Coexistence of super uid and M ott phases of lattice bosons

R.A. Barankov¹, C. Lannert² and S. Vishveshwara¹

¹D epartm ent of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Cham paign, 1110 W . Green St, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

²Departm ent of Physics, W ellesley College, W ellesley, M A 02481, USA

(Dated: November 6th, 2006)

Recent experiments on strongly-interacting bosons in optical lattices have revealed the coexistence of spatially-separated M ott-insulating and number- uctuating phases. The description of this inhom ogeneous situation is the topic of this Letter. We establish that the number- uctuating phase forms a super uid trapped between the M ott-insulating regions and derive the associated collective m ode structure. We discuss the interlayer's crossover between two- and three-dimensional behavior as a function of the lattice parameters and estimate the critical temperatures for the transition of the super uid phase to a norm alphase.

PACS num bers: 03.75 H h,03.75 K k,03.75 Lm,05.30 Jp

D ilute gases of ultra-cold bosons on a lattice present a model system for exploring quantum phases of matter. Experiments in optical lattice traps have demonstrated controlled tunability through a quantum phase transition between a Mott-insulating phase which has xed particle number on each lattice site and a phase exhibiting number uctuations [, 2]. As has been recently observed in radially symmetric traps [3, 4], for su ciently deep optical lattice potentials the system arranges itself into a \wedding cake structure" in which M ott-insulating phases of bosons com m ensurate with the lattice alternate with interlayers of incom mensurate bosons with uctuating site occupancy [5, 6, 7, 8]. Various questions concerning such inhom ogeneous system s have so far been unanswered in both theory and experiment: is the interlayer associated with number uctuations a condensate? If so, in what tem perature range is the condensate robust? Is there collective behavior in the interlayer, analogous to that seen in bulk super uids? How does the system crossover from three-dim ensional to two-dim ensional behavior as the interlayer thickness is varied? Understanding these issues would also be in portant for related avenues in cold atom ic physics, such as the interplay of spatial inhom ogeneity and quantum criticality [9], realization of robust states for quantum computation [10], and the physics of interacting ferm ions on a lattice [11].

It has been established that dilute gases of bosons in optical lattice potentials are well-described by the Bose-Hubbard Ham iltonian [5, 12] in which the bosons' movement between sites is characterized by a tunnel- $J_{hiji} a_i^y a_j$ related to the overlap of ing term $H_J =$ the single-particle wave functions between neighboring sites i and $j_{r_{D}}$ and the on-site interaction is modeled by $H_{U} = (U=2) _{i} n_{i} (n_{i})$ 1). It is the external trapping potential, V (ri), which is responsible for breaking the uniform ity of the system and promoting spatial coexistence of the Mott insulating and super uid phases at large interaction [5, 6, 7, 8]. A nalytical treatments of the inhom ogeneous system are com plicated by the fact that no simple approximation of the Hamiltonian can faithfully describe the entire phase space. The Bogoliubov approximation [13, 14] captures the condensed phase for large J=U but breaks down close to the Mott regions. The decoupled-site approximation [9, 13, 15, 16], valid when J U and the boson density is close to a com - mensurate value, works well within and close to the Mott regions but fails deep within the incommensurate phase. A third possibility is presented by the \pseudo-spin" approximations [17, 18, 19], valid for intermediate values of J=U, which bypass these shortcom ingsby treating kinetic energy and interactions on comparable footing.

In this Letter, we employ a pseudo-spin approximation of the Bose-Hubbard model to describe the inhomogeneous systems where the density of bosons varies as a result of a con ning trap. Concentrating on a single interlayer trapped between two M ott-insulating phases, we show that number uctuations give rise to a condensate with a well-de ned order parameter and derive the dynam ical equations governing the system . We obtain the collective excitation spectrum of the interlayer condensate and show that in the hom ogeneous lim it, it properly reproduces the known properties of bulk super uids. We explore the behavior of the collective modes as a function of the thickness of the interlayer and show that they provide a signature of dim ensional cross-over in the condensate, which can be achieved by tuning experimental param eters. We conclude with a brief discussion of the expected mean-eld critical tem perature T_c of an interlayer super uid and its behavior as a function of interlayer thickness.

