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#### Abstract

The spin-1/2 zig-zag H eisenberg ladder ( $\mathrm{J}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel) is considered. A new representation for the $m$ odel is found and a saddle point approxim ation over the spin-liquid order param eter $h_{n} 1\left(\sim_{n} \quad \sim_{n+1}\right) i$ is perform ed. Corresponding e ective action is derived and analytically analyzed. W e observe the presence of phase transitions at values $\mathrm{J}_{2}=\mathrm{J}_{1}=0: 231$ and $\mathrm{J}_{2}=\mathrm{J}_{1}=1=2$.
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## 1 Introduction

U nconventional spin-liquid phases in frustrated spin chains attracted notable theoretical and experim ental interest in recent years. T he naturalquestion is w hether the frustrations in antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg chains can stabilize the new phases w ith exotic spin excitations observed in ladder system s . Them odel used to analyze the e ect of frustration in antiferrom agnetic spin chains is the so called spin-1/2 $J_{1} \quad J_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odelw th the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=J_{1}{ }^{X} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sim_{n} \sim_{n+1} & 1]+J_{2}
\end{array} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X} \sim_{n+2} & 1
\end{array}\right] ;\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \text { n } \\
& \text { n }
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $\sim_{n}=2 S_{n}$ are P aulim atrioes. The bosonization analysis of this $m$ odelwas perform ed by H aldane [1], and the phase diagram has been studied intensively by various authors [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] (for a review see also [8]).
$T$ he interest in thism odelis not purely theoretical. T here are inorgan ic com pounds in nature, such as $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{s}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{4}$ [9], $\mathrm{CuGeO}_{3}$ [10], $\mathrm{LiV}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ [11], or SrCuO 2 [12], which can be described by the spin- $1 / 2 J_{1} \quad J_{2}$ chain $H$ am iltonian Eq. (1) .

The investigation of the spin $-1 / 2 J_{1} \quad J_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel's phase diagram (1) starts from the weak coupling lim it when $J_{2} \ll J_{1}$. C lassically, in this lim it, the $J_{1} J_{2}$ chain has a long range N eel order. T he excitations are m assless spin-w aves, frustrated by an irrelevant perturbation. At the values $J_{2}=J_{1}>1=4$, the spins in the ground state are arranged in a canted con guration, in which each spin $m$ akes a xed angle $=\arccos \left[J_{1}=4 J_{2}\right.$ ] w ith its predecessor. $T$ he classical ground state of the $m$ odel is doubly degenerate since the spin con gurations can tum clockw ise and counterclockw ise w ith the sam e energy.

In quantum eld theory, it is believed that at larger values, $\omega^{\prime}=J_{1}>1=4$, a phase transition of the B erezinskii-K osterlitz-T houless (BK T ) type [13] occurs, which separates the gapless spin$1 / 2 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg phase from a fully m assive region. This phase is characterized by the twofold degenerate dim erized ground state and a spontaneous breaking of the lattice translation sym $m$ etry. Frustration stabilizes this gapfilphase. T he actualvalue for the ratio of the coupling constants at the transition point $w$ as found num erically in [2, 3, 4, [5, 6] to be slightly low er than $J_{2}=J_{1}=0.241$ due to quantum uctuations.

At the larger value ( w hen $\mathrm{J}_{2}=1=2 \mathrm{~J}_{1}>0$ ) the m odel coincides w ith the M a jum dar-G hosh (M G ) m odel [14, 15] and is exactly integrable [16, 17]. The existence of a mass gap in the M G m odel has been show $n$ rigorously in [18]. The correlation function is found to be zero at distances larger than the lattice spacing. The ground state of the phase at $J_{2}=J_{1}>1=2$ is found to be a condensate of dim erized singlets of pairs of neighb or spins, which is $Z_{2}$ degenerate. This spontaneous breaking of the $Z_{2}$ discrete sym $m$ etry creates a kink type of topological excitation, the tails ofw hich end in the di erent $Z_{2}$ vacua at $1 . T$ he spectrum ofthis excitation ism assive, and the gap is decreasing at $J_{2}=J_{1}!1$, when the system becom es a pair of noninteracting spin $-1 / 2$ H eisenberg chains.

