arXiv:cond-mat/0611577v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 22 Nov 2006

Josephson effect in mesoscopic graphene strips with finite width

Ali G. Moghaddam and Malek Zareyan
Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences, 45195-1159, Zanjan, Iran

We study Josephson effect in a ballistic graphene strip of length L smaller than the superconducting coherence length and arbitrary width W. We find that the dependence of the critical supercurrent I_c on W is drastically different for different types of the edges. For *smooth* and *armchair* edges at low concentration of the carriers I_c decreases monotonically with decreasing W/L and tends to a constant minimum for a narrow strip $W/L \lesssim 1$. The minimum supercurrent is zero for smooth edges but has a finite value $e\Delta_0/\hbar$ for the armchair edges. At higher concentration of the carriers, in addition to this overall monotonic variation, the critical current undergoes a series of peaks with varying W. On the other hand in a strip with *zigzag* edges the supercurrent is half-integer quantized to $(n+1/2)4e\Delta_0/\hbar$, showing a step-wise variation with W.

PACS numbers: 74.45.+c, 74.50.+r, 73.63.-b, 74.78.Na

Over the past years fabrication of the nanoelectronic structures has provided the possibility of detecting the effects of the electronic transport through a few or even single quantum states. The generic effect is the quantization of the conductance of a quantum point contact (QPC) to $2e^2/\hbar$ times the number of the transparent quantum channels[1,2]. In a superconducting quantum point contact (SQPC) the analogous behavior occurs for the Josephson current[3]. Furusaki *et al.*[4] have predicted that the critical (maximum) supercurrent through a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) junction shows a step-like variation as a function of the width of the junction depending on the Fermi wave length of the electrons. The quantization of the supercurrent in units of $e\Delta_0/\hbar$ (Δ_0 is the superconducting gap in the electrodes) was confirmed experimentally in semiconducting structures[5].

Recently experimental realization of graphene, the two dimensional carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice, has introduced a new type of mesoscopic material with unique properties[6,7,8]. Most of the peculiarities come from the electronic structure of graphene which is fundamentally different from that of a metal or a semiconductor. Graphene has a gapless semimetallic band structure with a linear dispersion relation of the low-lying excitations. This makes the electrons in graphene to behave identical to two dimensional massless Dirac Fermions[9,10,11]. Already several quantum transport phenomena including the integer quantum Hall effect[7,8,12], the conductance quantization[13] and quantum shot noise[14] have been revisited in graphene and found to have anomalous features due to the relativistic-like dynamic of the electrons.

Very interestingly graphene as a weak link between two superconductors can serve as a relativistic Josephson contact[15]. Together with the fact that the graphene samples are realized with different type of the edges suggest a new class of Josephson devices with novel properties. In particular the quantization of the supercurrent through a graphene strip with finite width is expected to depend on the type of the edges. The aim of this paper is to study graphene Josephson junctions with different edges.

Josephson effect in a wide graphene sheet contacting two superconductors was studied by Titov and Beenakker[15] just recently. Using a Dirac-Bogoliubov-de Gennes (DBdG) formalism[16], they found that in a ballistic graphene a Josephson current can flow even in the limit of zero concentration of the carriers *i.e.* at the Dirac point. The dependence of the critical current on the junction length as well as the current-phase relation were found to be the same as in a Josephson junction with an ordinary *disordered* normal metal[17,18].

In this paper using the formalism of Ref.16 we study the Josephson effect in a graphene strip with length L smaller than the superconducting coherence length $\xi = \hbar v/\Delta_0$ and an arbitrary width W for smooth, armchair and zigzag edges. We find that in contrast to an ordinary SQPC[3,4] the supercurrent in smooth and armchair strips with a low concentration of the carriers is not quantized but rather shows a monotonic decrease with lowering W. For a narrow strip $W \lesssim L$ the supercurrent reduces to a constant minimum which is $e\Delta_0/\hbar$ for armchair edges and vanishingly small for smooth edges. Far from the Dirac point at a high concentration of the carriers the behavior of the supercurrent versus W consists of a similar monotonic variation and a series of peaks with distances inversely proportional to the chemical potential μ. We explain absence of the supercurrent quantization as a result of the significant contribution of the evanescent modes in the supercurrent which is a unique property of graphene monolayers. For a zigzag strip the situation is drastically different because of the valley filtering characteristic[19] of the wave functions. We find that this type of the edges supports a half-integer quantization of the supercurrent to $(n+1/2)4e\Delta_0/\hbar$.

