## Hybridization functions of intermetallic Ce compounds with group VI elements

P. Roura-Bas a; .V. Vildosola a, and A. M. Llois a,

a Departamento de Física, Centro Atómico Constituyentes, Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica

<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Física, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Among the Cerium compounds, the heavier monochalcogenides CeY (Y=S,Se,Te) of cerium provide a class of compounds which together with the heavier monopnictides CeX (X=N,P,As,Sb,Bi) exhibit extremely interesting physical properties. The hybridization of the partially delocalized f-electrons seems to be responsible for the unusual properties, in particular the magnetic ones which show great chemical sensitivity. As compared to the monopnictides, the monochalcogenides have an additional anion p-electron which shifts the Fermi energy into the p-region of the Cerium 5d-derived density of states, and which should affect the hybridization function of the electrons in the conduction band. In this contribution we analyze the 4f-hybridization function and the crystal-field splittings of the compounds under study.

KEY WORDS: Highly correlated systems, crystal fields, p-electron.

Corresponding author

CAC-CNEA, Av. Gral. Paz 1499, San Martín (1650), Buenos Aires, Argentina roura@tandar.cnea.gov.ar

CeY and CeX compounds with Y = S, Se, Te and X = P, As, Sb, Bi are suitable systems to extend the knowledge on the relationship between electronic structure and the physical properties of highly correlated Ce compounds, because they have a simple NaCl crystal structure. In this systems Ce ions are in a trivalent state with one 4f electron. Due to the cubic crystal fields, the J = 5=2 sixfold degenerate magnetic ground state splits into the 8 excited quartet state and the 7 ground state doublet [1]. CeS, CeSe and CeTe exhibit antiferromagnetic transitions at  $T_N$  = 8.4 K, 5.4 K, and 22 K, respectively while the CeX compounds have antiferromagnetic transitions at  $T_N = 71K$ , 72 K and 18 K respectively [2]. There is a small reduction of the crystalfield splittings on going from CeX series to CeY series. The splitting energies between the two  $J_{5=2}$  states are considerably reduced for the heavier compounds CeTe, CeSb, CeBi [3]. These compounds were studied by N. Kioussis et al [4] by doing first-principle calculations based on the self-consistent, warped-muffin-tin LMTO method, in which only the spherical part of the potential is considered and the 4f state is treated as a corelike one. These authors can explain the experimetal crystal-field splittings calculating the hybridization induced splittings on top of the extrapolated point-charge levels [5].

In this contribution, we study by an alternative method, the decrease of the crystal-field splittings on going from CeX to CeY series and the influence of having an extra p-electron in CeY series as compared to CeX one. We calculate these splittings using an *ab initio*-many body combined technique. Within the *ab initio* frame the 4f state belongs to the valence band and the hybridization function is obtained from the 4f-projected density of states (DOS) following ref. [6]. We use the all-electron full-potential

linearized augmented plane wave (FP-LAPW) method as implemented in the Wien-2k code [7] and the local density approximation (LDA) for the exchange and correlation potential. The calculations are performed for the systems at their experimental values. The muffin-tin radii,  $R_{mt}$  are taken equal to 2.4 a.u. for Ce in all the compounds, and the corresponding radii for the anion ligand varies from 1.6 a.u. to 2.8 a.u. depending on the atomic radius. 102 **k** points are considered in the irreducible Brillouin zone.

Due to the extra p-electron of the Y-anion in the monochalcogenides, the hybridization function shows that below the Fermi energy (E<sub>F</sub>), the 8 symmetry is more strongly hybridized in CeY than in CeX, as it can be drawn from the comparison of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. Two thirds of the additional electron in CeY go into the insterstitial region and contributes to the enlarged 8. The ab initio obtained hybridization functions are taken as inputs to calculate the crystal-field splittings within NCA (non crossing approximation) for the infinite-U Anderson model [8]. While in the LDA calculation the 4f state is a valence state, in the NCA-equations, the bare value of the 4f state is taken from experimental photoemission experiments. These values are -3 eV for CeX, -2.6 eV for CeTe and -2.4 eV for CeS and CeSe with respect to the Fermi level B1. Within NCA the self energies and the Green's functions are self-consistently obtained by considering the spectrum up to 6500 K above the Fermi level for the more delocalized systems (Y=S,Se, X=P,As) and up to 5000 K for the more localized ones (Y=Te, X=Sb). The criterion for choosing the considered energy range was discused in another contribution to this conference [9]. The energy spectrum around the Fermi energy plays an important role in the determination of physical properties such as the

