# Spontaneous sym m etry breaking in a system of strongly interacting multicom ponent ferm ions (electrons w ith spin and conducting nanotubes)

V.V.Afonin, V.L.Gurevich

A.F. Io e Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 194021 Saint Petersburg, Russia

# V.Yu. Petrov

Theory Division, Saint Petersburg, Nuclear Physics Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences

We calculate the ground state wave functions for a system s of multicomponent interacting ferm ions. We show that it describes the state with spontaneously broken chiral symmetry. In the lim it of an in nitely strong interaction it turns into a phase with a nite density of chiral complexes. The number of particles constituting a complex depends on the number of ferm ion components. For example, in the case of two component electrons (spin) the condensate is built of four-particle complexes consisting of two "right electrons and two "left holes with the opposite spins.

PACS num bers: 71.10 H f, 71.10 Pm ., 73.63 F g

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A dvances in sem iconductor technology have renewed interest in the properties of one-dimensional (1D) electron systems. It is well known that the electron-electron (e-e) interaction alters properties of 1D system qualitatively. To gain a better understanding of the problem one should try to clarify the physical question of a primary in portance: what is the nature of the ground state of this system? In order to get the answer one usually studies the ground state for 1D interacting ferm ions using the ,,density-density correlation functions. However this information is not direct. As it has been shown the correlation functions of the problem contain the terms that decay very slow ly. U sually they are interpreted in the following way: the oscillations with the Ferm i momentum ( $p_F$ ) doubled are related to the P eierls instability (R efs.<sup>1</sup>,<sup>2</sup>) while the oscillations behaving as  $4p_F$  are interpreted as a marginalW igner crystal<sup>3</sup>.

In Ref.<sup>4</sup> the wave function of the ground state of spinless fem ions was constructed for the exactly soluble Tom onaga { Luttingerm odel. It has been shown that at su ciently low tem peratures the system should be in the state that has nothing in common with a system undergoing the Peierls transition. It is a state with a spontaneously broken chiral symmetry. There is a long-range order in the electron system. In the limit of in nitely strong interaction, at low tem peratures a condensate of nite density is formed. It consists of neutral (exciton-like) pairs of a right electron and a left hole or vice versa. The uniqueness of a one dimensional (ID) system in pels one to consider a phase transition of the 2nd kind in a channel of a nite length  $L_k$ . The point is that the tem perature of the phase transition vanishes as  $1=L_k$  as it should be. Note that actually the phase transition tem perature need not be too sm all. For the length  $L_k$  10<sup>4</sup> cm it should be about 1 K. In other words, consideration of the limit  $L_k$ ! 1 cannot be su cient for description of the modern experiment.

Traditionally many-component ferm ions in the 1D systems have been extensively discussed in the literature. The interest was going to rouse by separation the spatial and spin degrees of freedom 1,2. In the present paper we are going to discuss the form of the ground state wave function for this case. As the variables are separable one can expect that the ground state wave function is a direct product of two factors where one of the factors, describing the spinless component, coincides with the ground state wave function of spinless ferm ions. In the present paper we show that in fact one has an entirely di erent situation. Namely, the most correlated state is the state where the total spin vanishes. However, for n-component electrons this state consists not of pairs (as in the case of the spinless ferm ions) but of pointlike neutral complexes containing 2n particles and having a chirality 2n.

For the ordinary electron system, n = 2, one has the complexes consisting of two right electrons and two left holes with opposite spins. For the conducting nanotubes n = 4 (Refs.5,6,7), and the complexes consists of eight particles. The complexes with a smaller number of particles can have a nonzero spin but their correlation is much weaker. For example, for n = 2 the spin phase can be realized only as a K osterlitz-Thouless one, and for the limit of in nitely strong interaction the density tends to zero as  $1 = \frac{1}{L_k}$ . By contrast, the spinless phase has for this limit a nite density.

This situation is typical form any eld-theoretical models with A dler anom aly (this is the case for Luttingerm odelas well). It is known that in such models the new ferm ion interaction ("t'H ooff interaction"<sup>8</sup>) can appear with a vortex which is a product over all components of ferm ions. In many cases t'H ooff interaction leads to the spontaneous breakdown of chiral invariance with 2n ferm ion order parameter. In particular, this is a case for multicomponent Schwinger model<sup>9</sup> and, as we shall see, for the Luttinger model in the lim it of in nitely strong interaction.

For last model the most correlated state is built out of complexes each of them having the maximal possible number of degrees of freedom. This state di ers qualitatively from the result of a common treatment, i. e. marginal W igner crystal (<sup>3</sup>). Instead of the phase transition of alm ost matching in the phase transition of alm ost second kind. In order to manifest breakdown of the chiral symmetry in the Luttinger liquid we have calculated exactly the wave function of the ground state in this model, Eq. (27), and demonstrated explicitly that its symmetry is less than the original symmetry of the H am iltonian. (This is the de nition of spontaneous symmetry breaking). These are basically the main results of the paper.

For one component ferm ion system pointlike complexes with more than 2 particles are forbidden by Pauli principle. This is not the case for the multicomponent ferm ion. For this reason, expression for the ground state wave function Eq. (27) is much more cumbersome and the calculations are more involved. Therefore we will present the results only for a short range potential in the limit of in nitely strong interaction. At the same time, in our case the physical picture is quite similar to that of the one component ferm ions. For instance, had one taken into account corrections in the reciprocal strength of interaction one would have gotten a K osterlitz-T houless phase for spinless complexes too. The strength of the interaction going up, it would be gradually transformed into a state of a de nite condensate density.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section I we have given a brief review of our results. Section II contains discussion of the main di erence between the multicom ponent problem and spinless one in regard of the theoretical description and most essential steps of calculation. In Section III we give arguments concerning the possibility to apply the theory to nanotubes. Appendix is devoted to a derivation of some intermediate results.