The geometry of the studied Joseohson junction is shown schematically in Fig. 1. A ballistic graphene strip (N) connects two wide superconducting regions (S) which are produced by depositing of superconducting electrods on top of the graphene sheets. We assume the interfaces between the superconductors and the graphene strip to be free of defects and impurities. The superconducting parts are assumed to be heavily doped such that the Fermi wave length λ_{FS} inside them is very smaller




FIG. 1: Schematic of the studied junction structures. Right (left) junction has armchair (zigzag) edges.

than the superconducting coherence length ξ and also Fermi wave length of the normal graphene strip $\lambda_{F\,N}$. By the first condition mean field theory of superconductivity will be justified and by second we can neglect the spatial variation of Δ in the superconductors close to the normal-superconductor (NS) interfaces. Thus the superconducting order parameter has the constant values $\Delta = \Delta_0 \exp(\pm i\phi/2)$ in the left and right superconductor, respectively, and vanishes identically in N.

The superconducting correlations between electron-hole excitations are described by DBdG equation for the electron and hole wave functions u and v[16]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{H} - \varepsilon - \mu & \hat{\Delta} \\ \hat{\Delta}^* & \mu - \hat{\Theta}\hat{H}\hat{\Theta}^{-1} - \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here ε is the excitation energy and the single particle Dirac Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -i\,\hbar v(\partial_x\,\sigma_1\,\tau_0 + \partial_y\,\sigma_2\,\tau_3)$, the time-reversal operator $\hat{\Theta} = \sigma_3\,\tau_1$, and the superconductivity pair potential $\hat{\Delta} = \Delta\,\sigma_0\,\tau_1$, operate on the wave functions u and v. The wave functions are 4-component spinors $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_1', \psi_2')$ in which the indices show the two sublattices of the honeycomb lattice and primed (unprimed) ψ indicates K' (K) Dirac point in inverse honeycomb lattice. σ_i and τ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, are Pauli matrices in the sublattice and valley spaces respectively with the corresponding unit matrices σ_0 and τ_0. Eq. 1 describes the superconducting correlations between massless Dirac electron and hole excitations with opposite spins and different valleys.

The phase difference ϕ between the order parameters drives a supercurrent through the graphene strip which constitutes a weak link between two superconductors. This Josephson supercurrent is carried by the so called Andreev states which are formed in N region due to the successive conversion of the electron-hole excitations to each other (Andreev reflection) at the NS boundaries. For a short junction of $L \ll \xi$ and at zero temperature the Andreev bound (discrete) states with energies $|\varepsilon| < \Delta_0$ have the main contribution to the Josephson supercurrent[3]. In this case we can neglect the contribution from the continuous states above the superconducting gap. We obtain the energies $\varepsilon(\phi)$ of Andreev bound states by solving the DBdG equations with appropriate boundary conditions which are described below. The Josephson current is then obtained from the formula

$$I = \frac{4e}{\hbar} \sum_n \frac{d}{d\phi} \varepsilon_n(\phi), \quad (2)$$

where the factor 4 accounts for the spin and valley degeneracies.

Inside N the solutions of DBdG equations are the electron and hole-like wave functions which are classified by the 2-dimensional wave vector $\mathbf{k} \equiv (k, q)$ with the energy-momentum relation $\varepsilon = \hbar v|\mathbf{k}|$. For a finite width W the transverse momentum is quantized (q_n) by imposing the boundary conditions at the edges. The transverse boundary conditions are different for different edge types which a graphene strip can have.