Focusing our attention on the M ott phases with integer boson lling n and n + 1 and the super uid phase at interm ediate llings, we consider a H ilbert space restricted to the num ber-basis states jni and jn + 1 i at each site. C onsidering the excluded states jn 1 i, and jn + 2 i, we nd that their contribution to the energy is of order of $J^2=U$. W e note that the num ber- uctuations on sites are driven by the incom m ensurability of bosons with the lattice in the presence of the trapping potential. The truncated H ilbert space in the lim it J=U 1 m ay be represented by the spin-1=2 states [15, 18], n + 1i = j"i and ni = j#i, the eigenstates of the operator s^z with eigenvalues 1=2. The tunneling term in the Bose-Hubbard Ham iltonian can be identi ed with raising and low ering spin-1=2 operators, s^+ and s , such that $a_i^y a_j ! (n+1)s_i^+ s_j$, where a_i^y is the boson creation operator on site i. The interaction and the potential energy term s are diagonal in the num ber basis at each site and the boson num ber operator $(n_i = a_i^y a_i)$ can be expressed in term s of the spin-1/2 m atrix s^z , $n = n + 1=2 + s^z$. Thus, in the truncated H ilbert space, one obtains an e ective Ham iltonian identical to the spin-1=2 X Y m odel in the external \magnetic" eld:

$$H = J(n + 1) \begin{cases} X \\ s_{i}^{x}s_{j}^{x} + s_{i}^{y}s_{j}^{y} + (Un) \\ hiji \end{cases} (Un) s_{i}^{z}: (1)$$

Here, hiji denotes a sum mation over nearest neighbors sites, and $_{i} = V(r_{i})$ de nes the chem ical potential o set by the external trapping potential, $V(r_{i})$. The chem ical potential is set by the total num ber of particles in the system, hN i= $_{i}hn_{i}i$.

The pseudospin operators are coupled ferrom agnetically in the x-y plane and therefore, at low tem peratures can form an ordered state with broken U (1) symmetry in the plane. At the mean- eld level, in the ground state con guration, pseudospins are aligned with the local\m agnetic" eld, $B_i^0 = zJ(n + 1)$ [2hs_i^x i; 2hs_i^y i; cos i], where cos i = (i Un)=(zJ(n + 1)), and we have assum ed hs_i i hs_i for nearest-neighbors. The equilibrium com ponents of the pseudospin at site i are param eterized by angles on the sphere:

$$hs_i^z i = (1=2) \cos_i; \quad hs_i^+ i = (1=2) e^{i'} \sin_i;$$
 (2)

where the angle ' independent of site index expresses the phase coherence in the system. The continuous degeneracy in the ground state is illustrated in Fig.1. In the M ott phase, the pseudospins are completely polarized along the z direction, i.e. $h_{z_i}^z i = 1=2$, allowing the identication of = Un zJ (n + 1), the values of the chem ical potential at the boundaries of the M ott states with n and n + 1 bosons per site (see Fig.1). In the xysymmetry broken phase, $h_{z_i}^y i = h_{z_i}^+ i = \frac{p}{n+1} \notin 0$ and we have a condensate with order parameter

$$= (1 = N_{inter})^{X} hs_{i}^{\dagger} i; \qquad (3)$$

where N $_{\rm inter}$ is the number of lattice sites between the two M ott phases.

The locations and sizes of the interlayers can be determ ined by the relationship = V (r), where the chem ical potential, , is obtained self-consistently by

xing the total number of particles in the system, N. For radially-symmetric traps, a simplication occurs in the limit of a thin interlayer, $r_n = r_n$ (where r_n is the radius at the center of the interlayer between two



FIG.1: The mean-eld phase diagram for the Bose-H ubbard H am iltonian. The dash-dotted line corresponds to the interlayer with uctuating site occupancy. Spontaneous symmetry breaking in the ground state is shown on the sphere hsi² = 1=4: the equilibrium conguration hsi is degenerate on the circle (dashed line) with nonzero order parameter $j j = (1=2) \sin n$. North and South poles of the sphere correspond to M ott states with n + 1 and n bosons per site, respectively.

