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#### Abstract

W e discuss dynam ics of approxim ate adiabatic invariants in severalnonlinearm odels being related to physics of B ose Einstein condensates (BEC). W e show that nonadiabatic dynam ics in Feshbach resonance passage, nonlinear Landau-Zener ( $\mathrm{N} L Z$ ) tunnelling, and BEC tunnelling oscillations in a double-w ell can be considered w ithin a unifying approach based on the theory of separatrix crossings. The separatrix crossing theory was applied previously to som e problem $s$ of classical m echanics, plasm a physics and hydrodynam ics, but has not been used in the rapidly grow ing BEC-related eld yet. W e derive explicit form ulas for the change in the action in severalm odels. E xtensive num erical calculations support the theory and dem onstrate its universal character. W e also discovered a qualitatively new nonlinear phenom enon in a N LZ m odelw hich we propose to callseparated adiabatic tunnelling (AT).


## I. IN TRODUCTION

A diabatic invariance [1] is very im portant in $m$ any elds of physics. In the last decade, there has been a great deal of interest in physics of Bose E instein condensates [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] am ong scientists from several scienti c elds. P resently BEC research is at the crossing point ofAM O science, statisticalm echanics and condensed $m$ atter physics, nonlinear dynam ics and chaos. The discussion we present here is related to intenplay betw een nonlinearity and nonadiabaticity in BEC system s. The relation between quantum transitions and change in the classical action of a ham onic oscillator has long been know $n$ [8, []]. B EC bring nonlinearity into a quantum world. BEC dynam ics can often be described w thin the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation; nite-m ode expansions produce nonlinear $m$ odels where a variety of phenom ena com $m$ on to classical nonlinear system $s$ happen. W e consider two kinds of nonlinear phenom ena here: destruction of adiabatic invariance at separatrix crossings and probabilistic captures in di erent dom ains of phase space.

A conceptual phenom enon of classical adiabatic theory is destruction of adiabatic invariance at separatrix crossings which is encountered, in particular, in plasm a physics and hydrodynam ics, classical and celestial me chanics [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. $T$ he phenom enon is very im portant for BEC physics: we consider here nonlinear tw o-m ode $m$ odels related to tunnelling betw een coupled BEC in a double well [23], nonlinear Landau-Zener tunnelling [24, 25], Feshbach resonance passage in atom m olecule system s [26, 27, 28]. N onlinear tw o-m odem odels w ere extensively studied previously (som etim es beyond the $m$ ean- eld approxim a-
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tion, $23,24,25,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38$, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44]), and destruction of adiabaticity was discussed already in [24, 25, 26], still there are regim es of $m$ otion that were not analyzed in these papers from the point of view of nonadiabatic behaviour, that is, when initial populations of both $m$ odes are not zero (or very small), but nite. W e presented som e of our results on that theme in [27, 28]; nevertheless destruction of adiabatic invariance has not been studied system atically in BEC-related m odels yet. A ction is an approxim ate adiabatic invariant in a classical H am iltonian system that depends on a slow ly varying param eter provided a phase tra jectory stays aw ay from separatrioes of the unperturbed (frozen at a certain param eter value) system. If this condition is not $m$ et, adiabaticity $m$ ay be destroyed. As the param eter varies, the separatrioes slow ly evolve on the phase portrait. A phase trajectory of the exact system $m$ ay com e close to the separatrix and cross it. The general theory of the adiabatic separatrix crossings [10] predicts universal behavior of the classical action (described in a greater detail in the m ain text). In particular, at the separatrix crossing the action undergoes a quasi-random dynam ical jump, which is very sensitive to initial conditions and depends on the rate of change of the param eter. The asym ptotic formula for this jum p was obtained in [11, 12, 13]. Later, the general theory of adiabatic separatrix crossings was also developed for slow -fast H am iltonian system s [10, 17], and was applied to certain physical problem s (see, for exam ple, [16, 18, 19, 20]). It was also noticed that nonlinear Landau-Zener ( $\mathrm{N} L \mathrm{Z}$ ) tunnelling $m$ odels constitute a particular case for which the general theory can be applied [27,28]. B eside the quasi-random jum ps of adiabatic invariants, there is another im portantm echanism of stochastization in BEC -related m odels: scattering on an unstable xed point $w$ ith a capture into di erent regions of phase space after a separatrix crossing [45, 46, 47]. H ere stochastization happens due to quasi-random splitting of phase ow in di erent regions of phase space at
the crossing. Rigorous de nition of such probabilistic phenom ena in dynam ical system swas given in [48]. T he probabilistic capture is im portant in problem s of celestialm echanics [10], but it was also investigated in som e problem sofplasm a physics and hydrodynam ics [16], optics [18], classical billiards $w$ ith slow ly changing param eters and other classicalm odels [19]. A s show $n$ in [47], the combination of the two phenom ena leads to dephasing in dynam ics of globally coupled oscillators m odelling coupled Josephson junctions. H ow ever, it seem s that the probabilistic capture $m$ echanism was not discussed at all in relation to BEC m odels yet. $W$ e discovered that in a nonlinear Landau-Zenerm odelsuch $m$ echanism $m$ ay take place, and it leads to a new phenom enon (in the context of the $m$ odel) that we propose to call separated adiabatic tunnelling.

Let us review the models being considered in the present paper in m ore concrete term s . The nonlinear tw o-m ode $m$ odel introduced in [23] describes BEC tunnelling oscillations in a double-w ell as that of a non-rigid pendulum. In the case of an asym $m$ etric double-w ell, the e ective classical H am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=w+\frac{w^{2}}{2} \quad p \overline{1} w^{2} \cos ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherew ; are the population im balance and phase di erence between the two modes, param eters and represent potential di erence betw een the wells and nonlinearity, correspondingly. The sam e H am iltonian appears in a nonlinear Landau-Zener $m$ odel [24, 25]. A s one slow ly sweeps , say, from a large positive to a large negative value, a change in the m ode populations is determ ined by the change in the classicalaction (since at large j jclassicalaction depends linearly on w ). This provides interesting link betw een fundam entalissue ofclassicalm echanics, dynam ics of approxim ate adiabatic invariants (classical actions), and nonadiabatic transitions in quantum $m$ anybody system s. The dynam ics of classical actions in nonlinear system $s$ is, how ever, a very com plicated issue [10]. Som e analysis of the N LZ m odelw as done in [24, 25]. In [25] so-called subcritical ( < 1), critical ( = 1), and supercritical ( > 1) cases were de ned. H ow ever, only the case of zero initial action w as considered, that is a vanishingly sm all initial population in one of the states. W e concentrate on the case of nite initialaction, and supercritical case. In the supercritical case, the m ost striking phenom enon from the point of view of physics is the so-called nonzero adiabatic tunnelling (nonzero AT). In term s of the theory of separatrix crossings, it is caused by the geom etric jum $p$ in the action at the separatrix crossing. $M$ athem atically, it is a very sim ple issue: as a phase point leaves a dom ain bounded by a separatrix of the unperturbed system and enters another dom ain, its action undergoes a "geom etric" change proportional to the difference in areas of the tw o dom ains [49]. T he gist of separated adiabatic tunnelling (seoarated AT ) that we found is as follow s. T he separatrix divides the phase portrait on 3 dom ains $\mathrm{G}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ ( F ig. (9)). In case at the m om ent
of separatrix crossing areas of 1;2 $^{2}$ grow , the phase point leaving the third dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ (w ith decreasing area) can be captured in either of the tw o grow ing dom ains. Bunch of tra jectories w ith close initial actions $I_{i} w i l l$ be "splitted" on two bunches $w$ ith two di erent nalactions $I_{f}^{1 ; 2}$. It is possible to calculate probabillity for a phase point to com e to either of the two bunches (we calculated it in A ppendix B and com pared analytical prediction $w$ ith num erical result in F ig. 11). P ossible physical applications of nonzero AT phenom enon has been extensively discussed (for exam ple, 24, 25]: w avepacket in an accelerated optical lattice should undergo nonzero tunnelling in adiabatic lim it when nonlinearity is large enough, although no experim ental evidence is available yet). In relation to BEC oscillations in asym $m$ etric double-w ell, corresponding physicale ect is (obvious) drastic change in the am plitude of oscillations when regim e ofm otion is changed from selftrapped to com plete oscillations due to slow change of param eters. In the case of separated AT, the e ect for the asym $m$ etric wellm ay look like this: the asym $m$ etry betw een the wells is slow ly changed; regim e of $m$ otion is changed from self-trapped to com plete oscillations and then back to self-trapped. But nal state is "splitted": a system has "choice" of two di erent nal states. For a set of experim ental realizations with close initial conditions, one can de ne a "probability" for a system to come to either of the two states. W hile such experim ental realization seem $s$ to be even less realistic than nonzero AT, conceptually it is a very interesting phenom enon worth discussing: the "probabillity" is of purely classical origin. A nalogous interpretation can be done for BEC experiencing NLZ tunnelling in optical lattice. A though the phenom enon looks sim ilar to the nonzero AT described in [24, 25], its $m$ athem aticalbackground is very $m$ uch di erent and not so straightforw ard; it is a particular case of probabilistic phenom ena in dynam ical system s de ned in [48].