In the present article, we develop an approach to analyze the $m$ odel on the $m$ atter of critical behavior, based on the idea that the $m$ iddle phase, $1=4<J_{2}=J_{1}<1=2$, can be characterized by the spin-liquid order param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=h_{n} 1\left(\sim_{n} \quad \sim_{n+1}\right) i \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

de ned on the triangles of the zig-zag chain. Perform ing a m ean- eld (saddle point) approxim ation, we reduce the $m$ odel to an extended H eisenberg chain with topological term, which
appears to be integrable. Then, by use of the technique of $T$ herm odynam ic $B$ ethe $A$ nsatz, we calculate the e ective action (form ula (34)) as a function of the spin-liquid order param eter'. $T$ he analysis of the ective action show sthat, at the points $J_{2}=J_{1}=0: 230971$ and $J_{2}=J_{1}=1=2$, we indeed have phase transitions, as it was expected.

## 2 N ew representation for the $\mathrm{J}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel

For an altemative treatm ent of the H am iltonian (1), we $m$ ake use of the follow ing identity for the square of the $H$ erm itian operator $a b c=\sim_{a}\left(\sim_{b} \quad \sim_{c}\right)$ (scalar chirality operator)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sim_{a}\left(\sim_{b} \sim_{c}\right)\right)^{2}=2\left[\sim_{a} \sim_{b} 1\right]+\left[\sim_{a} \sim_{c} 1\right]+\left[\sim_{b} \sim_{c} 1\right]: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

O $n$ the right hand side of this identity, one $m$ ay recognize a sum of three di erent spin exchange term $s, m$ arked by m utually non-coinciding indiges $a \in b \in c$. The form of $E q$. (3) suggests a transform ation for the $J_{1} \quad J_{2} \mathrm{H}$ am iltonian, a dual representation of which would contain the square of the above $m$ entioned scalar chirality operator. Thus, using Eq. (3), we m ap the expression (1) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\tilde{H}={\underset{n}{X}}_{\left[_{n} \sim_{n+1}\right.} \quad 1\right] \quad \frac{g}{2}_{n}^{X} \quad \underset{n ; n+1 ; n+2}{2} ; \quad g=\frac{J_{2}}{J_{1} \quad 2 J_{2}} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ere we have rescaled the $H$ am iltonian $H$ by the constant factor ( $\mathrm{J}_{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{~J}_{2}$ ), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~J}_{1} \quad 2 \mathrm{~J}_{2}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will be our starting point. W e would like to em phasize however, that, to the best of our know ledge, the $H$ eisenberg zigzag ladder ( $J_{1} \quad J_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel) has not been represented in this form in the literature previously.

## 3 M ean- eld theory and its B ethe A nsatz solution

O ur further analysis is close in spirit to that of A edk and M arston, applied in Ref. [19] for the solution of the $H$ eisenberg $H$ ubbard $m$ odel. It is based on the approxim ation of the partition function of the given $m$ odel by an exactly integrable one. N am ely, we introduce a scalar eld, n , by a H ubbard-Stratanovich transform ation, which m aps the H am iltonian H to H , where
$T$ his $m$ ap induces a constant factor in the partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\operatorname{Tr} \exp \quad H^{Z}=\text { constTr }^{Z} \quad \exp \quad H\left(f{ }_{n} g\right)^{Y} d_{n} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which how ever is irrelevant. The fact that the transform ation (6) leaves the dynam ics of the $m$ odel unchanged $m$ ay be show $n$ using the coherent state path integral representation for the
partition function, where the functional integral over the eld $f_{n} g$ can be exactly evaluated. Below, we w ill investigate the zero tem perature lim it, ! 1 , of the integral on the right hand side of Eq . (7) in the saddle point approxim ation. W e are going to analyze the $m$ ean- eld theory corresponding to a certain saddle point, which we believe gives the $m$ ain contribution. The question of the existence of any other saddle points, how ever, w illbe left for future investigations. $M$ ore precisely, we consider the saddle point equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ H}{@_{\mathrm{n}}}=0: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution ofEq. (8) w ith regard to the bosonic elds $f_{n} g$ can be obtained by the substitution of Eq. (6) into Eq. (8). It has the form of a set of $N$ (the lattice size) coupled equations
where $\mathrm{n}=1::: \mathrm{N}$, and we have cyclic boundary conditions. It would be reasonable to restrict ourselves by som e (quasi) translational invariant, hom ogeneous saddle points. Therefore, we consider the solution where the operators $n ; n+1 ; n+2$, for all $n=1::: N$, have the sam $m$ ean value. T hen the set of coupled equations (9) sim pli es, and for all values of $n$ acquires the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1 ; \mathrm{n}+2} \mathrm{i}=\prime: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in this way, the original problem reduces to the eigenfunction problem for the $m$ ean- eld H am iltonian

