Conductance uctuations in disordered superconductors with broken tim e-reversal sym m etry near two dim ensions

S. Ryu, ¹ A. Furusaki, ² A. W. W. Ludwig, ^{3,1} and C. Mudry⁴

¹K avli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

²Condensed M atter Theory Laboratory, R IK EN, W ako, Saitam a 351-0198, Japan

³Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 USA

⁴Paul Schenner Institute, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

(D ated: A pril 15, 2024)

We extend the analysis of the conductance uctuations in disordered metals by Altshuler, K ravtsov, and Lemer (AKL) to disordered superconductors with broken time-reversal symmetry in d = (2 +) dimensions (symmetry classes C and D of Altland and Zimbauer). Using a perturbative renormalization group analysis of the corresponding non-linear sigma model (NL M) we compute the anomalous scaling dimensions of the dominant scalar operators with 2s gradients to one-loop order. We show that, in analogy with the result of AKL for ordinary, metallic systems (W igner-D yson classes), an in nite number of high-gradient operators would become relevant (in the renormalization group sense) near two dimensions if contributions beyond one-loop order are ignored. We explore the possibility to compare, in symmetry class D, the = (2 d) expansion in d < 2 with exact results in one dimension. The method we use to perform the one-loop renormalization analysis is valid for general symmetric spaces of K ahler type, and suggests that this is a generic property of the perturbative treatment of NL M s de ned on R iem annian symmetric target spaces.

I. IN TRODUCTION

A. Conductance uctuations and the non-linear sigm a model

The non-linear sign a model (NLM) provides, as rst proposed by $F \cdot W = qner_{I}^{1}$ an e cient fram ework within which to formulate (Anderson-type) localization transitions of noninteracting quantum mechanical particles subject to (static) random potentials. This provides som e of the sim plest m odels of electrons in disordered solids. In a sem inal piece of work, Altshuler, K ravtsov and Lerner $(AKL)^{2,3}$ showed in the 1980's how to incorporate the in portant phenom enon of conductance uctuations^{4,5,6} into this fram ework. W ithin a perturbative renorm alization group (RG) analysis of the weakly coupled NL M in d = 2 (or d = 2+) spatial dimensions, i.e., in the regime of large (dimensionless) conductance q, these authors stressed the importance of terms in the low-energy e ective action of the NL M which possess an arbitrary large num ber (= 2s) of spatial derivatives but do not violate any symmetries. These terms are called \high-gradient operators" and are generalizations of the kinetic term in the NL M which has two derivatives (2s = 2). Highgradient operators are highly irrelevant in the RG sense by \power counting" (i.e., without incorporation of uctuation corrections). Speci cally, AKL and Yudson studied the anom alous (RG) dim ensions of these operators to one-loop order in the uctuation corrections in d = 2 and in the = (d 2)-expansion for $d > 2^{2,3,7,8}$ It was found that (i): these operators would become strongly relevant (in the RG sense) for a large enough num ber 2s of derivatives, in spite of their strong irrelevance by power counting, if contributions beyond one-loop order are ignored, and that (ii): they would (consequently) dom inate the

cum ulants of the conductance of su ciently large order 2s, in a sample of (large) linear size L. Based on these observations AKL were led to argue that for large m ean (dimensionless) conductance g, the main weight of the probability distribution of the conductance arises from a narrow peak at g = g, while there exist anom alously long tails (close to being log-norm al). The latter arise from the high m om ents of the conductance, which are dom inated by the above-m entioned high-gradient operators appearing in the low-energy e ective action. Upon approaching the regim e of stronger disorder (sm aller m ean conductance g), and in particular upon approaching a metal-insulator transition, the probability distribution becom es increasingly broad. AKL nd sim ilar behavior for the probability distributions of other observables, including, e.g., the local density of states (LDOS), current densities, and long-time tails of relaxation currents. Very recently, 9,10 the basic observations of A K L for the LD O S were con med in a chiral symmetry class (nom enclature of Refs. 11 and 12), and were analyzed more completely in this case.

Ten years ago, in another sem inal piece of work, Zimbauer,¹¹ and Altland and Zimbauer¹² (AZ) provided a general classi cation scheme for the behavior of non-interacting quantum mechanical particles subject to random potentials, on length scales much larger than the mean free path in terms of a total of ten symmetry classes.¹³ In every realization of disorder, the Hamiltonian has the same symmetries as a corresponding ensemble of random matrices. This encom passes the three previously known so-called \W igner-Dyson symmetry classes" relevant for the physics of Anderson localization of electrons in disordered solids (corresponding to \orthogonal, unitary, and sym plectic" random matrix ensembles).¹⁴ Altland and Zimbauer's exten-

sion includes four novel symmetry classes describing the (Anderson-like) localization physics of non-interacting Bogoliubov-De Gennes (BdG) quasiparticles within a m ean-eld treatm ent of pairing in disordered superconductors. (Four symmetry classes arise since SU (2) spinrotational, or tim e-reversal invariance m ay be present or absent.) As parameters are varied, the wave functions of the (BdG) quasiparticles may be extended or localized due to disorder, and (Anderson localization type) transitions between such phases may occur. Since BdG quasiparticles do not carry a conserved electric charge, the di erence between phases of localized and extended quasiparticle wave functions manifests itself not in the electrical conductivity, but instead in the therm al conductivity , and if spin is conserved, also in the spin conductivity spin. 15 In complete analogy with the uctuations of the electrical conductance in a nite sam ple of disordered m etals (described by the W igner-D yson symmetry classes), the system of BdG quasiparticles also exhibits uctuations of the appropriate conductance in a nite-size sample. These are the uctuations of the therm al conductance (divided by tem perature T), or of the spin conductance. Henceforth, for notational convenience, we will refer to these quantities simply as \the conductance", and denote the corresponding \dim ensionless conductance" again by the symbolg.

Just as for disordered metals (i.e., for the W igner-Dyson symmetry classes), the NL M also provides an e cient fram ework to form ulate (Anderson-type) localization physics of B dG quasiparticles in superconductors. In this article we focus on systems where time-reversal symmetry is broken in every realization of disorder: the corresponding AZ symmetry classes are conventionally called \class D " if SU (2) spin-rotational invariance is broken and \class C " if it is conserved, in every disorder realization.¹⁶ In parallel, and for com parison, we will also discuss here localization physics of ordinary electrons in the absence of time-reversal symmetry, which is described by the W igner-Dyson symmetry class conventionally called \unitary class" or \class A ". (See also Table I.) When disorder averaging is implemented using ferm ionic replicas, the target manifolds of the resulting NL Ms are the symmetric spaces O (2N)=U (N) for symmetry class D $,^{17}$ and Sp (N)=U (N) for symmetry class C.¹⁸ W e rem ind the reader that for the fam iliar unitary symmetry class A, the corresponding target space¹⁹ is U(2N) = [U(N) U(N)]. (In all three cases the number N of replicas is taken to zero at the end of calculations.)

The aim of this paper is to investigate perturbatively, in the spirit of the original work of AKL for ordinary metals, the conductance uctuations for the novel AZ symmetry classes D and C, i.e., for BdG quasiparticles in superconductors lacking time-reversal symmetry, in the absence (class D) or presence (class C) of spin-rotational symmetry. Our main results are brie y summarized in Sec. IB below. We also point out that it might be possible in the case of symmetry class D to make contact with exact nonperturbative results obtained in d = 1 dimen-

F	хм т	Т	SU (2)	NL M target space	#	Ν
	D	no	no	O (2N)=U (N)	+1	Ν
_	С	no	yes	Sp(N)=U(N)	1	Ν
	А	no		U (p + q)=[U (p) U (q)]	0	p+q

TABLE I: Ferm ionic replica target spaces of the NL M describing weakly disordered superconductors and m etals when tim e-reversal symmetry (T) is broken. Symmetry classes D, C, and A refer to the symmetric spaces de ned by the RM T limits of the NL M. The number of replicas N is N for the two BdG symmetry classes whereas it is p = q = N for the unitary symmetry class. The index # = 0; 1 distinguishes the behavior of the beta function for the NL M in symmetry classes D, C, and A.

sions from the so-called D orokhov-M ello-P ereyra-K um ar (Fokker-P lanck) analysis²⁰ of the probability distribution of the conductance.

W e end this section by recalling, as an aside, that the NL Ms in all three sym m etry classes, D, C, and A, share certain essential features associated with tim e-reversal sym m etry breaking. First, in $d = 2 \dim$ ensions, all three target manifolds allow for a topological term (a term that does not modify the equations of motion), the so-called \theta-or Pruisken term ". Its presence is responsible for the appearance of therm al, spin, or charge H all insulating phases, and corresponding plateau transitions in $d = 2 \dim ensions^{18,21,22,23,24}$ Second, the target manifold for symmetry class D stands out in that it is not sim ply connected.^{11,25,26} C onsequently, there are certain discrete Z₂ dom ain wall excitations that may give rise to a rich phase diagram for certain types of disorder.^{23,27,28} In this paper we shall ignore the physics associated with such Z₂ domain walls, which requires an extension of the NL M description in symmetry class D. These features are not present in certain realizations of this sym metry class, on which we focus in this paper. Finally, all three target spaces have in comm on that they are K ahler manifolds from a geometrical point of view. This means that each target space de nes a complex manifold endowed with a Hermitian metric derived from a Kahler potential.29,30 This property will allow us to perform the perturbative RG analysis in a uni ed way for symmetry classes D, C, and A. (See Sec. ID for a brief sum m ary.)

B. Sum mary of main results

We begin with the summary of our main results by quoting the standard one-loop result 32,36 for the (RG) beta function 33

$$(t) = t + (N \#)t + O(t^3)$$
 (1.1)

in d = 2 + spatial dimensions, obeyed by the dimensionless coupling constant t of the relevant NL M [dened in (2.1b) below] whose inverse is proportional to the mean dimensionless conductance g, once the replica



FIG.1: Infrared (IR) renorm alization group (RG) ows for symmetry classes C and D after taking the replica limit depending on whether dimensionality of space d is larger or smaller than 2. The open circle at t = 0 is the metallic xed point. The lled circle at t is the metal-insulator critical point in symmetry class C whereas it describes a stable xed point for symmetry class D.Up to one loop, t = j jwhere

= d 2 is positive for sym m etry class C while it is negative for sym m etry class D .

lim it N ! 0 has been taken. To treat the sym m etry classes D, C, and A on equal footing, we have introduced the replica index N that takes the value N for sym m etry classes D and C and p + q for sym m etry class A. The index # is also needed to distinguish the one-loop beta function for the NL M corresponding to sym m etry classes D (# = +1), sym m etry classes C (# = 1), and sym m etry classes A (# = 0). The correspondence between the pair (#;N) and the sym m etry classes D, C, and A is sum m arized in Table I. The beta function in Eq. (1.1) possesses a trivial xed point,

$$t = 0;$$
 (1.2a)

which is infrared (IR) stable for d = 2 + > 2 (i.e., > 0), while it is IR unstable when d = 2 + < 2(i.e., < 0). Upon taking the replica limit N ! 0 this describes a di usive m etallic phase. W henever 0 < = (N #) < 1, there is a nontrivial zero t of the beta function $(1.1)^{34,35,36,37}$ at

t
$$= \frac{1}{N + 0} (2): (1.2b)$$

For symmetry classes C and A this describes in the replica limit and in d = 2 + > 2 dimensions, a metalinsulator transition separating a metallic from an insulating phase. For symmetry class D, on the other hand, this describes (in the replica limit) a stable xed point (\critical phase") in d = 2 j j < 2 dimensions. The IR ows for symmetry classes C and D are summarized in Fig. 1. A possible evolution of the stable xed point in symmetry class D for dimensionality d = (2 j j) between one and two dimensions, to be discussed in the following subsection, is sketched in Fig. 2.

In this paper we nd the dom inant anom alous scaling dimension $x^{(s)}$ among all high-gradient operators con-



FIG.2: Possible evolution of the stable xed point for sym m etry class D in d = 2 j j < 2 dimensions between d = 1 and d = 2 (after taking the replica lim it). In this sketch it is assumed that the zero t = j j+ 0 (²) of the beta function, found to one-loop order in the expansion in d = 2 j j dimensions, de nes a curve of stable critical points which interpolates between the metallic phase in d = 2 dimension (at zero coupling t = 0), and the behavior of the corresponding sym metry class D theory in d = 1 dimension, which can be solved exactly.

taining 2s gradients, to one-loop order. These are

$$x^{(s)} = 2s \quad 2(s^2 \quad s)t + O(t^2)$$

for U (p + q)=[U (p) U (q)]; (1.3a)
$$x^{(s)} = 2s \quad 2(s^2 \quad s)t + O(t^2)$$

$$x^{(S)} = 2s (s^2 s)t + O(t^2)$$

for SO (2N)=U(N); (1.3c)

when evaluated in the vicinity of the zero-coupling xed point (1.2a) where t is small. At the corresponding xed points in d = 2 + dimensions, Eq. (1.2b), these anom alous scaling dimensions become, consequently [for generic numbers of replicas, (p;q) or N]

$$x^{(s)} = 2s \quad 2(s^2 \quad s) = p + q + O(2)$$

for $U(p + q) = [U(p) \quad U(q)];$ (1.4a)

$$x^{(s)} = 2s \quad 2(s^2 \quad s) \frac{1}{N+1} + O(2)$$

for Sp(N)=U(N); (1.4b)

$$x^{(s)} = 2s (s^2 s) \frac{1}{N 1} + 0 (^2)$$

for SO (2N)=U (N); (1.4c)

E quations (1.3a) and (1.4a) were derived a long time ago by Lemer and W egner.^{38,39} E quations (1.3) and (1.4) are, otherw ise, new and are the main results of this paper.