We also derive a formula for the jump of the adiabatic invariant (Eq. (23)) in the sym $m$ etric well case ( $=0$ ) and check it num erically (for the asym $m$ etric case, the corresponding form ula has both term s of order
and $\ln$ ). As physical application of this jum $p$, one can im agine an experim ent $w$ ith BEC oscillations in a double-w ell, w ith the potential barrier betw een the wells being slow ly raised and then slow ly decreased back to its initial position. The system will not retum back to its initial state. $W$ ithin the $m$ ean-eld two-state $m$ odel, the di erence between the initial and nal oscillations is caused by the change in the adiabatic invariant (of course, in a real system m any other com plications arise). Such kind of experim ents are feasible [50, 51].

Sim ilar nonadiabatic phenom ena arise in coupled atom $m$ olecular system $s$. H ere, in the $m$ ean- eld lim it it is possible to construct tw o-m ode $m$ odels based on the all-atom and all-m olecule $m$ odes, and their coherent supenpositions. The tw o-m ode m odeldescribing a degenerate gas of ferm ionic atom s coupled to bosonic m olecules w as considered in [26, 27, 28] (the sam e m odel enables


FIG. 1: Phase portraits of the H am iltonian (4) w ith $=0$. From left to right: $=10{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} ; 1 ; 0 ; 1 ;{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2} ; 5$; 50 . Stars (bold dots) : unstable (stable) xed points. See detailed discussion in 27, 28]
to describe coupled atom ic and molecular BECs, so we call it 2 m ode AM BEC model). T he system is reduced to the classical $H$ am iltonian

$$
H=\quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { H } \tag{2}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{w}} \overline{1+\mathrm{w}} \cos ;
$$

where w denote population im balance betw een atom ic and $m$ olecular $m$ odes, and is the (slow ly changing) detuning from the Feshbach resonance. As sw eeps from large positive to negative values, the system is transferred from the all-atom $\mathrm{w}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ ode to the all-m oleculew $=1$ m ode. The nal state of the system contains the nonzero rem nant fraction, which can be calculated as change in the classical action in the $m$ odel (2), and scales as a pow er-law of the sweeping rate. The $m$ odel was introduced in [26] in the attem pt to describe recent experim ents on Feshbach resonance passage [54, 55, 56, 57], and som e pow er law sw ere calculated there and com pared w ith experim ental data. For the case of nonzero initial m olecular fraction, the power-law w as also calculated in [27, 28] according to the general theory. We carefiully check num erically this (linear) pow er law in Section IIB. It is im portant to note that the $m$ odel give 100\% conversion e ciency in the adiabatic lim it, while in the experim ents nite conversion e ciency has been seen. In Section IIC we present brief analysis of a m ore general model, which have an analog of nonzero AT (leading to nite conversion e ciency in the adiabatic lim it). In the $m$ ore general version, $s-w$ ave interactions w ere taken into account, so the H am iltonian looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\quad w+w^{2}+(1 \quad w)^{p} \overline{1+w} \cos \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the phase portraits can have $m$ ore com plicated structure, and the passage through the separatrix can be
accom panied by the geom etric jum $p$ in the action, leading to a non-zero rem nant fraction even in the adiabatic lim it.

In Section III, the nonlinear tw ofm ode $m$ odel (1) for two coupled BECs is considered. For brevity, we call this model 2-mode atom ic BEC (ABEC) model. The separated AT is dem onstrated in the end of the Section.

Section IV contains concluding rem arks. In the Appendix A we described the adiabatic and im proved adiabatic approxim ations. In the A ppendix B, derivation of form ula for probabilities in separated AT is presented.

Them ost interesting new results of the paper are:

1) E xtensive num ericaltests of the form ula (12) for the dynam ical jump in the adiabatic invariant of the atom $m$ olecule system (Section IIb). The form ula is based on Eq.(10) being obtained elsew here [27, 28].
2) Suggested mechanism (analog of nonzero AT, Section IIc, Fig. 2b leading to nite conversion e ciency in atom m olecule system $s$ due to a geom etric jum $p$ in the action.
3) A nalyticalderivation of the explicit expression (23) for the jum $p$ of adiabatic invariant of the symmetric ABEC model and its num erical test (Section IIIb, Fig (10).
4) D iscovery ofnew phenom enon in nonlinear LandauZener model: separated AT. A nalytical calculation of probabilities related to this tunnelling (B 32-34) and its num erical test (Section IIIc, Fig. 11).

Them ain result is dem onstration of usefiulness of separatrix crossing theory in a variety of B E C -related $m$ odels.

In order to keep the paper com pact, we do not present here com parison $w$ th quantum $m$ any-body calculations, but consider only $m$ ean- eld $m$ odels.


FIG.2: Phase portraits of the H am iltonian (4) w th $<0(=0: 5)$. From upper left to bottom right (a-1): $=5: 0 ; 1: 0 ; 0: 53 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 45 ; 0: 44 ; 0: 4 ; 0 ; 0: 5 ; 2: 2 ; 5 ; 50$. In (c)-(f), the separatrix divide phase portraits on three dom ains $\mathrm{G}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ ( $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ is adjacent to the segm ent $\mathrm{w}=1, \mathrm{G}_{1}$ is adjacent to $\mathrm{w}=1, \mathrm{G}_{3}$ is the loop in between). Starting w ith small in itial action at w 1, a phase point undergoes a geom etric jum p in the action in addition to a dynam ical jum p . Th is leads to analog of nonzero AT and nite conversion e ciency in the adiabatic lim it.


F IG. 3: G raphical solution of the equation (9). The line
 points (provided $2<\operatorname{maxfy}^{0}(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{g}=1=\overline{2}$ ), one of the points corresponds to the unstable xed point on the phase portraits of $F$ igs.2c-2f, while the other to the stable elliptic point at $=$ in these $F$ igs. As decreases further, the unstable xed point $m$ oves to $w=1$.
II. NONLINEAR TW O-MODEMODELS FOR ATOM M OLECULAR SYSTEMS.
A. M odel equations and its physical origin; classical phase portraits

In BEC-related $m$ ean- eld $m$ odels nonlinearity usually com es from s-w ave interactions (via a scattering length entering the nonlinear term of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation [6]). H ow ever, interesting nonlinear $m$ odels arise in atom m olecular system s , where atom s can be converted to BEC ofm olecules. E ven neglecting collisions and corresponding $s$-w ave interactions, the nonlinearity com es into play from the fact that two atom $s$ are needed to form a molecule.

W e consider a H am iltonian system with the H am iltonian function

$$
H=\quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
w  \tag{4}\\
H
\end{array} w^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & w
\end{array}\right)^{p} \overline{1+w} \cos ;\right.
$$

where $=t$; 1. Severalsystem s can be described by the $m$ odel (4), in particular coupled atom ic and m olecular BEC [35], and a gas of ferm ionic atom s coupled to m olecular BEC [26, 27, 28]. Let us brie y discuss these system s .


FIG.4:The Bloch sphere corresponding to A BEC m odels and the generalized B loch sphere corresponding to AM BEC m odels (the surfaces $u^{2}+v^{2}=1 \quad w^{2}$ on the left and $u^{2}+v^{2}=\frac{1}{2}(w \quad 1)^{2}(w+1)$ on the right). At large detuning, near $w=1$, the area $w$ ith in a tra jectory on the generalized B loch sphere is proportionalto $u^{2}+v^{2} \quad(1 \quad w)^{2}=2$, while on B loch sphere the area is proportional to $u^{2}+v^{2} \quad 2(1 \quad w)=2$. N ote how ever that action variable in either case is proportional to $1 \quad w$. A ction is related to the area on the $H$ am iltonian phase portraits which is approxim ately equal to 1 w for the corresponding tra jectory, see [27,28].

