## Comment on "Classifying Novel Phases of Spinor Atoms"

In Bose Einstein condensates of finite spin atoms, both spin-rotation and gauge symmetries can be broken. In a recent paper, Barnett et al 1] classify these finite spin Bose condensates by polyhedra according to the directions of maximally polarized states which are orthogonal to the state $\psi$ under consideration. The purpose of this Comment is to point out that the all important phase factors associated with the gauge symmetry has been left out in [1]. In many cases, the Bose condensate is invariant under a rotation only when a suitable accompanying gauge transformation is included. These phase factors also have non-trivial consequences in classification of vortices, thus we obtain results very different from [1].

It suffices to illustrate our point by examples. Consider the ferromagnetic state F of $\operatorname{spin} 2$, with state vector 2] $(1,0,0,0,0)$. Under $R_{z}(\alpha)$, a rotation about $\hat{z}$ by angle $\alpha$, the state vector becomes $\left(e^{-2 i \alpha}, 0,0,0,0\right)$ and thus not invariant (in constrast to [1]). The state-vector is invariant only under the combined operation $R_{z}(\alpha) e^{2 i \alpha}$.

Next, consider the state vector $(i, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0, i)$ belonging to the state named "cyclic" in 2] (named "tetrahedric" in [1], who also chose a different state vector. These state vectors are related by rotation and gauge transformation, as this state is unique [3]) To find the symmetry, it is convenient to note that a state $\left(\zeta_{2}, \ldots, \zeta_{-2}\right)$ has the same rotational symmetry as the spatial wavefunction $\psi=\sum_{m} \zeta_{m} Y_{2}^{m}(\hat{k})$, where $Y_{l}^{m}$ are the spherical harmonics. We then find (ignoring overall real proportionality constants irrelevant for discussions here and below) $\psi=\epsilon \hat{k}_{x}^{2}+\epsilon^{2} \hat{k}_{y}^{2}+\hat{k}_{z}^{2}$ (same form as in [3]), where $\epsilon \equiv e^{2 i \pi / 3}$. It is easy to see that this state is invariant under two-fold rotations about $\hat{x}, \hat{y}$ or $\hat{z}$. Under $2 \pi / 3$ rotation about diagonals of a cube, e.g., $(\hat{x}+\hat{y}+\hat{z}) / \sqrt{3}$, where $\left(\hat{k}_{x}, \hat{k}_{y}, \hat{k}_{z}\right) \rightarrow\left(\hat{k}_{y}, \hat{k}_{z}, \hat{k}_{x}\right)$, the state acquires extra phase factors. Thus the isotropy group of the state is [4, 5] $\left\{E, 3 C_{2}, 4 C_{3} \epsilon, 4 C_{3}^{2} \epsilon^{2}\right\}$ (named $T\left(D_{2}\right)$ in [5]) Here $E$ is the identity, and the first $4 C_{3}$ are $2 \pi / 3$ rotations about $( \pm \hat{x} \pm \hat{y}+\hat{z}) / \sqrt{3}$ or $(\mp \hat{x} \pm \hat{y}-\hat{z}) / \sqrt{3}$. Note the phase factors $\epsilon$ 's, which were left out in [1]. (If we also consider time-reversal symmetry $\Theta$, then the isotropy group becomes the larger group $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ : see [5]).

For a third example, consider the state A of spin 3 in [6], with state vector $(1,0,0,0,0,0,1)$. Under $R_{z}(\alpha)$ the state becomes $\left(e^{-3 i \alpha}, 0,0,0,0,0, e^{3 i \alpha}\right)=$ $e^{-3 i \alpha}\left(1,0,0,0,0,0, e^{6 i \alpha}\right)$. Thus under $R_{z}\left(\frac{2 \pi}{6}\right)$, the relative phase between the $m= \pm 3$ components is unchanged, but the state acquires an extra factor $e^{-i \pi}$. Hence the invariant operation is $C_{6} e^{i \pi}$ (not $C_{6}$ ). It follows also that the state is invariant under $C_{3}=\left(C_{6} e^{i \pi}\right)^{2}$
etc. To find other symmetry operations, we use again the analogy to $l=3$. The wavefunction becomes $-\left(\hat{k}_{x}+\right.$ $\left.i \hat{k}_{y}\right)^{3}+\left(\hat{k}_{x}-i \hat{k}_{y}\right)^{3}$. It is evident that the state is invariant under $\pi$ rotation about $\hat{y}$, and also under $\pi$ rotation about $\hat{x}$ except a phase factor $e^{i \pi}$. The existence of $C_{3}$ tells us that there are two other horizontal two-fold axis $2 \pi / 3$ with respect to each of these. The isotropy group for this state is thus $\left\{E, 2 C_{3}, 2 C_{6} e^{i \pi}, C_{2} e^{i \pi}, 3 U_{2} e^{i \pi}, 3 U_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. (named $\mathrm{D}_{6}\left(\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ in [5]). Note the phase factors $e^{i \pi}$ accompanying $C_{6}, C_{2}$ and $U_{2}$ 's, whereas 1] simply represented this state as a hexagon.

These phase factors in the isotropy groups have nontrivial consequences when classifying vortices. As an example, for the cyclic state, vortices are divided into [4, 7] seven classes in additional to the circulation numbers $n$. (In 1] however, it was stated that the number of topological excitations is six, and circulation numbers were left out.) We note further that, for the vortices where the order parameter is rotated by $C_{3}\left[C_{3}^{2}\right]$ when one travels along a path encircling that vortex, the associated phase changes should be (see the elements in $\left.\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)\right)\left(2 n+\frac{2}{3}\right) \pi$ $\left[\left(2 n+\frac{4}{3}\right) \pi\right]$, not the ordinary $2 n \pi$. These phase factors must be kept correctly to properly discuss combination of two vortices [4]. For example, combining two vortices with circulations $\left(2 n_{1}+\frac{2}{3}\right) \pi$ and $\left(2 n_{2}+\frac{4}{3}\right) \pi$ leads to a total circulation of $2\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+1\right) \pi$ but not $2\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) \pi$.

In conclusion, we have pointed out that [1] has left out phase factors in their discussions on symmetries and vortices of spin condensates. More discussions on symmetries of these states can be found in [7].
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