The solutions inside the S are rather mixed electron-hole excitations, called Dirac-Bogoliubov quasiparticles. In general to match the solutions inside different regions one needs the scattering matrices at the interfaces. But assuming ideal NS boundaries electrone-hole scattering can be described by a longitudinal boundary condition between electron and hole wave functions which read[15],

$$u = e^{-i\varphi + i\beta\mathbf{n}.\sigma}\,\tau_1 v, \quad \beta = \arccos(\varepsilon/\Delta_0) \quad (3)$$

where φ is the phase of Δ in S and $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit vector perpendicular to the interface pointing from N to S.

For each type of the edges by imposing the longitudinal boundary conditions at the interfaces we obtain the Andreev energies $\varepsilon_n(\phi)$ of the transverse mode n. We will consider the regime $\Delta_0 \ll \mu$ where the retro-Andreev reflection dominates[16]. In this limit the longitudinal momentums of electron and hole $k_n(\pm\varepsilon)$ can be approximated with $k_n(0)$.

Let us start with analyzing a graphene strip with smooth edges which corresponds to an infinite mass confinement. For this case the transverse boundary conditions do not mix the valleys and have the form $\psi_1(0) = -\psi_2(0)$ and $\psi_1(W) = \psi_2(W)$[20]. For the electron-like Andreev bound state the two-component wave function is a composition of the right and left going waves $u(k)$ and $u(-k)$ with $u(k) = e^{ikx}[\sin(qy - \alpha/2), \sin(qy + \alpha/2)]$, and a quantized transverse momentum $q_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\pi/W$, $(n = 0, 1, 2, ...)$. The angle $\alpha = \arctan(q/k)$ indicates the propagation direction. Correspondingly the hole-like wave functions are written as a composition of $v(k)$ and $v(-k)$ which are the time-reversed of the electron wave functions. Imposing the electron-hole conversion condition (Eq. 3) at the NS boundaries $(x = 0, L)$ we obtain the energy of the Andreev state as

$$\varepsilon_n(\phi) = \Delta_0 \sqrt{1 - t_n \sin^2(\phi/2)}, \quad (4)$$

where $t_n = [1 + (q_n/k_n)^2 \sin^2(k_n L)]^{-1}$, is the transmission coefficient of the mode n through the junction.

For the armchair edges the two valleys are mixed by the boundary conditions $\psi_1 = \psi_1'$ and $\psi_2 = \psi_2'$ at the two edges[21]. The electron wave function is a mixture of the two valleys with the form

$$u = e^{ikx}[e^{iqy}(e^{-i\alpha}, e^{i\alpha}), (-1)^n e^{-iqy}(e^{-i\alpha}, e^{i\alpha})], \quad (5)$$

where the transverse momentum quantized to $q_n = n\pi/W$ with integer n. Note that in contrast to the smooth edges, the wave functions $u(q)$ and $u(-q)$ represent distinct solutions in which the components of the two valleys are interchanged. As a result the lowest mode $n = 0$ has not the two fold valley degeneracy of the higher $n = 1, 2, ...$ modes. Like the case of smooth edges the electron wave function of a given mode n is the composition of $u(k)$ and $u(-k)$. We find that the Andreev energies have the same form as in the smooth strip(Eq. 4).

We now consider the case of zigzag edges. In contrast to the smooth and armchair edges, these have a property of coupling the longitudinal and transverse momentums k and q. The boundary conditions are $\psi_1 = \psi_1' = 0$ at $y = 0$ and $\psi_2 = \psi_2' = 0$ at $y = W$[21], which lead to the transcendental relation $\sin(qW)/qW = \pm\hbar v/\mu W$ for the transverse momentum q. This relation has a finite number of solutions depending on the value of $\mu W/\hbar v$. For $\mu W/\hbar v < 1$ there is an imaginary solution q labeling an evanescent mode in the y-direction. In the interval $1 < \mu W/\hbar v < 3\pi/2$, the transcendental relation has a single oscillatory solution. For larger $\mu W/\hbar v$ the number of the solutions are increased by two whenever the width is increased by a half of Fermi wave length $\lambda_{F\,N} = hv/\mu$. We classify the wave functions to two groups according to $\pm$ sings in the transcendental relation. The electronic wave functions of the first group (+ sign) have the forms