In [35], a system of coupled atom ic and molecular condensates was considered using generalization of the B loch representation for the tw o-m ode system. Q uantum $\mathrm{H} a m$ iltonian of the system is $\hat{H}=\frac{a^{2}}{2} a+\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{b}+\right.$ $\left.b^{y} a a\right)$, where $a^{y}$ and $a$ are the creation and annihilation operators of the atom ic m ode, while $\mathrm{b}^{y}$ and b are the creation and annihilation operators of the m olecular $m$ ode. The two m odes are supposed to be coupled by m eans of a near resonant two-photon transition or a Feshbach resonance, w ith a coupling frequency and detuning. Introducing operators $\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{x}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}^{\frac{a^{y} a^{y} b+b^{y} a a}{N}} \mathrm{~N}^{3=2}$, $\hat{L}_{y}=\mathrm{P}^{2} \frac{a^{y} a^{y} b b^{y} a a}{i^{3}{ }^{3=2}}, L_{z}=\frac{2 b^{y} b a^{y} a}{N}$, Heisenberg equations of $m$ otion in the $m$ ean- eld lim it lead to the dynam ical system for the rescaled com ponents of generalized B loch vector: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{P}}=\quad \mathrm{S}_{-} ; \quad \mathrm{S}_{y}=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{4}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad 1\right)\left(3 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{z}}+\right.$ $1)+S_{x} \dot{p}_{\underline{j}} \underline{s}_{2}={ }^{2} S_{Y}$; where the rescaled detuning is
$==\left({ }^{\hat{N}}\right)$, while $s_{x ; y ; z}$ are the expectation values of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{xiy} ; \mathrm{z}}$ ( $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{z}}=1$ corresponds to all-m oleculem ode; N is the num ber of atom s). E xactly the sam e dynam ical system arises in the degenerate $m$ odel of ferm ionic atom $s$ coupled to BEC of diatom ic $m$ olecules [26]. Indeed, using a sim ilar approach in [26] an analogous system ofequations w as obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{u}=() v ; \\
& \underline{v}=p_{-} \quad() u+\frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{4}(w \quad 1)(3 w+1) ;  \tag{5}\\
& \underline{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{v} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $w$ is the population im balance between all-atom
and all-m olecule $m$ ode, $u$ and $v$ are real and im aginary parts of the atom $m$ olecule coherence, is the rescaled detuning from the resonance. These equations are equivalent to the $H$ am iltonian equations of $m$ otion of the H am iltonian system (4) w ith $=0$ [27]. The variable canonically conjugated to $w$ is related to the old variables as $=$ atan $(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{u})$. The all-atom m ode now corresponds to $\mathrm{w}=1$, while all-m olecule m ode to $\mathrm{w}=1$. Sweeping through Feshbach resonance from ferm ionic atom $s$ to bose $m$ olecules can be described by the H am iltonian (4) w th $=0$ and slow ly changing from large positive to large negative values. In both system $s$ (atom $-m$ olecule BEC and degenerate ferm ionic gas coupled to BEC of $m$ olecules) $m$ ean-eld collisional interactions w ere neglected so far. The case 0 in the H am iltonian (4) corresponds to inclusion of the s-w ave scattering interactions. Recently, in [43] a m ore general quantum Ham iltonian describing the coupling between atom ic and diatom ic-m olecular BECs w thin tw o-m ode approxim ation was considered:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H} & =\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}+\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}}+{ }_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}+ \\
{ }_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{b}} & +\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{aa}\right) ; \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a^{y}$ is the creation operator for an atom ic $m$ ode while $b^{y}$ creates a molecular mode; param eters $U_{i}$ describe S-w ave scattering: atom -atom $\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, atom $m$ olecule ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ ), and molecule-m olecule ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ). The param eters $i$ are extemal potentials and is amplitude for the interconvertions of atom $s$ and $m$ olecules. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are populations of the atom ic and m olecular m ode, correspondingly. In the lim it of large $N=N_{a}+2 N_{b}$, the
classical H am iltonian w as obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=z^{2}+2 z++2^{p} \overline{1} \quad z(1+z) \cos (4=N) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{r}
=\frac{p \overline{2 N}}{}\left(U_{a}=2 \quad U_{a b}=4+U_{b}=8\right) ; \\
=\frac{p \overline{2 N}}{\left(U_{a}=2 \quad U_{b}=8+\quad a=2 N \quad b=4 N\right) ;} \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

is phase di erence betw een the $m$ odes, $z$ is di erence in populations. It is not di cult to transform the H am iltonian (7) to the form (4) denoting $z=w$ and introducing a new time variable $t^{0}=4 t=N$ to get rid of the $4=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{multiplier} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{last} \mathrm{term} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{(7)}$. im portant for dynam ics. Therefore, the $H$ am iltonian (4) describes coupled atom ic $-m$ olecular BECs (w ith s-w ave interactions) in the $m$ ean-eld lim it. Sw eeping through Feshbach resonance can be $m$ odelled now by changing and keeping $x e d$ in the H am iltonian (4). Self-trapping phenom enon in the m odeldiscussed in [43] allow s to predict qualitatively new e ect, that is non-zero rem nant fraction in the adiabatic passage through the resonance; we do not present detailed quantitative analysis of the m odel in the present paper, but note that it m ay provide an altemative explanation of nite conversion e ciency at Feshbach resonance passage $w$ ithin $m$ ean- eld approxim ation. Sim ilar to approach of 26] m entioned above, $s$ wave interactions $w$ ith in $m$ olecular BEC can be included in the m odel of ferm ionic atom stoose $m$ olecules system via the sam e coe cient 0 in (4).

Phaseportraitsw ith $=0$ (C ase I) and di erent values of are given at F ig. [1]. P hase portraits w th som e constant < 0 (C ase II) and di erent values of are given at $F$ ig 2. The phase portraits for $C$ ase I w ere analyzed in detail in [27]. The dynam ics can also be visualized using variablesu;v;w of the system (5). T he latter system possesses an integralofm otion $u^{2}+v^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}(w \quad 1)^{2}(w+1)=0$ de ning the generalized Bloch sphere (see Fig.4). The im portant property of the generalized $B$ loch sphere is the singular (conical) point at $(0,0,1)$. A s described in [27], the points ( $0 ; 0 ; 1$ ) are represented by the segm ents $\mathrm{w}=1$ in the H am ittonian phase portraits. Nevertheless, it does not $m$ ean that all the points of the either segm ent are equivalent. A s described in [27, 28], saddle points appear on the segm ent $w=1$ at certain values of the param eter. This drastically in uence dynam ics in the vicinity of $w=1$. Let usbrie $y$ recall the description of the phase portraits previously given in [27].

If $>\overline{2}$, there is only one stable elliptic point on the phase portrait, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{t}}=0$ and w not far from 1 [see Figure 1a]. At $={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ a bifurcation takes place, and at $\mathrm{P} \frac{2}{2} \gg 0$ the phase portrait looks as show n in F igpre 1 c . T here are two saddle points at $\mathrm{w}=1 ; \cos =\overline{2}$ and a newbom elliptic point at $=$. The trajectory connecting these tw o saddles separates rotations and oscillating $m$ otions and we call it the separatrix of the




FIG.5: Tim e evolution of the adiabatic invariant (action) I and the im proved adiabatic invariant $J$ in the $m$ odel (4) w ith $=0$.
frozen system (what is m ost im portant is that the period ofm otion along this tra jectory is equal to in nity). At $=0$ on the phase portrait the segm ent $w=p_{-} 1$ belongs to the separatrix ( $F$ ig. 2 d ). At $0 \lll \overline{\mathrm{P}} \frac{1}{2}$ phase portrait looks as shown in $F$ ig. 2e. At $=P \overline{2}$ the bifurcation happens, and nally, at large positive values of , again there is only one elliptic stationary point at $=$, and $w$ close to 1 .

Let us introduce the action variable. C onsider a phase trajectory on a phase portrait frozen at a certain value of . If the tra jectory is closed, the area $S$ enclosed by it is connected $w$ ith the action $I$ of the system by a sim ple relation $S=2 \mathrm{I}$. If the trajectory is not closed, we de ne the action as follow s. If the area $S$ bounded by the tra jectory and lines $\mathrm{w}=1 ;=0 ;=2$ is smaller
than 2 , we still have $S=2$ I. If $S$ is larger than 2 ,we put $2 I=4 \quad S . D e$ ned in this way, $I$ is a continuous function of the coordinates.

