FrenkelExciton M odel of U ltrafast Excited State D ynam ics in AT D N A D ouble H elices

Eric R.Bittner

D epartm ent of C hem istry and the Texas C enter for Superconductivity, U niversity of H ouston, H ouston TX 77204

A bstract

Recent ultrafast experiments have implicated intrachain base-stacking rather than base-pairing as the crucial factor in determ ining the fate and transport of photo excited species in DNA chains. An important issue that has emerged concerns whether or not a Frenkel excitons is su cient one needs charge-transfer states to fully account for the dynamics. In we present an SU (2) SU (2) lattice model which incorporates both intrachain and interchain electronic interactions to study the quantum mechanical evolution of an initial excitonic state placed on either the adenosine or thym idine side of a model B DNA poly (dA) poly (dT) duplex. Our calculations indicate that over several hundred fem to seconds, the adenosine exciton remains a cohesive excitonic wave packet on the adenosine side of the chain where as the thym idine exciton rapidly decomposes into mobile electron/hole pairs along the thym idine side of the chain. In both cases, the very little transfer to the other chain is seen over the time-scale of our calculations. We attribute the di erence in these dynamics to the roughly 4:1 ratio of hole vs. electron mobility along the thym idine chain. We also show that this di erence is is robust even when structural uctuations are introduced in the form of static o -diagonal disorder.

Key words: DNA, molecular biophysics, excited states, lattice theory, quantum chromodynamics PACS: 87.14Gg, 87.15M i, 87.15He, 31.15Ar

1 Introduction

For all life-form s on E arth with the exception of certain viruses, genetic inform ation is carried within the cellular nucleus via strands of strands of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). The genetic inform ation itself is encoded in the speci c

Em ailaddress: bittner@uh.edu (Eric R.Bittner).

sequence of the nucleic acid bases: adenine (A), thym ine (T), quanine (G), and cytidine (C).DNA is also a strong absorber of ultraviolet light leaving it highly susceptible to photom utagenic dam age with the prim ary photoproducts being bipyrim idine dimers linking neighboring T bases. For all organisms, this susceptibility is compensated for in part through enzymatic repair actions that rem ove dam aged segments along one strand using the complementary strand as a tem plate for replacem ent. Such repair mechanisms are quite costly energetically. Rem arkably, however, DNA is intrinsically photochem ically stable as single bases are able to rapidly convert photoexcitation energy into therm all energy on a picosecond time scale through non-radiative electronic processes. W hat remains poorly understood, is the role that base-pairing and base-stacking plays in the transport and migration of the initial excitation along the double helix. Clearly, such factors are important since the UV absorption of DNA largely represents the weighted sum of the absorption spectra of it constituent bases whereas the distribution of lesions form ed as the result of photoexcitation are generally not uniform ly distributed along the chain itself and depend strongly upon sequence, suggesting some degree of coupling between bases.[1]

G iven the importance of DNA in biological system and its emerging role as a sca old and conduit for electronic transport in molecular electronic devices, [2] DNA in its many forms is a well studied and well characterized system. W hat remains poorly understood, however, is the role that base-pairing and base-stacking plays in the transport and migration of the initial excitation along the double helix.[3,1] Such factors are important since the UV absorption of DNA largely represents the weighted sum of the absorption spectra of it constituent bases whereas the distribution of lesions formed as the result of photoexcitation are generally not uniform ly distributed along the chain itself and depend strongly upon sequence, suggesting some degree of coupling between bases.[1]

Recent work by various groups has underscored the di erent roles that basestacking and base-pairing play in mediating the fate of an electronic excitation in DNA. [1,3] O ver 40 years ago, Low din discussed proton tunneling between bases as a excited state deactivation mechanism in DNA [4] and evidence of this was recently reported by Schultz et al. [5] In contrast, how ever, ultrafast uorescence of double helix poly (dA) poly (dT) oligom ers by C respo-H emandez et al.[3] and by M arkovitsi et al. [1] give com pelling evidence that base-stacking rather than base-pairing largely determ ines the fate of an excited state in DNA chains composed of A and T bases with long-lived intrastrand states form ing when ever A is stacked with itself or with T. How ever, there is considerable debate regarding whether or not the dynam ics can be explained via purely Frenkel exciton m odels [6,7,8] or whether charge-transfer states play an interm ediate role. [9]



Fig. 1. Three dimensional structure of stacked A-T base pairs along with the corresponding lattice model.

