M agnetic- ux-controlled giant Fano factor for the coherent tunneling through a parallel double-quantum -dot

Bing Dong and X.L.Lei

Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, 1954 Huashan Road, Shanghai 200030, China

Abstract

W e report our studies of zero-frequency shot noise in tunneling through a parallel-coupled quantum dot interferom eter by employing number-resolved quantum rate equations. We show that the combination of quantum interference e ect between two pathways and strong C oulomb repulsion could result in a giant Fano factor, which is controllable by tuning the enclosed magnetic ux.

PACS num bers: 72.70.+m, 73.23.Hk, 73.63.-b

Quantum shot noise in nanosystem far from equilibrium has become currently active issue since it characterizes the degree of correlation between charge transport events, which can not be obtained by measuring mean current alone.¹ In particular, it is specially interesting to search for mesoscopic devices having super-Poissonian noise feature.^{2,3,4,5,6,7,8} For instance, a single quantum dot (QD) with multilevel connected to two leads was recently reported to show enhanced noise by analyzing its full counting statistic, in which quantum interference between multi-states was not taken into account.⁹

In this letter, we study the shot noise of nst-order resonant tunneling through a two-level system, a two parallel-coupled QDs, under an extrem ely large bias-voltage with emphasis on the quantum interference e ect. The theoretical model is depicted in Fig. 1, in which both of two QDs 1 and 2 are connected to the left and right leads via the tunneling matrix element V_j (= fL;Rg and j = f1;2g) describing the coupling between QD j and lead . For simplicity, we assume $V_{L1} = V_{R2}$ and $V_{L2} = V_{R1}$, and the strength of tunneling = 2 $%_0 j V_{L1(R2)} j^2$ (%₀ is the at density of states of the leads) and ⁰ = 2 $%_0 j V_{L2(R1)} j^2$ being constant in the wide band limit. Here we also assume that only one spinless energy level "j in each dot is involved in transport. denotes dot-dot hopping and a magnetic ux ' 2 = 0 (0 hc=e is the magnetic ux quantum) is applied to penetrate the area enclosed by the two tunneling pathways. Note that this QD A haronov-Bohm interferom eter has been realized in recent experiments.^{10,11}

Under the limit of su ciently large bias-voltage (V ; 0 ;), electronic tunneling through this system in rst-order picture can be described by the quantum rate equations (QREs) for the dynamic evolution of the reduced density matrix elements of the coupled QDs, _{ab}(t) (a; b = f0;1;2; dg).^{12,13,14} The diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix, _{aa}, give the occupation probabilities of the states of the dots, namely: ₀₀(_{dd}) is the probability of nding both dots unoccupied (occupied), ₁₁ and ₂₂ are the probabilities of

nding dot 1 and dot 2 occupied, respectively; while the o -diagonal element $_{12} = _{21}$ describe the coherent superposition state between two QDs. For the purpose of evaluating the noise spectrum, we introduce the number-resolved density matrices $_{ab}^{(n)}$ (t), meaning that the system is in the electronic state jai (a = b) or in quantum superposition state (a \in b) at time t and meanwhile total nelectrons are counted to transfer into the right lead by time t.¹² O by jourly, $_{ab}$ (t) = $\frac{P}{n} \frac{(n)}{ab}$ (t) and the resulting number-resolved QREs at zero tem perature

and large bias-voltage in the case of in nite inter-dot C oulom b interaction are:

$$\frac{p_{22}}{2} = \frac{p_{22}}{p_{22}} \frac{p_{22}}{2} \frac{p_{22}}{2} \frac{p_{12}}{p_{12}} + e^{i' - 2} \frac{p_{12}}{21} + e^{i' - 2} \frac{p_{12}}{21} ;$$
 (1c)

together with the norm alization relation $_{00} + _{11} + _{22} = 1$. The adjoint equation of Eq. (1d) gives the equation of motion for $_{21}^{(n)}$. The parameter p = 0 describes the interference in tunneling events through dimensional events through dimensional events full noninterference (interference) between two pathways].^{15,16} describes number-resolved QREs, we can readily deduce the usual QREs for the reduced density matrix elements, (t) = ($_{00}$; $_{11}$; $_{22}$; $_{12}$; $_{21}$)^T, as: _(t) = M (t), where M can be easily read from Eqs. (1a)-(1d).