$$u^+ = e^{ikx}[\sin(qy), \sin(qy + \alpha), 0, 0], \quad (6)$$

$$u^- = e^{-ikx}[0, 0, \sin(qy), \sin(qy - \alpha)], \quad (7)$$

which, respectively, describe a right-going wave on the valley K and a left-going wave in the other valley K'. The wave functions of the second group ($-$ sign) is obtained from the above functions by the replacement $k \to -k$. We note that for each mode the zigzag strip operates as a valley filter for the waves with mixed valley components[19]. Since the valley index and q are conserved upon a normal reflection, the filtering property prevents the electrons from such scatterings. Consequently the wave functions of the electron bound states in N will be a composition of $u^{+(-)}$ and $v^{-(+)}$. From the longitudinal condition (Eq. 3) we obtain that the energy of the Andreev state does not depend on the transverse mode q and is given by $\varepsilon = \Delta_0 \cos(\phi/2)$, which is the same as for an ordinary SQPC[3].

From Eq. 2 and using the above obtained results for the allowed transverse momentums q and the Andreev energies ε we obtain the Josephson current for the three edge types. The results of smooth and armchair edges are similar. In both cases there are infinite numbers of transverse modes. For a given $\mu L/\hbar v$ there are oscillatory modes with real k as well as evanescent modes with imaginary k. Unlike the ordinary QPCs the evanescent modes in the graphene strip may have a significant transmission depending on the value of $\mu L/\hbar v$. In particular at the Dirac point $\mu L/\hbar v \ll 1$ there is only few propagating modes and the evanescent modes have the main contribution in the supercurrent. This is the unique property of the graphene Josephson junctions in which very small Fermi energies are accessible.




FIG. 2: The critical current *vs.* relative width of junction for two different values of $\mu L/\hbar v$. Solid (dashed) line is corresponding to junction with smooth (armchair) edges.

Fig. 2 shows the critical current dependence on width for the smooth and armchair edges and for two values of $\mu L/\hbar v$. For a typical small value $\mu L/\hbar v = 0.1$ (Fig. 2b) I_c has a linear dependence on W/L at large W/L which is the result of a diffusive-like transport in the ballistic graphene[15]. By decreasing W/L the transmission of the modes through N decreases and the critical current shows a monotonic decrease without any quantization. For a narrow strip $W \lesssim L$ the evanescent modes transparencies is vanishingly small and I_c reduces to a constant minimum value. For the smooth edges no propagating mode can exist when $W < (\pi/2)\hbar v/\mu$. So the minimum supercurrent goes to zero. However in the case of the armchair edges a lowest nondegenerate mode with $q = 0$ always can propagate irrespective of the width of the junction. This zero mode result in a bound state carrying a nonzero residual supercurrent $I_c = e\Delta_0/\hbar$ for $W \lesssim L$ (see Fig. 2b).

For a higher chemical potential, $\mu L/\hbar v = 5$ (Fig. 2a) an oscillatory variation is added to the monotonic behavior. In this regime both the propagating and evanescent modes contribute to the supercurrent. In addition to an overall increase with $\mu W/\hbar v$ the critical current undergoes peaks which become smoother by increasing $\mu W/\hbar v$. Each peak (jump) signals addition of a new propagating




FIG. 3: The critical current *vs.* relative width of junction with zigzag edges.

mode in the transport. Note that due to a nonzero transverse momentum of the lowest mode ($n = 0$) in smooth-edge strip the oscillations are shifted by half a period with respect to those of the armchair-edge one. Overall absence of the supercurrent quantization in these junctions is a consequence of the existence of the evanescent modes with appreciable transmission through N.

