A charged particle in a magnetic eld - Jarzynski Equality

A M. Jayannavar and M am ata Sahoo Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar 751005 India. (D ated: A pril 15, 2024)

A bstract: W e describe som e solvable m odels which illustrate the Jarzynski theorem and related uctuation theorem s. W e consider a charged particle in the presence of m agnetic eld in a two dimensional harm onic well. In the rst case the centre of the harm onic potential is translated with a uniform velocity, while in the other case the particle is subjected to an ac force. W e show that Jarzynski identity com plements Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem on the absence of diam agnetism in equilibrium classical system.

PACS num bers: 05.70 Ln, 05.40. a, 05.40 Jc

Most processes that occur in nature are far from equilibrium and hence cannot be treated within the fram ework of classical therm odynam ics. The traditional nonequilibrium statistical mechanics deals with systems near equilibrium in the linear response regime. Its success has lead to the form ulation of uctuation-dissipation relation, Onsagar's reciprocity relations and the Kubo-Green formulae, etc. However, very recent developments in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics have resulted in the discovery of some exact theoretical results for systems driven far away from equilibrium and are collectively called uctuation theorem s[1]. These results include entropy production theorem s[2], Jarzynski equality [3], Crooks relations[4], Hatano-Sasa identity [5], etc. Som e of the above relations have been veri ed experimentally on single nanosize systems in physical envimon ents where uctuations play a dom inant role [6,7].

The concept of free energy is of central importance in statistical mechanics and therm odynamics. With the help of free energy one can calculate all the phases of a system and their physical properties. However, the free energy of the system relative to an arbitrary reference state is often di cult to determ ine. Jarzynskiequality (JE) relates non-equilibrium quantities with equilibrium free energies [3]. In this prescription, initially the system is assumed to be in equilibrium state determined by a therm odynam ic parameter A de ned by a control parameter A and is kept in contact with a heat bath at tem perature T. The nonequilibrium process is obtained by changing the therm odynam ic control parameter in a nite time according to a prescribed protocol (t), from $_{A}$ = (t=0) to some nalvalue $_{B}$ = (t =). The nalstate of the system at time (at the end of the protocol) will in general not be at equilibrium . It will equilibrate to a nalstate B ($_{\rm B}$) if it is further allowed to evolve by keeping parameter $_{\rm B}$ xed. JE states that

$$\exp\left(\frac{W}{k_{\rm B}T}\right)i = \exp\left(\frac{F}{k_{\rm B}T}\right)$$
(1)

W here F is the free energy di erence between equilibrium states A and B. Angular bracket h:::i denotes the average taken over di erent realizations for xed protocol (t). W is work expended during each repetation of the protocol and is a realization dependent random variable. Jarzynski's theorem has been derived using various m ethods with di erent system dynamics β -5,8]. This remarkable identity provides a practical tool to determ ine equilibrium therm odynam ic potentials from processes carried out arbitrarily far away from equilibrium. This identity has been used to extract equilibrium free energy di erences in experim ents.W ork distributions have been calculated analytically for several m odel system s and tested against various uctuation theorem s β -11]. JE has been generalized to arbitrary transitions between nonequilibrium steady states by H atano and Sasa [5], which has also been veri ed experim entally [7].

In our present work, we determ ine the work distributions analytically for two di erent models. In both these cases charged particle dynamics in a two dimensional harm onic trap in the presence of a magnetic eld is considered. In the rst case (i), centre of the harm onic trap is dragged with a uniform velocity whereas in the case (ii), the particle is subjected to an ac force. We show that JE is consistent with Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem. We also discuss steady state uctuation theorem s [SSFT] and energy loss in driven system s.

We consider a charged particle motion in two dimensions (x-y plane) in the presence of a time dependant potential U (U(x;y;t)). An external magnetic eld B along z-direction will produce Lorentz force on the charged particle. The interaction of the particle with environment can be treated via frictional force along with concomitant uctuations. The appropriate equations of motion are given by the Langevin's equations[12,13]

$$m x = \underline{x} \quad \frac{j \cdot j}{c} B \underline{y} \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + x (t); \quad (2)$$

$$m y = \underline{y} + \frac{j e j}{c} B \underline{x} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + y(t); \qquad (3)$$

where the random force eld (t) is a Gaussian white noise i.e.,

h (t) (t)
$$i = D$$
 (t t); (4)

with ; = x;y, e is the charge of the particle. D = $2 k_B T$ is a consistency condition for the system to approach equilibrium in the absence of time independent potential. The friction coe cient is denoted by .