The current owing through the system can be evaluated by the time change rate of electron number in the right lead (we use $\sim = e = 1$)

$$I = N_{R}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{n}^{X} nP_{n}(t) + \sum_{t=1}^{n} r^{2} r^{2}$$

where $P_n(t) = {\binom{n}{00}}(t) + {\binom{n}{11}}(t) + {\binom{n}{22}}(t)$ is the total probability of transferring n electrons into the right lead by time t. A coording to the M adD onald's form ula for shot noise,¹⁷ the zero-frequency shot noise with respect to the right lead is de ned by $P_n(t)$ as well.^{18,19}

$$S(0) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{n}^{X} n^{2}P_{n}(t) (tI)^{2} + \frac{\pi}{t! 1}$$
(3)

W ith the help of Eqs. (1), the current and shot noise can be written as

$$I = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} h & p & p \\ 11 + 22 + p & 0 \\ (e^{i' - 2} + e^{i' - 2} + 21) & t! \\ (e^{i' - 2} + e^{i' - 2} + 21) & t! \\ 0 & (2G_{11} + 11) + (2G_{22} + 22) + p & 0 \end{array}}$$
(4)

$$e^{i'=2} (2G_{12} + _{12}) + e^{i'=2} (2G_{21} + _{21})_{t! 1};$$
 (5)

with the generating function $G_{ab}(t)$ de ned as

$$G_{ab}(t) = \sum_{n}^{X} n_{ab}^{(n)}(t)$$
: (6)

Employing Eqs. (1), the equations of motion for G (t) = $(G_{00};G_{11};G_{22};G_{12};G_{21})^{T}$ are explicitly obtained in matrix form : G-(t) = M G (t) + G (t) with $G_{12} = {}^{0}$, $G_{13} = {}^{0}$, $G_{14(5)} = {}^{p} - {}^{0}e^{-i'=2}$, and all other elements being zero. Applying Laplace transform to these equations yields

$$G(s) = (sI M)^{\perp}G(s);$$
 (7)

where (s) is readily obtained by performing Laplace transform on its equations of motion with the initial condition $(0) = _{st}$ ($_{st}$ denotes the stationary solution of the QREs). Due to inherent long-time stability of the physics system under investigation, all real parts of nonzero poles of (s) and G (s) are negative de nite. Consequently, the large-t behavior of the auxiliary functions is entirely determined by the divergent terms of the partial fraction expansions of (s) and G (s) at s ! 0.

Finally, we arrive at analytical expressions for the current from Eq. (4) (x = = and = $^{\circ}$):

$$I_{1} = \frac{4x^{2}(+1)}{(+1)^{2} + 12x^{2}} ;$$
 (8)

for the fully interferential case (= 1) and

$$I_0 = \frac{(+4x^2)(+1)}{(+1)^2 + 12x^2} ; \qquad (9)$$

for the fully noninterferential case (= 0). It is found that the current is independent of magnetic ux due to $_{00}$ being constant function of magnetic ux in the strong C oulom b blockade lim it. However, the derivation is di cult for the zero-frequency shot noise Eq. (5). We obtain analytical expressions only for several magnetic uxes: if ' = 0 and 2, we have

$$S_{1}(0) = 4x^{2} (+ 1) [(80^{2} + 352 + 80)x^{4} + (8^{4} + 160^{3} + 336^{2} + 160 8)x^{2} + 6 + 10^{5} + 31^{4} + 44^{3} + 31^{2} + 10 + 1]$$

$$(1)^{2} [(+ 1)^{2} + 12x^{2}]^{3};$$
(10)

at = 1; while if ' = and = 1, we obtain

$$S_{1}(0) = 4x^{2} (+1) [80x^{4} (8^{2} + 16 + 8)x^{2} + 4 + 4^{3} + 6^{2} + 4 + 1][(+1)^{2} + 12x^{2}]^{3}$$
(11)