#### II. DESCRIPTION OF APPROACH AND DERIVATION OF THE MAIN RESULTS

O ur starting point will be the usual Tom onaga | Luttinger H am iltonian H (see, for instance, R efs.10, 2) for a system of interacting electrons without regard of the backscattering. For the case where the interaction does not change the electron spin the H am iltonian H can be expressed through the density of the right (R) and left (L) electrons

$$\%$$
 (x) =  $\%_{R}$ ; (x) +  $\%_{L}$ ; (x)

(the spin index equals for the spin 1=2 respectively) as

$$H = X X^{E} h_{R_{i}} (x) v_{F} (iQ_{i})^{R_{i}} (x) + Y^{V}_{L_{i}} (x) v_{F} iQ_{i} (x)$$

$$Z + dx dy^{2} (x) V (x Y)^{2} (y) :$$
(1)

Here  $v_F$  is the Ferm i velocity and

$$(x) = \exp(ip_{\rm F} x)^{\rm R}; \quad (x) + \exp(ip_{\rm F} x)^{\rm L}; \quad (x); \qquad (2)$$

while % is the total density. For simplicity, we assume that the interaction is spin-independent as well (it is not too essential for calculations). Now we introduce the electron and hole operators in the usual way

$$\hat{a}_{(R;L)} (\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{2} \exp((i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}) \hat{a}_{(R;L)} (\mathbf{p}) + \exp((i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}) \hat{b}_{(R;L)}^{V} (\mathbf{p}) =$$

$$\hat{a}_{(R;L)} (\mathbf{x}) + \hat{b}_{(R;L)}^{V} (\mathbf{x})$$

$$(3)$$

Here  $\hat{a}_{R,r}$  (x) is the operator of annihilation of an electron with spin + 1=2 while  $\hat{b}_{R,r}$  (x) is the operator of annihilation of a hole with spin 1=2. To write such interaction via functional integral it is necessary to introduce one Bose eld and apply a version of the Hubbard | Stratonovich identity<sup>11</sup>:

$$\exp \frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{Z} \int_{2}^{T} \int_{1}^{Z} \frac{dp}{2} \nabla (p) \otimes (p;t) \otimes (p;t) = \frac{1}{N} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{Z} \int_{2}^{T} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{Z} \int_{2}^{T} \int_{0}^{Z} (p;t) (p;t) \nabla^{-1} (p) + \frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{Z} \int_{2}^{T} \int_{0}^{Z} (p;t) (p;t) \nabla^{-1} (p) + \frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z} (p;t) (p;t) + \frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{1}^{Z} \int_{0}^{Z} \int_{0}$$

Here V (p) is the Fourier-transform of the e-e interaction and the norm alization factor is equal to

$$N = D \exp \frac{i}{2} \int_{0}^{2} dt \int_{1}^{2} \frac{dp}{2} (p;t) (p;t) V^{1}(p) :$$
(5)

This identity shows that the theory with e-e interaction is equivalent to a theory of non-interacting electrons in an external eld . (Had one taken a theory with spin-dependent interaction he has to introduce two independent Bose elds. The calculation would be more cumbersom e but the physical picture would be the same.)

Calculation of the ground state wave function is given in detail in  $Ref.^4$ . It is based on calculation of the evolution operator for the electrons

$$S (T) = \int_{m,n}^{X} j_n > \langle n j \exp (iHT) j_n \rangle \langle m j;$$
(6)

Here  $\dot{n} > are the exact wave functions of the Ham iltonian H in the secondary quantization representation, T is the observation time. S(T) determines the evolution of an arbitrary initial wave function (< m j) from the time t = 0 up to nal states <math>\dot{n} > (at t = T)$ . (Henceforth we imply that the Schrödinger representation for operator with time-dependent wave-functions is used.)

Eq. (6) suggests the general method to obtain the wave functions. One has to calculate inst the evolution operator and present it as a sum of time-dependent exponents. The coe cients in front of these exponents are products of the exact wave functions and their complex conjugates. In order to extract the ground state wave function one has to take the limit T ! 1 (we add in nitesimal imaginary part to the energy). Proceeding to Euclidean time (T ! i =) we see that evolution operator determ ines the density matrix for the equilibrium system at a non-zero temperature .

As for the case of spinless electrons ( $se^4$  for the details), one can present the evolution operator for the electrons in an external eld as

$$\hat{S}() = \exp (S_0 + \log [\text{Det } (T)])_{F} > < F_{I};$$
 (7)

Before integration over the elds the equation for  $\hat{S}$  () undergoes some changes in comparison with the spinless case. They amount to appearance of a factor n, the number of components of the electron wave function, in the equation describing the quantum uctuations in the electron system under the action of the eld (T) (in the spin case n = 2)

$$\ln [\text{Det }(\mathbf{T})] = \frac{n}{4} \int_{0}^{Z_{T}} dt dt_{1} \frac{dp}{2} (p;t) (p;t_{1}) p jexp [ip j_{f}]t t_{j}]: \qquad (8)$$

The operator structure of Eq. (7) is determined by the second part of action,  $S_0$ . Here one should take into account the spin index

7

$$S_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} X & D \\ dx dx^{0} & \hat{b}_{i}; & (x^{0}) G_{i}^{0} & (x^{0}; 0; x; ) \hat{a}_{i}; & (x) + \hat{a}_{i;}^{y} & (x^{0}) G_{i}^{0} & (x^{0}; T; x; T & \hat{b}_{i;}^{y} & (x) \\ & i = R; L; & i \\ & \hat{a}_{i;}^{y} & (x^{0}) G_{i}^{0} & (x^{0}; T; x; 0) \hat{a}_{i}; & (x) & \hat{b}_{i}; & (x^{0}) G_{i}^{0} & (x^{0}; 0; x; T) \hat{b}_{i;}^{y} & (x) ; \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(9)$$

where  $G_{i}^{0}$  is the spin-independent free particle G reen function

$$G_{R,L}^{0}(x;t;x_{1};t_{1}) = \frac{1}{2 i} [v_{f}(t t_{1}) (x t_{1}) i sign(t_{1})]^{1}:$$
(10)

Behaviour of the multicomponent ferm ions in the external eld is quite similar to the one-component case. E sential complication, however, appears after the integration of the operator  $\hat{S}$  () over with the weight (4).

Two points should be indicated.

The coe cient n in Eq. (8) that enters the equation for the action. Due to this coe cient, after calculation of the integral over the analytical structure of the resulting expression becomes much more complicated. As a result, in the integrals de ning wave functions of the multiparticle complexes, one gets cuts instead of simple poles, as in one component case. This leads to rather cum bersom e complex wave functions. In particular, complexes are non-local.

