Depinning and creep motion in glass states of ux lines

Meng-Bo Luo^{y;z} and Xiao Hu^y

^yComputational Materials Science Center, National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba 305-0047, Japan ^zDepartment of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China (Dated: March 23, 2024)

U sing dynam ical computer simulation we have investigated vortex matters in glass states. A genuine continuous depinning transition is observed at zero temperature, which also governs the low-temperature creep motion. With the notion of scaling, we evaluate in high accuracy critical exponents and scaling functions; we observe a non-Arrhenius creep motion for weak collective pinning where Bragg glass (BrG) is stabilized at equilibrium, while for strong pinning the well-known Arrhenius law is recovered. In both cases, a sharp crossover takes place between depinning and creep at low temperatures. The possible relation between the present results and a recent experimental observation of a second-order like phase boundary inside the BrG phase is discussed.

PACS num bers:

Introduction { Depending on the strength of the random pinning force, the equilibrium state of the ux lines at low enough tem perature can be either B ragg glass (BrG) [1, 2], where quasi long-range lattice order still survives, or vortex glass (VG) [3] as random as the liquid phase. The competition between the vortex repulsion and the random pin potential builds up a highly nontrivial energy landscape, which manifests itself drastically in dynamics, e.g. vortex motion under current driving. Since the proposal of collective pinning theory of vortex lines by Larkin and Ovchinnikov [4], theoretical understanding for the nonlinear dynamics response has been advanced [1, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The functional renormalization group (FRG) has also been formulated [9]. For recent review articles see [10, 11, 12].

The current-driven $\,$ ux lines compose a unique system of D = 3 dimensions in the internal space, equal to the dimension d = 3 of the space where the system is embedded, and N = 2 components of displacement vector. A full FRG treatment is still not available for N > 1. We try to address the issue by computer simulations, with the hope that useful insights complimentary to the previous works can be provided. It is recalled that computer simulations for a domain wall in a plane with N = 1, D = 1 and d = 2 were reported recently [13].

On the other hand, a new experimental noting of a second-order like phase boundary in H T phase diagram was reported very recently, which intersects the rst-order phase boundary associated with the melting transition of the BrG [14]. It certainly renews interests on corresponding variations in dynamical properties inside the BrG phase; the new phase transition was discussed in terms of replica-symmetry breaking [15], which is perhaps best captured by dynamical responses.

The main results of the present work are summarized as follows: Based on the simulation results, we have derived a scaling relation among the velocity, force and temperature with two universal exponents for vortex motions around the zero-temperature depinning force. From the exponents and the scaling curve, the Arrhenius law for the creep motion with a linearly suppressed energy

barrier appears for strong pinning strength. A non-Arrhenius type creep motion is observed at weak collective pinning for which the equilibrium state is a BrG. A sharp crossover between the depinning and creep motion is also observed.

M odel and simulation details { We consider a superconductor of layered structure with magnetic eld perpendicular to the layers. The model system is a stack of superconducting planes of thickness dwith interlayer space s. Each plane contains N $_{\rm v}$ pancake vortices (PV) and N $_{\rm p}$ quenched pins. The overdam ped equation of motion of the ith pancake at position $r_{\rm i}$ is [16, 17, 18, 19, 20]

$$\underline{r_{i}} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \underline{r_{i}}U^{VV} (\underline{r_{ij}}) \\ \underline{r_{i}}U^{VP} (\underline{r_{ip}}) + F_{L} + F_{th} : \end{array}$$

$$(1)$$

is the viscosity coe cient. The vortex-vortex interaction contains two parts: an intraplane PV-PV pairwise repulsion given by the modi ed Bessel function U^{VV} ($_{ij}$; z_{ij} = 0) = $d_{0}K_{0}$ ($_{ij}$ = $_{ab}$), and an interplane attraction between PVs in adjacent layers given by U^{VV} ($_{ij}$; $z_{ij} = s$) = (s $_{0}$ =)[1+ ln($_{ab}$ =s)][($_{ij}$ =2 r_{q})² 1] $2r_g$ and U^{VV} ($_{ij}$; z_{ij} = s) = (s $_0$ =)[1+ $\ln (ab=s)$][$ij=r_g$ 2] otherwise, where $0 = {0 \atop ab} = 2$ 0 abwith ab the in-plane magnetic penetration depth, r_{α} = ab with ab the in-plane coherence length and the anisotropy [16, 19]. Here, ij is the in-plane component of position vector \boldsymbol{r}_{ij} between ith and jth PVs and z_{ij} is that along layer normal. The pinning potential is given by $U^{VP}(_{ip}) =$ $A_{p} \exp [(i_{p} = R_{p})^{2}],$ where $A_p = (_0 d=4) \ln [1 + (R_p^2=2_{ab}^2)]$ and mensionless pinning strength. Finally, F_L is the uniform Lorentz force, and F th is the therm al noise force with zero mean and a correlator $hF_{th}(z;t)F_{th}(z^0;t^0)i =$ \hat{z}) (t \hat{t}) with ; = x; y. We consider a m aterial sim ilar to B i_2 Sr₂C aC u₂O 8 w ith = ab = ab = 90, = 100, and d = 2.83 10³ ab, s = 8.33 10³ with $R_p = 0.22$ ab [19]. Below the units for length, energy, term perature, force and tim e are taken as ab, d_0 , $d_0=k_B$, $d_0=a_b$, and $a_b=d_0$. P inning strength is sup-