Let's discuss two special situations. W hen $^{0} = 0$ (= 0), the system reduces to seriescoupled QDs, and correspondingly, the current and shot noise become

$$I = \frac{4x^2}{1+12x^2} \quad ; \quad S(0) = \frac{4x^2(80x^4 - 8x^2 + 1)}{(1+12x^2)^3} \quad ; \tag{12}$$

irrespective of , which are identical to the previous results.¹⁹ For a completely symmetric interferom eter $^{0} = (= 1)$, the current is

$$I = \begin{cases} 0 \\ < \\ \frac{2}{3} ; \\ \frac{8x^2}{3} ; \\ \frac{8x^2}{3} ; \\ \frac{2}{3} ; \\ \frac{11}{3} ; \\ \frac{8x^2}{3} ; \\ \frac{2}{3} ; \\ \frac{11}{3} ; \\$$

W hile the zero-frequency shot noise becom es

$$S_{1}(0) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < \frac{1}{3} ; & \text{if } \prime = 0 \text{ and } 2 , \\ : \frac{2x^{2} (5x^{4} - 2x^{2} + 1)}{(1 + 3x^{2})^{3}} ; & \text{if } \prime = , \end{cases}$$
(14)

in the case of full coherence. By contrast, for the full noninterference case, we have a constant shot noise:

$$S_0(0) = \frac{10}{27}$$
 : (15)

For other values of magnetic ux, we have to resort to numerical calculation for shot noise. In Fig. 2, we plot the calculated current I, zero-frequency shot noise S (0), and Fano factor F = S(0)=I with x = 1=2 and = 1 as functions of magnetic ux for di erent coupling of the additional pathway in the case of in nite interdot C oulom b repulsion. O ur results explicitly show that (1) shot noise and Fano factor are periodic functions of magnetic ux with a period of 2; (2) shot noise is signi cantly enhanced due to the destructive quantum interference e ect around ' = 0 and 2n (n is an integer), leading to a giant Fano factor up to 10^2 at = 0.8 for full coherence = 1, while the shot noise is always sub-Poissonian for the system without the additional tunneling path = 0; (3) the instructive quantum interference at ' = n (n is an odd integer) suppresses the Fano factor lower than unit, exhibiting sub-Poissonian noise; (4) the inset clearly shows that the giant Fano factor crucially depends on the degree of interference . This magnetic - ux-dependent noise property was also reported in the cotunneling transport through a parallel-CQD.³ However, the shot noise is always sub-Poissonian in the case of no interdot Coulomb repulsion. In this case, the associated QREs become (= 1)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \overset{(n)}{22} &=& \overset{(n)}{00} & 2 & \overset{(n)}{22} + & \overset{(n)}{dd} & i & \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 12 & & 21 \end{pmatrix} \\ & & \frac{1p}{2} & \overset{(n)}{0} (e^{-i' - 2} & e^{i' - 2}) \begin{pmatrix} n \\ 12 & & 21 \end{pmatrix}; \end{array}$$
(16b)

together with the norm alization relation $_{00}+_{11}+_{22}+_{dd}=1$ [E quation for $_{00}^{(n)}$ is the same as Eq. (1a)], which are quoted directly from our previous derivation using nonequilibrium G reen's function.¹⁴ A long the same scheme as above indicated, we obtain the following results: (1) for $^{0}=0$ (the series-coupled QD s),

$$I = \frac{2x^2}{1+4x^2} \quad ; \quad S(0) = \frac{2x^2(8x^4-2x^2+1)}{(1+4x^2)^3} \quad ; \tag{17}$$

which are also identical to the previous results,¹⁹ and (2) for $^{0} =$ (a completely symmetric interferom eter),

$$I = ; S (0) = =2; \quad \text{if } ' = 0 \text{ and } 2 ,$$

$$I = \frac{x^2}{1+x^2} ; S (0) = \frac{x^2 (x^4 - x^2 + 2)}{2 (1+x^2)^3} ; \quad \text{if } ' = .$$
(18)

In conclusion, we have analyzed the shot noise properties of resonant tunneling through parallel-coupled QD interferom eter at extrem ely large bias-voltage. Our analytic and num erical results predict that a giant Fano factor can be found in this system due to the com – bination of quantum interference e ect between two tunneling paths and strong C oulom b blockade, and a super-Poissonian {sub-Poissonian transition of the shot noise occurs by tuning the enclosed magnetic ux to change quantum interference pattern.