Taking the replica lim it of Eq. (1.4) yields the dom – inant anomalous scaling dimensions at the nontrivial xed points of the localization problems in d = 2 + dimensions.³⁴ In particular, in symmetry class C one obtains, in the replica lim it, the one-loop anomalous scaling dimensions

$$x^{(s)} = 2s \ 2(s^2 \ s) + O(^2)$$
 (1.5a)

of dom inant 2s-gradient operators at the m etal-insulator transition in d = 2 + $> 2 \dim ensions (> 0). On$ the other hand, in symmetry class D in d = 2 j j < 2dim ensions, the dom inant 2s-gradient operators have the anom alous scaling dim ensions

$$x^{(s)} = 2s (s^2 s)j j + 0(^2)$$
 (1.5b)

at the stable, non-trivial xed point (in the replica lim it).

с. D iscussion

W hen taken at face value, the one-loop expressions in Eqs. (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) would appear to imply that the anom alous scaling dimension $x^{(s)}$ always turns negative for su ciently large s.⁴⁰ If this behavior was to persist when all higher orders in are included, an innite number of high-gradient operators would be relevant at the nontrivial xed points of these NL Ms, i.e., in nitely many coupling constants would have to be netuned to reach these nontrivial xed points. The perturbative treatment of these NL Ms would then appear to contradict the belief that the underlying m icroscopic m odels undergo continuous phase transitions whose approach obeys single-param eter scaling laws. Of course, higher order contributions in to these anom alous scaling dimensions, not computed in the present or earlier work, may well change this property and could render the actual anom alous scaling dimensions x^(s) larger than the spatial dimension d = 2 +, thereby making them irrelevant.^{41,42,43,44,45,46,47} [Indeed, sim ilar behavior is known to occur in other random disordered systems (see e.g. Refs. 42 and 47). { See also the discussion in Ref. 46.]

Nonperturbative properties of the metal-insulator transitions in d = 3 dimensions have been obtained num erically for the most part. 48,49,50,51 They support the one-param eter scaling hypothesis for metal-insulator transitions in d = 3 dimensions, whose critical behaviors are presum ed to be described by the non-trivial xed point of the replica lim it of the NL M in the corresponding symmetry classes. W hether the apparent breakdown of one-parameter scaling due to the relevance of highgradient operators at one loop is an artifact of the 2+ expansion or has a deeperm eaning remains an outstanding problem for the description of Anderson localization using the NL M approach.

Sym m etry classes D and D III, on the other hand, m ay perhaps o er a som ew hat di erent opportunity for the follow ing reason. On the one hand, there exists a critical phase described by a stable xed point in d = 2 jj <2 dim ensions whose properties are accessible perturbatively within the expansion. These include the properties of the conductance uctuations and of the highgradient operators discussed above. On the other hand, a critical phase of these models is also known to exist in $d = 1 \dim$ ensions. Therefore, one m ight expect that the results obtained from the expansion in d = 2 j j < 2 dim ensions would eventually, when j j= 1, turn into the

4

results obtained from the exact solutions of the models in d = 1 dim ensions, as is schem atically depicted in Fig. 2. If this was the case, then one could \test" the properties of the high-gradient operators and the nature of the conductance uctuations obtained from them following the work of AKL, against exact results in $d = 1 \dim en$ sions. Of course, as with all expansions, one would not be able to predict exactly the actual num erical values of critical exponents directly at j = 1. However, based on experience with the expansion in, say, ordinary Landau-G inzburg theory, one m ight expect that the structure of the entire probability distribution of the conductance would evolve, in som e sense, \sm oothly" with , possibly all the way down to $d = 1 \dim ensions$ (j j = 1). Let us now pursue this possibility.

Using perturbation theory of the NL M, AKL dem onstrate that in general (i.e., for any NL M) there are two contributions to the sth cum ulant of the (dim ensionless) conductance² (Below, we write the corresponding expressions appearing directly at the stable xed point in d = 2 jjdim ensions.) The rst, \standard" contribution reads⁵²

$$hhg^{s}ii_{std}$$
 / (g)^{2 s} (1.6)

where a hgi is the mean conductance. However, when a high-gradient operator with 2s derivatives is added to the action of the NL M with coupling constant z_{a} , then there is an additional contribution

$$hhg^{s}ii_{add} / z_{s} = \frac{L}{2}$$
 (1.7)

to this cum ulant. Here, L is the linear system size and ' the characteristic microscopic length scale (the \mean free path") for the disorder. We see that it is when d $x^{(s)} > 0$ that the additional contribution in Eq. (1.7) dom inates over the standard contribution in Eq. (1.6). This hence happens precisely when the high-gradient operator with 2s derivatives becom es a relevant operator in the action of the NL M.

Now, AKL argue that, if one was to take the one-loop expressions for the anom alous scaling dim ensions of the high-gradient operators at face value, which for symmetry class D would amount to the result in Eq. (1.5b) derived by us in this paper, then for cum ulants of sufciently higher order s > $\frac{2}{j}$ [1 + 0 ()] the additional contribution would dom inate over the \standard" one, yielding

hhg^s ii
$$A_s = \frac{L}{2} (1.8)$$

as L=`! 1 , where A $_{\rm s}$ are certain am plitudes. Following AKL, the lower cum ulants of order $s < \frac{2}{j} [1 + 0 ()]$, on the other hand, would be determined by Eq. (1.6). In sum m ary, the reasoning of AKL im plies, when specialized to the stable xed point in symmetry class D in d =

2 j < 2 spatial dimensions, the following form of the conductance cumulants

$$\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ < (g)^{2 \ s}; & \text{if } s < s_0; \\ \text{hhg}^{s} \text{ii} & (1.9a) \\ & \cdot & A_s (L=Y)^{d \ x^{(s)}}; & \text{if } s > s_0; \end{array}$$

where

$$s_0 = \frac{2}{j} [1 + 0 ()]$$
 (1.9b)

and

d
$$x^{(s)} = j j^2$$
 (2+j)s+ (2 j)+ 0 (²):(1.9c)

Let us now discuss the known exact results for the d = 1 dimensional case. Speci cally, the leading dependence of all cum ulants of the conductance of a very long but thick quasi one-dimensional wire of length L, believed to be described by a NL M in d = 1 dimensions, has been extracted exactly from the DMPK approach for symmetry classes D and DIII.^{53,54,55} For both symmetry classes, these cum ulants decay algebraically with system size,

hhg^sii / (L=`)
$$^{1=2}$$
; s = 1;2;:::; (1.10)

with the same exponent for all cum ulants ($\$ " is again the mean free path). Moreover, the logarithm of the conductance exhibits the behavior

Thus, the typical conductance exhibits stretched exponential behavior, in contrast with the mean conductance. This indicates a broad conductance distribution. For symmetry class D III the result (1.10) for the mean conductance has been reproduced by Lamacraft, Simons, and Zimbauer,⁵⁶ using the one-dimensionalN L M (which is a principal chiral model for symmetry class D III) and instanton methods.]

If one assumes that the exact results in d = 1 describe the end point (at = 1) of the line of critical points in 2 j jdim ensions (see Fig2), there are then two possibilities. First, the one-bop relevance of high-gradient operators is an artifact of the -expansion and these operators are in fact irrelevant once all orders in the -expansion are taken into account (see Refs. 42,46). Second, this is not the case and high-gradient operators are truly relevant. In this case, how ever, deducing the scaling of the cum ulants based on the one-loop anom alous scaling dim ensions does not appear to be su cient to determ ine their scaling behavior. Indeed, in this case the running coupling constants z_s of all the high-gradient operators in the e ective action of the NL M grow rapidly, more so the higher the num ber 2s of gradients. Under these conditions, then, there is no rationale to neglect the nonlinear coupling between the running coupling constants z, of the high-gradient operators as was done to reach

the conclusion (1.9), when extracting the scaling of the cum ulants of the conductance. In e ect, one needs to im plement a functional RG analysis which can treat an in nite set of running (and increasing) coupling constants on equal footing. We have perform ed such an analysis (on which we will report elsewhere) for symmetry class A, but have so-far been unable to solve the resulting RG equations. Since in the present case the coupling constants z, of the high-gradient operators all become large, it is clear (as already m entioned) that they will not ow independently of each other in the IR lim it, but will strongly m ix with each other under the RG ow. In this situation, their ow does not need to result in the breakdown of single parameter scaling. Indeed, a precisely analogous situation is encountered when computing the local DOS of the two-dimensional random hopping problem , 10 in which case one-param eter scaling for the local DOS is recovered using a functional RG analysis.

D. Sum m ary of the m ethod of calculation

The RG scheme that we adopt in this paper is the covariant background eld method.⁵⁷ In Refs. 44,45 this method was used to compute, up to two loop order, the RG ows of the high-gradient operators for the O (ℕ)=O (ℕ 1) NL M, relevant to statistical models such as the classical Heisenberg magnet. The covariant background eld m ethod consists of two key steps. First, the elds of the NL M are separated into short wavelength (\fast") and long wavelength (\slow") degrees of freedom where the slow degrees of freedom are de ned as the solutions to the classical equations of motion. Second, the fast elds are integrated out. W hen using this m ethod, no redundant operator 58 is generated in the second step of the covariant background eld m ethod, a great advantage when one needs to consider m ixing of m any scaling operators by the RG transform ation (as we do here). A nother advantage is that explicit invariance under a coordinate reparam etrization of the group m an ifold is maintained, allowing for a geometrical formulation at all stages of the calculation.

In this paper, we shall also extend the covariant background eld method to the cases when the target spaces of the NL M are Riemannian or Kahler superm anifolds.⁵⁹ W e derive a m aster form ula, Eq. (4.3), in the Kahler case for the RG ow of high-gradient operators, allof which possess a vanishing covariant derivative. [See Eq. (C19) for the super R iem annian⁵⁹ and Eq. (C40) for the super K ahler⁵⁹ cases, respectively. To obtain the corresponding form ula for the non-supersym m etric cases (for ferm ionic replicated NL M, i.e., for com pact NL M) we set all factors $(1)^p$ to +1, where p = 0; 1 is here the grade in the supersymmetric expression].⁶⁰ This master formula is expressed solely in terms of the geometrical data of the target manifold and should apply to all 10 symmetry classes of A $Z_{r}^{11,12}$ but is not limited to them . The generality of the master form ula suggests that highgradient operators are generically relevant to 1-loop order at the nontrivial xed point of a NL M de ned on a Riem annian target space.

E. Geometric interpretation of high-gradient operators

A llhigh-gradient operators that enter the com putation of the cum ulants for the conductance can be expressed in terms of tensor elds on the target manifold. We will show that high-gradient operators together with the metric and curvature tensors on the target manifolds of the NL M form a family of tensors with vanishing covariant derivative.

F. Outline of the paper

This paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the NL M on Kahlermanifolds for disordered superconductors with broken tim e-reversal symmetry as well as for a disordered metal in the standard unitary symmetry class. Section III describes how to use the covariant background eld method to deduce perturbative RG ows. The dom inant anom alous scaling dim ensions for high-gradient operators are computed in Sec. IV to one-bop order. W e relegate to appendices som e key intermediary steps in the derivation of Eq. (1.3). The master formula, Eq. (4.3), which expresses the RG transform ation law obeyed by high-gradient operators solely in terms of the geometrical data of the target manifold is derived in appendix C for any Riem annian or Kahlerian superm anifold on which the high-gradient operators have vanishing covariant derivatives.

II. NL M FOR W EAKLY DISORDERED SUPERCONDUCTORS

A. NL M on Kahlermanifolds

We start from the NL M description for the symmetry classes D, C and A of Anderson localization in terms of ferm ionic replicas. A lternatively, one can use either bosonic replica or supersymmetric methods to perform the disorder average. These three options are equivalent as far as perturbative RG computations are concerned. The eld entering the NL M is a map from the base space, a d-dimensional Euclidean space R^d, to the target manifold M de ned by the pattern of symmetry breaking. The target manifold has a well-de ned natural metricg. A classi cation of all the target manifolds of NL M relevant to the problem of Anderson localization applied to non-interacting quasi-particles were identied in the sem inalwork of Zimbauer, and A Itland and Zimbauer.11 For the cases of interest in this paper, i.e., disordered superconductors with broken tim e-reversal sym m etry, the

6

target spaces of the relevant NL M turn out to be K ahler manifolds which are the Herm itian symmetric spaces SO (2N)=U (N) for symmetry class D and Sp (N)=U (N) for symmetry class C 61 The target space of the NL M that describes a disordered metal when time-reversal symmetry is broken is the Herm itian symmetric space U (2N)=[U (N) U (N)], which is isomorphic to the complex G rassmannian G $_{2N,N}$ (C).³⁰

W ithin the m esoscopic community, the usual representation of the NL M in symmetry classes D, C, and A is in terms of matrices belonging to the relevant symmetric spaces, \the Q-matrix representation" after the seminal work by E fetov et al. in Ref. 19. This representation emphasizes the pattern of symmetry breaking but quickly becomes cumbersome when performing an RG analysis of the ow of composite operators. We opt instead to emphasize more directly the geometrical properties of the target spaces, namely the fact that they are examples of K ahler manifolds for symmetry classes D, C, and A. We refer the reader to appendix A for a relation between the representation of the NL M that we shall introduce below and the more comm on Q-matrix representation thereof.

W e now present the de nition of a NL M on a Kahler manifold starting from a NL M on a 2M -dimensional Riem annian manifold^{30,31} (M,g),

$$S[] := \frac{1}{4 t_r} g_{AB}() @ B @ A: (2.1b)$$

O ur conventions are here the following. We reserve the greek alphabet to label the d coordinates r where R = 1; R::; d of r 2 R^d, and use the short-hand notation r jrp a d^dr=a^{d 2} for the integration over R^d with the short-distance cut-o a. The dimensionless coupling constant of the NL M is here denoted by t. The dimensionless conductance shares with (a^{d 2}t) ¹ the same engineering dimension. To each point r from the base space we have assigned a point p on the manifold M with the coordinates (^A) 2 R^{2M}. In the de nition of the NL M on a Riem annian manifold, Eq. (2.1), the 2M components of the metric tensor eld g of rank (0;2) are represented by (g_{A B}). We reserve the capital latin alphabet to label the 2M coordinates A = 1;:::;^{2M} of p 2 M.