The nonlocal property brings about essential enhancem ent of the various electron states. A num ber of electron states is forbidden for one component electrons due to Pauli principle. In contrast to this, in the multicom ponent case the num ber of connected diagram s becomes in nite. This makes the expression for the ground state wave function rather cum bersome. However the very fact of existence of chiral phase for the in nitely strong interaction still persists.

Because of non-G aussian form of the nalfunctional integral it is in possible to perform the integration in Eq. (8) over (x;t) in a closed form but it is possible to obtain an arbitrary term of the evolution operator expanding it in  $S_0^n$ . It will be su cient in order to get the ground state wave function as we will have the integral of a G aussian type that can be easily calculated. A fler doing the nal integration over the nal recipe of calculation of the evolution operator can be written as a sum of the follow ing term s

 $S_0^n \quad \hat{a}_{(R;L)}; \hat{b}_{(R;L)}; \dots \text{ exp } S_n^e \quad f > < F f$ (11)

Here  $\mathbf{f} > \mathbf{is}$  the Ferm i "sphere\ while the term s in  $S_0^n$  determ ine the operator structure of the wave functions, i. e. all the possible particle con gurations as a result of their interaction. (11) is a sort of symbolic expression. Indeed, the analytic equation for the electric action  $S_n^e$  in the nth term of expansion depends explicitly on the particle con guration in the preexponential factor  $(S_0)^n$ . Naturally, it is dierent for dierent term s. Note that the evolution operator (6) is determined in such a manner that the initial state expressed through the electron and hole annihilation operators and the nal state determined through creation operators are given at dierent times. It means that during calculating the evolution operator one should consider the operators  $a_{R, rL}^{Y}$  (x) and  $a_{R, rL}$  (y) as anticommuting.

In order to write the expression for  $S_n^e$ , we introduce the following notation for the coordinates of the electron-hole creation-annihilation operators:

- 1. We will denote by x (y) the coordinates of the right (left) particles.
- 2. We will put a tide on the coordinates related to annihilation operators (the initial state): the coordinates of creation operators (the nal state) will have no tide.
- 3. W e will prim e the hole coordinates.

The elective action di ers from the action for the one-component ferm ions only by a factor and in the lim it of strong interaction

$$\frac{V(p)}{v_F}$$
 1

is equal

$$S_{e} = \frac{X}{nL} \frac{1}{\dot{p}_{m} j} [R_{f} (p; x_{1} :::) R_{f} (p; x_{1} :::) + R_{i} (p; x_{1} :::) R_{i} (p; x_{1} :::)]$$

$$\frac{2}{nL} \sum_{m \in 0}^{X} \frac{1}{\dot{p}_{m}} \exp \frac{\dot{p}_{n} \dot{y}_{f}}{p_{m}} R_{f} (p; x_{1} :::) R_{i} (p; x_{1} :::); \qquad (12)$$

The extra factor n is the number of the ferm ion components. It appears because in the Luttinger model the excitation spectrum is<sup>12</sup>:

$$s = \frac{1}{p_{p}} \frac{nV(p)}{v_{F}} + \frac{nV(p)}{v_{F}}$$
(13)

Eq. (12) is valid in the tem perature region

chiral 
$$c = ! (p_{m in})$$
:

The right-hand side of the last inequality is the energy of excitations with a minimal momentum (for periodic boundary conditions  $p_{min} = 2 = L_k$ ) while  $c_{hiral} = j_{min} j_{F}$  is the degeneracy temperature of the ground state. In this temperature region one can neglect the energy difference between the states of different chiralities. This means that the ground states with different chiralities becomes degenerate.

The origin of this inequality has been discussed in detail in  $\text{Ref.}^4$ . It is not sensitive to the number of the wave function components. For the temperatures  $_{\text{chiral}}$  the last term in Eq. (12) should be om itted. Then the corresponding equation will be valid for the low temperatures too (see detailed discussion in Ref.<sup>4</sup>).

The functions R  $_{i;f}$  (p;  $x_1 :::$ ) in the equation (12) depend explicitly on the electron (x::) and hole (x:::) coordinates in the preexponential factor. These functions are given by

$$R_{f}(p; x :::) = x (p) [exp (ipx) \\ x :::,x^{0} :::,y^{0} :::,y^{0} :::: (p) [exp (ipy)];$$

$$exp (ipx^{0})] + (p) [exp (ipy) exp (ipy^{0})];$$

$$R_{i}(p; x :::) = (p) [exp (ipx) \\ x :::,x^{0} :::,y^{0} :::,y^{0} ::: (p) [exp (ipx^{0})]]$$
(14)

To obtain the complete expression for the ground state wave function one has to consider all the complexes and separate the connected parts out of them. It is not necessary, however, since, according to the general theorem, the complete wave function is the exponent of the connected complexes<sup>13</sup>.

For one-component ferm ions there is only one possible 2-particle connected complex. This is not true for multi-component case, many of the scattering channels are possible, so the number of connected diagrams is in nite. In principle, one can calculate the exact wave function of any given complex taking the Gaussian integral in . Unfortunately, it is not enough to present the whole wave function of the system in a closed form, but actually in order to prove the existence of symmetry breaking we do not needed it. To be sure of the fact it is su cient to prove that the wave function symmetry is less than H am iltonian. In order to do this one should analyze the simplest connected diagram s bringing about a spontaneous breaking of the H am iltonian symmetry. The rest term s either have the symmetry of the H am iltonian or describe the scattering of the simplest correlated complexes and also violate the chiral symmetry.

Now we embark on analysis of the simplest diagram s of the evolution operator for the electrons having a spin. We will begin from the temperature region  $_{chiral}$ . In this case the action and therefore the evolution operator factorize so that one can consider explicitly the ground state wave function j >. The simplest nontrivial diagram we are interested in is

$$\frac{dx_{+} dx_{+}^{0} dx dx^{0}}{(2 i)^{2}} \frac{dy_{+} dy_{+}^{0} dy dy^{0}}{(2 i)^{2}} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{y}_{;+} (x_{+}) \hat{b}_{R}^{y}_{;+} x_{+}^{0}}{x_{+}^{0} x_{+} i} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{y}_{;} (x_{-}) \hat{b}_{R}^{y}_{;} x^{0}}{x^{0} x i} \frac{\hat{a}_{L}^{y}_{;+} (y_{+}) \hat{b}_{L}^{y}_{;+} y_{+}^{0}}{y_{+} y_{+}^{0} i} \frac{\hat{a}_{L}^{y}_{;} (y_{-}) \hat{b}_{L}^{y}_{;} y^{0}}{y_{-} y_{-}^{0} i} \exp \left[ \mathbb{S}_{e}^{f} (x_{+};:::) \right]_{F}^{F} > : \quad (15)$$

Further on we will see that the term s with a sm aller num ber of operators give a weaker correlation than Eq. (15).