Here we report on a series of quantum dynamical calculations that explore the fate of a localized exciton placed on either the A side or T side of the B DNA duplex poly (dA)₁₀ poly (dT)₁₀. Our theoretical model is based upon a SU (2) SU (2) lattice model we recently introduced [10] that consists of localized hopping interactions for electrons and holes between adjacent base pairs along each strand (t_{aj}) as well as cross-strand terms linking paired bases (h_i) and \diagonal" terms which account for the stacking interaction between base j on one chain and base j 1 on the other chain (r_i) in which r_j denotes coupling in the 5'-5' direction and r_j^+ coupling in the 3'-3' direction. Fig. 1 shows the three-dimensional structure of poly (dA)₁₀ poly (dT)₁₀ and the topology of the equivalent lattice model. We also consider here the role of geometric or structural uctuations in the electronic dynam ics.

2 Theoretical M odel

Taking each link as Fig. 1 as a speci c electron, hole, or excitonic, hopping term, we arrive at the following single particle Ham iltonian,

$$h_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{X} \sum_{j=1}^{Y} \sum_{j=1}^{Y} + t_{j} \left(\sum_{j+1=1}^{Y} \sum_{j=1}^{Y} - \sum_{j=1}^{Y} \sum_{j=1}^{Y} \right) + h_{j=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{Y} + \sum_{j=1}^{Y} \sum_{j=1}^{Y$$

where γ_{j}^{y} and γ_{j} are SU (2) spinors that act on the ground-state to create and rem ove an electron (or hole) on the jth adenosine or thym idine base along the chain. The $\gamma_{j}^{y} = \gamma_{1} \gamma_{j}^{y}$ and $\gamma_{+} + \gamma_{-}^{y} = \gamma_{1}$ providing the mixing between the two chains. As discussed in Ref. [10], we used the highest occupied and lowest unoccupied (and) orbitals localized on each base as a orthonorm albasis. For the single particle term s (representing electron and hole transfer between bases), we use values reported by Anatram and M enrez as determ ined by computing the C oulom b integrals between HOMO and LUMO levels on adjacent base pairs with in a double-strand B DNA sequence using density functional theory (B 3LY P /6-31G) [11] taking the geom etries of each base from the B-DNA structure. W hen $r_j^+ = r_j$, Eq.2 is identical to the H am iltonian used by C reutz and H orvath [12] to describe chiral symmetry in quantum chromodynam ics in which the term s proportional to r are introduced to make the \doublers" at q / heavier than the states at q / 0.

Taking the chain to hom ogeneous and in nite in extent, one can easily determ ine the energy spectrum of the valence and conduction bands by diagonalizing

$$\hat{h}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ B & & a + 2t_{a}\cos(q) & h + r^{+}e^{iq} + r e^{+iq} \\ h + r^{+}e^{+iq} + r e^{iq} & & A \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

where $_{a,b}$ and $t_{a,b}$ are the valence band or conduction band site energies and intra-strand hopping integrals. When $r_j^+ = r_j$, Eq.2 is identical to the H am iltonian used by C reutz and H orvath [12] to describe chiral symmetry in quantum chromodynamics in which the terms proportional to r are introduced to make the \doublers" at q / heavier than the states at q / 0. In particular, we note that when t = h=2r, the band closes at q = but has a gap at q = 0.

The single particle parameters are taken from Anatram and Mehrez as determ ined by computing the Coulomb integrals between HOMO and LUMO levels on adjacent base pairs with in a double-strand B DNA sequence using density functional theory (B 3LY P/6-31G) [11]. Parameters used in our model are presented in Table 1. It is important to note that the asymmetry introduced with $r_j^+ \in r_j$ gives directionality between the 3'- and 5'- ends of the chain. Introducing these parameters into Eq. 2 leads to 4 separate cosine shaped bands corresponding to conduction and valence bands localized along each chain as show in Fig. 2.