M ott states with particle occupation n and n + 1 and r_n is its thickness). In this case, the trapping potential can be linearized around r_n and we distant the number of particles in the interlayer is the same as in the case J=U = 0, where the interlayer region would be led with n and n + 1 M ott phases. Hence, the chem ical potential at small J=U can be found by setting N = $(4 = 3)^{\frac{P}{n}} \frac{m}{n=0}^{1} (r_n = 1)^3$, where m is the total number of M ott states in the trap and ' is the lattice spacing [8]. We nd that for a three-dimensional parabolic trapping potential, $V(r) = r^2$, the interlayer parameters are given by $r_n = (=)^{1-2} [1 \quad nU =]^{1-2}$, $r_n = 6J(n + 1) = (r_n) \text{ when } n > 0, \text{ and } r_0 = 3J = (r_0).$ These results show that it is possible to tune the width of the interlayers from r_n ' ' to r_n ', e ectively changing the dimensionality of the layers. As a characteristic example in the range of recent experiments [3, 4], a system with trap curvature h $24 \text{ H z} = \text{m}^2$, total particle num ber N 10°, lattice spacing 0:43 m, interparticle interaction U 10 kH z and tunneling h 120 H z hosts two M ott regions with strength J h n = 1 and n = 2, and two interlayers. The corresponding interlayer param eters are r₀ 25 m and m 0:5 m; 4 m. We remark that for a 14 m and n r_1 harm onic trap, when gravity is taken into account, the system experiences a shift along the direction of the gravitational eld, but is otherwise una ected.

Having identi ed the interlayer region, we now turn to the low-energy collective modes within the interlayer. These modes can be calculated using the Heisenberg equations of motion for the pseudospin operators,

 $Q_t s_i = i[H; s_i]$. In the mean-eld approximation, one obtains the B loch equations, $Q_t hs_i i = hs_i i$ B_i , where the effective magnetic eld is given by $B_i^+ = J(n+1)^-$,2hs¦i (sum m ation is over the nearest neighbors of site i), and $B_i^z = zJ(n + 1)\cos_i$. Assuming that the characteristic wavelength of the excitations is much larger than the lattice spacing ', we approxim ate the sum s entering the e ective magnetic eld B by their continuum limit, $zhsi + \hat{r}^2 hsi$. The resulting B loch equations ,hsji can be analyzed as follows. The equilibrium num ber density of bosons in the interlayer is $_0 = n + 1 = 2 + \cos = 2$ and the number density $= _0 +$ obeys the continuity equation $Q_t + r j = 0$ with the current density $j = (J(n + 1)=2) \sin^2 r'$. Using the relationship between the canonically conjugate density deviation and the phase, $\theta_t' = 2zJ(n+1)$, one obtains the follow ing di erential equation for density uctuations around equilibrium :

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t}^{2} = 4z (J (n + 1))^{2} r \qquad {}^{2}_{0} r ;$$
(4)

where $_0 = (1=2) \sin i$ is the local value of the order parameter vanishing at the boundaries of the M ott states. The form of Eq.(4) is identical to that governing a trapped B ose-E instein condensate in the absence of a lattice [20] with $_0^2$ playing the role of an equilibrium density of the condensate con ned between two M ott states to an interlayer with radius r_n and width r_n . It must be noted that while the equations governing density distortions are identical to those derived from the standard G ross-P itaevskii form alism [20] for a condensate in the absence of a lattice, the equations of m otion for the order parameter hs⁺ i in general do not correspond to the G ross-P itaevskii form, but reproduce it in the lim it of sm all density distortions.

For the uniform case, the excitation spectrum can be obtained by treating the order parameter $_0$ as spatially-independent. The eigenvalue equation, Eq. (4), is solved by the Fourier transformation, / exp(ipr ilpt), where p is the wave vector. The resulting sound mode,

$$!_{p} = cp; c = \frac{p}{zJ}(n+1)'jsin j;$$
 (5)

is related to the spontaneously-broken sym m etry in the ordered state. A coording to the Landau criterion, the sound-like spectrum of Eq. (5) m akes the ordered state a super uid. One notices that the speed of sound, c, goes to zero as one approaches the M ott phases at sin = 0.

In the trapped geom etry, an estim ate of the excitation spectrum can be obtained from the quantization conditions in posed on the wave vector p in Eq.(5), with the speed of sound approxim ated by its value in the center of the layer, $c_0 = \frac{1}{ZJ}(n + 1)$ '. For a spherically symmetric trap, the excitation modes are conined within an interlayer centered at radius r_n with width $r_n = 2a_n$. The excitation modes term inate at the boundaries of the M ott regions, i.e. $p_j = j=a_n$ with j = 0;1;:::, which