This work was supported by Projects of the National Science Foundation of China, the ShanghaiM unicipalComm ission of Science and Technology, the ShanghaiPujiang Program,

and NCET.

- ¹ YaM.Blanter and M.Buttiker, Phys.Rep. 336, 1 (2000).
- ² M JM de Jong and C W J.Beenakker, Phys.Rev.B 49, 16070 (1994).
- ³ E.V. Sukhorukov, G. Burkard, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B 63, 125315 (2001).
- ⁴ A.Cottet, W.Belzig, and C.Bruder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 206801 (2004); A.Cottet, W.Belzig, and C.Bruder, Phys. Rev. B 70, 115315 (2004).
- ⁵ G.Kielich, A.Wacker, and E.Scholl, Phys.Rev.B 68, 125320 (2003); J.Aghassi, A.Thielmann, M.H.Hettler, and G.Schon, Phys.Rev.B 73, 195323 (2006).
- ⁶ A.Nauen, I.Hapke-Wurst, F.Hohls, U.Zeitler, R.J.Haug, and K.Pierz, Phys.Rev.B 66, R161303 (2002); A.Nauen, F.Hols, J.Konemann, and R.J.Haug, Phys.Rev.B 69, 113316 (2004).
- ⁷ S.S.Safonov, A.K.Savchenko, D.A.Bagrets, O.N.Jouravlev, Y.V.Nazarov, E.H.Lin eld, and D.A.Ritchie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 136801 (2003).
- ⁸ I. D juric, Bing Dong, H.L. Cui, IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology 4, 71 (2005); Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 032105 (2005).
- ⁹ W .Belzig, Phys. Rev. B 71, 161301(R) (2005).
- ¹⁰ A W . Holleitner, C R. Decker, H. Q in, K. Eberl, and R H. Blick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 256802 (2001); A W . Holleitner, R H. Blick, A K. Huttel, K. Eberl, and J.P. Kotthaus, Science 297, 70 (2002).
- ¹¹ JC.Chen, A M.Chang, and M.R.Melloch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 176801 (2004).
- ¹² SA.Gurvitz and YaS.Prager, Phys.Rev.B 53, 15932 (1996).
- ¹³ Bing Dong, H L. Cui, and X L. Lei, Phys. Rev. B 69 35324 (2004).
- ¹⁴ Bing Dong, I.D juric, H L.Cui, and X L.Lei, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 16, 4303 (2004).P lease note that there are typos in Eqs. (11) { (14): all e₁₂ should be e₁₂.
- ¹⁵ T.V. Shahbazyan and M.E. Raikh, Phys. Rev. B 49, 17123 (1994).
- ¹⁶ SA.Gurvitz, IEEE Transactions on Nanotechnology 4, 45 (2005).
- ¹⁷ D K C.M adD onald, Rep. Prog. Phys. 12, 56 (1948).
- ¹⁸ L.Y. Chen and C.S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4714 (1992).
- ¹⁹ B.E lattari and S.A.Gurvitz, Phys. Lett. A 292, 289 (2002).

Figure Caption

FIG 1: Schematic diagram for the coherent resonant tunneling through a parallel-coupled double quantum dot A haronov-B ohm interferom eter.

FIG 2: (a) Current I, zero-frequency shot noise S (0) (with unit) and (b) Fano factor F = S(0)=I vs' for various values of $^0=$ with = 1=2 and = 1. Inset: F vs .



Figure 1: Schem atic diagram for the coherent resonant tunneling through a parallel-coupled double quantum dot A haronov-B ohm interferom eter.



Figure 2: (a) Current I, zero-frequency shot noise S (0) (with unit) and (b) Fano factor F = S(0)=I vs' for various values of $^{0}=$ with = 1=2 and = 1. Inset: F vs .