The coupling between the conduction and valence bands is accomplished by introducing short-ranged C oulom b and exchange interactions as well as \dipoledipole" term s which couple gem inate electron-hole pairs on di erent sites and considering only single excitations,

H (12) =
$$h_1 + h_2 + \sum_{m,n}^{X} V_{m,n} A_m^Y A_n$$
 (3)



Fig. 2. (L) Band structure for hom ogeneous AT DNA chain.

where the A_m are spin-symmetrized composite operators that create or remove singlet or triplet electron/hole pairs in conguration in $i = j_1 j_1$ where $V_{mn} = m n j_1 m i + 2 s_0 m n j_2 m i$ where S = 1;0 is the total spin. [13,14,15,16]

In our model, we include three types of electron/hole interactions, an on-site direct C oulom b J = $hn\overline{n}jn\overline{n}i$, an on-site exchange term K = $hn\overline{n}j\overline{n}ni$, and an inter-site singlet exciton transfer term : $d_{mn} = {}_{S0}hm\overline{m}jn\overline{n}i$.

For simplicity, we take the on-site C oulomb interaction as $J = hn\bar{n}jh\bar{n}i$ and the on-site exchange interaction $K = hn\bar{n}\bar{j}ni$ to be adjustable parameters with values J = 2.5 eV and K = 1.0 eV for both purines and pyrim idines. We assume these interactions to be local since the distance at which the C oulom b energy between an electron/hole pair equals the therm all energy in aqueous ionicm edia at 300K is on the order of the base-stacking distance. Furtherm ore, such values are certainly in the correct range for conjugated cyclic organic systems. [13,14,15,16] Lastly, we estim ated the coupling between gem inate electron/hole pairs on di erent bases $hn\bar{n}jjn\bar{m}i$ via a point-dipole approximation by mapping the transition moments onto the corresponding base in the B DNA chain. These are obtained from the isolated bases by perform – ing single conguration interaction (C IS) calculations using the GAM ESS [17] quantum chemistry package on the corresponding 9-m ethylated purines and 1-m ethylated pyrimidines after optimizing the geometry at the HF/6-31 (d)G level of theory.

The use of the point-dipole approximation in this case is justiled mostly for convenience and given the close proximity of the bases, multipole terms should be included in a more complete model. [18] As a result, the matrix elements used herein provide an upper limit (in magnitude) of the couplings between geminate electron-hole pairs. Most importantly, however, the pointdipole approximation provides a robust means of incorporating the geometric arrangement of the bases into our model. [10]

3 Excited State D ynam ics: H om ogeneous Lattice

We now consider the fate of an initial singlet electron/hole pair placed either in the middle of the thymidine side of the chain or the adenosine side of the chain (i.e. a localized exciton with the electron and hole starting on the same site).We assume that such a conguration is the result of an photoexcitation at the appropriate photon energy (4.87 eV for the thymidine exciton and 5.21 eV for the adenosine exciton respectively) and based upon the observation that the UV absorption spectra largely represents the weighted sum of the UV spectra of the constituent bases. Since these are not stationary states, they evolve according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, which we integrate using the Tchebychev expansion of the time-evolution operator. [19]

In the top two frames of Fig. 3 we show the transient probability for nding an exciton placed on the adenosine (left) or thym idine (right) chain at time t = 0 in some other excitonic con guration along either the adenosine or thym idine chain at some time t later. In both cases, negligible exciton density is transferred between chains and the excitons rapidly become delocalized and scatter ballistically down the DNA chain.