H ow does the process of Feshbach resonance passage happen in term s of the classical portraits of $F$ ig 1 ? Suppose one starts $w$ th $w(0)=w_{0} \quad 1$, and ( 0 ) 1 (physically, it m eans that alm ost allpopulation is in the atom ic m ode, but there is sm all initial m olecular fraction). In the phase portrait of the unperturbed system the corresponding tra jectory looks like a straight line ( $F$ ig. 1a). The initial action of the system approxim ately equals to $1 \mathrm{w}_{0}$. For exam ple, assum e that the area $S \mathrm{w}$ thin the separatrix loop in F ig. 1c (corresponding to $==1$ ) is equal to $S=2 I_{0}=2\left(1 \quad w_{0}\right)$. When, as slow ly decreases, the trajectory on an unperturbed phase portrait corresponding to the exact instantaneousposition of the phase point fw ( $t$ ); ( $($ ) g slow ly deform $s$, but the area bounded by it rem ains approxim ately constant: action is the approxim ate adiabatic invariant far from the separatrix [10]. As tends to , the form of the trajectory tends to the form of the separatrix loop in $F$ ig. [1c. The phase point is forced to pass near the saddle point at the $w=1$ segm ent $m$ any tim es. Since the area $S w$ thin the separatrix loop slow ly grow s , approxim ately at the m o ment $=$ when ( ) = separatrix crossing occurs, and the phase point changes its regim e ofm otion from rotational to the oscillatory around the elliptic point inside the separatrix loop. Then, it follow s this elliptic point adiabatically (as no separatrix crossings occur anym ore). The elliptic point reaches $w=1$ at large positive . $T$ he value of the population imbalance tends to som e nal value $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{f}}$. T he action variable at large is approxim ately equalto $1+\mathrm{w} . \mathrm{W}$ e see that in the adiabatic lim it the sign of the population imbalance is reversed, $\mathrm{w}_{0}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{f}}$. N onadiabatic correction to this result arise due to the separatrix crossing and is discussed in detail in the next paragraph.

In the C ase II the phase portraits have richer structure (Fig. (2) . W ith $\in 0$, another saddle point can appear at $=$ provided $<c=\frac{1}{2^{3=2}}$. The appearance of this saddle point can be understood from the graphical solution of the equation (see also [43]) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{w}=\frac{3 \mathrm{w}+1}{2 \mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{w}+1}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is decreased, the line $y(w)=2 \mathrm{w} \quad$ goes up and crosses the curve determ ined by the rh.s of (9). Two points of intersection represent the saddle point (w hich m oves to $\mathrm{w}=1$ as is decreased further) and the elliptic xed point $w$ hich $m$ oves to $w=1$. A s the saddle point reaches the $w=1$ segm ent, another bifurcation occurs and the saddle point "splits" into the tw o saddle points (sim ilar to those in F ig[1], that m ove apart from $=$ along the segm ent $\mathrm{w}=1$ and disappear at $=0$.

In Section IIb the dynam ical change in the action in the case $=0$ is considered in detail, while Section IIc brie y discusses the case 0 (geom etric jump).
B. C ase I: negligible mean-eld interactions, $=0$ : D ynam ical change in the action at the separatrix crossing.

C onsider in a greater detail the passage through the separatrix in $F$ ig. 1 described in the previous subsection. At large positive , 1 w is proportional to classical action, while at large negative action is proportional to 1+ w (see also Fig. (4). In the adiabatic lim it, w reverses its sign due to passage through the resonance: the nal and intial values of $w$ are related as $w_{f}=w_{\text {in }}$. Calculating change in the action due to separatrix crossing (Refs. [27, 28]), one obtains the nonadiabatic correction to this adiabatic result. It scales linearly with if initial population im balance slightly deviates from 1 (i.e., intitial m olecular fraction is not very sm all).

As the trajectory nears the separatrix due to slow change (oforder ) in the param eter, the action undergoes oscillations of order of . E ach oscillation corresponds to one period of $m$ otion of the corresponding tra jectory in the unperturbed system. In the vicinity of separatrix, the period of $m$ otion grow $s$ logarithm ically w ith energy di erence $h$ betw een energy level of the unperturbed trajectory and the energy on the separatrix (so as h tends to 0 , the period ofm otion tends to in nity). A s a result, the "slow " change of the param eter becom es "fast" as com pared to the period ofm otion: breakdow $n$ of adiabaticity happens; oscillations of the adiabatic invariant grow and at the crossing its value undergoes a quasi-random jump (Fig. 5) .
A ccording to the general theory, it is not enough to consider dynam ics of the action variable. O ne introduces the im proved adiabatic invariant $J=I+f(w ;$; ) (see the A ppendix for brief description of adiabatic and im proved adiabatic approxim ations and the general form ula for $J$ ). The im proved adiabatic invariant is conserved w ith better accuracy: far from the separatrix, it undergoes very sm alloscillations of order ${ }^{2}$. At the separatrix crossing, it undergoes jum p of order .
W e ilhustrate this behavior in Fig. 5. Figs. [5a,b give dynam ics of the action (adiabatic invariant) I. It is clearly seen that before and after separatrix crossing it oscillates around di erent $m$ ean values, but the jum $p$ in action is of the sam e order as its oscillations close to the separatrix. Fig. 5b presents tim e evolution of the im proved adiabatic invariant. The jump in $J$ is $m u c h ~ m o r e ~ p r o-~$ nounced (although it is possible to express the im proved adiabatic invariant in the elliptic fiunctions, we choose to calculate it num erically according to the de nition given in the A ppendix A).

N ow, at large j jnot only the action I coincides with value of1 jw jbut also the im proved adiabatic invariant $J$ coincides w ith I. Therefore, calculating change in the im proved adiabatic invariant $J$, we obtain change in the action and change in the value of 1 jw $j$ due to the resonance passage. For the case of sm all in itial action I, the change in action was calculated in [27] according to


F IG . 6: Scattering at the separatrix crossing. a) Bunch of trajectories with various (but close) initial conditions undergoing jum $p$ of the im proved adiabatic invariant at separatrix crossing. Trajectories are m ixed due to the jum ps. b) dependence of $m$ agnitude of jum $p$ of the im proved adiabatic invariant. For every value of , we calculated a bunch of 80 tra jectories from $=10$ to $=0$. In itial values of $w$ were chosen to be equidistantly distributed in the interval [0:96;0:96+1:5]. The theory predicts quasi-random jum $p$ of the im proved adiabatic invariant, which $m$ agnitude scales linearly $w$ ith.$W e$ calculate $m$ ean value of squared change in the im proved adiabatic invariant, which tums out to scale perfectly linearly w ith (accordingly, dispersion ${ }^{2}$ scales linearly with ${ }^{2}$ ) c) The same as in Figb, but with sm aller values of and in itial values of action. W e calculated slope of the line ( ) taking into account the four poinfs w th the sm allest values of, and get the value $\mathrm{k} \quad 1: 1614$, which is in good correspondence w ith theoretical prediction of $\overline{4=3} \quad 1: 15$; for larger values of , correspondence worsens: $\mathrm{k} \quad$ 1:015 when taking into account all points. d) High sensitivity of the jum p of the adiabatic invariant on in itial conditions. C alculations for $=0: 0004$ are presented. In itial values of $w$ for 100 tra jectories were uniform ly distributed in the tiny interval $\left(\mathrm{w}_{0}, \mathrm{w}_{0}+1: 5\right)$. Change in the im proved adiabatic invariant was calculated $(J=J(=0) J(=10)$ ). It is seen that tiny change in the initial conditions results in large variance of the jum $p$ of the action. Trajectories arrive at the separatrix with di erent values of the pseudo-phase. M axim a in the $F$ igure correspond to $=0$ and $=1$. The form ula for the jump of the adiabatic invariant predicts high increase in the value of the jump when ( ) nears 0 . In the very vicin ity of $=0 ; 1$ the formula is not working (the predicted jump diverges while the calculated jump is nite), how ever m easure of the exceptional in itial conditions leading to $=0 ; 1$ is very sm all [10].
the generalm ethod of [10]. The form ula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 J=2 p \frac{}{2{ }_{2}^{2}} \ln (2 \sin \quad) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is rate of change of the area w thin the separatrix loop: $=\frac{d S}{d}$ (note that the rate do not depend on ); is the pseudo-phase: $=\mathfrak{b}=j$ where $h_{0}$
is the value of the energy at the last crossing the vertex bisecting the angle between incom ing and outgoing separatrioes of the saddle point C outside the separatrix loop (see Fig 118). Sim ilar calculations were done in [20]. The form ula can be further sim pli ed by expressing via $\frac{@}{@} \quad \dot{R}_{1}$ Indeed $_{h}$ the area $w$ ith in the separatrix loop is $S()=2^{R_{1}}{ }_{1} d w \quad$ arccos $\overline{\overline{1+w}} \quad: W$ e are inter-
ested in derivative of $S($ ) over. D i erentiating the above integral over param eter, one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{0}()=4^{p} \overline{2}{ }^{2} ; \text { and }=S^{0}() \frac{@}{@}=S^{0}()^{0} \text { : } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the form ula (10) is sim pli ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\quad 4^{0} \ln (2 \sin \quad): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e carefully checked num erically behaviour of jum ps in action predicted by form ula (12), see Fig. (6) . Fig.6a dem onstrates scattering at the separatrix crossing: bunch oftrajectories w ith various (but close) intitial conditions undergoing jum ps of the im proved adiabatic invariant at separatrix crossing. Figures 6] ,c dem onstrate
dependence of jum ps of the im proved adiabatic invariant. For several values of , a bunch of 80 trajectories with close initial conditions was calculated, and dispersion of actions due to separatrix crossing w as calculated, which scales linearly w th ${ }^{2}$ (i.e., ${ }^{2}=K^{2}$ ). N ote that from the form ula (12) it is possible to determ ine not only the linear power-law, but also the corresponding coe cient of proportionality K. T he theory predicts uniform distribution of , therefore dispersion of jum $p$ in the action can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=16^{2}\left(0^{0}\right)^{2} 2_{0}^{Z_{1}} \ln ^{2} 2 \sin \quad d=\frac{\left.4^{2}()^{2}\right)^{2}}{3} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For num erical calculations, we used linear sw eeping of , therefore the predicted dispersion is ${ }^{2}=4^{2}=3$. P redicted coe cient 4=3 can be com pared w ith the slope in F igs[6b,c . For relatively large ( F ig. 6b), correspondence is not very good, but when we decreased the value of , we obtained K 1:348 which is in good correspondence with theoretically predicted $\mathrm{K}=4=3$ 1:333. W e reveal also high sensitivity of the jump of the adiabatic invariant on initial conditions ( F ig. 6 bl ), which is the cause of uniform distribution of [10]. W e therefore checked alm ost all qualitative and quantitative aspects ofdestruction of adiabatic invariance at separatrix crossings in that $m$ odel. Let us nally $m$ ention the $m$ ain steps in obtaining the form ula :