This problem (for time independent potentials) was earlier considered [13] to elucidate the crucial role played by the boundary conditions in the celebrated theorem of Bohr-van Leeuwen on the absence of diam agnetism in classical system s[13,14]. This theorem states that in equilibrium for classical systems the free energy is independent of m agnetic eld. Hence, diam agnetism does not exist in classical statistical mechanics.

W e restrict our analysis to overdam ped regim e where the corresponding dynam ical equations becom e

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\mathbf{\dot{p}}\mathbf{\dot{\beta}}}{c} \underline{\mathbf{y}} \quad \frac{\mathbf{\dot{\theta}}\mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{\theta}\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{x} \quad (t) \tag{5}$$

$$\underline{y} = \frac{\underline{j} \underline{e} \underline{\beta}}{c} \underline{x} \quad \frac{\underline{\theta} \underline{U}}{\underline{\theta} \underline{y}} + y(\underline{t})$$
(6)

The associated Fokker-Planck equation [15] leads to an equilibrium distribution $P_{\rm e} \ / \ e^{\ (\frac{U \ (x;y)}{k_{\rm B} \ T})}$ in a stationary regime for time independent potential U (x;y). This distribution $P_{\rm e}$ is independent of magnetic eld consistent with Bohr-van Leeuw en theorem .

W e consider in this work two cases for time dependent potentials. For case (i) U (x;y;t) = $\frac{1}{2}kjr$ r(t) j, where r is a two dimensional vector r = ix + jy and r (t) = vt(i + j), i and j are unit vectors along x and y direction respectively. Here the particle is in a harm onic potential whose centre is dragged along with an uniform speed

 2 2v in a diagonal direction. This problem can also be solved for the motion of the centre in an arbitrary direction with a di erent protocol. For case(ii), U (x;y;t) = $\frac{1}{2}k(x^{2} + y^{2})$ Ax sin (!t). Here the particle in a two dimensional harmonic well is subjected to an ac force in x-direction. This problem can also be solved for ac drivings in both x and y direction with di erent am plitudes and with a phase di erence.

We rewrite equations (5) and (6) using the variable [12] z = x + iy, (i = 1). For the case (i) we get

$$\underline{z} = \frac{kpz}{kpz} + \frac{kpg(t)}{kpz} + \frac{p(t)}{kpz}; \quad (7)$$

where $p = \frac{1 + iC}{1 + C^2}$, (t) = $x(t) + i_y(t)$, g(t) = vt(1 + i)and C = $\frac{ejB}{c}j_c$

For the case (ii), we get

$$\underline{z} = \frac{kpz}{kpz} + \frac{p}{kpz} (A \sin(!t) + (t)):$$
(8)

Therm odynam ic work W done on the system by an externalagent during a tim e intervalt is given by [9,10], for case(i),

$$W = kv f(x vt) + (y vt)gdt'; (9)$$

and for case (ii)

$$W = A! \cos(!t')x(t')dt;$$
(10)

The form alsolution of equations (7) and (8) respectively are \vec{x}

$$z(t) = z_{0} \exp((\frac{k}{-}pt) + \frac{p}{2} \int_{0}^{2} dt \exp((\frac{k}{-}p(t - t)))fkg(t) + (t)g;$$
(11)

and

$$z(t) = z_0 \exp(\frac{k}{-pt}) + \frac{p}{2} dt^{\circ} \exp(\frac{k}{-p}(t t^{\circ}))f(t^{\circ}) + A \sin((t^{\circ}))g;$$
(12)

7

where $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$, x_0 and y_0 are initial coordinates of the particle. The initial distribution for x_0 and y_0 is assumed to be equilibrium canonical distribution $P_e(x_0; y_0; t) = \frac{k}{2} \exp\left[-\frac{k(x_0^2 + y_0^2)}{2}\right]$. It may be readily noted from equations (10),(11),(12) and (13) that work done as well as particle co-ordinates at later times are linear functional of G aussian variables x (t) and y (t) and hence their distributions are G aussian. We calculate full probability distribution for W for both cases following closely the procedures adpoted in refs[9,10]. W ithout going into further details of algebra we give our nalresults which will be further analyzed.