There are some striking di erences, however, between the exciton dynamics in adenosine versus those in thym idine. First, in comparing the d_k matrix

D escription	Value	R eference
Intrachain e transfer: A _i A _{i+1}	$t_{ea} = +0.024 eV$	Ref. [11]
Intrachain e transfer: $T_i = T_{i+1}$	t _{eb} = 0:023eV	Ref. [11]
Interchain e transfer: A _i T _i	$h_e = +0.063 \text{ eV}$	Ref. [11]
Interchain 3'-3' e transfer: A $_{i}$ T $_{i+1}$	$r_{i}^{+} = 0.012 \text{ eV}$	Ref. [11]
Interchain 5'-5' e transfer: $A_i = T_{i1}$	$r_{i} = 0.016 \text{ eV}$	Ref. [11]
Intrachain h transfer: $T_i = T_{i+1}$	t _{hb} = 0:098eV	Ref. [11]
Intrachain h transfer: A $_i$ A $_{i+1}$	$t_{ha} = +0.021 eV$	Ref. [11]
Interchain h transfer: $A_i = T_i$	$h_h = +0.002eV$	Ref. [11]
Interchain 3'-3' h transfer: $A_i = T_{i+1}$	$r_{i}^{+} = 0.007 \text{ eV}$	Ref. [11]
Interchain 5'-5' h transfer: A_i T_{i1}	$r_{i} = +0.050 \text{ eV}$	Ref. [11]
Intrachain parallel dipole-dipole: T _i T _{i+1}	$d_k^T = 0:143eV$	Ref.[10]
Intrachain parallel dipole-dipole: A $_{i}$ A $_{i+1}$	$d_{k}^{A} = 0.0698 eV$	Ref.[10]
Interchain perpendicular dipole-dipole: A $_{\rm i}$ $T_{\rm i}$	$d_{?} = 0.099$	Ref.[10]
Interchain 3'-3' dipole-dipole: $A_i = T_{i+1}$	d ⁺ = 0:013eV	Ref.[10]
Interchain 5'-5' dipole-dipole: A $_{i}$ T $_{i1}$	d = 0:006eV	Ref.[10]
Site energies		
A (LUMO)	Ae = 0.259eV	Ref. [11]
A (HOMO)	$_{Ah} = 5:45eV$	Ref. [11]
T (LUMO)	_{Te} = 0:931eV	Ref. [11]
Т (НОМО)	_{Th} = 6:298eV	Ref.[11]

Table 1 Charge-transfer and exciton transfer terms for AT DNA. (B-FORM)

elements, one easily concludes that the exciton mobility along the thym idine chain is considerably greater than the mobility along the adenosine chain. This can be see in Fig 2. comparing the time required for an excitonic wavepacket to reach the end of either chain. In adenosine, the exciton travels nearly 5 base pairs in about 25 fs where as an exciton along the thym idine chain covers the same distance in about 10 fs. This factor of two di erence in the exciton velocity is commensurate with the 12 ratio of the $d_2^A : d_2^T$ intrachain excitonic couplings.

Secondly, we note that the adenosine exciton remains qualitatively more ∞ -hesive" than the thym idine exciton showing a number of ballistic traverses up and down the adenosine chain over the 300 fs we performed the calculation. One can also note that the exciton velocity in the 5'-3' direction is slightly



Fig. 3. Time evolution of excitonic density following excitation placed on T_5 (top-left) or A_5 (top-right) site. The bottom panels show the net charge on each site following excitation of T_5 (bottom -left) or A_5 (bottom -right)

greater than in the 3'-5' direction as evidenced by the exciton rebounds o site A_1 slightly sooner than it rebounds from site A_{10} . This is due to the asym – metry introduced in by the r and d term s. All in all, one can clearly note a series of strong recurrences for noting the adenosine exciton on the original site A_5 every 100 fs. The thym idine exciton dynam ics are farm ore complex as the exciton rapidly breaks apart. W hile few recursions can be noted, how – ever, after the rst ballistic traverse, the thym idine exciton no longer exists as a cohesive wavepacket and is more or less uniform ly distributed along the thym idine side of the chain.

The excitonic dynam ics only tell part of story. In the lower fram es of F ig. 3 we show the net charge taken as the di erence between the hole density and electron density on a given base. In the case where the initial exciton is on the adenosine chain, very little charge-separation occurs over the time scale of our calculation. On the other hand, when the exciton is placed on the thym idine



Fig. 4.N et probability for an exciton originating on the thym idine (left) or adenosine (right) side of the chain to remain as an exciton on the original chain, to be found as an exciton on the other chain, and total exciton population.

chain, the exciton almost immediately evolves into a linear combination of excitonic and charge-separated con gurations. W hat is also striking is that in neither case do either the electron or hole transfer over to the other chain even though energetically charge-separated states with the electron on the thymidine and the hole on the adenosine sides of the chain are the lowest energy states of our model. [10] It is possible, that by including dissipation or decoherence into our dynamics, such relaxation will occur, how ever, on a time scale dictated by cross-chain transfer terms. For the coupling terms at hand, electron or hole transfer across base pairs occurs on the time scale of 3-4 ps.