gives a radial mode spectrum $!_j$ ' $_r j$ with characteristic frequency $_r = J(n + 1)$ '= a_n . The quantization of the surface modes is related to the angular momentum L = 0;1;::: through $p_L = L = r_n$ which leads to the spectrum $!_L$ ' $_aL$ with characteristic frequency $_a = _ra_n = r_n$. The degeneracy of the surface modes is (2L + 1) for each value of L. The perturbative calculation of the modes in Eq.(4) in the limit $a_n = r_n$ 1 con rms these estim ates and gives the follow ing result:

$$\frac{!_{Lj}^{2}}{(j+1)} + \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{r_{n}^{2}} + \frac{3}{(2j-1)(2j+3)} + L (L+1)\frac{a_{n}^{2}}{2r_{n}^{2}} + \frac{1}{(2j-1)(2j+3)}; (6)$$

where = $p_{\overline{6}}$, j = 0;1;:::, L = 0;1;:::, and j + L $\underline{6}$ 0. In the continuum approximation, the wavelength of the modes should be much larger than the lattice spacing, which sets upper bounds on the quantum numbers: L r_n =' and j a_n ='. The second term in Eq.(6) is independent of L and is associated with the curvature of the interlayer; it vanishes at j = 0. The lowest energy modes for thin interlayers, $a_n = r_n$ 1, correspond to angular excitations (j = 0) given by $!_L = 2$ a L(L + 1), L = 1;2;:::.

We note that the mode spectrum of Eq. (6) corresponds to that of a condensate con ned with an explicitly shell-shaped trap (for instance, a \bubble trap" in Ref. [21]) since the \e ective con ning potential" in Eq. (4) has the form V_{eff} / (r $r_h)^2 = a_n^2$ for thin interlayers. The calculation of the radial (L = 0) modes with j = 1;2 in Ref. [22] con rm s this connection for the low est-lying radial modes (analogous to \breathers" in spherical condensates).

The characteristic frequencies r, a of the radial and angular m odes set tem perature scales at which the spectrum in Eq.(6) becomes quasiclassical, j;L 1. For the aforementioned experimental parameters, the corresponding energy scales are of the order r' 5nK,

a ' 0:5 nK. The energy of the system at nite tem perature is obtained through quantization of the col- $_{Lj}$ (2L + 1)! $_{Lj}n_{Lj}$, where lective modes, E(T) = $n_{L_{i}} = 1 = (\exp(!_{L_{i}} = T))$ 1) is the therm all occupation of the bosonic modes with spectrum given by Eq. (6), and the factor (2L + 1) takes into account the degeneracy of the angular modes. There are three tem perature regimes in this case. In the extreme low-temperature limit, T a, therm al excitations are gapped, ie. E(T) / a exp(22 a=T). At intermediate temperatures, a T r, the radialm odes are frozen and only the two-dimensional angular modes contribute to the energy, E (T) / $T^3 = \frac{2}{a}$. At higher tem peratures, r, both radial and angular modes are excited, and Т the energy has a three-dim ensional phonon-like tem perature dependence, E (T) / $T^4 = \frac{3}{r}$. The separation of tem perature scales achieved by changing the interlayer

width from r_n ' to r_n ' tunes the e ective dimensionality of the system from two to three dimensions.

At nite temperatures, the order parameter introduced in Eq.(3) is depleted from its zero temperature value by the collective modes. In the low-temperature regime, T J(n + 1), for wide interlayers, r_n the order parameter depletion is similar to the case of a three-dimensional weakly-interacting BEC, (T) / $(T = J (n + 1))^2$. At higher tem peratures, the long-range order is destroyed by the quasiparticle excitations whose wavelength is of the order of the lattice spacing. The critical tem perature ought to be of the same order of m agnitude as these excitations with the energies of order J (n + 1) (obtained from setting j ' $a_n = ` and L ' r_n = `$ in Eq.(6)). A mean-eld calculation similar to the one in Ref.[17] con m s the estim ate and provides a m ean-eld expression for the critical tem perature $T_{3D} = 3J(n + 1)$. Therm all properties of narrow interlayers, r_n ' ', are qualitatively di erent. In this case the angular excitations play the dom inant role. In accordance with the Merm in-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem [23], the long-range order is destroyed but the system retains power-law correlations in the phase of the order parameter. In the lim it that the interlayer width is comparable to the lattice spacing, r_n ' ', a sim plem odel capturing the properties of the two-dimensional system involves only phase variables and leads to the e ective H am iltonian, $H_r =$ (K = 2) d^2x (r ')², where the integration is over the surface of the spherical layer, and we have introduced the phase sti ness K = J(n + 1)=2. The Kosterlitz-Thouless (K-T) transition [24] between the high-tem perature norm al and the low-tem perature super uid state occurs at tem perature T_{2D} = (=2)K = (=4)J(n + 1). At interm ediate widths, $r_n >$ ', the phase sti ness is ap- $\underline{proxim}_{n} \text{ ated by } \underset{R}{K} = (J(n + 1)=2) (r_n = ') \overline{\sin^2} \text{ with }$ $\sin^2 = (1 = r_n)^n dr \sin^2$. For the interlayer in the trap $\sin^2 = 2=3$, and the critical tem perature of the K-T transition is given by $T_c = (=6)(r_n =)J(n + 1)$, which is a linear function of the interlayer width, interpolating between two-dimensional and three-dimensional limits, T_{3D} . In the range of current experiments, T_{2D} T_c forJ h 120H z, one obtains an estim ate of the critical tem perature, $T_{\rm c}$ ' $10\,nK$.