For the case (i), the average work done h i is

$$HW = 2 v^{2} ft - \frac{1}{k} (1 \exp(kt) \cos(t)) - \frac{C}{k} \sin(t)$$
$$\exp(kt)g - v^{2} 2C f - \frac{1}{k} \sin(t) \exp(kt) - \frac{C}{k}$$
$$(1 \exp(kt) \cos(t))g; \qquad (13)$$

where $= \frac{kC}{(1+C^2)}$ and $k = \frac{k}{(1+C^2)}$. The above equation (13) agrees with the result obtained in refs[9,10] for B = 0. The variance of the work is given by

$$HW^{2}i \quad HW^{2} = \frac{2HW}{i}; \qquad (14)$$

where $= \frac{1}{k_{\rm B}T}$. the full probability distribution P (W) is

$$P(W) = \frac{1}{4 \ hW \ i=} e^{(W \ hW \ i)^{2} = (4hW \ i=)}$$
: (15)

JE given in equation (1) follows immediately from the above expression, with

he
W
 i = e F = 1: (16)

The equation (16) im plies F = 0, indicating that the equilibrium free energy of a particle in a harmonic potential is independent of magnetic eld, consistent with the Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem . Needless to say that in the present case the free energy of the oscillator is also independent of position of the centre of the harmonic potential as expected on general grounds. How ever, it is interesting to note that the therm odynam ic work W in the transient state depends explicitly on the magnetic eld B. In the presence of magnetic eld relaxation rate of the system $r \left(= \frac{(1+C^2)}{k}\right)$ depends on the magnetic eld. It increases with the strength of the magnetic eld. Hence the magnetic eld gives an additional control over the relaxation time in experimental situation to verify the above and subsequent results.

Only in the asymptotic time limit t ! 1 (t $_{\rm r}$), where the system does not retain the memory of the initial state, one obtains for the averaged work done HW $_{\rm s}$ i in a system in time intervalt,

$$hW_{si} = 2\sqrt{t}$$
 (17)

Hence power(p) delivered to the system in the steady state is constant (p = 2 v²). In this state them odynam ic work is essentially a mechanical work delivered to the system by a moving trap with a speed ¹ $\overline{2}$ v along the diagonal direction in x-y plane. The particle in this state settles to a G aussian distribution with the same dispersion as in the case of canonical equilibrium distribution. How - ever, the centre of the position of the particle distribution lags behind the instantaneous minimum of the con n-ing potential by a distance $1 = \frac{\overline{2}v}{k}$ along the diagonal line. The harm onic potential pulls the particle with a force kl, at speed $\overline{2}v$. Thus the power delivered is kl $\overline{2}v = 2 v^2$. This power is dissipated as heat into the surrounding medium. In this regime, the uctuations in work M sit is

$$hW_{s}^{2}i = hW_{s}i^{2} + \frac{2}{h}W_{s}i \qquad (18)$$

In the steady state distribution of work W $_{\rm s}$ obeys the SSFT [9] namely

$$\frac{P(W_s)}{P(W_s)} = e^{W_s}$$
(19)

It is important to note that both work and its distribution in the steady state do not depend on the magnetic eld. Hence the experimentally obtained results[7] to verify Hatano-Sasa identity [5] for transition between nonequilibrium steady states, remains unaltered irrespective of the magnetic eld being present or not. Here transition between nonequilibrium states are induced by varying the speed of the trap [7].

We now turn to case (ii). As mentioned earlier for this case the work distribution is Gaussian and is characterized completely by the rst moment and the variance. Expression for W i is too lengthy and is given in appendix A . The variance is given by

$$hW^{2}i hWf = \frac{2}{}(hWi F)$$
 (20)

Where $F = \frac{A^2}{2k} \sin^2(!)$. Using the distribution of work one can readily verify the JE, namely he ^W i = e ^F. F is the free energy di erence between two therm odynamic states. The initial (t = 0) and nal states (t =) are characterized by a two dimensional harm onic potential with an additional tilt of magnitude zero and $A \times \sin(!)$, respectively. Note that F is independent of magnetic eld, again reassuring Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem . However, the work distribution is an explicit function of magnetic eld.