The di erence between the excitonic dynam ics following excitation of A vs. T can be quantitatively noted by comparing the curves shown in Fig. 4 where we com pare the projection of the time evolved state onto the excitonic con gurations of the chain on which the exciton was placed (P_{AA} and P_{TT}) compared to the projection onto the excitonic con gurations of the other chain (P_{AT}) and P_{TA}). For the case in which the adenosine chain was excited, approximately 75% of the total probability density remains as excitonic con gurations along the adenosine chain. In stark contrast, only about 40% of the initial thym idine exciton remains excitonic along the thymidine side of the chain. The reason for the remarkable di erence between the two chains stems from the di erence in electron and hole mobility along the thym idine chain. Indeed, com paring the electron and hole hopping term s given above, $t_{h} = t_{e}$ 4 for the thym idine 1 for along the adenosine chain. This is manifest chain compared to $t_h = t_e$ in the lower left panel of Fig. 3 where we see almost immediately a negative charge remaining for a few fs on the site where the initial excitation was placed. In contrast, no charge separation is seen following excitation along the adenosine side of the chain.



Fig. 5. Sam e as in Fig. 4 except averaging over ensemble of con gurations.

4 Excited State D ynam ics: E ects of Static D isorder

A proper model of the electronic dynamics in DNA must include some contribution from the solvent and environment. For DNA in water at 300K, we assume that the electronic processes described in our model are fast compared to the structural and environmental uctuations the DNA lattice itself such that the parameters for the B-DNA structure represent the average values for the system in aqueous media. For example, the characteristic time scale for the relative lateral and longitudinal motions of bases in DNA is 10 to 100 fs with amplitudes of 0.01 to 0.1 nm. [20] Since electronic interactions between bases are sensitive to the uctuations in the geometry of the DNA structure. factors such as salt concentration and other solvent media will have profound impact on the structure and hence on the model parameters.

Since short strands of DNA are fairly rigid, the electronic coupling terms are likely most sensitive to the upon the dihedral angle, $_{ij}$ between adjacent bases. If we take the uctuations in $_{ij}$ to be $^2 = k_B T = I \ ^2$ where I is the reduced moment of inertia of the AT base-pair and $= 25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is the torsional frequency. This gives an RMS angular uctuation of about 5% about the avg. $_{iji+1} = 35.4$ helical angle. Since this is a sm all angular deviation, we take the uctuations in the electronic terms to be proportional to 2 and sample these terms from norm all distributions about B-DNA average values.

The e ect of disorder on the excitonic dynam ics are seen in Fig. 5. As in case of the hom ogeneous lattice above, our initial state is a Frenkel exciton on thym idine # 5. The right-hand plot of Fig. 5 shows the probability of nding the time-evolved state in various excitonic con gurations. W hile the timescale for the exciton break up is slower than in the hom ogenous lattice, one can deduce that even with static o -diagonal disorder, the thym idine exciton



Fig. 6.RM S width of electron and hole densities vs.tim e for excitations originating on di erent sides of the AT chain.Top:Hom ogeneous chain,Bottom : averaging over 20 random lattice con gurations.

is subject to dissociate to charge-transfer (i.e. polaron) pairs on an ultrafast tim escale. The adenosine exciton appears to be more profoundly a ected by the lattice disorder with some population transfered to the thym idine chain. Since the total exciton population is lowered upon introducing disorder, the remaining excited state population exists as charge-separated pairs. A nalysis of the transfert excited state wavepackets (not-shown) indicates a sm all but signi cant fraction of A_i^+ T_i interstrand charge-transfer con gurations.