FIG. 1: v F characteristics for = 0.2. Data for T = 0.003 obtained for L_{xy} = 40 $_{ab}$ are also included (light-blue squares). Inset: data for zero temperature. Error bars are smaller than the size of symbols.

posed to be uniform. Periodic boundary conditions are put in all the three directions. The results shown below are for N $_{\rm V}$ = 180, N $_{\rm p}$ = 900, N $_{\rm z}$ = 20, L $_{\rm xy}$ = 30. The magnetic eld is roughly B ' 100G if we take $_{\rm ab}$ = 2000A [21]. The equation is integrated by the 2nd order Rugen-Kutter algorithm with t = 0.002All data presented below are the average over 10 sam ples with di erent random ly distributed pins. Fixing the magnetic eld, we have performed simulations for $L_{xy} = 20$ and 40, and make sure that nite-size e ects are negligible to the main, universal results. For pinning strength, we choose = 0.2 and = 0.05, for which the maximalcurvatures of the pinning potential are 4.87 and 122, above and below the tilt modulus of the ux lines C ' 1:47; they are therefore expected to fall into the strong and weak collective pinning regimes respectively [22, 23].

Strong pinning { Let us begin with the strong pinning case of = 0.2. The equilibrium state is a pinned solid (VG) as random as liquid seen from the structure factor. The v F characteristics at low temperatures are depicted in Fig. 1 (T = 0 in inset). A continuous depinning transition is observed at T = 0 with a unique depinning force [24], which can be described by v ' A (F=F $_{c0}$ 1) with F $_{c0}$ ' 0.231 0.002 and ' 0.74 0.02. For 0 < T < 0.0005, upward-convex v F characteristics are observed down to F $_{c0}$; below F $_{c0}$ there is an extremely small tail which is hard to see in the present scale.

The sharp depinning transition is clearly rounded by nite temperatures. In order to explore the critical properties of the v F characteristics at nite temperatures, we postulate the following scaling ansatz [25, 26, 27]

$$v(T;F) = T^{1=} S(T^{1=} f)$$
 (2)

with f = 1 $F_{c0}=F$ [28] and the scaling function S (x)




FIG .2: Scaling plot for the data in Fig.1. Inset: Tem perature dependence of velocity for several forces around $F_{\rm c0}$.

regular at x = 0 and S(x) ! x as x ! + 1.

The critical force can be determined using the property $v(T; F = F_{c0}) = S(0)T^{1} \text{ im plied in Eq. (2) (see$ also [29]). As shown in the inset of Fig. 2, we evaluate $F_{c0} = 0.2315$ 0:0013, and m eanwhile from the slope 1= = 0:754 0:010. We then perform the scaling plot using the scaled variables vT $^{1=}$ and (1 F_{c0} =F)T $^{1=}$; the best collapsing of data to a single scaling curve is achieved when ' 1= = 0:754, thus determ in ing the exponent . The values of $F_{\,\text{c0}}$ and estim ated from data at nite tem peratures via the scaling analysis are consistent with those derived from T = 0, which can be taken as an evidence for the existence of scaling. Fitting the scaling curve, we obtain S(x) = 0.14x + 2.6 for x > 0 and $S(x) = 2.6 \exp(0.027x)$ for x < 0; the form er covers the continuous depinning transition at T = 0; the latter, combined with the relation = 1, indicates that the motion at low temperatures and forces below F_{c0} is well described by the Arrhenius law, and that the energy barrier disappears linearly when the force is ram ped up to F_{c0} ; the bare energy barrier is $U_c = 0.027$.

The same exponents and similar scaling behaviors are available for = 0.4; therefore, the above properties are universal for strong pinning case. The creep law derived above conoms the apriori assumption in the Anderson-K im theory [30], and coincides with the FRG results in Ref. [31] for a domain wall (N = 1). Our results are also consistent with Ref. [29] provided = 1.

D eviations from the scaling curve are observed for (a) F_{c0} =2 < F < F_{c0} at T 0.015 ' U_c =2, due to extra therm all deform ation of ux-line lattice; (b) F < F_{c0} =2 which may be governed by the zero-force limit; and (c) F F_{c0} the ux-ow regime.