1. Linearization around the saddle point in the frozen system and derivation of a approxim ate form ula for the period of $m$ otion $T$ along the trajectory $w$ ith energy $h$. T he period depends logarithm ically on $h$ and is inversely proportional to the square root from the Hessian of the Ham iltonian in the saddle point (determ inant of the $m$ atrix of second derivatives).
2. Obtaining the action variable I from the period $T$ using the formula $T=2 @ I=@ h$.
3. C alculation the function $f$ at a point of the vertex bisecting the angle betw een incom ing and outgoing
separatrioes of the saddle point ( $F$ ig. 1c). It is proportional to (for details, see [10]).
4. "Slicing" the exact tra jectory on parts (corresponding to "tums" in the unperturbed system) by the bisecting vertex and constructing a $m$ ap ${ }_{n} ; J_{n}$ ! $n+1 ; J_{n+1}$ using the analysis described above ( 0 is the m om ent of last crossing of the vertex before the separatrix crossing, 1 is a previous $m$ om ent of crossing the vertex, etc. $J_{n}$ is value of the im proved adiabatic invariant at $n$ ). Sum $m$ ation of changes of adiabatic invariant at each tum leads to the form ula (12).

See Refs. [27, 28] for further details.

## C. C ase II: C ondensates w ith interactions, 0 . A nalog of nonzero adiabatic tunnelling.

Let usbrie y consider them odelw ith $<c=\frac{1}{2^{3=2}}$. Separatrix crossing happens via another soenario here (according to the $m$ otion of the xed points described in Section IIa). W e give only qualitative discussion of a possible new phenom enon. W e plot the phase portraits at di erent and xed in Fig. (21). Now, as is decreased, three dom ains $\mathrm{G}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ appear in the phase portrait at certain $=$ as a result of the rst bifurcation. Shortly after the bifurcation (see Fig. 2k) the separatrix consists of the two "loops": the upper $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ (adjacent to $\mathrm{w}=1$ line), which area $S_{2}()$ decreases from $S_{2}()$ to zero as the unstable xed point goes tow ards $\mathrm{w}=1$, and the bottom $\mathrm{G}_{3}$, whose area $\mathrm{S}_{3}\left(\mathrm{I}\right.$ ) increases from zero; $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ is the "outer" dom ain adjacent to $\mathrm{w}=1$.

In case initial action $I_{0}$ of a phase point is su ciently sm all ( $\left.2 I_{0}<S_{2}()\right)$, the phase point resides in the $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ dom ain when the separatrix em erges (w ithout any separatrix crossing, see Fig2c). In case $2 I_{0}$ is larger then the area $S_{2}$ of the dom ain $G_{2}$ at the $m$ om ent of separatrix creation, the phase point occupy $G_{1}$ at this m om ent. C onsider the form er case, i.e. sm all initial action. As evolves, $S_{2}$ decreases, while $S_{3}$ grow $s$. W hen $S_{2}(t)$ becom es equal2 $I_{0}$, separatrix crossing occurs and the phase point is expelled to $G_{1}$ dom ain and then al$m$ ost im $m$ ediately to $G_{3}$ dom ain (say, in the $F$ ig. 2 f ). It is easy to see that the phase point acquires large action due to geom etric jum $p$ in the action when entering $\mathrm{G}_{3}$, so in the end $w \mathrm{w}$ ill deviate from the all-m olecule $m$ ode $\mathrm{w}=1$ considerably (the geom etric jum p is equal to $\left(S_{3}() \quad S_{2}()\right)=2$, where is the $m$ om ent of the separatrix crossing). This is in som e sense analogous to the nonzero AT discussed in [24, 25] and in Section III of the present paper. O ne m ight try to explain the sizable rem nant fraction after the adiabatic Feshbach resonance passage as the geom etric jum $p$ in the action due to the selftrapping e ect ofsw ave interactions. This, how ever, requires further investigation: w hile calculation of the geom etric jump in action is trivial, dynam ical jump is not
so easy to calculate in this geom etry．So far，we just sug－ gest a possible new phenom enon in the $m$ odel，detailed discussion w illl be given elsew here．

## III．$\quad \mathrm{NONLINEAR} T W O-M O D E M O D E L F O R$ TW O COUPLED BEC．

## A．$M$ odel equations and its physical origin；phase portraits

W e consider the H am iltonian（＂nonlinear 2 －mode ABEC model＂）

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=w+\frac{w^{2}}{2} \quad p \overline{1} \quad w^{2} \cos \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here are $m$ any system $s$ in BEC physics that are de－ scribed in the $m$ ean－eld lim it by the $H$ am ittonian（14）． It has been used to $m$ odel two coupled BECs（BEC in a symmetric double well in case $=0$ ）23］）．The m odel $w$ th 0 is equivalent to nonlinear Landau－ Zener model，which appears，in particular，in studying BEC acceleration in optical lattiges［24，25］

T heory ofnonlinear Landau－Zener tunnelling was sug－ gested in 24，25］．H ow ever，only the case of zero initial action was considered．In particular，it is said in［25］ that adiabaticity is broken when＂xed points collide＂． In case the in itialaction is not zero，adiabaticity is broken before that：it is broken when separatrix crossing occurs． $T$ herefore，it is necessary to involve theory of separatrix crossings in consideration of these $m$ odels．

It is worth to $m$ ention that for BEC in a sym $m$ etric double－w ell，there exist also im proved 2 m ode m odel［38］， where the term cos2 is added：

$$
H=A \frac{z^{2}}{2} \quad B^{p} \overline{1} \quad z^{2} \cos +\frac{1}{2} C\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & z^{2} \tag{15}
\end{array}\right) \cos 2 ;
$$

where param eters $A ; B ; C$ are determ ined by overlap in－ tegrals of the $m$ ode functions．U sually，the cos2 term is $s m$ all and can be om ilted．T hen，the im proved $m$ odel H am iltonian can be reduced to（14） w ith $=0$（still， coe cients are determ ined $m$ ore accurately in the im－ proved $m$ odel）．The originalm odelis derived for the case of constant param eters．O ne may wonder if it is work－ ing in a tim e－dependent situation．It is not di cult to dem onstrate that for slow ly changing param eters one can use the sam em odel，w ith param eters of the $H$ am iltonian slow ly changing in accordance w ith the＂instantaneous＂ m odel．For sim plicity，let us dem onstrate this using the im proved 2 －m ode $m$ odel［38］as an exam ple．The order param eter in a two－m ode approxim ation is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(x ; t)=P \bar{N}\left[1_{1}(t) 1(x)+2(t) 2(x)\right] ;  \tag{16}\\
1 ; 2(x)=\frac{+(x)}{P_{\overline{2}}}(x)
\end{array}
$$

where
satisfy the stationary GP equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+v_{e x t}+g j \quad \jmath \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he variables of the classical H am iltonian are de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.z(t)=j_{1}(t)\right\} \quad j_{2}(t) ⿱ 龴 ⿱ 乛 亅 ㇒ ; ~(t)=\arg _{2}(t) \quad \arg _{1}(t) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting（16），（17）into the tim e－dependent G P equa－ tion，one gets［38］

$$
\begin{align*}
& i \frac{d_{1}(t)}{d t}(++\quad)+i \frac{d_{2}(t)}{d t}(+\quad)= \\
& \left(l_{1}(t) \quad 2(t)\right)[\quad g N j \text { J }]+ \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ ；Q are functions of $1 ; 2$（see［38］）．From these equations，one get the equations of $m$ otion for 1； 2 （Eqs． 13 from［38］）：