Lastly, we exam ine the relative m obilities of the electron and hole by calculating the rm s.width of the electron and hole densities on either chain following excitation, the results of which are shown in Fig. 6. In the top two plots are the rm s.widths for the hom ogeneous lattice following excitation. As discussed above, the hole is considerably more mobile than the electron along the thym idine side of the chain. This is very much evidenced by the more rapid spread of the hole density compared to the the electron density. Likewise, along the adenosine side, the conduction and valence bands have nearly identical bandwidths and the electron and hole densities evolve very much in concert. This scenario is preserved upon even averaging over con gurations indicating that to within a 5% error in our parameter set or the to within the typical therm al uctuations of a DNA chain, our predicted dynamics are quite robust.

5 Sum m ary

The results described herein paint a similar picture to that described by recent ultrafast spectroscopic investigations of (dA).(dT) oligomers in that the initial excitonic dynam ics is dom inated by base-stacking type interactions rather than by inter-base couplings. Interchain transfer is multiple orders of magnitude slower than the intrachain transport of both gem inate electron/hole pairs as excitons and independent charge-separated species. Indeed, for an exciton placed on the adenosine chain, our model predicts that exciton remains as a largely cohesive and gem inate electron/hole pair wave function as it scatters along the adenosine side of the chain. Our model also highlights how the difference between the mobilities in the conduction and valence bands localized along each chain impact the excitonic dynamics by facilitating the break up of the thym idine exciton into separate mobile charge-carriers. In the actual physical system, the mobility of the free electron and hole along the chain will certainly be dressed by the polarization of the medium and reorganization of the lattice such that the coherent transport depicted here will be replaced by incoherent hopping between bases.

The signi cance of the breakup of the exciton is twofold. First, it is well recognized that photoexcitation of adjacent stacked pyrim idine bases leads to the form ation of cis-syn cyclobutane pyrim idine-dimer lesions. However, this dimerization occurs only in the triplet (rather than singlet) excited state. [21] Consequently, spin- ip must occur ether via spin-orbit coupling or via recombination of polaron pairs.[16] If we assume that the spins are decorrelated at some intermediate distance $r / e^2 = kT$ where the Coulomb energy is equal to the them alenergy, photoexcitation of a thym ine sequence could rapidly result in a population of triplet excitons form ed by exciton dissociation followed by gem inate recombination. Secondly, the process is reversible and triplet reactivation of the dimer can lead to repair of the lesion.

Isolating the photoexcitation to the originally excited chain m inim izes the potential mutagenenic dam age to the DNA sequence since it preserves the com – plementary chain as an undam aged back-up copy of the genetic information. It is fascinating to speculate whether or not the isolation of a photoexcitation and its photoproducts to the original chain was an early evolutionary selection criteria for the eventual emergence of DNA as the carrier of genetic information. In conclusion, we present herein a rather compelling model for the shorttime dynamics of the excited states in DNA chains that incorporates both charge-transfer and excitonic transfer. It is certainly not a complete model and parametric re nements are warranted before quantitative predictions can be established. For certain, there are various potentially important contributions we have left out: disorder in the system, the uctuations and vibrations of the lattice, polarization of the media, dissipation, quantum decoherence. We hope that this work serves as a starting point for including these physical interactions into a more comprehensive description of this system.

6 A cknow ledgm ents

This work was funded in part by grants from the Robert W elch Foundation, and the National Science Foundation (CHE-0345324).