W e now concentrate on the statistics of the work done W $_{\rm s}$ in the asymptotic regime. In this regime probability distributions are time periodic with a period $\frac{2}{!}$.We calculate averaged work done over one period $\frac{2}{!}$, which is

$$hW_{s}i = \lim_{t \ge 1} [hW_{t}(t + \frac{2}{!})i hW_{t}(t)i]$$
 (21)

$$hW_{s}i = \frac{A^{2}! (k^{2} + !^{2} (1 + C^{2}))}{(k^{2} + (1 + C^{2})^{2}!^{2})^{2} 4k^{2}C^{2}!^{2}}$$
(22)

Similarly variance $h\!V_{\rm s}{\rm i}$ of the work averaged over a period of oscillation in is given by

$$hV_{s}i = hW_{s}^{2}i \quad hW_{s}i^{2}$$
(23)

$$hV_{s}i = -hW_{s}i$$
 (24)

In the time periodic state averaged input energy is dissipated into system as a heat[9]. Thus one can identify HW_s i as a hysteresis loss in the medium . Since the problem being linear we nd that the time averaged hysteresis loss is independent of tem perature. However, it depends explicitly on the magnetic eld and is a symmetric function of magnetic eld. Thus the magnetic eld becomes a relevant variable in the time periodic asymptotic state. However, it must be noted that variance in the input energy can not be identied with the heat uctuations[9]. In this time periodic state work done W_s over a period satis es the SSFT, namely, equation (19).

It may be noted that the validity of SSFT for work done over a single period (equation (24)) is restricted only to overdam ped linear models as in the present case. In general this will not hold good in nonlinear situations [17]. How ever, one can show that if one instead considers work done over a large num ber of periods, indeed SSFT holds even for nonlinear models. The convergence of SSFT on accessible time scales have been studied in the previous literature [18]. We have calculated separately the work distribution for W $_{\rm s}$ in the inertial regimewhich satis es SSFT. Moreover we have also shown that one can obtain the orbitalm agnetic moment in this nonequilibrium state without violating the Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem. These results will be published elsewhere [16].

In conclusion we have solved analytically the work distribution of a charged particle in the presence of magnetic eld in two di erent cases. The rst being the case where minimum of the harmonic potential is dragged with an uniform velocity and in the second case particle is subjected to an ac force. For both cases JE is veried and this equality complements Bohr-van Leeuwen theorem on the absence of diamagnetism in classical system. In case (i) we have shown that such a distribution in steady state does not depend on m agnetic eld and satis es SSFT. As opposed to this case in a tim e periodic assym ptotic state for case (ii) m agnetic eld becom es a relevant variable. The hysteresis loss over a cycle depends explicitly on the m agnetic eld. R elaxation time in our system can be controlled by m agnetic eld. All our results are am enable to experim ental veri cation w ith charged beads in m agnetic eld.

APPENDIX A:

An expression for hW i

$$\mathbb{W} \ \mathbf{i} = \frac{1}{[(k^2 + !^2(\mathbf{1} \quad C^2))^2 + 4C^2!^4]} \ (k^2 + !^2(\mathbf{1} \quad C^2))\mathbf{f} - \frac{\mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{k} \sin^2(!\mathbf{t})}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{A}^2!^2 \mathbf{t}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{A}^2! \sin(2!\mathbf{t})}{4} \mathbf{g} + 2C!^2 \mathbf{f} \frac{\mathbf{A}^2 C!^2 \mathbf{t}}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{A}^2! \exp(\mathbf{k} \mathbf{t})}{2[(k^2 + !^2(\mathbf{1} \quad C^2))^2 + 4C^2!^4]} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + (+!)^2} \ (\mathbf{f} \quad k^2 + !^2(\mathbf{1} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{k} + C(+!)) + 2C!^2(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{k} \quad (+!))(\mathbf{k}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{1} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{k} + C(+!)) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2 + (-!)^2} \ (\mathbf{f} \quad k^2 + !^2(\mathbf{1} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{k} + C(+!)) + 2C!^2(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{k} \quad (-!))(\mathbf{g} \cos(\mathbf{k} + !)\mathbf{t}) + 2C!^2(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{k} \quad (-!))(\mathbf{g} \cos(\mathbf{k} + !)\mathbf{t}) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}^2(\mathbf{c}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{1} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{k} + C(-!)) + 2C!^2(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{k} \quad (-!))(\mathbf{g} \cos(\mathbf{k} + !)\mathbf{t}) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}^2(\mathbf{c}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{k} \quad C(-!)) + 2C!^2(\mathbf{c} \mathbf{k} \quad (-!))\mathbf{g} \cos(\mathbf{k} \quad !)\mathbf{t}) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}^2} \ (\mathbf{c}^2)(\mathbf{c}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{k} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{c}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{k} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{c}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{k} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{c}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{c} \quad C^2))(\mathbf{c}^2 + !^2(\mathbf{c} \quad C^2)) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}^2} \ (-1)^2 \ (-1)^$$