The action for this electrons-holes con guration is

Ζ

$$S_{e}^{f}(x; ;:::) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{Q}{Q}_{p_{0}}(x; ;:::) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{Q}{Q}_{p_{0}}$$

In fact, it di ers from the corresponding expression for one-component ferm ions by the factor 1=2. This factor leads to the singularities of the integrand Eq. (15) which are cuts instead of simple poles in one component case. This prevents us from explicit calculation of the integral.

Nevertheless, it is possible to recognize the spontaneous breakdown of the chiral symmetry in our system. To do this, several steps are necessary. First, we have to analyze what new bound complexes appeared as a result of interaction. We have to take the arbitrary connected diagram and try to separate complexes with a smaller number of particles out of it. To do this one has to consider all particles in one complex as being one close to another, while the distances between di erent complexes are large. If the full wave function in this limit decays into the product of two wave functions, one depending only on the coordinates of rst complex, the other only on coordinates of the second one, then the complexes can be considered as new "free particles"<sup>14</sup>, as the probability to nd one such complex does not depend on the position of another one. In other words, we should present a term of expansion of evolution operator we consider as a product of the form :

$$\frac{dx_{+} dy_{+}}{2 \text{ i}} :::: \hat{a}_{R, t^{+}}^{Y} (x_{+}) :::: K (x_{+}; :::; y_{+} :::;) a_{L, t^{+}}^{Y} (y_{+}) :::::$$

Then we should check that provided the complex  $(x_+; :::;)$  is moved of from the complex  $(y_+ :::;)$  over the distance of the order of  $L_k$  the amplitude K  $(x_+; :::;y_+;::)$  tends not to zero (as is usually the case with scattering amplitudes) but to the factorized product k  $(x_+; :::;) k_1 (y_+;::)$ . Here each factor depends on the variables of the rst or second group. This means that two complexes are formed as a result of the interaction. If the intercom plex distance is large enough, their contribution to the wave function can be presented as follow s

$$\sum_{p \neq 1}^{Z} \frac{dx_{+}}{2 i} ::::k (x_{+} ::::) \hat{a}_{R,+}^{Y} (x_{+} :::) \sum_{p \neq 1}^{Z} \frac{dy_{+}}{2 i} k_{1} (y_{+} ::::) \hat{a}_{L,+}^{Y} (y_{+} :::) f > :$$

The rem nant part of K (which is K k  $_1$ ) is a connected diagram which describes the intercom plex scattering. The theorem of logarithm connectedness<sup>13</sup> guarantees that the same connected com plexes will appear in the next orders as well with correct com binatorial coe cients and the nal answer is an exponent of connected com plexes. In particular, the rst order term

$$\frac{dx_{+}}{p_{-1}} ::: k (x_{+} ; :::) a_{R,+}^{y} (x_{+}) ::: F >$$

should appear in the expansion of evolution operator directly, unless it is forbidden by some conservation law (e.g. chirality conservation for the lowest temperature case in our model). In this case one has to use the projector on the proper state as in (Eq 24)).

W hether a phase transition of the second kind exists or not, depends on the sym m etry of the com plexes  $A_{R,+}^{y}$  (x<sub>+</sub>)::: If they are less sym m etric than the initial H am iltonian one has a sym m etry breaking. As a result it is possible to introduce a non-vanishing order parameter in the less sym m etric phase while it vanishes in the m ore sym m etric one where the com plexes do not exist. (M ore precisely, taking into account a uctuations of the low sym m etry phase in phase with non-broken sym m etry one can state that an order parameter should not enhance with  $L_k$  in the last case. Our de nition the order parameter is given in Eq.(29).) A coording to Landau (see Landau and Lifshitz<sup>15</sup>) this is the de nition of the phase transition of the second kind. If, however, the sym m etries of all the connected com plexes and of the H am iltonian are the sam e one has a long-range correlations without spontaneous sym m etry breaking.

Now we embark on analysis of the simplest diagram s of the evolution operator. By analogy with the theory of one component ferm ions one could assume that the simplest connected diagram s originate from the term

$$\frac{dx_{+} dx_{+}^{0}}{2 i} \frac{dy}{2 i} \frac{dy^{0}}{2 i} \frac{a_{R,+}^{y} (x_{+}) \hat{b}_{R,+}^{y} x_{+}^{0}}{x_{+}^{0} x_{+} i} \frac{a_{L}^{y} (y) \hat{b}_{L}^{y} y^{0}}{y y^{0} i} \exp \left[\mathbb{S}_{e}^{f} (x_{+};::)\right] \mathbf{F} > :$$
(17)

However, because of the factor n  $^{1}$  the corresponding contribution to the action is

$$S_{e}^{f}(x_{+};:::) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(x_{+} \quad y + i) \quad x_{+}^{0} \quad y^{0} + i}{x_{+}^{0} \quad y + i \quad x_{+} \quad y^{0} + i} :$$
(18)

The bound chiral complexes are determined by the singularities of the integrand at  $j\mathbf{x}^0_+$  y j d;  $j\mathbf{x}_+$  y<sup>0</sup> j d;  $j\mathbf{x}_+$  y j  $L_k$  (here d is the width of the conductor). As a result, the contribution we are interested in is of the order

$$dx_{+} dy \ \hat{a}_{R}^{y} (x_{+}) \hat{b}_{L}^{y} (x_{+}) \hat{a}_{L}^{y} (y) \hat{b}_{R}^{y} (y) \frac{d}{jx_{+} y j} F > :$$

This quantity tends to 0 at  $\dot{\textbf{x}}_+$  y j! 1 , but more slow ly than for free particle  $^{16}$  case.

