. A balance reference list was attempted in [6]. Any suggestion on interesting references will be appreciated.

^[1] J. Bardeen and M. J. Stephen, Theory of the motion of vortices in superconductors, Phys. Rev. 140, 1197A (1965).

- [2] P.Nozieres and J.Vinen, The motion of ux lines in type II superconductors, Phil.Mag. 14, 667 (1966).
- [3] X.M. Zhu, E.B randstrom, and B. Sundqvist, O bservation of the transverse force on moving vortices in YBCO lms, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 122 (1997).
- [4] P.Ao, Yes, 60 years later we are still working hard on vortices (eprint: cond-m at/0311495). http://xxx.lanlgov/PS cache/cond-m at/pdf/0311/0311495.pdf
- [5] P. Ao, Lessons from vortex dynamics in super media (eprint: cond-mat/0407007) http://xxx.lanlgov/PS cache/cond-mat/pdf/0407/0407007.pdf
- [6] P. Ao, Snapshots on Vortex Dynamics (eprint: cond-mat/0504222)

 http://xxx.lanlgov/PS cache/cond-mat/pdf/0504/0504222.pdf
- [7] D.J. Thouless, P. Ao, and Q. Niu, Transverse force on a quantized vortex in a super uid, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3758 (1996).
- [8] P.Ao and X.M. Zhu, Microscopic theory of vortex dynamics in homogeneous superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 60, 6850 (1999).
- [9] P.N ikolic and S.Sachdev, E ective action for vortex dynamics in clean d-wave superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 73, 134511 (2006).
- [10] Q. Niu, P. Ao, and D. J. Thouless, From Feynman's wavefunction to the elective theory of vortex dynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1706 (1994).
- [11] E.Dem ircan, P.Ao, and Q.Niu, Vortex dynamics in super uids: Cyclotron-type motion, Phys.Rev.B 54, 10027 (1996).
- [12] E. Lundh and P.Ao, Hydrodynam ic approach to vortex lifetimes in trapped Bose condensates, Phys. Rev. A 61, 063612 (2000).
- [13] C.F. Barenghi, N.G. Parker, N.P. Proukakis, and C.S. Adams, Decay of quantised vorticity by sound emission, J. Low Temp. Phys. 138 629 (2005).
- [14] W F.V inen, How is turbulent energy dissipated in a super uid? J.Phys.Connd.Matt.17, S3231 (2005).
- [15] P.Ao, A scenario to the anomalous Halle ect in the mixed state of superconductors. J. Supercond. 8, 503 (1995).
- [16] P.Ao, Motion of vacancies in a pinned vortex lattice: origin of the Hall anomaly, J. Phys. Cond. Matt. 10, L677 (1998).
- [17] P.Ao, Invalidity of classes of approxim ate Halle ect calculations, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, 5025

(1998).

- [18] P.Ao, Origin of Hallanomaly in the mixed state, Phys. Rev. Lett.. 82, 2413 (1999)
- [19] N.B.Kopnin and V.M.Vinokur, E. ects of pinning on the ux ow Hall resistivity, Phys.Rev. Lett. 83, 4864 (1999).
- [20] L. Ghenim, J.Y. Fortin, G.H. Wen, X.X. Zhang, C. Baraduc, and J.C. Villegier, Transport and vortex pinning in micron-size superconducting Nb lms, Phys. Rev. B 69, 064513 (2004).
- [21] V A. Shklovskij, New Hall resistivity scaling relations in the presence of competition between point-like and anisotropic planar pinning potential, J. Low Temp. Phys. 139, 289 (2005).