The components of the metric tensor eld g_{AB} on the Riem annian manifold (M;g) are real and symmetric under the exchange of the indices

$$g_{AB} = g_{BA};$$
 A; B = 1; :::; 2M : (2.1c)

There is a distinction between upper/lower capital latin indices. The components of g 1 are denoted by g^{AB} and satisfy

$$g_{AC} g^{CB} = {}_{A} {}^{B}; g^{AC} g_{CB} = {}^{A} {}_{B}; (2.2)$$

and so on for A; B; C = 1:::; 2M. On the other hand, there is no distinction between upper and lower greek indices and we will always choose them to be lower indices.

A Herm itian manifold (M ,g) is a 2M -dimensional Riemannian manifold endowed with a rank (1;1) tensor eld J that is de ned globally on M and is called a complex structure. On a Herm itian manifold, we can always make a coordinate transformation from (A) to (x^{a} ; y^{a}) that satis es, for each point p 2 M ,

$$J_{p} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta x^{a}} = \frac{\theta}{\theta y^{a}}; \quad J_{p} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta y^{a}} = -\frac{\theta}{\theta x^{a}}; \quad (2.3)$$

where a = 1; :::;M. It is then natural to introduce com – plex coordinates (z^a; z^a) through

$$z \stackrel{a}{:=} x^{a} \quad iy^{a}; \quad z^{a} := x^{a} + iy^{a}; \quad a = 1; \dots; M : (2.4)$$

A NL M on a Herm it ian manifold (M,g) is thus de ned by the partition function

$$Z := D[z;z]e^{S[z;z]}; \qquad (2.5a)$$

$$S[z;z] := \frac{1}{2t} g_{ab} (z;z) (2z^{b}) (2z^{a}) (2.5b)$$

where we have assigned to each point r from the base space a point p on the manifold M with the coordinates (z ${}^{a};z^{a}) \ 2 \ C^{M}$. C om ponents of tensor elds on M have now two types of indices, holom orphic (a; b; :::) and antiholom orphic ones (a ;b ;:::). Holom orphic indices can be contracted with holom orphic components of the coordinates z^a whereas antiholom orhic ones with z^a , the complex conjugate of z^a . In the de nition of the NL M M com poon a Hermitian manifold, Eq. (2.5), the M nents of the Herm it ian metric tensor eld g of rank (0;2) are represented by $(g_{a,b})$. We reserve the latin alphabet to label the M holom orphic and antiholom orphic coordinates z^{a} and z^{a} where $a ; a = 1; \dots; M$, respectively, ofp 2 M. The use of a capital latin letter on a Herm itian manifold, say A, as an index denotes either an holomorphic (A = a) or an antiholomorphic (A = a)index.

The components of the metric tensor eld g_{AB} on the Herm itian manifold (M ;g) are symmetric under the exchange of the indices and Herm itian

$$g_{AB} = g_{BA};$$
 $(g_{AB}) = g_{AB}$ (2.5c)

with A = a or a, B = b or b, A = a or a, and B = b or b running from 1 to M. The components of g^{1} are denoted by g^{AB} and satisfy

$$g_{AC} g^{C B} = {}_{A} {}^{B}; g^{AC} g_{C B} = {}^{A} {}_{B}; (2.6)$$

and so on for A; B; $C = 1; \dots; M$.

A Kahler manifold (M;g) is a Herm itian manifold whose Herm itian metric can be derived from a Kahler potential K (z;z),

$$g_{ab}(z;z) = Q_a Q_b K(z;z);$$
 (2.7)

with a;b = 1:::;M. Not all Herm itian manifolds are Kahlerian.

The Kahler manifolds corresponding to the Herm itian symmetric spaces U (p + q)=[U (p) U (q)] for symmetry class A, Sp (N)=U (N) for symmetry class C, and SO (2N)=U (N) for symmetry class D are specified once the corresponding K ahler potentials have been constructed. To this end, we begin by parametrizing the complex G rassmannian U (p + q)=[U (p) U (q)] for symmetry class A by the stereographic coordinates de ned by the independent set

$$f'_{Aa}; f'_{Aa}; A = 1; ...; p; a = 1; ...; qg$$
 (2.8a)

of p q com plex-valued m atrices.^{62,63,64} W ith this coordinate system, the K ahler potential on U (p + q)=[U (p) U (q)] is given by

$$K(';') = c \ln det I_q + '^{y}$$
 (2.8b)

where c is an arbitrary constant (the scale of the m etric) which is chosen to be unity in the following. (Another choice for c just am ounts to a rescaling of t.) The K ahler m etric induced by the K ahler potential (2.8) is

$$g_{(A a) (B b)}$$
 (';') = $Z_{ab}Y_{B A}$ (2.9a)

where we have introduced q q and p p Herm itian m atrices,

$$Z_{ab} := I_q + ' Y' \stackrel{1}{}_{ab}; \quad a;b=1;...;q; (2.9b)$$

 $Y_{AB} := I_p + ' ' Y \stackrel{1}{}_{AB}; \quad A;B=1;...;p: (2.9c)$

The cases of Sp (N)=U (N) for symmetry class C and SO (2N)=U (N) for symmetry class D are obtained by setting p = q = N and by in posing the constraint

$$' + \#'^{\mathrm{T}} = 0$$
 (2.10)

on the complex G rassmannian U (p + q)=[U (p) U (q)] where # = 1 for Sp (N) (symmetry class C) and # = +1 for SO (2N) (symmetry class D). Due to the constraint (2.10), independent entries of f'_{Aa} ; f'_{Aa} ; A; a = 1;:::;N g can be chosen to be those with the indices A a for Sp (N) (symmetry class C) and A < a for SO (2N) (symmetry class D), say. In turn, the metric for Sp (N)=U (N) (symmetry class C) and the metric for SO (2N)=U (N) (symmetry class D) are given by

$$g_{(A a) (B b)}$$
 (';') = $_{A a \ B b} S^{\#}_{(A a) (B b)} Z_{ab} Z_{A B}$;
(2.11a)

where

and

$$Z_{ab} := I_N + ' ' ' a_{ab}^{1}; a; b = 1; ...; N : (2.11e)$$

O bserve that Z in Eq. (2.9b) and Y in Eq. (2.9c) are not independent anym ore because $Y = Z^T$ when p = q = N and the constraint (2.10) holds. By a slight abuse of notation we will sometimes refer to the complex G rassmannian case by # = 0.

B. High-gradient operators

The sem inal contribution by Altshuler, K ravtsov, and Lemer,² was to observe that the perturbative RG ow obeyed by the cumulants of the conductance necessarily involves an in nite number of composite operators and to identify a criterion for the selection of this in nite family of composite operators. The selection criterion is that the ow must be closed within a family of composite operators characterized by the most dom inant scaling dimensions. Applied to the three cases at hand, we thus seek a family of composite operators that transform as scalars under reparam etrization of the target manifold and contain as many derivatives as possible subject to the condition that their anom alous scaling dimension be dom inant. We are led to the family of high-gradient operators

$$T_{IJK ::::}(z ; z) \underbrace{e^{z^{I}} e^{z^{J}} e^{z^{K}}}_{2s}; \qquad (2.12)$$

where T_{IJK} (z;z) is a tensor on the target manifold with sholom orphic and antiholom orphic indices; we do not yet specify how the greek indices must be contracted. A lithough composite operators containing derivatives of the form $(e^n z (n 2))$ are generated by the RG ow for the cumulants of the conductance they are neither expected to contribute to the dom inant RG anom alous scaling dimensions,³⁸ nor needed to close the RG ow s of the high-gradient operators (2.12) as we shall see below.

As the simplest example of a high-gradient operator belonging to the family (2.12) is the Lagrangian $g_{IJ} \notin z^{J} \notin z^{I}$ of the NL M action. This is in fact the only scalar under both reparam etrization of the target manifold and global rotations in the base space with s = 1 that belongs to the family (2.12). To see this, observe that the num ber of independent tensors with two indices on the target manifold is related to that of independent bilinear form s in the group (coset). For simple Lie groups (and their analogues for cosets), there is only one such bilinear form (the Casim ir), and hence g_{IJ} is uniquely xed. The scaling ow obeyed by $g_{IJ} \notin z^{J} \notin z^{I}$, controls the scaling ow obeyed by the mean conductance, i.e., the rst cum ulant of the conductance once the replica lim it has been taken.

Life already becomes more complicated if we seek all the high-gradient operators with s = 2 in the fam – ily (2.12). On a generic complex manifold more than 2 independent tensors of rank (0;4) are available,

$$g_{IJ}g_{KL}; R_{IJKL}; R_{IJMN}R^{MN}K_{KL};$$
 (2.13)

and so on, where $R_{IJK L}$ is the curvature (R iem ann) tensor on the target manifold. Fortunately, the situation is simpler for the G rassmannian since we only need to contend with two independent tensors of rank (0;4),

$$S_{(A a) (B b) (C c) (D d)}^{(2)} = Z_{ab}Y_{B C} Z_{cd}Y_{D A};$$
 (2.14a)

$$S_{(A a) (B b)}^{(1)} S_{(C c) (D d)}^{(1)} = Z_{ab} Y_{B A} Z_{cd} Y_{D C}$$
: (2.14b)

[See Eq. (1.9).]

Observe that $S_{A\,B}^{\,\,(1)}\,$ is nothing but the metric tensor $g_{A\,B}$.

For symmetry class A and for given s 2 N, all the independent tensors $T_{\rm IJK}$ $_{\rm III}$ of rank (0;2s) of the complex G rassmannian can be expressed by taking the products of the building blocks,

$$S_{(A a)(bB)(C c)(dD)::::} = Z_{ab}Y_{BC}Z_{cd}Y_{DE} \qquad Z_{A}Y$$
(2.15a)

m ade oflpairs of ${\tt Z}$ and ${\tt Y}$, according to the decomposition

$$T_{I_{1}J_{1}K_{1}} = Y S_{I_{1}J_{1}K_{1}}^{(l_{1})} l_{i} = s: (2.15b)$$

For the cases of Sp (N)=U (N) (symmetry class C) and SO (2N)=U (N) (symmetry class D) we need to replace Eq. (2.15a) by

$$S_{:::(bB) (C c) (dD) ::::}^{(1)} \coloneqq C_c S_c^{\#} Y_{Bc} Z_{Cd} :(2.15c)$$

A nother simpli cation attached to the K ahler manifolds for symmetry classes A, C, and D is that contraction between S $^{(\rm l_i)}$ and the $\rm @z^{\rm I}$'s reduces to a trace,

$$S^{(1)}_{(A a)(bB)(C c):::} e^{A a} e^{Y}_{bB} e^{Y}_{a} c^{C c} = \operatorname{tr} A^{+}_{1} A^{-}_{2} A^{+}_{3} ; (2.16a)$$

where we have introduced

$$A^+ = Y Q'; \quad A = Z Q''; \quad (2.16b)$$

for the complex G rassmannian (symmetry class A). For Sp (N)=U (N) (symmetry class C) and for SO (2N)=U (N) (symmetry class D) one must impose the additional constraint

$$(A^{+})^{T} = #A^{+}; (A)^{T} = #A : (2.16c)$$

Any member T of the family of tensor elds constructed in Eq. (2.15) has the remarkable property that it vanishes under the action of the covariant derivative on any one of its indices

$$r_{P}T_{IJK L} = \theta_{P}T_{IJK L}$$

$$Q_{PI}T_{QJK L} \qquad P_{J}T_{IQK L}$$

$$= 0: \qquad (2.17)$$

Here, A_{BC} are the components of the Levi-C ivita connection whose non-vanishing entries are given by

$$a_{bc}^{a} = g^{ap} \ \theta_{c} g_{p \ b}; \quad a_{b \ c}^{a} = g^{a \ p} \ \theta_{c} g_{pb}; \quad (2.18)$$

This is also true for the NL M on the realG rassmannian 0 (p + q) = [0 (p) 0 (q)] which is related to the sym plectic symmetry class of Anderson localization, and for the cases of simpler target manifolds such as 0 (N) = 0 (N 1)

or CP^{n+m} ^{1jm} for which the tensor elds T are just products of the metric tensor. In all these cases, the fact that all high-gradient operators have vanishing covariant derivatives greatly sim pli es the computation of their one-loop RG ow s.

For a given number of derivatives, 2s, there are m any degenerate operators of the type (2.12) with T_{IJK} ... given by Eq. (2.15b) that share the sam e anom abus scaling dimensions 2s at the metallic xed point. They are specied by the choice of fl_i g in Eq. (2.15b) as well as that of the greek indices in the e_z^I 's. This degeneracy is, how ever, lifted in perturbation theory as we depart from the metallic xed point.