Now we will show that the most correlated state can be obtained from Eq.(15). It can be split into two four-particle complexes, each with zero spin, having the chiral charges 4  $(a_{R,i}^y, a_{R,j}^y, \hat{b}_{L,i}^y, \hat{b}_{L,i}^y)$ , and  $a_{L,i}^y, \hat{a}_{L,j}^y, \hat{b}_{R,j}^y, \hat{b}_{R,j}^y)$ . The amplitude K in Eq.(15) factorizes and does not tend to 0 at  $L_k$  ! 1 : Indeed, the c-factor in the integrand is

$$K (x_{+};:::) = \frac{1}{x_{+}^{0}} \xrightarrow{x_{+}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\frac{x^{0} \times i}{y}} \frac{1}{y_{+}} \frac{1}{\frac{y_{+}}{y}} \frac{1}{y_{+}} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y^{0} i}}{\frac{y^{0} + i}{y}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\frac{y^{0} + i}{y}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\frac{y^{0} + i}{y}}}{\frac{r}{y}} \frac{1}{\frac{y^{0} + i}{y}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{y}}{\frac{y^{0} + i}{y}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y}}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{y}}{y} \frac{1}{y} \frac{1}{$$

Now, let us consider the regions of integration  $x_+ x y^0 y_+^0$  and  $x_+^0 x^0 y_+ y$  assuming that the distances between these groups of variables are of the order of  $L_k$ . Then the amplitude K tends to

$$V_{+4} (x_{+};:::) V_{4} x_{+}^{0};::: =$$

$$= 1 = \begin{array}{c} Q \\ = 1 = \begin{array}{c} x_{+} & y_{+}^{0} + i & x_{+} & y^{0} + i & x & y^{0} + i \\ Q \\ = \begin{array}{c} Q \\ = \end{array} \\ 1 = \begin{array}{c} x_{+}^{0} & y_{+} + i & x_{+}^{0} & y + i & x^{0} & y_{+} + i & x^{0} & y + i \end{array};$$

$$(20)$$

This means that each am plitude V depends on the variables belonging either to the store to the second group. This property of the am plitude perm its one to single out of the full equation for the evolution operator the connected com plexes and the am plitude of intercom plex scattering that tend to 0 for large intercom plex distances.

This term of expansion, besides the chiral complexes, has also neutral ones with zero chirality,  $\hat{a}_{R\,;+}^{y} \hat{b}_{R\,;+}^{y} \hat{a}_{L\,;}^{y} \hat{b}_{L\,;+}^{y}$  and  $\hat{a}_{R\,;}^{y} \hat{b}_{R\,;+}^{y} \hat{a}_{L\,;+}^{y} \hat{b}_{L\,;+}^{y}$ ,  $\hat{b}_{R\,;+}^{y} \hat{a}_{L\,;+}^{y} \hat{b}_{L\,;+}^{y}$ , and  $\hat{a}_{R\,;+}^{y} \hat{b}_{R\,;+}^{y} \hat{a}_{L\,;+}^{y} \hat{b}_{L\,;+}^{y}$ . They do not violate the symmetry of the Ham iltonian. However, they should be singled out, so that the remaining scattering am plitude tended to zero in the whole region of the variable variation. This permits one to interpret it as the intercom plex scattering am plitude. The zero chirality complexes are not in portant for existence of the phase transition. However, one should take them into account for calculation of the matrix elements as the theory has no sm all parameter to neglect them. To check that they exist we consider in (15) the region  $x_{+}$   $x_{+}^{0}$  y  $y^{0}$  x  $x^{0}$  y  $y^{0}$ . In this region the amplitude K tends to  $V_{0}$  ( $x_{+}$ ;:::)  $V_{0}$  ( $x_{-}$ ;:::) where

$$V_{0} (x ;:::) = \frac{1}{(x^{0} x i) y y^{0} i} \frac{q}{y^{0} + i} \frac{(x y + i) x^{0} y^{0} + i}{x y^{0} + i x^{0} y^{0} + i}:$$
(21)

This means that this quantity can be presented as a product of the amplitudes, each of them remaining nite provided the distance between them tends to in nity.

Now it is convenient to introduce the amplitude of intercomplex scattering  $V_{coll}$ . By derivation, it tends to zero, the intercomplex distance tending to 1 :

$$V_{\text{coll}}(x_{+};:::) = K(x_{+};:::) V_{4}(x_{+};:::) V_{4}(x_{+}^{\circ};:::) V_{0}(x_{+};:::) V_{0}(x_{+};:::) V_{0}(x_{+};:::)$$
(22)

The contribution to the ground state wave function we are interested in can be presented through these amplitudes as

$$\frac{dx_{+} dx_{+}^{0} dx}{(2 i)^{2}} \frac{dy_{+} dy_{+}^{0} dy}{(2 i)^{2}} \hat{a}_{R}^{y}_{,+} (x_{+}) \hat{b}_{R}^{y}_{,+} x_{+}^{0} \hat{a}_{R}^{y}_{,-} (x_{-}) \\
\hat{b}_{R}^{y}_{,-} x^{0} \hat{a}_{L}^{y}_{,+} (y_{+}) \hat{b}_{L}^{y}_{,+} y_{+}^{0} \hat{a}_{L}^{y}_{,-} (y_{-}) \hat{b}_{L}^{y}_{,+} y^{0} \\
N_{+4} (x_{+}; :::) V_{-4} x_{+}^{0}; :::: + V_{0} (x_{+}; :::) V_{0} (x_{-}; :::) + V_{coll} (x_{+}; :::) f^{-} > : (23)$$

First term here describes the non-interacting complexes with non-zero chirality, second describes the 4-particle neutral complexes and third (connected part) is related to their interaction. We are mainly interested in rst term as it is connected with breakdown of chiral symmetry.

The chiral complex which we obtained is already a connected one and cannot be separated to simpler ones. This means that its wave function is a decreasing function of interparticle distances. It is shown in the Appendix that probability to nd particles of the complex far from each other is negligibly sm all.