References

- [1] D. Markovitsi, D. Onidas, T. Gustavsson, F. Talbot, E. Lazzarotto, Collective behavior of franck-condon excited states and energy transfer in dna double helices., Journal of the American Chemical Society 127 (2005) 17130{17131.
- S.O.Kelley, J.K.Barton, Electron transfer between bases in double helical dna, Science 283 (1999) 375.
 URL http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/283/5400/375
- [3] C.E.Crespo-Hernandez, B.Cohen, B.Kohler, Base stacking controls excited state dynamics in a-t dna, Nature 436 (2005) 1141.
- [4] P.O.Low in, Proton tunneling in dna and its biological in plications, Rev. M od. Phys. 35 (1963) 724.
- [5] T. Schultz, E. Sam oylova, W. Radlo, V. H. Ingolf, A. L. Sobolewski, W. Domcke, E cient deactivation of a model base pair via excited-state hydrogen transfer, Science 306 (2004) 1765{8. URL http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/abstract/306/5702/1765
- [6] E.Em anuele, D.M arkovitsi, P.M illie, K.Zakrzew ska, Uv spectra and excitation delocalization in dna: In uence of the spectral width., Chem PhysChem 6 (2005) 1387{1393.
- [7] E.Emanuele, K.Zakrzewska, D.Markovitsi, R.Lavery, P.M illie, Exciton states of dynamic dna double helices: A lternating dodg sequences., Journal of Physical Chemistry B 109 (2005) 16109{16118.

 [8] D.Markovitsi, F.Talbot, T.Gustavsson, D.Onidas, E.Lazzarotto, S.Marguet, Molecular spectroscopy: Complexity of excited-state dynamics in dna, Nature 441 (2006) E7. URL

http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v441/n7094/pdf/nature04903.pdf

[9] C. E. Crespo-Hernandez, B. Cohen, B. Kohler, Molecular spectroscopy: Complexity of excited-state dynamics in dna (reply), Nature 441 (2006) E8. URL http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v441/n7094/pdf/nature04904.pdf

- [10] E.R.B ittner, Lattice theory of ultrafast excitonic and charge-transfer dynam ics in dna, The Journal of Chem ical Physics 125 (9) (2006) 094909. URL http://link.aip.org/link/?JCP/125/094909/1
- [11] H. Mehrez, M. P. Anantram, Interbase coupling for transport through dna, Phys.Rev.B 71 (2005) 115405. URL http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRB/v71/e115405
- [12] M. Creutz, I. Horvgath, Surface modes and chiral symmetry (wilson fermions in a box), Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Supp.) 34 (1994) 583.
- [13] S. Karabunarliev, E. R. Bittner, Polaron {excitons and electron {vibrational band shapes in conjugated polymers, The Journal of Chemical Physics 118 (9) (2003) 4291{4296.
 URL http://link.aip.org/link/?JCP/118/4291/1
- [14] S. Karabunarliev, E. R. Bittner, Dissipative dynamics of spin-dependent electron {hole capture in conjugated polymers, The Journal of Chemical Physics 119 (7) (2003) 3988{3995. URL http://link.aip.org/link/?JCP/119/3988/1
- [15] S. Karabunarliev, E. R. Bittner, Electrolum inescence yield in donor-acceptor copolym ers and diblock polym ers: A comparative theoretical study, The Journal of Chemical Physics 108 (29) (2004) 10219{10225.
- [16] S.Karabunarliev, E.R.Bittner, Spin-dependent electron-hole capture kinetics in lum inescent conjugated polymers, Physical Review Letters 90 (5) (2003) 057402.

URL http://link.aps.org/abstract/PRL/v90/e057402

- [17] M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, S. T. Elbert, M. S.Gordon, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, N. Matsunaga, K. A. Nguyen, S. J. Su, T. L. Windus, M. Dupuis, J.A. Montgomery, General atom ic and molecular electronic structure system, J. Comput. Chem 14 (1993) 1347.
- [18] B. Bouvier, T. Gustavsson, D. Markovitsi, P. Millie, Dipolar coupling between electronic transitions of the dna bases and its relevance to exciton states in double helices., Chemical Physics 275 (2002) 75{92.
- [19] H. Tal-Ezer, R. Koslo, An accurate and e cient scheme for propagating the time dependent schr[o-um laut]dinger equation, The Journal of Chem ical

Physics 81 (9) (1984) 3967{3971. URL http://link.aip.org/link/?JCP/81/3967/1

- [20] J.A.M cC am m on, S.C.H arvey, D ynam ics of proteins and nucleic acids, 2nd E dition, C am bridge University P ress, C am bridge, 1987.
- [21] R. P. Sinha, D.-P. Hadler, Uv-induced dna damage and repair: a review, Photochem .Photobiol.Sci.1 (2002) 225{236. URL doi:10.1039/b201230h