W e close this section by noting that it is more convenient to introduce the conform al indices + and

$$0 = 0_x \quad i0_y:$$
 (2.19)

instead of the Euclidean indices = $x_i y$ in 2d.^{2,41}

III. THE COVARIANT BACKGROUND FIELD METHOD

A. Kahler norm al coordinates for N L M

To perform the RG program , we divide the elds (z ; z) in the NL M into slow (;), and fast (;), modes. To this end, we write

$$z^{a} = {}^{a} + {}^{a}; z^{a} = {}^{a} + {}^{a}; (3.1)$$

where we require that (;) satisfy the classical equations of motion. The fast modes (;) represent the quantum uctuations around the classical background (;). They are integrated out, thereby generating an e ective action for the slow modes (;). In practice, this e ective action is computed order by order within an expansion, the so-called loop expansion, which is nothing but the cum ulant expansion. O ne draw back with the separation (3.1) into fast and slow modes is that the perturbative expansion of the action in powers of the fast modes (;) with respect to the action evaluated at (;) need not transform covariantly under reparam etrization of the manifold. This danger can be avoided by choosing Kahler norm al coordinates (KNC) in terms of which we denote the fast modes by (! ;!). The KNC coordinates are de ned by dem anding the manifest covariance of the Kahler potential when expanded in terms of (!;!). 65,66 The perturbative expansion of the action in powers of the KNC about the background eld is then manifestly covariant, i.e., only covariant objects such as the metric, the Riem ann tensor, the covariant derivative on the m anifold, and so on appear in the expansion, as we shall shortly see. One advantage of this RG scheme, the covariant background eld method, is that no redundant operator is induced upon renorm alization. This is a very useful property when dealing with the mixing of the com posite operators induced by an RG transform ation.45 A

related advantage is that there is no need to break the symmetry of the NL M to tame \mathbb{R} divergences.

The relation between the generic parametrization (;) and the KNC parametrization (!;!) of the fast modes is non-linear. For examples,

$$(a + a) = (a + b w^{a})$$
 (3.2a)

+
$$\frac{1}{2} R^{a}_{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}$$
 (;) $Q^{g} w^{c_{2}} w^{c_{1}}$ + ;

$$g_{ij}(+;+) = g_{ij}(;)$$
 (3.2b)
 $R_{ijk, l} w^{l} w^{k_{1}} + :$

As promised, the right-hand side is covariant under reparam etrization as the pair (D;D) denotes the holom orphic and antiholom orphic covariant derivatives

$$D w^{j} = r_{a}w^{j} e^{a}$$

$$= e^{a}w^{j} + e^{j}a^{b}w^{b}e^{a}; \quad (3.3a)$$

$$D w^{j} = D w^{j}$$

$$= r_{a}w^{j}e^{a}$$

$$= 0 w^{j} + \frac{j}{ab} w^{b} 0^{a}; (3.3b)$$

respectively, with the components

$$R^{a}_{bcd} = \mathcal{Q}_{d} \quad \overset{a}{bc}; \quad R^{a}_{bcd} = \mathcal{Q}_{d} \quad \overset{a}{bc}; \quad (3.4)$$

 $R_{EDAB} = g_{EC} R_{DAB}^{C}$ and so on of the curvature tensor. The expansion of the action in powers of the KNC is

$$S[+ ; +] S[;] =$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2 t} g_{ij}(;) D w^{j} D w^{i}$$

$$\frac{1}{2 t} R_{ijkl}(;) w^{k} e^{j} e^{i}$$

$$\frac{1}{2 t} e^{k} e^{j} w^{i} \frac{1}{2} e^{k} w^{k} w^{j} e^{i}$$

$$+ : (3.5)$$

B. Canonical form of the metric tensor and vielbeins

The covariant G aussian expansion (3.5) about an extrem a (;) of the action can still be simplied further by performing a local GL (M;C) transformation of the tangent space at (;) that brings the metric tensor at (;) to the canonical form. To this end, introduce the fast degree of freedom (;) by the linear transformation^{67,68}

$$a^{a} \coloneqq w^{a} a^{a} a^{a}; w^{a} = a^{a} a^{a} a^{a}; (3.6a)$$

 $a^{a} \coloneqq w^{a} a^{a} a^{a}; w^{a} = a^{a} a^{a}; (3.6b)$

by dem anding that

$$g_{a b}(;) w^{b} w^{a} = \hat{b}^{a}$$
 (3.6c)

$$_{ab} = diag I_{M_1}; I_{M_2}; M_1 + M_2 = M :$$
(3.6d)

The two background dependent matrices ($_{a} e^{a}$) and ($_{a} e^{a}$) from GL(M;C) that implement this transform ation in the antiholom orphic sector are inverse to each other. The same is true of the matrices ($_{a} e^{a}$) and ($_{a} e^{a}$) in the holom orphic sector. These fourmatrices are called the vielbeins and must obey

$$g_{ab} {}^{b} e_{\hat{b}} {}^{a} e_{\hat{a}} = {}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} ; {}_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} {}^{\hat{b}} e_{\hat{b}} {}^{\hat{a}} e_{\hat{a}} = g_{ab} ; (3.7)$$

by condition (3.6c). From now on, latin letters with a hat refer to the coordinates of the Kahler manifold in the vielbein basis (3.6). Under transformation (3.6) the covariant expansion (3.5) becomes

•

where yet another pair of covariant derivatives

$$\hat{D}^{a} = 0 \stackrel{a}{=} \hat{D}^{b}_{b} (A)^{a};$$
 (3.9a)

$$\hat{D}^{a} = 0 \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{b}{}_{\hat{b}} (A)^{\hat{a}};$$
 (3.9b)

have been introduced. The eld A is the U(M) gauge eld de ned through the U(M) spin connection ${}_{a}!{}^{\hat{b}}{}_{c}$, ${}_{a}!{}^{\hat{b}}{}_{c}$ by

$$a^{\hat{b}}_{c} := a^{\hat{e}^{a}}_{h} e^{a}_{c} a^{\hat{e}^{b}};$$
 (3.10a)

$$a!^{b}_{c} \coloneqq a^{a} (\theta_{ca} e^{b}) \qquad r_{acr} e^{b}; \quad (3.10b)$$

$$_{a} A \stackrel{b}{=} = _{a}!_{c}^{\hat{b}} @ \stackrel{c}{=} + _{a}!_{c}^{\hat{b}} @ \stackrel{c}{=} : (3.10c)$$

[If the metric is pseudo R iem annian, U (M) should be replaced by U (M₁; M₂).] The emergence of an U (M) gauge structure originates from the fact that the vielbeins are not uniquely speci ed by condition (3.6c). As the physical result cannot depend on the speci c choice of gauge, i.e., the speci c choice for the vielbeins, the dependence on the spin connection should occur through the U (M) gauge invariant eld strength

$${}_{a}(\mathbf{F})^{\hat{b}} = {}_{a}(\mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} {}_{adc}(\mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} + {}_{a}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} {}_{a}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} = {}_{a}e^{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{R}_{adc}^{r} {}_{adc}(\mathbf{C} {}$$

C. One-loop beta functions

W e are in position to integrate out the fast degrees of freedom for the complex G rassmannian, SO (2N)=U (N), and Sp (2N)=U (N). The partition function for slow and fast modes is

$$Z = Z$$

 $Z = D[;] D[;] e^{S[;;;]}$:(3.11a)

The action S [;;;] (= S [+;+]) is separated into three contributions

$$S[;;;] = S[;] + S_0[;] + S_I[;;;];$$

(3.11b)

where $S_0[~;~]$ is the free G aussian part for the fast m odes, and $S_1[~;~;~;~]$ represents the interactions between the slow and fast m odes. Integration over the fast m ode (~;~) is performed with the help of the cumulant expansion

$$Z = D[;] = S_{I}; \stackrel{[]}{:} + S_{I}; \stackrel{[]}{:} (3.12a)$$

$$S[;] = hS_{I}i_{0} \frac{1}{2}h (S_{I})^{2} S_{I_{0}} + ;$$

$$(3.12b)$$

(3.8) where

h(
$$_{0}$$
) $= \frac{\underset{D[;]}{R} \overset{B}{\underset{D[;]}{D[;]} = \overset{S_{0}[;]}{\underset{D[;]}{S_{0}[;]}} : (3.12c)}{(3.12c)}$

To evaluate the cumulant expansion, we introduce the \boldsymbol{G} reen function

$$\hat{a}(x) \hat{b}(y)_{0} = \hat{ab} 2 t_{e^{d1}} \frac{d^{d}k}{(2)^{d}} \frac{e^{ik(x y)}}{k^{2}}$$

$$= : \hat{ab} 2 tG_{0}(x y); \qquad (3.13)$$

where $= a^{-1}$ is the UV cuto. The leading contribution to the increment of the action of the slow mode (;) resulting from integrating out the fast mode (;) within the second-order cumulant expansion is

$$S[;] = G_0(0) \underset{r}{R_{ab}} R_{ab} e^{b} e^{a}; (3.14)$$

with

$$R_{ab} \coloneqq R^{c}_{acb} = R^{c}_{cab}; \qquad (3.15)$$

the Riccitensor.

For sym m etry classes C and D , the R icci tensor is proportional to the m etric (the target space is an E instein m anifold) and given by

$$R_{(C c) (E e)} = (N \#)g_{(C c) (E e)}$$
: (3.16)

Thus, from Eq. (3.14), the one-loop beta functions in (2 +)d for the NL M coupling (t) = dt=dl is

$$(t) = t + (N \#)t^{2} + O(t^{3}):$$
 (3.17)

Here, dl is the in nitesim al rescaling of the ultraviolet cuto , a ! ae^{+dl} for which $G_0(0) = dl=2$. This oneloop result agrees with the known three-, four-, and veloop results.^{35,36,37} A nother check com es from the group isom orphism s^{36,63}

One veries that the beta functions match if the couplings are appropriately rescaled for isom orphisms (3.18a) and (3.18b) and with the additional substitution t! t for isom orphism s (3.18c). The nontrivial zeros of the beta function in symmetry classes A,C, and D are

$$0 < t = \frac{1}{N + 0} (2): (3.19)$$

IV. ONE-LOOP RG FOR HIGH-GRADIENT OPERATORS

A. Strategy

We now turn to the computation of the dominant anom alous scaling dimension $x_i^{(s)}$ associated to any high-gradient operator $O_{i}^{(s)}$ of type (2.12), where the collective index i runs over any allowed choice for flg in Eq. (2.15b) and over the greek indices in $\emptyset z^{I}\emptyset z^{J}\emptyset z^{K}$. The one-bop anom abus scaling di-variant derivatives of the tensor $T_{IJ...}(z;z)$ vanish and mension $x_i^{(s)}$ of $O_i^{(s)}$ is extracted from the one-loop RG

transform ation law

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h & i \\ O_{i}^{(s)}(z;z) \\ \\ \end{array} = tdl^{b}_{ij}^{(s)}O_{j}^{(s)}(z;z): (4.1a) \end{array}$$

h i Here, $O_i^{(s)}(z;z)$ denotes all the term s that are of order k in A in the KNC expansion of O $_{i}^{(S)}$ (z ; z), and

h()
$$i = S_{I}$$
 ($_{0}$) e_{J} (4.1b)

is evaluated up to rst order in tdl [recall Eq. (3.12c)]. Once the mixing matrix

$$b^{(s)} = b^{(s)}_{ij}$$
 (4.2a)

; o yield the spectrum of is known, its eigenvalues anom alous scaling dim ensions

$$x_i^{(s)} = 2s$$
 $i_i^{(s)}t$ (4.2b)
and the spectrum of anom abus scaling dimensions

$$y_i^{(s)} \coloneqq d \quad x_i^{(s)}$$
 (4.2c)

associated to the high-gradient operator $O_{i}^{(s)}$. A ny eigenoperator of Eq. (4.1) is relevant (m arginal, irrelevant) when its eigenvalue $\boldsymbol{y}_i^{(s)}$ is positive (zero, negative). We are going to construct the eigenoperator of Eq. (4.1) with the largest eigenvalue of the mixing matrix b (s) up to one-bop accuracy close to the metallic xed point.

To this end, we need to expand $O_i^{(s)}(z;z)$ in the KNC.By taking advantage of the fact that all the cothat $h[2^{I}]_{2} = 0$, we infer that

Thus, allwe need are

$$[0 z^{i}_{1} 0 z^{j}_{1} = +tdl; 0^{k} 0^{k}_{k} 1^{i}_{1};$$
 (4.3b)

$$[0 z^{i}]_{i} [0 z^{j}]_{i} = tdl . [0 k^{k} [0 R^{ij}]_{ik};$$
 (4.3c)

$$(2 z^{i}_{1} (2 z^{j}_{1}) = + tdl_{2}, (2 z^{i}_{1} (2 z^{i}_{1}) = + tdl_{2}, (2 z^{i}_{1}) = + tdl_{2}, (2 z^{i}_{1} (2 z^{i}_{1}) = + tdl_{2}, (2 z^{i}_{1}) = + tdl_{2},$$

on the other hand. Here, we are using the conformal indices de ned in Eq. (2.19). Note also that since holomorphic r_i and antiholom orphic r_j covariant derivatives do not commyte in general, we have two distinct representations for $[\Gamma_{IJK}]_2$.

B. RG transform ation

The RG transform ation law (4.3) obeyed by any high-gradient operator for a NL M on the Herm itian symmetric spaces U (p + q)=[U (p) U (q)], SO (2N)=U (N), and Sp (N)=U (N) becomes explicit with the help of the (a) intra-trace form ulae

$$\begin{array}{rcl} D & & & E \\ tr & A & _{1}M & A &]_{1}N & = & \frac{2 & \#^{2}}{2} tdl ; & \#^{2}tr & A & N & A & M^{T} & + tr & A & N & tr (A & M &) \\ & & & & + tr & A & M & tr (A & N &) + \#^{2}tr & A & M^{T}A & N & ; & (4.4a) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} D & & & & E \\ tr & A^{+} & _{1}M & A & _{1}N & = & + \frac{2 & \#^{2}}{2} tdl ; & tr & A^{+}A & N & tr (M &) & \#tr & A^{+}A & N & M^{T} \\ & & & & & & & \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Htr & A^{+}A & M & TN & + tr (N &) tr & MA & A^{+} & ; & (4.4b) \end{array}$$

(b) inter-trace form ulae

and (c) diagonal contributions

where M and N represent arbitrary rectangular matrices of the proper size and the matrix-valued A were de ned in Eq. (2.16). This result, that follows from substituting the components of the curvature tensor (3.4) when expressed in the stereographic coordinates (2.8) into the master form ula (4.3), agrees with the one-loop RG result for sym metry class A (# = 0) in Ref. 38.