Besides, one should take into consideration that in the temperature interval  $_{chiral}$  where one need not consider the last term in Eq. (12) there is one to one correspondence between the complexes with chirality Q = 4 and Q = 4, so that the total chirality of the state equals zero. The theorem of logarithm connectedness states that the ground state wave function can be presented as

Here  $P_{C=0}$  is the projector on the state with zero chirality. The sym bol Tr includes the integrations in over particle coordinates. The term s om itted in Eq. (24) describe scattering of three and m ore com plexes while all the elementary com plexes are present here. M ark that the com plexes with non-zero chirality have appeared in the theory. Nevertheless, the wave function of the ground state as a whole described the state with Q = 0 in this tem perature region, i.e. the sym metry of the ground state is the same as that of the H am iltonian. The states with nonzero chirality have a bigger energy (of the order of 2  $v_F = L_k$ ). Therefore the spontaneous sym metry breaking may take place only in the region of higher tem peratures

 $_{chiral}$  where such an energy di erence is not essential. In this temperature region one should consider in the equation (9) for the action S<sub>0</sub> also the term where the time arguments of the G reen functions dier by T. (Practically it is more convenient to introduce the temperature by replacement T ! i= in the nalequations.) Then one has the following non-trivial term in the operator of evolution

$$Tr \frac{1}{(2 \ i)^{4}} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{Y}_{,+} \ (x_{+}) \hat{a}_{R} \ (x_{+})}{x_{+} \ x_{+} + v_{f} T \ i} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{Y}_{,+} \ (x_{-}) \hat{a}_{R} \ (x_{-})}{x \ x_{+} + v_{f} T \ i} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{Y}_{,+} \ (x_{-}) \hat{a}_{R} \ (x_{-})}{x \ x_{+} + v_{f} T \ i} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{Y}_{,+} \ (x_{-}) \hat{a}_{R} \ (x_{-})}{x \ x_{+} + v_{f} T \ i} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{Y}_{,+} \ (x_{-}) \hat{a}_{R} \ (x_{-}) \hat{a}_{R} \ (x_{-})}{x \ x_{+} + v_{f} T \ i} \frac{\hat{a}_{R}^{Y}_{,+} \ (x_{-}) \hat{a}_{R} \ (x_{-})$$

The action  $S_e^{f}$  for this conguration is

$$\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{Q}{\frac{Q}{p_{\text{in}}}}; \underbrace{\overset{\circ}{}_{\text{in}}}_{\text{j}} \underbrace{y^{0} \quad y^{0} \quad \Psi T + i \quad (x \circ x \circ \Psi T + i)}_{\text{j} \circ \underline{y}^{0} + i \quad (y^{0} \circ x \circ + i)};$$
(26)

It is readily seen out of the operator structure of this term that the amplitude  $V_4$  appears here autom atically (without extracting the amplitudes of the neutral complexes and channels of scattering). It is a consequence of the theorem of logarithm connectedness. It guarantees coincidence of the amplitude in this term with  $V_4$ . Indeed, in the region where the same variables with spin up and spin down are quite near to each other (for instance, x = x) while the coordinates in the creation and annihilation operators are apart at the distance of the order of  $L_k$  (x = x = 1 all the c-factor in the integrand of (25) turns into the factorized expression

$$V_{+4}$$
 (x<sub>+</sub>;:::)  $V_{4}$  (x<sub>+</sub>;:::):

This proves that the chiral four particle complexes were singled properly out of a more complicated expression (22). Such terms in the evolution operator result in any chirality of the ground state. However, any state with a xed chirality should be unstable relative to the backscattering, how ever weak, violating the chirality. Therefore one should consider a superposition of all such states. As a result, one has, in the same way as in the theory of superconductivity, to introduce a condensate with a xed phase instead of a state with a xed chirality:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{j} > &= \exp^{X} \quad \mathrm{Tr} \; \frac{1}{(2 \; \mathrm{i})^{2}} \mathrm{V}_{+\,4} \; \mathbf{x} \; ; \mathbf{x} \; ; \mathbf{y}^{0} \; ; \mathbf{y}^{0} \; \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \;\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \; \left(\mathrm{x} \;\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \; \left(\mathrm{y} \;\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \; \left(\mathrm{y} \;\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \; \left(\mathrm{y} \;\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \; \left(\mathrm{x} \;\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \; \left(\mathrm{x} \;\right) \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \;$$

Eq. (27) dem onstrates that for a strong electron-electron interaction a spontaneous sym m etry breaking takes place. Two rst term s in the equation for the ground state wave function are not invariant under the chiral transform ation

$$_{R;L}(x) ! exp(i)_{R;L};$$
 (28)

while the Ham iltonian still retains the invariance. (Here is a constant.)

Ζ

...

Such a form of the bound state perm its to introduce the order parameter. It equals to zero (or, to be more exact, not grows with  $L_k$ ) in the phase of high symmetry ( $_c = !_{p_{m in}}$ ) and is proportional to  $L_k$  in the phase of low symmetry for low temperatures. (One can prove the statement using ordinary symmetry considerations or by direct analytical calculation.) For our case the following quantity can be considered as the order parameter

$$dx < j\hat{a}_{R}^{y}, (x) \hat{a}_{R}^{y}, (x) \hat{b}_{L}^{y}, (x) \hat{b}_{L}^{y}, (x) j > L_{k}:$$
(29)

O ne can see from Eq. (29) as to why the phase transition of the second kind dem ands the chirality degeneracy of the ground state. For the order parameter to be nonvanishing it is necessary to be able to add to the ground state an extra four particle com plex. This dem ands condition chiral because zero chirality state has the lowest energy and the energy di erence between the state and other ones about chiral. There is also the upper bound of existence of the low symmetry phase

$$! p_{m in} :$$
(30)

This limitation is due to the fact that in one dimensional system s the long range order is suppressed by the therm al excitations. In the temperature region given by Eq. (30) one can neglect it; this condition does

not depend on the num ber of the ferm ion components and is discussed in detail  $\ln^4$ . So, the temperature region

$$_{chiral}$$
 <  $_{c}$  (31)

is the region where the broken phase exists.

Thus in the system of interacting multicomponent fermions the most correlated state consists of 2n operators and has the chirality 2n. It is this state that in the limit of in nitely strong interaction results in the phase transition of the second kind. At the same time the spin complexes of a smaller number of operators, violating the symmetry of the ground state, can exist only as a Kosterlitz | Thouless phase. For the limit of in nitely strong interaction their density tends to zero as  $1 = \frac{1}{L_k}$ . By contrast, the spin less phase has for this case a nite density. This rule is quite general.