Finally let us analyze the Josephson supercurrent for the zigzag strip. As we argued above in this case the valley filtering nature of the wave functions in N prevents the normal reflections at NS-interfaces. There is no evanescent mode with imaginary k and the situation is similar to an ordinary SQPC. The critical supercurrent shows a step-wise variation with $\mu W/hv$ but with the following important anomalies (see Fig. 3). In contrast to an ordinary SQPC[3,4], the width of the first step (3/4) is bigger than that of the higher steps (1/2). The extra width is the contribution of the single y-direction evanescent mode (imaginary q) for $W < \hbar v/\mu$. Also the height of the first step is 1/2 of the height of the higher steps which itself is four times bigger than $e\Delta_0/\hbar$ the supercurrent quanta in an ordinary SQPC. Therefore the supercurrent through a zigzag graphene strip is half-integer quantized to $(n + 1/2)4e\Delta_0/\hbar$. The effect resembles the conductance quantization in graphene strips with zigzag edges[13] and also the half-integer Hall effect[7,8,12] in monolayer structures.

In conclusion we have investigated the Josephson effect in a short monolayer graphene strip connecting two superconducting electrodes. Within a Dirac-Bogoliubov-de Gennes formalism, we have found that the variation of the critical supercurrent I_c versus the width W is drastically different for the graphene strips with different edges. In the smooth and armchair strips with low concentration of the carriers I_c decreases monotonically with decreasing W/L. For a narrow strip $W \lesssim L$, I_c takes a constant minimum value which is given by $e\Delta_0/\hbar$ for the armchair edges but vanishingly small for the smooth edges. Increasing the concentration of the carriers, this overall monotonic dependence of I_c acquires a series of peaks with distances inversely proportional to the chemical potential μ. For the zigzag edges we have found a step-wise variation of I_c versus $\mu W/hv$, implying a half-integer quantization of the supercurrent to $(n+1/2)4e\Delta_0/\hbar$ with integer n.

[1] B. J. van Wees, H. van Houten, C. W. J. Beenakker, J. G. Williamson, L. P. Kouwenhoven, D. van der Marel, and C. T. Foxon Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 848 (1988).

[2] A. Wharam, T. J. Thornton, R. Newbury, M. Pepper, H. Ahmed, J. E. Frost, D. G. Hasko, D. C. Peacock, D. A. Richie, and G. A. C. Jones, J. Phys. C **21**, L209 (1988).

[3] C. W. J. Beenakker and H. van Houten Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 3056 (1991).

[4] A. Furusaki, H. Takayanagi, and M. Tsukada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 132 (1991); Phys. Rev. B **45**, 10563 (1992).

[5] H. Takayanagi, T. Akazaki, and J. Nitta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3533 (1995).

[6] K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S.V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S.V. Dubonos, I.V. Grigorieva, and A.A. Firsov, Science **306**, 666 (2004).

[7] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S.V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M.I. Katsnelson, I.V. Grigorieva, S.V. Dubonos, and A.A. Firsov, Nature **438**, 197 (2005).

[8] Y. Zhang, Y. W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, Nature **438**, 201 (2005).

[9] P. R. Wallace Phys. Rev. **71**, 622 (1947).

[10] J. C. Slonczewski and P. R. Weiss, Phys. Rev. **109**, 272 (1958).

[11] G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2449 (1984).

[12] V. P. Gusynin and S. G. Sharapov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 146801 (2005).

[13] N. M. R. Peres, A. H. Castro Neto, and F. Guinea, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 195411 (2006).

[14] J. Tworzydlo, B. Trauzettel, M. Titov, A. Rycerz, and C.W.J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 246802 (2006).

[15] M. Titov and C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B **74** , 041401(R) (2006).

[16] C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 067007 (2006).

[17] C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3836 (1991); **68**, 1442(E) (1992).

[18] I. O. Kulik and A. N. Omelyanchuk, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **21**, 216 (1975); JETP Lett. **21**, 96 (1975).

[19] A. Rycerz, J. Tworzydlo, C. W. J. Beenakker, cond-mat/0608533

[20] M. V. Berry and R. J. Mondragon, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **412**, 53 (1987).

[21] L. Brey and H. A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 235411 (2006).