W eak collective pinning { W e have perform ed the sam e simulations for =0.05, which falls into the weak collective pinning regime. The equilibrium state is a B rG , with the melting temperature $T_{\rm m}$ ' 0.077 above which the quasi long-range order is suppressed by them al uctua-




FIG. 3: v F characteristics for = 0.005. Data for T = 0.0006 obtained for L_{xy} = 40 $_{ab}$ are also included (light-blue squares).




FIG. 4: Scaling plot for data in Fig. 3.

tions. Looking at the velocity-velocity correlation function, we nd in this case that the moving system shows good tem poral and spatial orders characterized by B ragg peaks [32, 33] (Nomoving smectic [34] was observed for the present param eters.) The v F characteristics are shown in Fig. 3, where similar to the strong pinning case, a sharp crossover between the depinning and creep motion takes place at F_{c0} for 0 < T0:00008. The scaling plot is depicted in Fig. 4. The exponents are estimated as = 2:30:1 and = 0:650:01, which are dierent from those for strong pinning case. The product of the = 3=2 deviates from unity, indicating a two exponents nonlinear scaling relation between temperature and force deviation from F_{c0} .

Nonlinear scaling relations have been found in CDW systems [26]. This behavior can be captured by an e ective potential of linear and cubic terms of displacement, with a smallenergy barrier [12, 26]. The linear scaling relation observed for strong pinning force corresponds to an




FIG. 5: Vortex motions at T=0. (a) and (b): Trajectories of 7 nearest-neighboring vortices on one layer for =0.2 at F=0.24 and =0.05 at F=0.027 respectively. (c) and (d): Trajectories of the two ux lines indicated in (a) and (b). The total times are 330 for =0.2, and 1200 for =0.05, such that ux lines in both systems move roughly the same distance 4 $1.7a_0$ in average. Open circles in (a) and (b) represent the positions of pins, while the lled circles in (c) and (d) represent pins that pin the ux lines.

e ective potential of linear, quadratic and quartic term s of displacem ent, with a large energy barrier [35]. It is observed in our simulations that the system with weak bare pinning strength experiences smaller energy barriers compared with that of strong bare pinning strength, even at the same relative force-deviation from the critical values; an analytic derivation of the excitive energy barrier, however, is not an easy task [12]. While a full picture remains to be developed, we notice that, rst, vortex motions minic nucleation processes in rst-order phase transitions: the weak/strong pinning case corresponds to a system locates at the spinodal/coexistence curve [35]; secondly, under weak and strong pinning vortices behave similarly to CDW [26] and domain wall [31] respectively, due to the different ranges of correlation.

The scaling curve in Fig. 4 is tted well by $S(x) = 0.15 \exp(0.0345x)$, which, with the nonlinear scaling variable, indicates a non-Arrhenius creep motion for the weak pinning case. To the best of our know ledge, this behavior has not been reported so far. On a phenomenological level, it can be captured with an appropriate correlator of energy barriers [36].

"M icroscopic" vortex m otion { We have exam ined the microscopic motions of ux lines. As detailed in Fig. 5 for forces slightly above $F_{\rm c0}$ and at zero temperature (similar results have been obtained for $F < F_{\rm c0}$ at nite temperatures), ux lines in the weak pinning case move homogeneously in an intermediate time scale, which guarantees the moving BrG (mBrG) order [33]; ux lines under strong pinning move in an inhomogeneous way, and thus additional dislocations are induced during the motion.

Even for the case of mBrG, velocity uctuates for time being and between dierent vortices, as can be seen in Fig. 5d. Namely in a shorter time scale, the motion of vortices in weak pinning case is also random: Flux lines exhibit an intermittent pattern of motion, i.e. a portion of ux lines move while others are almost motionless at a given time window, and in the next time window, similar situation occurs with the moving vortices found in dierent regions. The motion of the total system is thus an accumulation of individual, random movements, in which creep events dominate and the random pinning plays an essential role. As shown in Figs. 5c and d the shape of vortex lines upon depinning depends on pin strength [23].

D isscussions { The strong pinning = 0.2 and weak collective pinning = 0.05 according to the Labusch criterion [22, 23] result in vortex glass and Bragg glass respectively. But generally the Labusch criterion does not coincide with the phase boundary of Bragg glass. Further study is expected to resolve this point in detail.

As can been seen in Figs. 5a and b, during the creep motion vortices displace frequently into the direction transverse to the force and the averaged velocity. In this way a bypath of lower energy can be found which assists vortices to avoid otherwise high energy barriers. This

m ay a ect the averaged velocity signi cantly since the time spent in large energy barriers is huge, and is to be taken into account carefully in theoretical treatments.