### III. CARBON NANOTUBES

The conducting carbon nanotubes give one more example of multicomponent electrons. In order to generalize the theory developed above one should have one dimensional conducting tubes and such e-e interaction that could be rewritten in the density-density form | see Eq.(1). It means that one should be able to neglect a backward and inter-component (see below) scattering. Following Ref. 17 one can visualize a nanotube as a cylinder constructed of a monoatom ic layer of graphite. The latter has a lattice of adjoining regular hexagons, so that the angle between the neighboring basis vectors,  $n_a$  and  $n_b$  is 2 =3. Choosing the coordinates  $_1$  and  $_2$  in such a way that axis 0  $_1$  is parallel to a while axis 0  $_2$  is perpendicular to a one can present these vectors as

$$a = a(1;0); b = a(1=2; 3=2):$$
 (32)

a is the lattice constant that is equal to  $d^{p}$ . 3, d = 1.44 A being the interatom ic distance<sup>18</sup>.

The circum ferential vector L can be written as

$$L = n_a a + n_b b:$$
(33)

Here  $n_a$  and  $n_b$  are integers.

The electron e ective H am iltonian for a graphite sheet is

$$H = \begin{array}{c} 0 & h \\ h & 0 \end{array}$$
(34)

It can be expanded in the vicinity of the points

$$P = (4 = 3a)(1;0); P^{0} = (4 = 3a)(1;0)$$
 (35)

up to the rst power in the small deviations p and  $p^0$  from the values given by rst and second Eq. (35) respectively

$$h(P;p) = e^{i}(p_{?}, ip_{?}); h(P^{0};p^{0}) = e^{i}(p_{?}, ip_{?}^{0}):$$
 (36)

Here =  $\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{0}a$ ; 0 3eV (see 17,18) is the transfer integral between the neighboring orbitals while is the angle between vectors L and a. The subscripts z and ? refer to the components of p relative to the direction of L, namely  $p_z$ ? L and  $p_r$  kL, so that  $p_z$  ( $p_r$ ) is parallel (perpendicular) to the axis of the tube.

The spectrum near the point P is given by

$$E (P;p) = \frac{q}{p_z^2 + p_z^2}$$
 (37)

where the upper (low er) sign corresponds to the conduction (valence) band in this equation. The spectrum near the P<sup>0</sup> point is obtained by the replacement  $p ! p^0$ .

The electron wave function (r) should satisfy the cyclic boundary condition

$$(r) = (r + L);$$
 (38)

so that the discrete values of  $p_2$  and  $p_2^0$  are given by (see Ref. 17)

$$p_{?} = \frac{2}{J_{L}j} n - \frac{1}{3} ; p_{?}^{0} = \frac{2}{J_{L}j} n + \frac{1}{3} ;$$
 (39)

Here n = 0; 1; 2;:::while j\_j =  $a n_a^2 + n_b^2 n_a n_b$ ; = 0 or 1 and is determined by the presentation of the sum  $n_a + n_b$  as 3N + (N) being an integer). The nanotubes are conductive (m etallic) for the combination

$$n = 0$$
 (40)

and we will consider this case for our further analysis. In other words, in such tubes there are two conic bands, i.e. the points  $_{\rm P}$  P with  $_{\rm P}$  = 1. The big phase corresponding to the momentum  $_{\rm P}$  P should be extracted in the same way as it has been done in Eq. 2. Besides, we assume that due to the presence of gate electrodes the Ferm i level is well above (or below) the points  $_{\rm P}$  P (cf. with Ref.<sup>6</sup>). As a result, we will have a theory with four-component ferm ions. There are two extra branches corresponding to two values of P. In each of them there are analogues of R-and L-particles. To introduce them one should, in full analogy with Eq. (2), separate the corresponding phase factors with large phases. In order to establish correspondence between the present m odel and the Luttinger one we should be able to neglect both the transitions between di erent branches (di erent values of  $_{\rm P}$  ) and within the same branch between Rand L-particles. As indicated in Ref. 19, the nanotubes have com paratively large radii that encom pass 1) atom s. Therefore the only e-e scattering that is in portant in this lim it is the forward one with (N that involves a sm all quasim om entum transfer. The matrix element describing the backscattering within a band as well as the P  $P^{0}$  scattering acquire an extra sm all factor of the order of 1=N. This is why one can neglect these types of scattering. This means that we can use the results obtained in Section II. Repeating the arguments of this section for n = 4 one can come to the conclusion that a condensate is form ed in the ground state. It consists of the eights of the form

$$\hat{a}_{R;;P}^{y}(x) \hat{a}_{R;P}^{y}(x) \hat{b}_{L;;P}^{y}(x) \hat{b}_{L;P}^{y}(x) \hat{b}_{L;P}^{y}(x) \hat{a}_{R;P}^{y}(x) \hat{a}_{R;P}^{y}(x) \hat{a}_{R;P}^{y}(x) \hat{b}_{L;P}^{y}(x) \hat{b}_{L;$$

Their chirality is 8.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

V.V.A. and V.L.G. are grateful for partial support the work by the Russian National Foundation for Basic Research, grant No 06-02-16384.

## APPENDIX A: CHARACTERISTIC DIMENSIONS OF CORRELATED COMPLEXES

The simplest way to give the proof of existence of a bound chiral complex and to determ ine its characteristic dimensions is to consider the state with a single chiral complex:

$$j_{c} > = Tr^{4} \frac{\hat{a}_{R, t}^{y} (x_{t}) \hat{a}_{R, t}^{y} (x_{t}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t})}{\frac{Q}{Q}} \frac{f_{R, t}^{y} (x_{t}) \hat{a}_{R, t}^{y} (x_{t}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t})}{f_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) (x_{t}) (x_{t})^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) + 1)} \frac{f_{R, t}^{y} (x_{t}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t})}{f_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) (x_{t}) (x_{t})^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) + 1)} \frac{f_{R, t}^{y} (x_{t}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) \hat{b}_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t})}{f_{L, t}^{y} (y^{0}_{t}) (y^{0}_{t})$$

For instance, one can calculate the probability to nd an electron with spin up at the distance  $j_{z_+} z_j > d$  from the electron with spin down as

< 
$$_{c}ja_{R}^{y}{}_{;+}$$
 (z, )  $a_{R}{}_{;+}$  (z, )  $a_{R}^{y}{}_{;}$  (z )  $a_{R}{}_{;}$  (z )  $j_{c} > :$ 

M oving all the creation operators to the right and all the annihilation operators to the left one gets  $A^2 = \dot{z}_+$  z f. Here A is a constant equal to

Z dx  $(1 + x)^2 + 2^{1=2}$   $(1 - x)^2 + 2^{1=2}$ :

This means that the most probable is the particle conguration where  $j_{z_+} = z = j$  d (to get the physical parameter one should replace, as usual, by d). That is the right and left electrons with the opposite spins are in fact always near one another forming a chiral complex. In this sense the chiral fours are point-like entities as the R  $\overline{L}$ -pairs for one component fermions. In the same way one can give estimates of the distances between all the particles belonging to a four-particle complex.