$$
H_{M}={\underset{n}{X}}_{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sim_{n} \sim_{n+1} & 1 \tag{11}
\end{array}\right] \quad X \quad \sim_{n} \quad \sim_{n}\left(\sim_{n+1}\right.}^{\left.\sim_{n+2}\right) ;} \quad=g^{\prime}:
$$

This m odel appears to be exactly solvable by means of Bethe Ansatz. This is because the second term in expression (11) com m utes w ith the rst term, which, in tum, is the H eisenberg H am iltonian. Therefore, skipping the dem onstration of the standard technique of A lgebraic Bethe A nsatz (since it repeats the one for the X X Z m odel [17]), we present here only the solution. The eigenvectors can be param eterized through the set of param eters (rapidities), $f x_{i} g$, which satisfy the set of $B$ ethe equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x_{j} i^{N}}{x_{j}+i}=Y_{k=1}^{M} \frac{x_{j} \quad x_{k} 2 i}{x_{j}} x_{k}+2 i \quad: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding state has a total spin projection $S_{z}=N \quad M$ and energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(x_{1} ;:: ; x_{M}\right)=X_{j=1}^{M} 1+2 @_{x_{j}} \frac{8}{x_{j}^{2}+1}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Free energy

In the present section, we present our calculations of the ground state energy of the $J_{1} J_{2}$ $m$ odelin the $m$ ean- eld approxim ation. N am ely, we calculate the free energy in the m odel (11). The model (11) has been studied in Ref. [20] for a xed value of the param eter. Here, we present our exact analytical calculations of the free energy of the m ean- eld model (11), and analyze, in detail, the free energy as a function of the param eter. The calculation is based on the $m$ ethod of $T$ herm odynam ic B ethe A nsatz, introduced in [21] (for details see also [22]). By de nition, the therm odynam ic lim it is given by the follow ing conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}!1 ; \mathrm{M}!1 ; \frac{\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{~N}}=\text { const: } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the them odynam ic lim it (14), the B ethe equations (12) becom e integral equations. In order to represent these integralequations in a convenient form, we introduce the densities ( $t$ ), de ned as $(t)=\frac{d x}{d t} j_{t=t(x)} \quad$. Then the equations (12) reduce to the follow ing system of $N$ integral equations for the densities

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(x)=n(x)+\tilde{n}^{(x)}+{ }_{k}^{X} T_{j k} \quad k(x): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we introduced the notations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{x^{2}+n^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{n}=1::: \mathrm{N}$. The functions $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\sim_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$, which are unknow n , denote particle and hole densities, respectively. The index $n$ represents their correspondence to $n$-strings. The convolution operation," ", is de ned as
for any given pair offunctions $f$ and $g$. This is the conventionalde nition. The functions $T_{n m}(x)$ for $n ; m=1::: N$, involve the expressions $a_{n}(x)$ in their de nition. They have the follow ing form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { § } a_{j n m j}(x)+2 a_{j n m j+2}(x)+2 a_{j n j+4}(x)+::: \\
& \text { ::: }+2 a_{n+m} \quad 2(x)+a_{n+m}(x) \text { for } n \in m \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{nm}} \text { ( } \mathrm{x} \text { ) } \\
& ?
\end{aligned}
$$