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
i-= & \left(F+A j \jmath^{j} \overline{4}\right. \\
& \left(\frac{}{2}+\frac{-}{4} j{ }^{j}+C\right. \tag{20}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

which can be rewritten as Ham iltonian equation of $m$ otion of the corresponding classical pendulum （ $\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{A}$ ；C；；are functions ofm ode overlap integrals and energies ）．C onsidering tim e－varying param eters， we introduce instantaneous $m$ ode functions $(x ; t)$ ．If we keep two－m ode expansion of the order param eter， when it is not di cult to show that additional term s $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{R}}$ ing from ${ }_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{+} \mathrm{m}$ e－dependence of the m ode functions $)^{R}+\frac{\varrho+}{@ t} d r, \quad+\frac{\varrho}{@ t} d r$ ，etc ）are strictly zero due to sym $m$ etry and nom alization conditions．C om plications can arise only from excitation ofotherm odes（ifw ewould allow，say，four－m ode expansion）．H ow ever，we do not consider this question here．Even in the two－m ode ap－ proxim ation nonadiabatic dynam ics is nontrivial，and it com es purely from nonadiabatic behaviour of classicalac－ tion．Phase portraits of the m odel（14） w th $=0$ are given in Fig．7．W e are interested only in the supercrit－ ical case here．Separatrix crossings and corresponding changes in the action are discussed in Section IIb．The case $\in 0(\mathbb{N} L Z \mathrm{~m}$ odel）is discussed in Section IIc，where we present a new phenom enon：separated AT．

B．C ase I：sym m etric double－w ell，$=0$ ．
W e suppose initially the system is in the oscillating regim e of com plete tunnelling osciallations（dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ ）， and then due to slow change of param eters is sw itched into self－trapped regim e．T wo di erent probabilistic phe－ nom ena take place at the crossing：quasi－random jump in the action and the probabilistic capture．

C onsider the probabilistic capture：there are two do－ $m$ ains $G_{1 ; 2}$ for the self－trapped regim $e$ in the phase por－ traits：in the rst（upper） $\mathrm{w}>0$ ，in the second（bottom） $\mathrm{w}<0$ ．In which of these two dom ains the phase point


FIG.7: Phase portraits of the 2 m ode $\mathrm{ABEC} H$ am iltonian $w$ ith $=0$. From left to right ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ) : $=20 ; 2: 4 ; 1: 2 ; 0: 8$. A s decreases, separatrix loop grow s until $=2$ where it changes its con guration, and at $=1$ it disappears. On the other hand, by increasing it is possible to sw itch from regim e of com plete oscillations (dom ain 3) to the self-trapped regim e (dom ains 1 or 2 ). The unstable xed point do not $m$ ove: it is either at $(0,0)$ or absent.


FIG. 8: G raphical solution of the equation $+w=$ $\mathrm{w}=\overline{1 \quad \mathrm{w}^{2}} \mathrm{which}$ gives xed points at $=$. As decreases, the line goes up, and three xed points can appear from a single one at certain $w$ indow of value of provided $>1$. The star denotes the unstable xed point which after the birth goes dow $n$ and collides $w$ th the stable xed point. See corresponding phase portraits in the next $F$ igure.
w ill be trapped (in other words, in the left or the right well)? The trapping in either of the dom ains is also very sensitive to initial conditions; in the lim it of sm all the trapping is a probabilistic event. For the sym $m$ etric case, the probability to be trapped in ether well is exactly $1=2$. H ow ever, for the asym $m$ etric well the answer is not so straightforw ard. It is determ ined by som e integrals over separatrix at the $m$ om ent of sw itching (general theory exists, see [10]).

As for the rst phenom enon (jump in the action), at them om ent ofsw itching, destruction ofadiabaticity happens in the sense that the adiabatic invariant undergoes a relatively large jum $p$ of order of ${ }^{\mathrm{p}}$ - (very sim ilar to that discussed in the Section II). If we then slow ly bring the param eters back to the initial values, the adiabatic invariant $w$ ill be di erent.

The form ulas for the action-angle variables are cum bersom e. In fact, to calculate change in the action, it is not necessary to have form ulas for the action-angle variables. T he jump is determ ined by localproperties of the H am iltonian near the separatrix: the area of the separatrix loop and the $H$ essian of the unstable xed point
[10]. A s a result, the form ula for the jum $p$ of the action is sim plier than expressions for the action itself. Suppose
$>2$ so the phase portrait looks like in Fig. 7b and we start from the regim e of com plete oscillations. Slow ly changing, we can switch to the selftrapped regim e. $T$ he expression for the area of the separatrix loop is easy to calculate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\quad)=4=b+\arcsin b ; \quad b=\frac{2^{p-1}}{1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hessian of the $H$ am iltonian in the unstable xed point can be calculated as D ( ) = (1).

Let us de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{)} \quad 1 \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{=} \overline{\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{r}} \text {; } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e calculated jum p of the action according to the generalm ethod as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\quad \frac{1}{2} d \quad \ln (2 \sin (\quad))=\frac{4^{0}}{2} \ln (2 \sin (\quad)) ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the pseudophase corresponding to the rst crossing of line $=$ in the $G_{1 ; 2}$ dom ains, $d$ is value of $d$ at the $m$ om ent of crossing this line, values of and 0 are also taken at this $m$ om ent.

W e checked this form ula num erically. A set of 100 phase points w ith initial conditions being distributed in a sm all (oforder ) interval far from the separatrix were chosen. Then, the bunch of trajectories in the system w ith slow ly changing param eter w as calculated. For each tra jectory, values of and $J$ (change in the im proved adiabatic invariant) were determ ined. From num erically determ ined, theoreticalprediction for change in the action $J$ was calculated and com pared $w$ th num erically determ ined J. Results are in the Fig. 10; correspondence between num erical results and analytical prediction is perfect. In the sam e calculations, $m$ echanism of quasi-random division of phase ow was veri ed: exactly one halfof the phase points from the considered set w ere captured in the upper dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{1}$, and the other half


FIG. 9: N onlinear Landau-Zener tunneling: phase portraits of the 2 m ode ABEC H am iltonian at di erent values of . From top left to bottom right: $=20 ; 3 ; 1: 8 ; 12 ; 0 ; 12 ; 1: 8 ; 3 ; 20 ;=$ const $=4$.
were trapped in the low er dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. This is a purely classicalphenom enon, the sound exam ple ofprobabilistic phenom ena in dynam ical system $s([10,48])$.

> C. C ase II: asym m etric doub le-w ell and non linear Landau-Z enermodel, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ol}}$. Separated adiabatic tunnelling.