The sam e calculation for a neutral four-particle com plex are a little m ore cum bersom e. The state with a single neutral pair is described by the wave function

$$j_{0} > = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{a}_{R}^{y}, (x) \hat{b}_{R}^{y}, x^{0} \hat{a}_{L_{f^{+}}}^{y}, (y_{+}) \hat{b}_{L_{f^{+}}}^{y}, y_{+}^{0}$$

$$q = 3$$

$$x^{0} \quad x \quad i^{-1} y_{+} \quad y_{+}^{0} \quad i^{-1} \frac{(x \quad y_{+} + i) x^{0} \quad y_{+}^{0} + i}{x \quad y_{+}^{0} + i \quad x^{0} \quad y_{+} + i} 5 \text{ } \text{F} > : \quad (A2)$$

In order to nd the characteristic size of a neutral complex consider the matrix element

$$<_{0}\dot{f}_{R}^{y}$$
; (z)  $a_{R}$ ; (z)  $\hat{a}_{L}^{y}$ ; (z, )  $\hat{a}_{L+}$  (z, ) j  $_{0}$  >

It is equal to

$$Tr^{0} x^{0} \overline{x}^{0} i^{1} \frac{1}{y^{0}_{+}} y^{0}_{+} i^{1} \overline{x^{0}} + i^{1} \frac{1}{y^{0}_{+}} y^{0}_{+} i^{1} \frac{1}{x^{0} + i^{1}} \frac{1}{y^{0}_{+} + i^{1}} \frac{1}{y^{0}_{+} + i^{1}} \frac{1}{(z + z + i)z + \overline{x^{0}} \overline{y^{0}_{+}} i}{\frac{1}{z + \overline{x^{0}} \overline{y^{0}_{+}} i} \frac{1}{z + \overline{x^{0}} y^{0}_{+} i} \frac{1}{z + \overline{x^{0}} y^{$$

 $(Tr^0 \text{ in plies that one should integrate over all the variables besides z ). The exact expression for this matrix element for arbitrary values of <math>Z = z_+ - z_-$  is rather cumbersome and non-informative. It is su cient to prove that the most probable is the particle conguration where Z = d.

To do this let us note that for Z all the integrals in Eq. (a3) converge and are dom inated by the regions of the order . Let us show that for Z the matrix element (A3) has an additional small factor = Z.Consider, for instance, the integration over  $x^0$ . Only the factor

$$\frac{z \quad z + x^{0} \quad y^{0} + i}{z \quad z \quad z + x^{0} + i} :$$
(A 4)

in the integrand has a singularity in the lower sem iplane. The rest integrand does not depend of Z and has singularities only in the upper sem iplane. In the main approximation in = Z (A4) tends to 1. (Z; while the regions  $x^0; y^0_+$ , giving the main contribution into the integral ). Hence in this approximation all the integral (A3) vanishes. It is nonzero only in the next approximation due to the factors of the sort  $x^0 + i = (z + z)$  1. Thus the probability to not the electrons we are interested in at a large distance is small. Most probable is a four where these particles are at the distances d.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J.Voit, Rep. Prog. Phys. 57, 977 (1994).

- <sup>2</sup> V.J.Emery, in "Highly Conducting One-D imensional Solids", ed.J.T.Devræese et al. (Plenum, New York, 1979), p.327.
- <sup>3</sup> H.J.Schulz, Phys. Rev Let. 71, 1864 (1993).
- <sup>4</sup> V.V.A fonin and V.Yu.Petrov, cond-m at/0407351
- <sup>5</sup> A.A.O dintsov and Hideo Yoshika, Phys. Rev.B 59, R10457 (1999).
- <sup>6</sup> R.Egger and A.O.G ogolin, Phys.Rev.Let.79, 5082 (1997).
- <sup>7</sup> C.Kane, L.Balents, and M.P.A.Fisher, Phys. Rev. Let. 79, 5086 (1997).
- <sup>8</sup> G't Hooft, Phys. Rev. D 14 p 3432 (1976)
- <sup>9</sup> A.V.Smilga, Phys.Rev.D 46, 5598 (1992)
- <sup>10</sup> G D M achan, "M any Particle Physics" Plenum Press, New York, (1993).
- <sup>11</sup> J.Hubbard, Phys.Rev.Let. 3, 77 (1959).
- <sup>12</sup> A lexei M. T. svelik, "Quantum Field Theory in Condenced Matter Physics", Cambridge, University Press (1998).
- <sup>13</sup> See, for example, Slavnov A.A., Faddeev L.D. "Introduction to the quantum eld theory". M oskow, "N auka" (1978). This theorem is, in fact, a purely combinatorial statement. In the eld theory we apply it mostly to G reen functions. In statistical physics it is known as the rst M ayer's theorem (U hlenbek G E., Ford G W. and M ontroll E W. "Lectures in statistical mechanics," A merican M athematical Society, P rovidence (1963)).
- <sup>14</sup> Note that the analogy with a bound state is quite limited. It would be more correct to write about a correlation in the momentum space.
- <sup>15</sup> L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, "Statistical Physics", Pergamon, 1986.
- <sup>16</sup> This means that even in the strong interaction lim it the spin phase can exist as a Kosterlitz | Thouless phase with the Thouless constant of the order of 1/2. We assign the chirality + 1 to a right electron and a left hole and 1 to their counterparts.
- <sup>17</sup> H.A jkiand T.Ando, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.62, 1255 (1993).
- <sup>18</sup> A. Jorio, R. Saito, C. M. Lieber, M. Hunter, T. McClure, G. D resselhaus, and M. S. D resselhaus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1118 (2001).
- <sup>19</sup> C K ane, L B alents, and P A F isher Phys. Rev. Let. 79, 5086 (1997).