In conclusion, we have shown that the interlayer with uctuating site occupation con ned between two M ott states becomes super uid at low but experimentally accessible temperatures. Employing the pseudospin model, we have identified the electrice potential con ning the super uid and analyzed the low-energy excitations in the system. We have demonstrated that the electrice dimensionality of the interlayer can be changed by tuning external parameters. As an example of the ensuing physics we have suggested that the critical temperature interpolates between two-dimensional and three-dimensional lim its as one changes the width of the interlayer. A clear experim ental signature of the interlayer condensate, either through tim e-of- ight and interference experim ents, excitation of collective m odes or radio-frequency spectroscopy is yet to be obtained.

The authors are grateful for their discussions with A.J. Leggett and A.Auerbach. One of the authors (C.L.) acknow ledges support by the NSF through grant DMR-0605871.

- [1] M.G reiner, O.M andel, T.Esslinger, T.W. Hansch, and I.Bloch, Nature 415, 39 (2002).
- [2] I.Bloch, Nature Physics 1, 23 (2005).
- [3] S. Folling, A. W idera, T. Muller, F. Gerbier, and I. Bloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 060403 (2006).
- [4] G.K.Campbell, J.Mun, M.Boyd, P.Medley, A.E. Leanhardt, L.Marcassa, D.E.Pritchard, and W.Ketterle, Science 313, 649 (2006).
- [5] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- [6] V.A.Kashumikov, N.V.Prokofev, and B.V.Svistunov, Phys. Rev. A 66, 031601 (R) (2002).
- [7] S.W essel, F.A let, M. Troyer, and G.G.Batrouni, Phys. Rev.A 70, 053615 (2004).
- [8] B. DeM arco, C. Lannert, S. V ishveshwara, and T. C. W ei, Phys. Rev. A 71, 063601 (2005).
- [9] S.Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [10] J.I.C irac and P.Zoller, Phys.Rev.Lett.74,4091 (1995).
- [11] W .Hofstetter, J.I.Cirac, P.Zoller, E.Dem ler, and M. D.Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 220407 (2002).
- [12] M.P.A.Fisher, P.B.W eichman, G.Grinstein, and D. S.Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 40, 546 (1989).
- [13] D. van Oosten, P. van der Straten, and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053601 (2002).
- [14] F.Dalfovo, S.G iorgini, L.P.P itaevskii, and S.Stringari, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [15] D.S.Rokhsar and B.G.Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 44, 10328 (1991).
- [16] W .K rauth, M .Ca arel, and J.P.Bouchaud, Phys. Rev. B 45, 3137 (1992).
- [17] T. M atsubara and H. M atsuda, P rogress of Theoretical Physics, 16, 569 (1956).
- [18] C.Bnuder, R.Fazio, and G.Schon, Phys. Rev. B 47, 342 (1993).
- [19] E.A ltm an and A.A uerbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 250404 (2002).
- [20] C.J.Pethick and H.Smith, Bose Einstein condensation in dilute gases, C am bridge U niversity Press, 2002.
- [21] O.Zobay and B.M.Garraway, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1195 (2001); Phys. Rev. A 69, 023605 (2004).
- [22] C. Lannert, T.-C. Wei, and S. Vishveshwara, cond-m at/0604455.
- [23] N.D.M erm in and H.W agner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1133 (1966); P.C.H ohenberg, Phys. Rev. 158, 383 (1967).
- [24] J.M.Kosterlitz and D.J.Thouless, J.Phys.C 6, 1181 (1973).