C. Diagonalization of the RG equation within the subspace of maxim alnum ber of switches

As is shown in Appendix B, the RG transform ation law (4.3) has an upper (or lower) triangular structure when appropriate quantum numbers (\number of switches") are de ned. Since the number of switches never increases under an RG transform ation, we can solve the eigenvalue and eigenvector problem (4.3) for each subspace with a xed number of switches. We will lim it ourselves to operators with the maximal number of switches, as the eigenscaling operator with the most dominant RG anom abus scaling dimension is known to be among them for symmetry class A.³⁹ In this subspace, any operator can be expressed as a polynom ial of the objects

ⁿ tr
$$(A_{+}^{+}A_{+})^{1}$$
; tr $(A_{+}^{+}A_{+})^{1}$; (4.7)

i.e., conform al indices in a single trace are completely alternating. It can also be shown that the spaces spanned by tr $(A_{+}^{+}A_{-})^{1}$ is $(A_{+}^{+}A_{+})^{1}$ is

We now compute the action of the RG transform ation (4.3) on a composite operator of the form

$$O_{frg}^{(s)} = {r_1 \atop 1 \ 2} {r_2 \atop L} {r_L \atop L}; {X^L \atop lr_1 = s}$$
 (4.8)

where all $_{1}$ on the right-hand side are a short-hand notation for objects of type tr $(A_{+}^{+}A_{-})^{1}$ without loss of generality. Since the diagonal contributions (c) to the RG eigenvalues are trivial, we focus on the intra-trace contributions (a) and inter-trace contributions (b) for the moment. If we dene the o -diagonal mixing matrix $\Re^{(s)} = \Re^{(s)}_{frafr_{g}}$ by

$$\overset{D}{}_{\text{frg}} \overset{E}{}_{(z ; z)} \overset{E}{}_{(a);(b)} = : \text{tdl} \overset{(s)}{R}_{\text{frgfr}^{0}g} \overset{(s)}{}_{\text{fr}^{0}g} (; ;); (4.9)$$

i.e., we have only collected the o -diagonal contributions (4.4) and (4.5) to the RG transformation law obeyed by O $_{\rm fra}^{\rm (s)}$ (z ;z), the action of $\mathbb{R}^{\rm (s)}$ can be cast into the form

$$\mathfrak{R}^{(s)} = \# \overset{X}{k} k^{2} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{k} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} + N \overset{X}{k} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} + \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} + \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} + \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} + \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} + (2 \#^{2})(1+n) \underset{1 \ n}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} \overset{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathfrak{R}} :$$

$$(4.10)$$

The eigenvalue problem (4.10) can be solved by brute force for sm alls in which cases we have veri ed that the sign of the eigenvalues of the o -diagonal mixing matrix $\mathbb{R}^{(s)}$ for SO (2N)=U (N) are opposite to those for Sp (N)=U (N). In particular, the largest eigenvalue of $\mathbb{R}^{(s)}$ for SO (2N)=U (N) is given by minus the sm allest eigenvalue for Sp (N)=U (N) and vice et versa. This fact is consistent with the group isom orphism (3.18b), and the fact that the beta functions for the corresponding NL M match upon the replacement t! t.

M ore generally, one veri es that

$$(s) = 2(s^2 - s) + s(p + q); (\# = 0); (4.11a)$$

$$(s) = s^2 2s + sN; (\# = +1);$$
 (4.11b)

$$(s) = 2s^2 s + sN; (\# = 1);$$
 (4.11c)

is an eigenvalue of the o -diagonal mixing operator $\mathbf{R}^{(s)}$ for any given s with the eigenvector

$$v^{(s)} = \begin{cases} X & Y \\ & 1 \\ frg & j \end{cases} \frac{1}{r_j ! j^{r_j}} (j)^{r_j}; \quad (\# = 0); \quad (4.12a)$$

$$v^{(s)} = \sum_{\text{frq j}}^{X \ Y} \frac{1}{r_j ! j^{r_j}} (j)^{r_j}; \quad (\# = +1); (4.12b)$$

$$v^{(s)} = {X Y \atop frg j} {2^{j-1} \over r_j ! j^{r_j}} (j)^{r_j}; \quad (\# = 1): (4.12c)$$

As it is known that $^{(s)}$ is the largest eigenvalue of $R^{(s)}$ in symmetry class A, we believe this to be also true for symmetry classes C and D. If so, the largest RG eigenvalues for a given number s of gradients is given by, after adding the diagonal contributions (c),

Note that these results are valid up to one loop before taking the replica limit. Equation (1.3) then follows by combining Eq. (4.13) with Eq. (4.2b).

A cknow ledgem ents

C M . and A F. acknow ledge hospitality of the K avli Institute for Theoretical Physics at Santa Barbara during the completion of the manuscript. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under G rants No. DMR-00-75064 (A W W L.) and No. PHY 99-07949 (S R., A F., C M.).

APPENDIX A: GROUP-THEORY VERSUS GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATIONS OF THE NL M

The purpose of this appendix is to bridge the geom etrical representation of the NL M used in this paper and the one in terms of the so-called Q-m atrix".

First, recall that an element Q in U (p+q)=[U (p) U (q)] can be written as^{19}

$$Q = U^{-1} U;$$
 = diag($I_p; I_q$); $U \ge U(p+q):(A1)$

As noticed by Lemer and W egner,³⁸ high-gradient operators in the Q -m atrix representation as well as the action of the NL M can be conveniently expressed in terms of the objects

$$A \coloneqq \frac{1}{2}P^+ @ Q P \qquad (A 2a)$$

where = and the projectors P

$$P := \frac{1}{2} (1 \quad Q); \quad (P \quad)^2 = P \quad (A \ 2b)$$

were introduced. A generic high-gradient operator is then built by taking a product of

$$tr A^{+}A A^{+} : \qquad (A3)$$

For example, the action of the NL M is

$$S = \frac{1}{16 t} \int_{z}^{z} tr \ @ Q \ @ Q$$
$$= \frac{1}{4 t} \int_{z}^{z} tr \ A^{+}A : \qquad (A4)$$

In order to establish explicitly the connection between the Q-matrix representation (A2){(A4) and the geometrical representation in the stereographic coordinates (2.8), we parametrize P^+ and $P^- = P^+ Q$ in Eq. (A2b) through a (p+q) q matrix i according to⁶⁹

$$P^{+} = y = \frac{X^{q}}{i} = \frac{X^{q}}{i} = \frac{X^{q}}{i}$$

where satis es the constraint

$$y = I_{a}$$
: (A 5b)

Them atrix is thus a collection of q orthonorm alvectors f _a 2 C^{p+q} $g_{a=1;...;q}$. We can use this constraint to x the upper q _dblock of . We write

where $i, '_{Ij}, X_{ij}$, and $'_{Ij}X_{jk}$, are (p+q) = q, p = q, q, q, and p = q, matrices, respectively, and the indices i, I, and num over the sets i = 1; ...; q, I = 1; ...; p,

= 1;:::;p + q, respectively. Next, we express X in term s of ' by making use of the constraint (A 5b),

$$X_{ni}Z_{nm}^{1}X_{mk} = _{ik}$$
 (X $^{y}Z^{1}X = I_{q}$): (A 6b)

Here the Hermitian q q matrix Z was introduced in Eqs. (2.9b) and (2.9c). Constraint (A 6b) is satisfied if X is chosen to be

$$X^{y} = X = Z^{1=2}$$
: (A 6c)

A generic high-gradient operators (A 3) in the Q-m atrix representation is thus expressed in terms of the stereographic coordinate (';') from Eq. (2.8). For example, one veri es that the action of the NL M (A 4) reduces to Eq. (2.5) with the metric (2.9a) derived from the K ahler potential (2.8) once the parametrization (A 5) with Eq. (A 6) is used. Sim ilarly,

$$tr A^{+}A A^{+}A = tr Z Q'^{y} Y (Q') Z Q'^{y} Y (Q') : (A7)$$

O bærve that the alternating structure in A^+ and A^- in the high-gradient operators has a one-to-one correspondence to that in Z and Y.

APPENDIX B:UPPER TRIANGULAR STRUCTURE OF THE RG TRANSFORMATION

W e prove in this appendix that the RG transform ation law obeyed by high-gradient operators has an upper (or low er) triangular structure when appropriate \quantum " num bers (\num ber of switches") are de ned. A trace m ade of an even product of A's,

$$\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{A}_{1}^{+} \operatorname{A}_{2} \operatorname{A}_{3}^{+} \operatorname{A}_{4} ; \qquad (B1)$$

is said to have n number of switches from A to A when, dividing the sequence into pairs according to

$$\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{A}_{1}^{+} \operatorname{A}_{2} \operatorname{A}_{3}^{+} \operatorname{A}_{4} ; \qquad (B2)$$

there are n pairs A A in the sequence. The number n does not depend on the cyclic permutations of the pairs A A . For a given trace of the form (B2) we can de ne

$$n_{tr} = n :$$
 (B3)

W e are going to show that, for the sym m etry classes A , C , and D , the total num ber

$$n \coloneqq n_{tr}$$
 (B4)

of switches in a composite operator of type (2.12) never increases under the RG transform ation law (4.3). Here, the sum m ation extends over the product over all traces of the form (B2) m aking up the high-gradient operator. In other words, the RG transform ation law (4.3) is triangular with respect to the quantum number (B4).

1. Sym m etry class A

We begin with symmetry class A. This case (# = 0) was already treated in Refs. 38,39,43 and is needed for symmetry classes C (# = 1) and D (# = +1). Consider any string $L_1A_1A_1^{\circ}R_1$ and any string $L_2A_2A_2^{\circ}R_2$ of 4 m atrices each that enter a high-gradient operator.

First, we assume that each string belongs to two distinct traces. We seek the one-loop RG transformation law obeyed by the number of switches

$$1; 1 + 2; 2$$
 (B 5a)

contained in

tr
$$L_1A A_0R_1$$
 tr $L_2A A_0R_2$: (B5b)

By making use of Eq. (4.5) with # = 0 we deduce that the

where $M \coloneqq R_1L_1$ and $N \coloneqq R_2L_1$. It is su cient to consider the contributions proportional to # in Eq. (4.5) as the remaining terms can be treated along the lines of symmetry class A. There are four such contributions (a) (d):

W e assign to

$$A \coloneqq tr(A_{1}^{+}A_{0}^{0}M) tr(A_{2}^{+}A_{0}^{0}N) (B10)$$

the quartet of num bers

$$(n_{+}^{+};n_{+}^{+};n_{+};n_{+})$$
 (B11)

where n counts the total number of switches of type A A in A. In sym metry classes D and C, we can com – bine trO = trO^T and the sym metry (A)^T = #A, to infer that

$$(n_{+}^{+};n_{+}^{+};n_{+};n_{-}) = (n_{+};n_{+}^{+};n_{+}^{+}):$$
 (B12)

As opposed to symmetry class A, individual switches n are not separately conserved but the total number of switches

will be shown to be conserved.

W ithout loss of generality we choose = + in A. The total number of sw itches n_{+}^{+} and n^{+} are

$$n_{+}^{+} = n_{+}^{+} \left[A_{-_{1}}^{+} A_{-_{1}^{0}}^{-} \right] + n_{+}^{+} \left[M \right] + n_{+}^{+} \left[A_{-_{2}}^{+} A_{-_{2}^{0}}^{-} \right] + n_{+}^{+} \left[N \right];$$

$$(B 14a)$$

$$n^{+} = n^{+} \left[A_{-_{1}}^{+} A_{-_{1}^{0}}^{-} \right] + n^{+} \left[M \right] + n^{+} \left[A_{-_{2}}^{+} A_{-_{2}^{0}}^{-} \right] + n^{+} \left[N \right];$$

(B14d)

respectively. The total number of switches n_{+} and n follow from using $A = tr(A_{0}M_{+}A_{1}^{+}) tr(A_{0}N_{+}A_{2}^{+})$ and are given by

$$n_{+} = n_{+} \left[A_{0} M_{1} A_{1}^{+} \right] + n_{+} \left[A_{0} N_{2} N_{2}^{+} \right];$$
(B14c)
$$n = n \left[A_{0} M_{1} A_{1}^{+} \right] + n \left[A_{0} N_{2} N_{2}^{+} \right];$$

1; 2 + 1; 2 + 0; 2

$$\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{+} \mathbf{A}_{0} \mathbf{R}_{2} \mathbf{L}_{2} \mathbf{A}_{2}^{+} \mathbf{A}_{0} \mathbf{R}_{1} \mathbf{L}_{1} \qquad (\mathbf{B} \operatorname{fa})$$

0; 0 1; 2

$$\mathsf{tdl}_{1; 2} \mathsf{tr} \mathsf{A}_{2}^{+} \mathsf{A}_{2}^{0} \mathsf{R}_{2} \mathsf{L}_{2} \mathsf{A}_{1}^{+} \mathsf{A}_{1}^{0} \mathsf{R}_{1} \mathsf{L}_{1} \qquad (\mathsf{B} \mathsf{6b})$$

+ tal
$$_{1}$$
; $_{2}^{0}$ tr $R_{2}L_{2}A_{2}^{+}A_{2}A_{2}^{+}A_{1}A_{1}R_{1}L_{1}$ (B 6c)

+ tal
$$_{1}^{0}$$
, $_{2}^{1}$ tr $A_{1}^{+}A_{1}^{0}A_{2}^{+}A_{2}^{0}R_{2}L_{2}R_{1}L_{1}$ (B 6d)

tdl
$${}_{1}^{0}$$
; ${}_{2}^{0}$ tr $A^{+}_{1}A_{1}^{0}R_{2}L_{2}A^{+}_{2}A_{2}^{0}R_{1}L_{1}$: (B 6e)

 $_{2}$; $_{1}^{0}$ switches. Line (B6a) contains 1; ⁰² + Lines (B6b) to (B6e) contain 1; ⁰ + 2; switches. Hence, only line (B6a) has the potential to increase the initial number (B5a) of switches. However, an increase over the initial number (B5a) of switches is not possible in view of the iden-1; 2 + 1; 2 + 0; 1; 2tity 0, 0 1; 2 initial number of switches (B5a) is maximum (2). Consequently, the number of switches 2 _____ induced by line (B6a) equals the initial number of switches 2 when 1 = 2 while it decreases otherwise.