C onsider sweeping value of from large positive to large negative values in F ig 9 (see also [24, 25]). In case
$<1$, only two xed points exist at $=0 ; \quad\left(P_{2} ; P_{1}\right.$ correspondingly). As changes from $=1$ to $=+1$, $P_{1}$ (corresponding to the low er "eigenstate") $m$ oves along the line $=$ from the bottom ( $\mathrm{w}=1$ ) to the top ( $w=1$ ), the other point $P_{2}$ (corresponding to the upper "eigenstate") $m$ oves from the top to the bottom. In case $>1$, two $m$ ore xed points appear in the $w$ indow
$c_{c} \ll c i \quad c=\binom{2=3}{1}^{\beta=2}$. W e concentrate on this, "above-critical" case. T he new points lie on the line $=$, one being elliptic $\left(\mathrm{P}_{3}\right)$ and the other hyperbolic $\left(\mathrm{P}_{4}\right)$. A gain, it is convenient to use graphical solution (Fig. 8) to visualize appearance and disappearance of the xed points. It is stated in the [25], that collision betw een $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$ leads to nonzero AT from the low er level to the upper level, and tunnelling probability in the adiabatic lim it is obtained by calculating phase space area below the "hom oclinic tra jectory" ( $w$ hich is the lim iting case of the separatrix w ith $S_{3}=0$ ), i.e. as geom etric jum $p$ in the action. In the zeroth order approxim ation, this approach is correct (if the initial action is zero or very sm all). It is very im portant that we can adopt general theory of separatrix crossings to the case of this m odel w ith nonzero initialaction (corresponding to initially excited system). In this case destruction of adiabaticity happens before collision of the phase points. In itial tra-


FIG. 10: Jum p in the im proved adiabatic invariant in dependence of the pseudo-phase. F illed squares: num erical results; dashed line: analytical predictions according to Eq. 23. W e slow ly changed according to the law $=$ a $b$ cos $t$, with $=0: 001, a=15, b=10 . W$ e took a set of 100 phase points $w$ ith di erent but very close in itial conditions: $W_{i}=0, i$ are distributed along an interval of order of at the time $e t=0 . \mathrm{W}$ e propagate the bunch of trajectories until the tim e $=$ (so all the points changed its regim e ofm otion from com plete oscillations to the self-trapped mode). For each point, value of and change in the im proved adiabatic invariant $J$ was determ ined num erically, then the analyticalprediction for the change in the im proved adiabatic invariant $J()$ was calculated according to Eq23. T he num erical and analytical results show in the $F$ ig. (a) are alm ost indiscemible, in (b) en larged part of the sam e plot is presented, where sm all deviations are seen. It is also im portant to $m$ ention, that from 100 phase points exactly 50 were trapped in the upper dom ain $G_{1}$, and 50 in the lower $\mathrm{G}_{2}$.
jectory is alm ost a straight line, so the initial action is equalto $\mathrm{w}+1$ in case we start close to $\mathrm{w}=1$, or 1 w in case we start close to $w=1$. Consider the form er case. Let the initial action $I_{0}$ (ie., value of $w+1$ in Fig. 9a) be equal to area of the separatrix loop in Fig. 9y. T he phase point is oscillating around slow ly m oving $P_{1}$ point until the area of the separatrix loop $S_{1}($ ) becom es equal to $2 I_{0}$ at som emoment $=$. W here,
separatrix crossing occurs. A ction undergoes geom etric jump (which is simply $\left[S_{3}() \quad S_{1}()\right]=2$. This geo$m$ etric jum $p$ is the analog ofAT probability discussed in [24,25] for the case of zero initial action. T he geom etric jum $p$ is accom panied by the dynam ical jum $p$ sim ilar to that discussed in Section II and Section ITIb. The dynam ical jum $p$ is sm all (of order of ) as com pared to the geom etric jump. But conceptually it is very im portant:
only dynam ical jum p leads to destruction of adiabatic invariance in the model. Indeed, if we reverse change in , the phase point will retum to its initial dom ain and the geom etric jum p w ill be com pletely cancelled. H ow ever, dynam ical jum ps will not be cancelled, and at multiple separatrix crossings they lead to slow chaotization (see, for exam ple, [19]). Form ulas for the dynam ical jum ps in the asym $m$ etric case are $m$ ore com plicated, as there are tem s of order and $\ln$. H ow ever, the probabilistic capture in this case is very $m$ uch di erent. C onsider the phase portraits in Figs. $9 f, g$. Suppose that not only , but also is changing. At the m om ent of crossing, the area $S_{3}$ is dim inishing, while the areas $S_{1 ; 2}$ can behave di erently depending on evolution of param eters. Suppose both $\mathrm{S}_{1 ; 2}$ are increasing: ${ }_{1 ; 2}>0$; $\quad 3<0$. Denote as $l_{1 ; 2}$ the parts of the separatrix below and above the saddle point, correspondingly. There is phase ow across $l_{2}$ from the dom ain $G_{2}$ to $G_{1}$, and across $l_{1}$ from $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ to $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. The latter ow is divided quasi-random ly betw een $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ : the phase point leaving $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ can rem ain in $G_{2}$ or be expelled to $G_{2}$. This is "determ ined" during the rst tum around the separatrix. A fter that, the particles are trapped either in $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$. P robability for either event can be calculated as integrals over the separatrix parts $\mathrm{l}_{12}$ ([10]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =\frac{I_{2}}{I_{1}} ; \quad P_{2}=\frac{I_{2}}{I_{1}} ; \\
I_{i}(;) & =I_{I_{i}}^{d t} \frac{I^{( }}{\varrho}={ }_{I_{i}} d t \frac{@ H}{@} \frac{@ H_{s}}{@} ; \quad=t:
\end{aligned}
$$

H ere integrals are taken along the unperturbed tra jectories at the $m$ om ent of separatrix crossing (or last crossing the line $=$ before the separatrix crossing), $H_{s}$ is the (tim e-dependent) value of the H am iltonian H in the unstable xed point, $H$ denote the $H$ am iltonian $H$ norm alized in such a way as to $m$ ake value of the new H am iltonian in the unstable xed point to be zero. It is possible to calculate all the integrals analytically, see the A ppendix B. W e present num erical exam ple in F ig. 11 . A set of $N=100$ trajectories $w a s$ considered $w$ ith initial conditions distributed in a tiny interval of $w$, and $w$ ith
$(0)=0$ (so in itial actions were distributed in a tiny interval of order : $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{I}_{0}+\mathrm{k}$ I,N $\mathrm{I} \quad ; \mathrm{k}=1 ;: ; \mathrm{N}$; altematively, one can consider a set of phase point $w$ ith equal initial actions, but w ith distribution of phase along 2 interval). B oth and were changed; so after the separatrix crossing a phase point can be trapped either in $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$. From the set of 100 points, 87 were trapped in $G_{1}$, while 13 w ere trapped in $G_{2}$. The di erence between the nal actions of these two subsets is approxi$m$ ately $I_{0}$, the initial action of points in the bunch. T he probability of 87\% is in good correspondence w th the theoretical prediction, which gives $P_{2}=86 ; 998$ for the probability of capture into the dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. Possible experim ental realization of this new phenom enon is again BEC acceleration in optical lattiges, but $w$ ith sim ultaneous m odulation of the lattice potential depth.
IV. CONCLUSION

W e discussed destruction ofadiabatic invariance in several nonlinear $m$ odels related to BEC physics. W e especially concentrated on the cases that have not been considered in the corresponding papers on BEC dynam ics yet: that is, when the initial action is not zero.

W e found that the general theory of adiabatic separatrix crossings works very well in the considered m odels. Two aspects of destruction of adiabatic invariance were considered: quasi-random jum ps in the approxim ate adiabatic invariants and quasi-random captures in di erent dom ains ofm otion at separatrix crossings.

We discussed quasi-random jumps in the approxim ate adiabatic invariants in the m odels describing Feshbach resonance passage in coupled atom molecule BEC s, BEC tunnelling oscillations in a double well, and nonlinear Landau-Zener tunnelling. C om paring w ith previous analysis of the abovem entioned m odels [25, 26], the key feature of our approach should be em phasized: the system is linearized near the hyperbolic xed point, not near elliptic xed points of the unperturbed system.

A nother im portant class of phenom ena considered here is probabilistic captures into di erent dom ains ofm otion. They w ere discussed for the case ofBEC tunnelling oscillations in a (sym $m$ etric or asym $m$ etric) double-w ell and the NLZ m odelw ith tim e-dependence of the nonlinearity
. Separated AT was discovered in the latter case. W e suppose it can have experim ental applications in BEC $m$ anipulations $w$ th optical lattices. The conceptual phenom enon of probabilistic capture was rstly discovered in celestialm echanics (while studying resonance phenom ena in Solar system ). It is interesting to draw an analogy betw een intricate phenom ena of celestial dynam ics and phenom ena happening in $m$ any-body quantum system $s$. C onceptual phenom ena related to the classical adiabatic theory (w hich includes both adiabatic invariants and the adiabatic (geom etric) phases) have recently becom e im portant trend of research in the highly interdisciplinary BEC physics eld (see [28,58,59, 60]). W e hope the com prehensive analysis presented in this paper adds im portant contribution to understanding nonlinear dynam ics of $B$ ose $£$ instein condensates.
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F IG . 11: (C olor online)Separated AT.W e took a bunch of 100 trajectories w ith in itial actions distributed in a tiny interval of order (in the Figure: the bottom curve consists of 100 in itial trajectories; the two upper curves consist of 87 and 13 tra jectories, corresp ondingly, and represent "snapshot" of the tra jectories after the end of sw eeping param eters). A s param eters are changing, the separatrix (see Figs. 9d-f) m oves down, and crosses the bunch. D ue to quasi-random division of phase ow described in the text, som e of the points were captured to the $G_{1}$ dom ain, while the other to the $G_{2}$ dom ain. A s a result, phase points undergo di erent geom etric change in the action. A fter the capture, actions are conserved. Therefore, a phase point can acquire two di erent values of the adiabatic invariant. T he di erence betw een the values corresponds to the area of the dom ain $G_{3}$ at the $m$ om ent of separatrix crossing, i.e. it is approxim ately equal to the in itial action. The question is how the in itialbunch is divided, what is the probability for a phase point to com e into either of the two upper bunches. From the set of 100 points, 87 were trapped in the upper bunch, while 13 in the bottom. This num erical result is in very good accordance w ith the theoretical prediction for the probabilities (24,37), which gives $\mathrm{P}_{2}=86: 998$ (see the A ppendix B). In case of nonzero AT considered in [24, 25], in itial bunch would lie near w = 1 line, and there would be only one " nal" bunch. Here, there are separated bunches, which suggested us to introduce the term inology "separated AT".
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## VI. APPEND IX

A . A diabatic and im proved adiabatic approxim ations

To consider change in the action during a separatrix crossing, it is necessary to introduce im proved adiabatic invariant $J$ in addition to the ordinary action variable I. Im proved adiabatic approxim ation is discussed in [10].