crystal-field splittings. We obtain the 7 state as the ground state for both series of Ce compounds. The values of the calculated crystal field splittings,  $_{CF} = E (_8) E (_7)$ , are in very good agreement with the experimental ones, as shown in table 1. The crystal-field splittings are of the same order of magnitude in CeY and in CeX, in fact <sub>CF</sub> (CeY)'s are slightly smaller than <sub>CF</sub> (CeX)'s both in our results and the experimental data. However, looking at the hybridization functions of the 7 and 8 states and comparing them with the partial p and 5d densities of states shown in the insets of Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, one would expect at first sight a larger splitting for CeY than for CeX due to the much larger 8 hybridization values below E<sub>F</sub> for the first series than for the second one. From the comparison of the partial densities of states it is clear that below  ${\tt E_F}$ ,  ${\tt 8}$  hybridizes essentialy with the p -states of the X and Y anions, while 7 with the 5d -states. Our results indicate that for these Ce compounds, the values are determined mainly by the hybridization character near the Fermi level. The extra electron of the CeY compounds does not change the symmetry of the ground and excited states preserving the order of magnitude of the CF's when going from CeX and CeY. The slight differences in the crystal-field splittings of CeX with respect to those of CeY could be understood by the fact that the Fermi level falls in a maximum of the 5d-DOS in all the CeX compounds and in a valley of the 5d-DOS in all the CeY cases. Consequently, the  $\ _{\text{CF}}$  's are reduced in CeY as compared to CeX.

This work was partially funded by UBACyT-X115, PICT-0310698 and PIP 2005-2006 Num. 6016. A. M. Llois and V. L. Vildosola belong to CONICET (Argentina).

## References

- [1] D. Adroja, et al, Phys. Rev. B **62** 12181 (2000)
- [2] Handbook on The Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, Vol 15, Chapter 98, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1991
- [3] M. Nakayama et al, Phys. Rev. B 69 155116 (2004); H. Heer, et al, J. Phys. C:
   Solid State Phys. 12 5207 (1979)
- [4] N. Kioussis et al, Phys. Rev. B 44 10003 (1991); B. R. Cooper et al, Phys. Rev. B 36 3809 (1987)
- [5] R. Birgeneau *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **8** 5345 (1973)
- [6] O. Gunnarsson et al., Phys. Rev B 39 (1989) 1708; J. E. Han et al., Phys. Rev.
  Lett. 78 (1997) 939
- [7] P. Blaha, K. Schwartz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka and J. Luitz, WIEN2k, An Augmented Plane wave + Local Orbitals Program for Calculating Crystal Properties (Karlheinz Schwarz, Techn. Universitait Wien, Austria) 2001. ISBN 3-9501031-1-2
- [8] N. Bickers et al, Phys. Rev. B 36 2036 (1987); N. Bickers, Reviews of Modern Phys.59 845 (1987)
- [9] P. Roura-Bas, V. L. Vildosola and A. M. Llois, accepted for publication in Physica B.

Y	exp C F	N C A C F	X	exp C F	N C A C F
S	140	150	Р	172	160
Se	116	120	As	159	150
Те	32	50	Sb	37	70

Table 1: Crystal-field splittings  $_{\text{CF}}$  of CeX and CeY systems. Experimental and calculated results are given in Kelvin. See Reference [3] for experimental data.




Figure 1: Calculated hybridization functions for CeS: 7 symmetry (solid curve) and 8 symmetry (dotted curve). Inset: 5d DOS for Ce (solid curve) and p DOS for the anion S (dotted curve).




Figure 2: Calculated hybridization functions for CeP: 7 symmetry (solid curve) and 8 symmetry (dotted curve). Inset: 5d DOS for Ce (solid curve) and p DOS for the anion P (dotted curve).