Beside the genuine, zero-tem perature depinning transition, we observe a quite steep crossover between the depinning and creep motions at low tem peratures (T 0.0005 for = 0.2 and T 0.00008 for = 0.05). The velocity tail below the crossover is very small as such it looked like a phase transition in simulations (and perhaps also in experiments). The crossover, however, loses its sharpness when tem perature increases but still far below the melting tem perature, which might be not quite consistent with experimental phase boundary H $_{\rm g}$ (T) [14]. The possibility of a transition (or sharp crossover) between the depining and ux ow regimes remains to be investigated within the present formalism, taking into account the shaking magnetic eld.

Acknowledgements { We are pleased to acknowledge T. Natternann, D. Genshkenbein, G. Blatter, B. Rosenstein, V. Vinokour and D. P. Lifor discussions. Calculations were performed on SR 11000 (HITACHI) in NIMS. X. H. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientic Research (C) No. 18540360 of JSPS, and project ITSNEM of China A cademy of Science.

- [1] T.Nattermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2454 (1990).
- [2] T. G iam archi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1530 (1994); Phys. Rev. B 52, 242 (1995).
- [3] D. S. Fisher, M. P. A. Fisher and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 43, 130 (1991).
- [4] A. I. Larkin and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, J. Low Temp. Phys. 34, 409 (1979).
- [5] L.B. To e and V.M. Vinokur, J. Phys. C 20, 6149 (1987).
- [6] T.Nattermann, EuroPhys. Lett. 4, 1241 (1987).
- [7] M .V .Feigel'm an et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.63, 2303 (1989).
- [8] G.Blatter et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 1125 (1994);
- [9] P. Chauve, T. Giam archi, P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B 62,6241 (2000).
- [10] T. G iam archi and S. Bhattacharya, High Magnetic Fields: Applications in Condensed Matter Physics, Spectroscopy (Springer, New York, 2002), p.314.
- [11] T. Natterm ann and S. Scheidl, Adv. Phys. 49, 607 (2000).
- [12] S. Brazovskii and T. Nattermann, Adv. Phys. 53, 177 (2004).
- [13] A.B.Kolton, A.Rosso and T.G iam archi, Phys.Rev. Lett. 94,047002 (2005).
- [14] H.Beidenkopfet al, Phys.Rev.Lett.95, 257004 (2005).
- [15] D.P. Liand B. Rosenstein, cond-mat/0411096.
- [16] S.Ryu et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.68,710 (1992).
- [17] C.Reichhardt, C.J.Olson and F.Nori, Phys.Rev.Lett. 78, 2648 (1996).
- [18] A .van O tterb, R .T .Scalettar, and G .T .Z im any i, P hys. R ev.Lett. 81, 1497 (1998).
- [19] E.O live et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 37005 (2003).
- [20] Q. H. Chen and X. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 117005 (2003); The work clari es the regime of current and temperature where vortex loops are excited, which is beyond

- the application of the present model.
- [21] E. Zeldov et al., Nature 375, 373 (1995).
- [22] R. Labusch, Cryst. Lattice Defects, 1, 1 (1969).
- [23] G.Blatter, V.B.Geshkenbein and J.A.G.Koopmann, Phys.Rev.Lett. 92, 67009 (2004).
- [24] A.A lan.M iddleton, Phys.Rev.Lett.68,670 (1992). The theory works for the present case but cannot be proved explicitly.
- [25] D.S.Fisher, Phys.Rev.Lett.50, 1486 (1983); ibid Phys. Rev.B 31, 1396 (1985).
- [26] A.Alan.Middleton, Phys. Rev. B 45, 9465 (1992).
- [27] L.Roters et al., Phys. Rev. B 60, 5202 (1999).
- [28] The usually adopted quantity $f^0 = 1$ F=F_{c0} gives the same exponents, but the scaling regime is much narrower.
- [29] T.Natterm ann, V.Pokrovsky, and V.M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 197005 (2001).
- [30] P.W. Anderson and Y.B.Kim, Rev.Mod.Phys. 36, 39 (1964).
- [31] M. Muller, D. A. Gorokhov, G. Blatter, Phys. Rev. B 63, 184305 (2001).
- [32] L.Balents and M.P.A.Fisher, Phys.Rev.Lett.75, 4270 (1995).
- [33] T. G iam archi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3408 (1996); P. Le Doussal and T. G iam archi, Phys. Rev. B 57, 11356 (1998).
- [34] L.Balents, M. Cristina, and L.Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 751 (1997); ibid Phys. Rev. B 57, 7705 (1998).
- [35] Y. Sang, M. Dube, and M. Grant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 174301 (2001).
- [36] P. Le Doussal and V. M. Vinokur, Physica C, 254, 63 (1995).