Second, we assume that each string belongs to the same trace. We seek the one-loop RG transform ation law obeyed by the number of switches

$$_{1}; _{1}^{0} + _{2}; _{2}^{0}$$
 (B7a)

contained in

tr
$$L_1 A A R_1 R_1 L_2 A A R_2 R_2$$
 : (B7b)

By making use of Eq. (4.4) with # = 0 one veries again that the number of switches n cannot increase after integrating over the fast modes up to one loop.

We have proven that the RG ow sofhigh-gradient operators made of any given number of switches decouple from the RG ow sofhigh-gradient operators made of a lesser number of switches in symmetry class $A \cdot A \circ a$ corollary, the mixing matrix (4.1) is triangular in symmetry class $A \cdot A \circ a$

2. Sym m etry classes C and D

We turn our attention to symmetry classes #j = 1, i.e., SO (2N)=U(N) and Sp(N)=U(N). We rst consider the inter-trace formula of the RG transformation (4.5) applied to

(B8) respectively.

The number of sw itches (B14) in A changes under the RG transformation (B9) as follows. The total number of sw itches n_{+}^{+} and n^{+} from line (B9a) is given by

$$n_{+}^{+}[(a)] = n_{+}^{+} [A_{2}^{+} N^{T} A_{2}] + n_{+}^{+} [A_{1}^{+} A_{1}^{0}] + n_{+}^{+} [M]$$

$$= n [A_{2}^{0} N A_{2}^{+}] + n_{+}^{+} [A_{1}^{+} A_{2}^{0}] + n_{+}^{+} [M];$$
(B15a)
$$n^{+}[(a)] = n^{+} [A_{2}^{+} N^{T} A_{2}^{0}] + n^{+} [A_{1}^{+} A_{2}^{0}] + n^{+} [M];$$

$$= n_{+} [A_{2}^{0} N A_{2}^{+}] + n^{+} [A_{1}^{+} A_{2}^{0}] + n^{+} [M];$$
(B15b)

respectively, where we again m ade use of the sym m etry condition (A)^T = #A, and the fact that the num – ber of A in a trace is always even. Sim ilarly, the total num ber of sw itches n_+ and n from line (B9a) is given by

$$n_{+} [(a)] = n_{+} [N^{T}] + n_{+} [A_{0}A_{1}^{+}] + n_{+} [A_{0}M^{+}A_{2}^{+}]$$

$$= n^{+} [N] + n_{+} [A_{0}A_{1}^{+}] + n_{+} [A_{0}M^{+}A_{2}^{+}];$$
(B15c)
$$n_{-} [(a)] = (aa abarra u ith - b_{-});$$
(D15d)

n [(a)] = (as above with !); (B 15d)

respectively. Thus, the total num ber of switches changes from

$$n = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} X & n \\ n^{+} \mathbb{A}^{+}_{1} \mathbb{A}_{1}^{0} \end{bmatrix} + n^{+} \mathbb{M} \end{bmatrix} + n^{+} \mathbb{A}^{+}_{2} \mathbb{A}_{2}^{0} \end{bmatrix}} + n^{+} \mathbb{N}] + n \mathbb{A}_{0} \mathbb{A}^{+}_{1}] + n \mathbb{A}_{0} \mathbb{A}^{+}_{2}]$$
(B16)

to

$$n[(a)] = \begin{array}{c} X & n \\ & n & \left[A_{\frac{0}{2}}N & A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}\right] + n^{+} \left[A_{\frac{1}{1}}A_{\frac{0}{1}}\right] + n^{+} \left[M_{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\ & + n^{+} & \left[N_{\frac{1}{2}}\right] + n & \left[A_{\frac{0}{2}}A_{\frac{1}{1}}^{+}\right] + n & \left[A_{\frac{0}{1}}M & A_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}\right] \end{array}$$
(B17)

for the contribution from line (B9a). The net change $nj_{(a)} = n n[(a)]$ is

$$nj_{(a)} = \begin{cases} X & n \\ & n^{+} [A^{+}_{2}A_{\frac{0}{2}}] & n & [A_{\frac{0}{2}}A^{+}_{1}] \\ & + n & [A_{\frac{0}{1}}M & A^{+}_{1}] & n & [A_{\frac{0}{1}}M & A^{+}_{2}] \end{cases}$$
(B18)

When $_{2}$; $_{2}^{0}$ $_{1}$; $_{2}^{2} = 1$, we nd $nj_{(a)} = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} X & n \\ n^{+} [A^{+}_{2}A_{2}] & n [A_{2}^{0}A^{+}_{2}] \\ + n [A_{0}M A^{+}_{1}] & n [A_{0}M A^{+}_{1}] \\ = 0$ (B19) with the help of the prefactor $_{2; 0}^{0}_{2}_{1; 2}$ $_{1; 2}^{1; 2}_{1; 2}$. When $_{2; 0}^{0}_{1; 2}_{1; 2} = 1$, we nd

$$n j_{(a)} = \begin{cases} X & n \\ n^{+} A^{+}_{2} A_{2} \end{bmatrix} n A_{2} A^{+}_{2}] \\ + n A_{0} A^{+}_{1} n A_{1} A^{+}_{1} \end{bmatrix} n A_{0} A^{+}_{1}] \\ = 1 + n A_{0} A^{+}_{1} A^{+}_{1} n A_{1} A^{+}_{1}] \\ 0: \qquad (B 20)$$

W e conclude that the total num ber of sw itches does not increase under the RG transform ation (B 9a).

Repeating the same analysis for the contributions (b) (d) in Eq. (B9) yields that the change in the total number of switches is 0.

W e leave it to the reader to verify that the sam e conclusion holds for the intra-trace form ula (4.4).

This completes the proof for the upper triangular structure of the RG equation with respect to the total num ber of switches in symmetry classes D and C.

APPENDIX C: RG FLOW SOF HIGH-GRADIENT OPERATORS FOR NL M ON SUPERMANIFOLDS

We derive in this appendix two \master formulae" needed to perform the one-loop RG analysis of highgradient operators for NL M de ned on an arbitrary R iemannian or Kahlerian supermanifold. Master formulae (C19) and (C40) are supersymmetric versions of Eq. (4.3) and can be used for any target supermanifold once the geom etrical data of the manifold are known. An expansion in terms of normal coordinate of tensor elds plays again an essential role. For the non-supersym m etric R iem annian case, an expansion in term s of R iem ann norm al coordinate (RNC) based on the notion of geodesics was used for the computation of the beta function in all generality^{32,57} and the RG analysis of high-gradient operators for the special case of the O (N)=O (N 1) NL M 44,45 . The RNC expansion can also be applied to Herm itian m anifolds. For the (non-supersym m etric) K ahlerian case, however, one can also use the Kahler potential to nd a norm al coordinate system, the Kahler norm al coordinates (KNC) introduced in Refs. 65 and 66. In this Appendix, we will generalize these norm alcoordinate expansions to the case of supersym m etric target m anifolds. All the results that follow reduce to the non-supersymm etric ones if we ignore the commuting-anticommuting nature of the objects and ferm ionic sign factors.

1. NL M on Riem annian superm anifolds

a. Notations and conventions for Riem annian supermanifolds Webegin with a quick review of concepts and notation. We refer the reader to Ref. 59 for a textbook on the geometry of supermanifolds.

A tensor eld of rank (r;s) on a supermanifold has r contravariant (upper) and s covariant (low er) indices, $T^{ab}{}_{c}{}^{d}{}_{...}$. On a supermanifold, components of tensor elds can be either commuting or anticommuting supernum bers.

In order to de ne their mutual statistics, the grade (0) = 0;1 is assigned to each object 0 on a superm anifold, where 0 can be a supernum ber, a supertensor eld, a component of a supertensor eld, etc. W hen the ordering of two objects O_1 and O_2 is exchanged, a factor (1) $(O_1) (O_2)$ arises, $O_1O_2 = (1)^{(O_1)} O_2O_1$: In order to de ne the grade for the component of a tensor eld of arbitrary rank, we rst assign a grade to each of its index. If index a corresponds to a commuting component of the coordinates of a point on the supermanifold (a) = 0, while (a) = 1 otherwise. For simplicity, a statistical factor (1) (a) (b) is often abbreviated by $(1)^{ab}$, e.g., $X^{a}Y^{b} = (1)^{ab}Y^{b}X^{a}$. The grade of the component T $^{ab}_{c} c^{d}_{...}$ of the tensor eld T is then $(T^{ab}_{c})^{d} = (a) + (b) + (c) + (d) +$

It is convenient to introduce a shifting rule for the left m ost index of tensors,

$$^{a}T^{b::::}_{c::::} \coloneqq T^{ab::::}_{c::::}$$
 (C1a)

$$_{a}T_{c:::}^{b:::} := (1)^{a}T_{a}^{b:::} : (C1b)$$

As with all other objects on a supermanifold, derivatives also carry a grade. Thus, derivatives from the left $\dot{\theta}_a$ and the right θ_a need to be distinguished. They are related by

$$e_{a}f = (1)^{a} (f)^{+a}f e_{a};$$
 (C2)

where f is a function over the manifold. In this appendix we will mainly use derivatives Q_a and covariant derivatives r_a that act from the right.

Super transposition of ${\tt m}$ atrices, denoted by $\ ,$ is de ned by

$${}^{i}K_{j} = (1)^{j(i+j)}{}_{j}K^{i};$$
 (C3a)

$$M_{i} = (1)^{i+j+ij} M_{j};$$
 (C 3b)

$$^{j}N$$
 $^{j} = (1)^{ij} N^{j}$: (C 3c)

For illustration, we shall apply these rules to the com – ponents g_{ab} of the metric tensor eld, and components g^{ab} of the inverse of the metric tensor eld. From the shifting rule

$${}^{a}g^{b} = g^{ab};$$
 ${}_{a}g_{b} = (1)^{a}g_{ab};$ (C4a)

$${}_{a}g_{c}{}^{c}g^{b} = {}_{a}{}^{b}; \qquad {}^{a}g^{c}{}_{c}g_{b} = {}^{a}{}_{b}: \qquad (C 4b)$$

The supersymmetry of the metric tensor eld implies

$${}_{a}g_{b} = (1)^{a}g_{ab}$$

$$= (1)^{a+ab}g_{ba}$$

$$= (1)^{a+b+ab}{}_{b}g_{a}; \quad (C5a)$$

$${}^{a}g^{b} = g^{ab}$$

$$= (1)^{ab}g^{ba}$$

$$= (1)^{ab}g^{a}: \quad (C5b)$$

An index of a component X^a of a supervector eld can be raised, low ered, and shifted according to the rules

$${}^{a}X = X {}^{a};$$
 ${}_{a}X = (1)^{a}X_{a};$ (C 6a)

$$X = {}_{a}g_{b}{}^{b}X; \qquad X_{a} = X_{b}{}^{b}g_{a}; \qquad (C 6b)$$

$${}^{a}X = {}^{a}g{}^{b}{}_{b}X$$
; $X^{a} = X_{b}{}^{b}g{}^{a}$: (C 6c)

b. The NL M on a Riemannian supermanifold The NL M on a Riemannian supermanifold is de ned by the partition function

$$Z := D[]e^{S[]}; \qquad (C7a)$$

$$S[] := \frac{1}{4 t_{r}} e^{-a_{a}} g_{b}() e^{b};$$
 (C7b)

where ^a(r) $2 R_c^M R_a^N$ represents the M + N components of the coordinates of point r on the target m anifold, with M referring to the num ber of commuting coordinates whereas N that of the num ber of anti-commuting ones,⁵⁹ and t is the NL M coupling constant.

c. The covariant background eld m ethod and the super R iem ann norm al coordinate expansion In the background eld m ethod, the covariant vector eld $^{\rm a}$ (r) is separated into two parts,

$$a(r) = a(r) + a(r);$$
 (C8)

whereby a (r) is assumed to be a slow ly varying solution to the classical equations of motion which transforms like a contravariant vector and a (r) represents uctuations around the slow degrees of freedom a (r).

Two steps are needed to perform the one-loop RG program in a covariant fashion. First, we need to trade the expansion in terms of ^a, which is not covariant, for that of elds ^a that transform like contravariant vectors. Second, we need to rotate the RNC by a vielbein to evaluate the functional integral over the fast modes.