where

$$c^{\circ} = \frac{a_1 a_2}{c_1 c_2};$$
 (26)

$$a_{1} = 2A^{2}!^{2} (k^{2} + 2 + !^{2}),$$

$$a_{2} = k fk^{2} + !^{2} (1 + C^{2})g + C (k^{2} + 3!^{2} !^{2}C^{2}),$$

$$c_{1} = (k^{2} + !^{2} (1 C^{2}))^{2} + 4C^{2}!^{4},$$

$$c_{2} = 2[k^{2} + (!)^{2}][k^{2} + (!)^{2}].$$

Here $= \frac{kC}{1+C^2}$, $k = \frac{k}{1+C^2}$ and $C = \frac{je\beta}{c}$ with k here written for $\frac{k}{c}$.

In the absence of magnetic eld, hW i reduces to

$$HW = \frac{A^{2}k}{2(k^{2} + !^{2})} \sin^{2}(!t) + \frac{A^{2}!}{4(!^{2} + k^{2})} \sin(2!t) + \frac{A^{2}!^{2}t}{2(k^{2} + !^{2})} \frac{A^{2}!^{2}k}{(k^{2} + !^{2})^{2}} + \frac{A^{2}!^{2}}{(k^{2} + !^{2})^{2}} \exp(kt) \log(1t) + \frac{A^{2}!^{2}}{2(k^{2} + !^{2})^{2}} \exp(kt) \exp(kt$$

ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

One of us (AMJ) thanks Abhishek Dhar for helpful discussions.

E lectronic address: jayan@ iopb.res.in

- [L] C.Bustam ante, J.Liphardt and F.R itort, Physics Today 58, 45 (2005).
- [2] D.J.Evans and D.J.Searls, Adv Phys. 51, 1529 (2002).
- [3] C. Jarzynski, PhysRev. Lett. 78, 2690 (1997); Phys.Rev. E 56, 5018 (1997).
- [4] G.E.Crooks, PhysRev.E 60, 2721 (1999); PhysRev. E 61, 2361 (2000).
- [5] F.Hatano and S.Sasa, PhysRev.Lett.86, 3463 (2000).
- [6] J.Liphardt et al, Science. 296, 1833 (2002); F.D ouarche et al, Europhys. Lett. 70, 593 (2005); G.M.W ang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 050601 (2002); O.N arayan and A.D har, J.PhysA M ath Gen 37, 63 (2004).
- [7] E. M. Trepangnier et al, Proc. Natt. Acad. Sci. 101, 15038 (2004).
- [8] W. Lechner et.al, J. Chem. Phys. 124, 044113
 (2006); G. Hummer and A. Szabo, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. 98, 3658 (2001).
- [9] R. van Zon and E.G. D. Cohen, PhysRev.E 67, 046102 (2002); PhysRev.E 69, 056121 (2004).
- [10] O.M azonka and C.Jarzynski, cond-m at/ 9912121.
- [11] F. Ritort, J. Stat. Mech. P10016 (2004); R. C. Lua and A. Y. Grosberg, JPhyChem B 109, 6805 (2005); L.Bena, C. Van den Broeck and R. Kawai, EurophysLett. 71, 879 (2005); A. Dhar, Phys. Rev. E 71, 036126 (2005); R. Marathe and A. Dhar, Phys. Rev. E 72, 066112 (2005); A. Im parato and L. Peliti,

Europhys.Lett. 69, 643 (2005).

- [12] S.Chandrasekhar, Rev.M od.Phys.15,1 (1943).
- [16] A.M. Jayannavar and N.Kum ar, J.Phys. A 14, 1399 (1981).
- [14] N. Bohr, Dissertation, Copenhagen (1911); J. H. van Leeuwen, J. Phys. 2, 361 9(1921); R. E. Peierls, Surprises in theoritical Physics (Princeton University Press, Princeton, (1979)).
- [15] H.Risken, The Fokker Planck Equation, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- $\ensuremath{\left[16 \right]}$ A . M . Jayannavar and M . Sahoo $\ensuremath{\left[to \ensuremath{ be published} \right]}.$
- [17] S. Saikia, R. Roy and A. M. Jayannavar, cond-mat/0701303.
- [18] J. C. Reid, et. al, Phys. Rev. E 70, 016111 (2004); J. C. Reid, E. M. Sevick and D. J. Evans, Europhys.Lett. 5, 726 (2005); G. M. W ang et al, Condens. M atter 17, s3239 (2005); F. Douarche, et. al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 97, 140603 (2006).