As we have already mentioned, the equations (15) represent the therm odynam ic lim it of the B ethe Equations. These are integral equations w ith respect to particle and hole densities, containing all of the inform ation about the energy spectrum. Suppose that the system is in a state characterized by densities $j(x)$ and $\sim_{j}(x)$. Then the equilibrium dynam ics of the system at tem perature T can be extracted by m in im izing the free energy, $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{T} S$, w ith respect to the independent $j$. This yields the follow ing non-linear integral equations for functions ${ }_{n}(x)=\sim_{n}(x)={ }_{n}(x)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln n=\frac{g_{n}}{T}+{ }_{k=1}^{x^{1}} T_{n k} \quad \ln \left(1+{ }_{k}^{1}\right) ; \quad g_{n}=8 \quad\left(1+2 @_{x}\right) a_{n}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to analyze the ground state energy of the $m$ ean- eld $m$ odelEq. (11) which is under our current consideration, we need to go to the zero tem perature lim it in Eq. (19). For this purpose, let us introduce a set of new functions, $n(x)$, as $n(x)=\operatorname{expf}{ }_{n}(x)=T g, n=1::: N$, and substilute them into Eq. (19). Then, in the zero tem perature lim it, T! 0, Eq. (19) acquires the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{1}(x)=8 \quad\left(1+2 @_{x}\right) s(x)+s \quad \frac{y}{2}(x) ;  \tag{20}\\
& n(x)=s \quad(\mathrm{n} 1+n+1)(x) ; n \quad 2 ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the function $s(x)$ is de ned as $s(x)=[4 \cosh (x=2)]^{1}$. The action of the dagger (m inus), $y(-)$, in Eq. (20), leaves only the positive (negative) part of the corresponding function, $n(x)$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{8}{<}{ }_{n}(x) \text { if }{ }_{n}(x) \quad 0 \\
& { }_{n}^{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{x})=\text { : } \\
& n(x)={ }_{n}(x) \quad{ }_{n}^{y}(x): \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

By de nition, all $n(x)$ are $m$ easured in units of $k T$ (where we set $k=1$ ), and therefore have $m$ agnitudes of energy. Equations (20) unambiguously de ne the solutions for functions $n(x)$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n!1} \frac{n(x)}{n}=2 B ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is the "m agnetic eld", which in our case, Eq. (11), is zero. It is transparent from Eq. (20), that $n(x)>0$ for $n=2 ; 3 ;::$, and only the function $1(x)$ can be positive, as well as negative (can change its sign crossing the $x$ axis at a certain point). The solution of the system (20) can be then expressed in term s of $1(x)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(x)=\underset{n}{y}(x)=a_{n} \quad 1 \quad \underset{1}{y}(x)+\underset{Z}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1) B ; \quad n=2 ; 3::: ~
\end{array}\right. \\
& 1(x)=8\left(1+2 \varrho_{x}\right) s(x)+\underbrace{}_{1>0} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
s & \text { á }
\end{array})\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)_{1}(y) d y:
\end{aligned}
$$

From the last equation we see that if there exists such a point, $x=a$, where the function ${ }_{1}(x)$ changes its sign (and, therefore, $1(a)=0$ ), then $>1$. Thus, one arrives at a $W$ iener\{H opf type integral equation for the unknown function $1(x)$

$$
I_{1}(x)=0(x)+\sum_{y a}^{Z} R(x \quad y)_{1}(y) d y ;
$$

where

$$
0(x)=8\left(1+2 \varrho_{x}\right) s(x) ; \quad R(x)={ }_{1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!x} \frac{e^{j!j}}{2 \cosh !}:
$$

The sam e kind of non-linear integral equation, occurring when the so called disturbance term, $0(x)$, is not an even function of $x$ and changes its sign, appears in the solutions of staggered zigzag ladders w ith broken one-step translation sym $m$ etry [23], [24]. Therefore, according to our experience draw $n$ from the previous works, we assum e that $1(x)=0$ for $x<a$. Then, Eq. (23) $w$ ill be valid for $x$ a. This assum ption does not a ect the right hand side of Eq. (23) and, w ithout loss of generality, gives the sam e solution. T his solution can be obtained follow ing the standard steps of the technique of $W$ iener\{ H opf integral equations. First, we apply a Fourier transform

$$
f(x)=\int_{1}^{Z 1} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!x^{\prime}} \tilde{f}^{(!)} ; \quad f^{\sim}(!)=\int_{1}^{Z} d x e^{i!x_{f}}(x) ;
$$