The RNC is based on the notion of geodesics on R iem annian m anifolds. Since alm ost all the notions on a R iem annian m anifold such as form s, connection, the R iem ann tensor, geodesics, etc., have their counterpart on a R iem annian superm anifold⁵⁹, it is straightforward to develop the super extension of the RNC expansion. The expansion of a covariant tensor eld T in term s of the super RNC ^a is given by

$$T_{b_{1}} (b_{1} + b_{2}) = T_{b_{1}} (b_{2}) + T_{b_{1}} (b_{2}) + T_{b_{1}} (b_{2} + b_{2}) + \frac{1}{2!} T_{b_{1}} (b_{2$$

The RNC expansion for (a + a) is given by

where D is the right covariant derivative for ^a

$${}^{a}D = 0 {}^{a} + {}^{a}{}_{bc} 0 {}^{c}{}^{b};$$
 (C11)

and $^{a}_{bc}$ is a component of the connection. For a R iem annian supermanifold the connection can be derived from the metric. W ith the covariant expansion Eqs. (C 9) and (C 10) the action is expanded in terms of a according to

$$S[;;] S[] = \frac{1}{4 t_{r}} a_{D_{a}}g_{b}()^{b} D + R_{abcd} e^{d c b} e^{a}$$

$$+ : (C12)$$

d. Vielbeins on a Riemannian supermanifold To integrate over the fast modes in the expansion (C12), we rotate a to a with the linear transformation

$$\stackrel{a}{\coloneqq} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{e} \stackrel{a}{=} (); \qquad \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{=} (); (C13a)$$

$$\stackrel{a}{\coloneqq} \stackrel{a}{\coloneqq} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{b}{=} \stackrel{b}{=$$

where

$${}_{a} \hat{e}^{a}{}_{a} \hat{e}^{b} = {}_{a}{}^{b}; \qquad {}_{a} \hat{e}^{a}{}_{a} \hat{e}^{b} = {}_{a}{}^{b}; \qquad (C \ 14a)$$

$${}^{a} \hat{e}_{a}{}^{a} \hat{e}_{b} = {}^{a}{}_{b}; \qquad {}^{a} \hat{e}_{a}{}^{a} \hat{e}_{b}{}^{a} = {}^{a}{}_{b}; \qquad (C \ 14b)$$

$$(1)^{\hat{a}(\hat{a}+a)}{}_{\hat{a}} \hat{e}^{a} = {}^{a} \hat{e}_{\hat{a}}; \qquad (1)^{a(\hat{a}+\hat{a})}{}_{\hat{a}} \hat{e}^{\hat{a}} = {}^{\hat{a}} \hat{e}_{\hat{a}}: \qquad (C \ 14c)$$

Here, the vielbeins (and their inverse) ê are de ned by

$$_{a}e^{a}_{a}g_{b}^{b}e_{b}^{b}=_{a}e^{a}_{b};$$
 $_{a}e^{a}_{a}e_{b}^{b}e_{b}=_{a}g_{b};$ (C15a)

with

$$a_{\hat{b}} = \begin{array}{c} D_{M} & 0\\ 0 & D_{N} \end{array}$$
; (C15b)

$$D_{M} \coloneqq \text{diag} \xrightarrow{1;} \frac{1; + 1;}{M} ; (3 \text{ ll5c})$$

$$D_{N} = \begin{array}{ccc} 0 + i & 0 + i \\ i & j & j \\ \hline \\ N = 2 \end{array}$$
 (C15d)

O beerve that we are allowing the bosonic part of to be pseudo Riemannian, i.e., is allowed to have 1 as well as + 1 as its diagonalelements. From now on, latin letters with a hat refer to the coordinates of the Riemannian manifold in the vielbein basis.

The covariant expansion of the action in term s of $\ensuremath{\,^{\hat{a}}}$ is given by

$$S[;] S[] = \frac{1}{4 t} \int_{r}^{a} \hat{D}_{a} \hat{D}_{b} \hat{D} + R_{1l_{1}l_{2}j} \hat{Q}_{a} \hat{D}_{b} \hat{Q}_{a} \hat{Q}_{b} \hat{Q}_{b}$$

where yet another covariant derivative from the right

$$\hat{a} \hat{D} := \hat{a} \hat{a} + \hat{a} A () \hat{b};$$
 (C16b)

 $\hat{a}\hat{D}$ \approx \hat{e} \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{A} () \hat{a} ; (C16c)

has been introduced together with the O Sp (M N) spin connection [if the bosonic part of is pseudo R iem annian, O Sp (M N) should be replaced by O Sp (M $_1$; M $_2$ N) where M $_{1=2}$ is the number of 1 in the diagonal part of with M $_1$ + M $_2$ = M],

$$\stackrel{a}{=} (!_{c})_{\hat{b}} := \stackrel{a}{=} e_{a} (1)^{c\hat{b}} \stackrel{a}{=} e_{\hat{b}} (e_{c} + a_{cb}^{b} e_{\hat{b}};$$
(C16d)

the O Sp (M ⅓) gauge eld

â

$$(A)_{\hat{b}} := (1)^{c\hat{b} \hat{a}} (!_{c})_{\hat{b}} @ ^{c}; (C16e)$$

$$(A)_{\hat{b}} = (1)^{\hat{b}(\hat{a}+\hat{b})}_{\hat{b}} (A)^{\hat{a}}; \quad (C16f)$$

and the O Sp (M $\ \)$) eld strength tensor

$${}^{\hat{a}} (\mathbf{F})_{\hat{b}} = 0 {}^{\hat{a}} (\mathbf{A})_{\hat{b}} 0 {}^{\hat{a}} (\mathbf{A})_{\hat{b}}$$

$$+ {}^{\hat{a}} (\mathbf{A})_{\hat{c}} {}^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{A})_{\hat{b}} {}^{\hat{a}} (\mathbf{A})_{\hat{c}} {}^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{A})_{\hat{b}}$$

$$= {}^{\hat{a}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{a} \mathbf{R}^{a}_{bcd} 0 {}^{\hat{c}} {}^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{e}^{\hat{c}})_{\hat{b}}$$

$$(C16g)$$

The components of the R iem ann tensor are here R^{a}_{bcd} .

e. One-loop beta function The integration over the fast modes is performed with the help of the cumulant expansion. The elective action for the slow modes is, up to one-loop,

$$Z := D[]e^{S[]+S[]}; \qquad (C17a)$$

$$S[] = \frac{1}{2}G_{0}(0) \underset{r}{\overset{Z}{0}} (1)^{i}R_{ij} (2^{j};(C17b))$$

where

$$R_{ij} \coloneqq (1)^{k(i+1)+1} g^{kl} R_{likj}$$
 (C17c)

is the Ricci tensor.

f. RG ows of high-gradient operators The covariant expansion in terms of the super RNC applies to the high-gradient operators

$$T_{ijk:..:}() @ {}^{i} @ {}^{j} @ {}^{k}$$
 (C18)

as welland can be used to compute their anom alous scaling dimensions. We shall assume that all the covariant derivatives of the tensor eld $T_{ijk...}$ () vanish. If so we infer the RG transform ation law

Thus, allwe need are

on the one hand and

$$\begin{array}{cccc} h & i \\ T_{b_{1}} & {}_{_{1}} & {}_{_{0}} \end{array} \\ & & = & \frac{tdl}{2!3} \frac{1}{3} X^{l} \\ & & i = 1 \end{array} \\ T_{b_{1}} & {}_{_{1}} & {}_{_{1}} & {}_{_{1}} & {}_{_{1}} & {}_{_{1}} \end{array} \\ & & & (b_{1})^{(b_{1}+b)(b_{1+1}+b)(b_{$$

W

on the other hand. Here, we are using the conformal indices de ned in Eq. (2.19).

2. NL M on Kahler superm anifolds

g. Notations and conventions for Hermitian supermanifolds Some additional notation is needed to deal with Hermitian and Kahlerian manifolds.

> W ith the convention that complex conjugation of a composite objects reverts the ordering, $(O_1O_2O_3 \rightarrow _3)O(O_2) (O_1)$, it is useful to introduce sign factors associated to the operation of complex conjugation. For covariant and contravariant holom orphic and anti-holom orphic vectors, the action of the complex conjugation is dened by

$$(V^{a}) = V^{a};$$
 $(V_{a}) = (1)^{a}V_{a};$ (C 20a)

For tensor elds of interest in this paper, the com -

plex conjugation of a tensor eld is given by

h i

$$T^{A_1} :::_{r+s} = (C 20b)$$

(1) $r+s^{(A_1)+(A_{r+1}+f_{r}A_s)} T^{A_1} :::_{r+s};$
ith $r+s^{(A_1)} = P^{q}_{t;u=1;t < u} A_t A_u.$ ⁷¹

The grade for an antiholom ophic index is equal to that of its holom ophic counterpart, (a) = (a).

For illustration, we shall apply these rules to the com – ponents ${}_{a}g_{b}$ of the metric tensor eld. Combining the rule (C 20b) with the supersymmetry of the metric (C 5),

$$_{a}g_{b} = (1)^{a+b+ab}(_{a}g_{b})$$
; $_{a}g_{b} = (1)^{a+b+ab}{}_{b}g_{a}$;
(C21)

we infer that the metric tensor eld is superherm itian

$$g_{ba} = (g_{ab})$$
: (C 22)

h. The NL M on a Hermitian supermanifold The NL M on a Hermitian supermanifold is de ned by the partition function

$$Z := D[z;z]e^{S[z;z]};$$
 (C 23a)

$$S[z;z] := \frac{1}{2t} \int_{r}^{2} e^{z} z^{a} g_{b}(z;z) e^{b}z;$$

(C 23b)

where $(z^{a}; z^{a})$ represents coordinates on the complex supermanifold. For a Kahlerian supermanifold, the metric is derived from the Kahler potential K (z; z),

$$g_{ab} = K (z;z) @_a @_b : (C24)$$

 \mathbb{H} ere, observe that the shifting rule for indices (C 1b) and the relation between the left- and right-derivatives (C 2)

are com patible.]

i. The covariant background eld method and the super Kahler normal coordinate expansion In the background eld method, the covariant vector eld $(z^{a};z^{a})(r)$ is separated into two parts,

$$z^{a} = a^{a} + a^{a}; \quad z^{a} = a^{a} + a^{a}; \quad (C 25)$$

whereby (a; a)(r) is assumed to be a slowly varying solution to the classical equations of motion which transforms like a contravariant vector and (a; a)(r) represents uctuations around the slow degrees of freedom (a; a)(r).

The expansion in term sof (;) is traded o for one in term sofnorm alcoordinates (w ;w), the super version of the KNC. The super KNC expansion for a tensor eld of rank (0;r+s) with r holom orphic and s antiholom orphic indices T_{b_1} , b_2 , (z;z) is

$$T_{b_{1}} \ _{r}cb_{s}(z;z) = T_{b_{1}} \ _{r}cb_{s}(z;z) = T_{b_{1}} \ _{r}cb_{s}(z;z) = T_{b_{1}} \ _{r}cb_{s}(z;z) + T_{b$$

The super KNC expansion for $(^{A} + w^{A})$ is given by

$$(a + a) = (a + w^{a}D + \frac{1}{2}R^{a}_{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}(;) (b + y^{c_{1}}w^{c_{2}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{a}_{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}(;) (c + y^{c_{1}}w^{c_{2}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{a}_{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}(;) (c + y^{c_{1}}w^{c_{2}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}(;) (c + y^{c_{1}}w^{c_{1}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}(;) (c + y^{c_{1}}w^{c_{1}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}(;) (c + y^{c_{1}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{c_{1}c_{2}c_{3}}(;) (c + y^{c_{1}}w^{c_{1}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{c_{1}c_{2}}w^{c_{1}} + \frac{1}{2}R^{c_{1}c_{2}}w^{c$$

where D is the right covariant derivative for (w $j; w^{j}$)

$$w^{j}D = w^{j}r_{a}\theta^{a}$$

$$= \theta^{a}w^{j} + j^{a}_{ab}w^{b}\theta^{a}; \quad (C28a)$$

$$j^{w}D = j^{w}r_{a}\theta^{a}$$

$$= \theta^{j}w + j^{a}_{ab}w^{b}\theta^{a}$$

$$= (w^{j}D); \quad (C28b)$$

with ${}^{A}_{BC}$ the coe cient of the connection that satisfies $({}^{a}_{bc}) = (1)^{c+b+a(b+c)+bc} {}^{a}_{bc}$ [see (C 20b)]. With the covariant expansion Eqs. (C 26) and (C 27) the action

$$S[+ ; +] S[;] =$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2 t} (\stackrel{!}{D} w^{a})_{a} g_{b} (\stackrel{!}{W} D)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2 t} R_{i jkl} w \stackrel{!}{W} (\stackrel{!}{W} \stackrel{!}{Q} \stackrel{!}{U} \stackrel{!}{U} \stackrel{!}{U} \stackrel{!}{W} \stackrel{!}{W} \stackrel{!}{W} \stackrel{!}{W} \stackrel{!}{U} \stackrel{!}{U} \stackrel{!}{W} \stackrel$$

j. Vielbeins on a Hermitian supermanifold Introduce the fast degrees of freedom (;) by the linear transform ations

$$\stackrel{a}{\coloneqq} = w^{a}{}_{a} e^{\hat{a}}; \qquad w^{a} = \stackrel{a}{}_{a} e^{a}; \qquad (C 30a)$$

$$\stackrel{a}{=} \stackrel{a}{=} e^{a}{}_{a} w; \qquad \stackrel{b}{=} w = \stackrel{b}{=} e^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{b}}; \qquad (C 30b)$$

and

$$\overset{a}{=} w \overset{a}{=} \overset{a}{e} \overset{a}{*}; \quad w \overset{a}{=} \overset{a}{=} \overset{a}{e} \overset{a}{*}; (C \ 30c)$$
$$\overset{a}{=} \overset{a}{=} \overset{a}{e} \overset{a}{=} w; \qquad \overset{b}{=} w \overset{b}{=} \overset{b}{e} \overset{b}{b}; (C \ 30d)$$

where

$${}_{a}e^{a}{}_{a}e^{b} = {}_{a}{}^{b}; {}_{a}e^{a}{}_{a}e^{b} = {}_{a}{}^{b}; (C 30e)$$
$${}^{a}e_{a}{}^{a}e_{b} = {}^{a}{}_{b}; {}^{a}e_{a}{}^{a}e_{b} = {}^{a}{}_{b}; (C 30f)$$

$$(1)^{\hat{a}(\hat{a}+a)}{}_{\hat{a}}\hat{e}^{a} = {}^{a}\hat{e}_{\hat{a}}; (1)^{a(a+\hat{a})}{}_{a}\hat{e}^{\hat{a}} = {}^{\hat{a}}\hat{e}_{a}; (C 30g)$$

$$_{a}e^{a} = (1)^{(a+a)a}_{a}e^{a}; (ae^{a}) = (1)^{(a+a)a}_{a}e^{a};$$
 (C 30h)

These de nitions of the vielbeins are equivalent to the non-supersymmetic cases except the last two lines. The vielbeins (and their inverse) ê are de ned such that

$$w^{a}{}_{a}g_{b}{}^{b}w = {}^{a}{}_{\hat{b}}{}^{\hat{b}}$$
 (C 30i)

where the canonical form of the metric is given by

$$a_{\hat{b}} = \text{diag } I_{M_1}; I_{M_2}; I_N : (C30j)$$

Note that we are allowing the bosonic part of to be pseudo Riemannian, i.e., is allowed to have 1 as well as + 1 as its diagonal elements. From now on, latin letters with a hat refer to the coordinates of the Herm itian manifold in the vielbein basis.