Let $I=I(w ; ~) ; \quad=(w ; ~ ;) \bmod 2$ be the action-angle variables of the unperturbed ( $=$ const) problem. The "action" I (w; ; ) multiplied by 2 is the area inside the unperturbed tra jectory, passing through the point (w; ) (provided the tra jectory is closed; other$w$ ise the area of a dom ain bounded by the tra jectory and lines $=0 ; 2$ is calculated). The "angle" is a coordinate on the sam e unpertunbed tra jectory. It is $m$ easured from som e curve transversal to the unperturbed tra jectories. The change (w ; ) ! ( I ; ) is canonical (and can be done using a generating function which depends on ). In the exact system ( w th ${ }_{-}=0$ ) the variables I and are canonically con jugated variables of the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{I} ;)+\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{I} ; ~ ; ~) ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ (I; ) is the initial H am iltonian E (w; ; ) expressed in new variables, while the perturbation $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ com es from the tim e derivative of the generating function. In case the angle is $m$ easured from som e straight line $=$ const, one has the formula [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}={\frac{1}{!_{0}}}_{0}^{Z} \quad \frac{@ E}{@} \quad \frac{@ E}{@} \quad d ; \quad!_{0}=\frac{@ H_{0}}{@ I} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the brackets < :: > denote averaging over the "angle" .

C onsider a phase point of the exact system w th the initial conditions $I=I_{0} ;=0 . T$ he adiabatic approxi$m$ ation is obtained by om itting the last term in (25) and gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I_{0} ; \quad=0+\frac{1}{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{t}}!_{0}\left(I^{\prime} ;\right) d \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

. Im proved adiabatic approxim ation is introduced in the follow ing way. O ne m akes another canonical change of variables ( I ; ) ! ( $\mathrm{J} ;$ ). The change is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{)}$ close to the identity and in the new variables the $H$ am iltonian has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}(\mathrm{~J} ;)+\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{~J} ;)+{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~J} ;!; \text {; }) ;  \tag{28}\\
& \mathrm{H}_{1}=\left\langle\mathrm{H}_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{!_{0}}{ }_{0} \frac{1}{2} \overline{2} \frac{\varrho E}{@} \mathrm{~d}: \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The im proved action variable can be de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
& J=J(w ; ~ ; ~)+I+u_{T}  \tag{30}\\
& u=u(w ; ~ ; ~)=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z_{T}} \frac{T}{2} \quad t \frac{@ E}{@} d t ; \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integral is taken along the unperturbed tra jectory passing the point (w; ), $\mathrm{T}=\frac{2}{!}$ is the period of the trajectory, and the tim $e t$ is $m$ easured starting from the point ( $\mathrm{w} ;$; ). D eterm ined in this way, $\langle u\rangle=0$. The im proved adiabatic approxim ation is obtained by om itting the last term in (29) and gives

$$
\begin{align*}
J=J_{0} ; \quad & 0+\underline{1}_{0}^{Z}\left(!!_{0}(J ;)+!_{1}(J ;)\right) d ; \\
!_{1} & =\frac{@ F_{1}}{@ J}: \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

B . P robabilities of captures during separated A T

W e change both and linearly in tim e: $=0 \mathrm{t}$, $=0 \quad t$, $=1: 5 ; 0=25,0=8 . \mathrm{We}$ consider a bunch of $\mathrm{N}=100$ trajectories w ith initial conditions $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{w}_{0}+0: 02 \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}=0\left(\mathrm{w}_{0}=0: 8\right)$ which imply distribution of initial actions in a tiny interval of order . A ltematively, one can consider initial conditions w ith the sam e initial action, but with distribution along the angle variable. In any case, from $N$ trajectories, $a p-$ proxim ately $P_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ w ill be captured in dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{2}$, and $P_{1} \mathrm{~N}$ in dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{1}$. A s a result, after sw eeping value of
to 1 , one obtains two bunches of trajectories each closely distributed along tw o di erent values of action. This is a new phenom enon in the context of nonlinear Landau-Zener tunnelling.

At the $m$ om ent of separatrix crossing, phase portrait looks like show $n$ in $F$ ig. 9f. P hase ow from the dom ain $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ is divided betw een $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$. It is possible to calculate analytically the probabilities of captures in either dom ain. The separatrix crosses the line $=0$ at points $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}<\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and the line $=$ at $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (the unstable xed point). These three $m$ agnitudes ( $w_{a ; b ; s}$ ) are the roots of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(w)^{2}=1 \quad w^{2} \quad\left(h_{s}+\quad w \quad-w^{2}\right)^{2}=0 ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h_{s}$ is the energy on the separatrix at the $m$ om ent of crossing, and ; are values of the param eters at
this $m$ om ent ( $w=w_{s}$ is the doubly degenerate root). In other w ords,

$$
\underline{w}=\begin{array}{llll}
r  \tag{34}\\
\frac{w^{2}}{4}(w & \left.w_{a}\right)(w & \left.w_{b}\right)(w & \left.w_{s}\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

P robabilities of capture in either dom ain are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{2}}{\mathrm{I}_{1}} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{I}_{1}} ; \\
& \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2}=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2} \text { dt } \frac{@ \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{C}}={ }^{0} \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2}+\frac{0}{2} \mathrm{I}_{1 ; 2}=  \tag{35}\\
& 0_{W_{a ; b}}^{Z^{W}} d w \frac{W_{S}}{W}+\frac{0^{Z}}{2}{ }_{w_{a} ; b} d w \frac{w^{2} W_{S}^{2}}{\underline{W}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where lower lim its of integration for $I_{1} ; I_{2}$ are $w_{a}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}$ correspondingly. For value of w one uses the Eq. 34 which $m$ akes the integrands in Eqs. 35 sim ple, and one gets
$\overline{2}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{1}=\arcsin \frac{2 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}} \quad=2$;
$\overline{2}^{-}=p \frac{p}{\left(w_{s} \quad w_{a}\right)\left(w_{s} \quad w_{b}\right)}+\left(w_{s}+\left(w_{a}+w_{b}\right)=2\right) I_{1} ;$
$\overline{2}_{2}=\quad \arcsin \frac{2 w_{s}+w_{a}+w_{b}}{w_{b} w_{a}} \quad=2$;
$\frac{-1}{2} I_{2} \quad\left(w_{s} \quad w_{a}\right)\left(w_{s} \quad w_{b}\right)+\left(w_{s}+\left(w_{a}+w_{b}\right)=2\right) I_{2}$
Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}_{2} & =\frac{\mathrm{I}_{2}}{\mathrm{I}_{1}}=\frac{{ }^{0}(\quad=2)+\frac{0}{2}\left[\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}( \right.}{\left.{ }^{0}(\quad=2)+\frac{0}{2} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}(\quad=2)\right]} ; \\
& =\arcsin \frac{2 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}} ;  \tag{37}\\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\mathrm{p} \frac{\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}}+\left(\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=2}{}
\end{align*}
$$

In the num erical exam ple presented in F ig. 11, ${ }^{0}=$ $1,{ }^{0}=\quad=1: 5$; at the separatrix crossing $=$ $8: 3863369,=3: 0757753, h_{s}=0: 3553544$. It gives $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}} 0: 9239628$, wb $0: 30155167$, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{s}} 0: 4223149$. The form ula (37) gives $\mathrm{P}_{2} \quad 86: 998$, w hich perfectly corresponds to the num erical result (87\% ).
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