to the functions $0(x), 1(x)$ and $R(x)$. The substitution of these functions in the form of Fourier integrals into Eq. (23) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}!}{2} e^{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}}\left[1 \quad \mathrm{R}^{\sim}(!)\right] \sim_{1}(!) \quad \sim_{0}(!)^{\mathrm{o}}=0 ; \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{a}: ~} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (24) can be rew ritten in an equivalent form, as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & R(!)] \sim_{1}(!) \quad \sim(!)=e^{i!} a_{h} \quad(!) ; ~ \tag{25}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h$ (!) are the boundary values of analytic functions which do not have poles in the upper ( + ) and lower ( ) com plex half-planes respectively, and have zero lim iting values at corresponding in nite points. H ence, w ith our assum ption, wewillhave $\mathcal{T}(!)=e^{i!a_{+}}(!): T$ he kemelin Eq. (24) can be factorized. It is precisely this factorization property of the kemelw hich is responsible for the solvability of Eq. (24). T his m eans that the kemel can be represented as a product

$$
\begin{equation*}
[G+(!) G \quad(!)]^{1} \quad 1 \quad R^{\Upsilon}(!)=\frac{e^{j!j}}{2 \cosh [!]^{j}} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where G (!) are the boundary values of the analytic functions whidh do not have zeroes or poles on , respectively, and have the property $G_{+}(1)=G(1)=1$. Then, for the Fourier com ponents $\sim_{1}(!)$ in Eq. (23), the solution, when $x$ a, will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{+}(!)=G+(!) P_{+} G(!) e^{i!a_{\sim_{0}}(!)}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the operators $P$ are projectors, de ned as $P[f(!)]=f(!)$, for any given function $f(x)$. For exam ple, the action of the projector $P_{+}$on the sum of the Fourier com ponents of the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ and a constant c , yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{+} c+{ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x e^{i!x_{f}(x)}=c+{ }_{0}^{Z} d x e^{i!x_{f}}(x): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: The function @ $F()=$ versus

The com plex functions $G_{+}$(!) and G (! ), from the factorization equation (26), can be calculated exactly. T hey have the follow ing algebraic form $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad(!)=P \overline{2} \frac{\operatorname{expf} i!+i!\ln (i!) g}{\frac{1}{2}+i!} ; \quad G+(!)=G \quad(\quad!): \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow one can derive the explicit form of the solution (27) for a $>0$. In order to do this, one just has to $m$ ake use of the above $m$ entioned property of projectors $P_{+}$and $P$, given by Eq. (28). N am ely, upon application of Eq. (28) to the expression in brackets in the right hand side of Eq. (27), one will express the solution Eq. (27) in the form of an in nite sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sim_{+}(!)=i 4 G_{+}(!)^{X^{1}}(1)^{k}[1 \quad 2 \quad(k+1=2)]^{e^{a(k+1=2)} G(i \quad(k+1=2))}\right)! \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst two term s of the sum in this equation have been obtained in Ref. [20]. N ow, in order to calculate the free energy in the $m$ ean- eld $m$ odelEq. 11), we need to nd the param eter $a=a()$, de ned by the condition $1(a)=0$. This condition can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=l_{1}(a)=\frac{d!}{2} \sim_{+}(!)^{\prime} \frac{i}{2} \lim _{j!j!1}!\sim_{+}(!): \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the solution Eq. (30) for $\sim_{+}$(!) into Eq. (31), we will represent Eq. (31) in an equivalent form as

$$
{\underset{k=0}{X^{1}}(1)^{k}[1 \quad 2 \quad(k+1=2)] e e^{a(k+1=2)} G \quad(i \quad(k+1=2))=0: ~}_{\text {i }}
$$

The free energy per site at $T=0$, which is the ground state energy, will explicitly depend on a. From the de nition of the free energy, we have

Z

$$
\begin{align*}
F() & =(4 \log 2 \\
& =\quad(4 \log 2 \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

1) 

$S(x) 1(x) d x$
$\times 2 \mathrm{~L}+$

1) ${ }_{1}^{Z^{1}} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!a_{+}}(!) s_{+}(!)$:


Figure 2: Vacuum energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ versus param eter' for di erent values of $g$.

H ere, the integration range of the rst integral, $L_{4}$, is given by those values of $x$, where $1(x)$ is positive. Thus, substituting Eq. (30) into Eq. (31), we get the exact ground state energy of the m odelEq. (11) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& F()=4 \quad 4 \log 2+2^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \quad(1)^{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{n}}[1 \quad 2 \quad(\mathrm{k}+1=2)]  \tag{34}\\
& \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{k}=0 \\
& \frac{e^{a(k+n+1)} G+(i \quad(n+1=2)) G \quad(i \quad(k+1=2))}{k+n+1}:
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 E ective action and phase transitions

T he expression (34), for the energy per site, can be considered as an e ective action for the order param eter' , de ned by Eq. (Z). The explicit form of the e ective action, Eq. (B4), allow s for further investigations; in particular, w ith regard to the $m$ atter of critical behavior, one can analyze in details the saddle point equation corresponding to ourm ean- eld theory. In term s of
the param eter , the saddle point equation (9) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ } F(1)=\quad=g ; \text { or, if } \quad 0 ; \quad \underline{(~ F()}=1=g: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any given 9 , this equation alw ays has a zero solution $=0$. In order to nd a non-zero solution, we perform ed a num ericalevaluation of the function @ $F($ ) = (where the analytical form of $F$ ( ) is given by Eq (34) ), w ith the condition given by Eq. (32). The plot is presented in F ig 1 . From this picture, one can conclude that Eq. (35) has a solution when and only when $g$ exceeds the critical value $g_{c}$, where $1=g_{c}$ equals to the $m$ axim al value of $@ f()=$. For that value, our calculations give $g_{c}=0: 428646$. Then, from Eq. (4), one can nd the corresponding critical ratio

$$
\left(J_{2}=J_{1}\right)_{c}=\frac{g_{c}}{1+2 g_{c}}=0.230791 ;
$$

which is in a good agreem ent w ith the expected value. It is also interesting to investigate the behavior of the e ective potential, Eq. (34) versus the order param eter' for di erent values of g. The plots are presented in $F$ ig 2. W hen $g$ is less than $g_{c}$, there is only one vacuum energy $m$ inim um at ${ }^{\prime}=0 \mathrm{while}$, for $g \quad g_{c}$, tw o new $m$ inim a appear. There exists another value of $g$, which wem ark as $g_{c 2}\left(g_{c 2}=0: 555083 ;\left[J_{2}=J_{1}\right]_{c 2}=0: 263052\right)$, which occurwhen the magnitudes of three vacuum energy $m$ inim a are the sam $e$. At this point we do not have an additionalphase transition, since the order param eter, ', is sm ooth and nite. H ow ever, it would be interesting to understand the reason and consequences of such behavior.

Two non-zero m in im a becom e in nitely deeper and the positions ofm inim a approach to zero from both, left and right hand sides, as gfurther goes up to +1 . This scenario corresponds to the $M$ a jum dar-G hosh $\lim$ it, $J_{2}=J_{1}!~ 1=2$, suggesting the next phase transition where the chiral order param eter' vanishes and another, fully dim erised phase appears. T hus, our description of the interm ediate phase w th non-zero chiral order param eter' com plem ents the understanding of the fiully dim erised phase for $J_{2}=J_{1} \quad 1=2$.

C oncluding rem arks. W e have derived an e ective action for the spin $-1 / 2 \mathrm{~J} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel as a function of the spin-liquid order param eter ${ }^{\prime}=h_{n} \mathcal{l}_{1}\left(\sim_{n} \quad \sim_{n+1}\right) i_{\text {, }}$ and have observed the presence of two phase transitions at points close to the expected values: (i) when $\left(J_{2}=J_{1}\right)<$ 0.230791 , we have an ordinary critical phase of isotropic $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel; (ii) in the $m$ iddle phase, when $0.230791<\left(J_{2}=J_{1}\right)<1=2$; the ground state is $Z_{2}$ degenerate $w$ ith two signs of order param eter. D ue to this degeneracy, kink-like topological excitations are present and their condensation m ay characterize the third phase at $J_{2}=J_{1}>1=2$. Though the described picture do not coincide, but at the sam e time is not in contradiction $w$ th the $w$ ell know $n$ description of this phase in the them odynam ic lim 五, when the two states, one $w$ ith $w$ ave vector $k=0$ (ground state for nite system) and another $w$ ith $k=$ ( rst exited state for nite system), collapse to each other and give rise to the dim erization pattem (tw o-fold degeneracy) and the breaking of one-step translational invariance.

In our opinion, the developed approach based on the chiral order param eter ' , is altemative to the know $n \mathrm{~m}$ ethods for description of the interm ediate phase and provides prom ising possibility to investigate this im portant problem further.
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