The covariant expansion of the action in terms of (^â; ^â) is given by

$$S[+_{Z} ; +] S[;] =$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2 t} (\hat{D} ^{a})_{a} \hat{E} (\hat{D})$$

$$\frac{1}{2 t} R^{i} jkl} (\hat{1}_{1} \hat{e}^{l}) (\hat{k}_{k} \hat{e}^{k}) \hat{e}^{j} \hat{e}^{i}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (\hat{1}_{1} \hat{e}^{l}) \hat{e}^{k} \hat{e}^{j} (\hat{1}_{1} \hat{e}^{i})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \hat{e}^{-1} (\hat{k}_{k} \hat{e}^{k}) (\hat{j}_{j} \hat{e}^{j}) \hat{e}^{i} \hat{e}^{i} + ; \quad (C 31)$$

where yet another covariant derivative from the right

$$\hat{a} \hat{D} = \hat{a} + \hat{a} \hat{A} \hat{b} ;$$
 (C 32a)

$${}^{\hat{a}}D^{\hat{}} = 0 {}^{\hat{a}} {}^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{b}}(A){}^{\hat{a}};$$
 (C 32b)

$$\hat{D}^{\hat{a}} = \hat{Q}^{\hat{a}} + \hat{a}^{\hat{a}} (A_{\hat{b}})_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{b}}$$
; (C 32c)

$$\hat{D}^{a} = 0 \hat{a}^{b} \hat{b}^{A}$$
 (C 32d)

has been introduced together with the U(M₁;M₂)) gauge eld A . The gauge eld with dierent indexstructures are related by

$$\hat{a} \hat{D} = \hat{a} \hat{D}) \hat{a} (A)_{\hat{b}} = (1)^{\hat{b}(\hat{a}+\hat{b})}_{\hat{b}} (A)^{\hat{a}};$$
(C 33a)
$$(\hat{a} \hat{D}) = \hat{D} \hat{a})_{\hat{b}} (A)^{\hat{a}} = \hat{a} (A)_{\hat{b}} :$$
(C 33b)

The gauge eld is skew superherm itian,

$$_{\hat{b}}(A)_{\hat{c}} = _{\hat{c}}(A)_{\hat{b}}$$
 (C 34)

where indices of A are raised and low ered by . The explicit form of the gauge eld in terms of the vielbeins is given by the U (M $_1$; M $_2$ N) spin connection

$${}_{a}!{}^{b}{}_{c} := {}_{a}e^{a} {}_{a}e^{b}e_{c} ; \qquad (C 35a)$$

$${}_{a}!{}^{b}{}_{c} := {}_{a}e^{p}{}_{h} {}^{p} {}_{e}e^{b}e_{c}$$

$$= {}_{a}e^{a} {}_{a}({}_{a}e^{b}e_{c}) (1)^{a+ar+(r+b)c} {}^{r}{}_{acr}e^{b}; \qquad (C 35b)$$

$$a!_{\hat{b}c} = (1)^{c(\hat{b}+\hat{a})} b_{\hat{c}}!_{\hat{a}c};$$
 (C 35c)

whereby

$$_{a}(A)^{b} = : {}_{a}!^{b}{}_{c} @ {}^{c} + {}_{a}!^{b}{}_{c}@ {}^{c}: (C36)$$

The U (M $_1$; M $_2$)) eld strength tensor is given by

$${}_{a}(\mathbf{F})^{\hat{b}} = (\mathbf{e}_{a}(\mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} + (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} + (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} + (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} + (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{b}} + (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{c}} (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{c}} + (\mathbf{A})^{\hat{c}$$

k. One-loop beta function The integration over the fast modes (;) is performed with the help of the cum ulant expansion. The e ective action for the slow modes (;) is, up to one-loop,

Z
Z = D[;]
$$e^{S[;] + S[;]}$$
; (C38a)
Z
S[;] = G₀(0) R_{ab}^{ab} e^{b} e^{a} ; (C38b)

where

$$R_{ij} = (1)^{k+l+ill} g^k R_{kilj}$$
 (C 38c)

is the Ricci tensor.

1. RG ows of high-gradient operators The covariant expansion in term s of the super KNC applies to the high-gradient operators

$$\Gamma_{IJK} \dots (z; z) @ z^{I} @ z^{J} @ z^{K}$$
 (C 39)

as welland can be used to com pute their anom abus scaling dimensions. We shall assume that all the covariant derivatives of the tensor eld T_{IJK} ... (z ; z) vanish. If so we infer the RG transform ation law

Thus, allwe need are

$$(C 40d)$$

 $(C 40d)$
 $(C 40d)$

on the one hand and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} h & i & X^{r} \\ T_{b_{1} \ r}cb_{s}(z;z)_{2} & = & tdl & (1)^{(a+b_{1})(b_{i+1}+ \dots s^{4})} \hat{T}_{b_{1} \ i}bab_{i+1} \ rcb_{s}R^{a}_{b_{i}k_{1}l_{1}} \ rcb_{s}R^{b}_{b_{i}k_{1}l_{1}} \ rcb_{s}R^{b}_{b_{i}k_{1}l_{1}} \ rcb_{s}R^{b}_{b_{i}k_{1}l_{1}} \ rcb_{s}R^{b}_{c_{i}l_{1}k_{1}} \ rcb$$

on the other hand. Here, we are using the conformal indices de ned in Eq. (2.19).

Since a Kahlerian supermanifold is a Riemannian supermanifold, one can make use of either the RNC or KNC expansion. Indeed, all the results in this appendix derived from the KNC expansion can actually be obtained

from the RNC expansion as well. A subtle thing here is that the RNC and KNC expansion for a tensor eld T_{IJK} ...(z;z) and (z^A are di erent.^{65,66} However, the RNC and KNC expansions are identical when applied to the high-gradient operators (C 39) and thus give us the same results.

¹ F.W egner, Z.Physik B 35, 207 (1979).

- ² B.L.Altshuler, V.E.K ravtsov, and I.V.Lemer, in Mesoscopic Phenomena in Solids, edited by B.L.Altshuler, P. A.Lee, and R.A.Webb, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 449 (1991).
- ³ B.L.Altshuler, V.E.K ravtsov, and I.V.Lemer, JETP Lett. 43, 440 (1986); Sov. Phys. JETP 64, 1352 (1986); Phys.Lett.A 134, 488 (1989).
- ⁴ B.L.Altshuler, JETP Lett. 41, 648 (1985).
- ⁵ P.A. Lee and A.D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 1622 (1985).
- ⁶ A.D.Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 2692 (1985).
- ⁷ V.E.Kravtsov, I.V.Lemer, and V.I.Yudson, Sov.Phys. JETP 68, 1441 (1988).
- ⁸ V.E.K ravtsov, I.V. Lemer, and V.I.Yudson, Phys.Lett. A 134, 245 (1989).
- ⁹ O. Motrunich, K. Dam L, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064206 (2002).
- ¹⁰ C. Mudry, S. Ryu, and A. Furusaki Phys. Rev. B 67, 064202 (2003).
- ¹¹ M.R.Zimbauer, J.M ath.Phys. 37, 4986 (1996).
- ¹² A.Altland and M.R.Zimbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997).
- ¹³ The term inology used for these 10 sym m etry classes corresponds to the classi cation of sym m etric spaces by the

m athem atician E.Cartan.

- ¹⁴ K.B.E fetov, Adv. Phys. 32, 53 (1983).
- ¹⁵ The spin conductivity is the linear response coe cient quantifying the amount of spin-current in the z-direction in spin space, owing in response to a uniform gradient of z-component of magnetic eld.
- ¹⁶ The two remaining BdG symmetry classes are called D III and C I by AZ. They correspond to cases for which timereversal symmetry is respected in all realizations of the disorder whereas spin-rotation symmetry is broken in symmetry class D III but preserved in symmetry class C I.
- ¹⁷ T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 61, 9690 (2000).
- ¹⁸ T. Senthil, M. P. A. Fisher, L. Balents, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4704 (1998).
- ¹⁹ K.B.Efetov, A.I.Larkin, and D.E.Khem hitskii, Sov. Phys.JETP 52, 568 (1980).
- ²⁰ O.N.Dorokhov, JETP Letters 36, 318 (1982); P.A.M ello, P. Pereyra and N. Kumar, Ann. Phys. (NY) 181, 290 (1988). A geometrical interpretation of the DMPK equation was pointed out in A.Hu mann, J.Phys.A 23, 5733 (1990). A review on the DMPK equation can be found in C.W.J.Beenakker, Rev.M od.Phys. 69, 731 (1997).
- ²¹ V.Kagalovsky, B.Horovitz, Y.Avishai, and J.T.Chalker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3516 (1999).

- ²² T.Senthil, J.B.M arston, and M.P.A.Fisher, Phys.Rev. B 60, 4245 (1999).
- ²³ J. T. Chalker, N. Read, V. Kagalovsky, B. Horovitz, Y. Avishai, and A.W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 65, 012506 (2001).
- ²⁴ A.M. M. Pruisken, Nucl. Phys. B 235, 271 (1984).
- 25 N.Read and D.Green, Phys.Rev.B 61, 10267 (2000).
- ²⁶ M.Bocquet, D.Serban, and M.R.Zimbauer, Nucl.Phys. B 578, 628 (2000).
- ²⁷ I. A. Gruzberg, N. Read, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B 63, 104422 (2001).
- ²⁸ I.A.G nuzberg, N.R ead, and S.V ishveshwara, Phys.Rev. B 71, 245124 (2005).
- ²⁹ S. Helgason, D i erential geom etry, Lie groups and symm etric spaces (A cadem ic P ress, N ew York, 1978).
- ³⁰ M. Nakahara, Geometry, Topology, and Physics, (second edition, Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 2003).
- ³¹ See, e.g., S. Kobayashi and K. Nom izu, Foundations of di erential geometry, (W iley, New York 1996).
- ³² D.Friedan, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 163, 318 (1985).
- ³³ The beta function of t encodes the change to t induced by the in nitesim al rescaling of the short distance cuto , a! ae^{+ d1}.
- ³⁴ In symmetry class A, in the replica limit p + q ! 0 (see Table I), the coe cient of the t² term in the beta function happens to vanish, and one needs to compute the beta function up to order t³ in order to nd a metal-insulator xed point in symmetry class A.^{35,36,37}
- ³⁵ F.W egner, Nucl. Phys. B 316, 663 (1989).
- ³⁶ S.H ikam i, Phys. Lett. B 98, 208 (1981).
- ³⁷ S.H ikam i, Physica A 167, 149 (1990); in Lecture Notes of NATO ASI on Interference Phenomena in Mesoscopic System s, 254, edited by B.K ram er, 429 (Plenum Press, New York 1991); Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 107, 213 (1992).
- ³⁸ I.V.Lemer and F.W egner, Z.Phys.B 81, 95 (1990).
- ³⁹ F.W egner, Nucl. Phys. B 354, 441 (1991).
- ⁴⁰ The same stability problem is also present to rst order in the 1=N -expansion of the O (N)=O (N 1) NL M below three dim ensions. See A. N. Vasilev and A. S. Stepanenko, Theor.M ath.Phys.94,471 (1993); K. Lang and W. Ruhl, Z. Phys.C 61,495 (1994); S.E. Derkachov, S.K. Kehrein, and A. N. M anashov, Nucl. Phys. B 493,660 (1997); and E. Brezin and S. Hikam i, Phys. Rev. B 55, R10169 (1997).
- ⁴¹ F.W egner, Z.Phys.B 78, 33 (1990).
- ⁴² A.W.W.Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. B 330, 639 (1990).
- ⁴³ H.M alland F.W egner, Nucl. Phys. B 393, 495 (1993).
- ⁴⁴ G.Castilla and S.Chakravarty, Phys.Rev.Lett. 71, 384 (1993).
- ⁴⁵ G.Castilla and S.Chakravarty, Nucl. Phys. B 485, 613 (1997).
- ⁴⁶ E.Brezin and S.Hikam i, Phys.Rev.B 55, R10169 (1997).
- ⁴⁷ Ch. Chatelain and B. Berche, Nucl. Phys. B 572, 626 (2000); \Phase transitions in two-dimensional random Potts models", in \O rder, disorder, and criticality", edited by Yu.Holovatch, W orld Scienti c, (Singapore 2004), page 146.
- ⁴⁸ K.Slevin and T.Ohtsuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 382 (1999); ibid, 82, 669 (1999).
- ⁴⁹ K.Slevin, P.M arkos, and T.O htsuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3594 (2001).
- ⁵⁰ K.Slevin and T.Ohtsuki, Phys. Rev. B 63, 045108 (2001).
- ⁵¹ K. Slevin, P. Markos, and T. Ohtsuki, Phys. Rev. B 67, 155106 (2003).
- ⁵² In general we denote the sth cum ulant of a random variable

by hhx^sii.

- ⁵³ P.W. Brouwer, A.Funusaki, I.A.G nuzberg, and C.M udry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1064 (2000).
- ⁵⁴ T. Im am ura and K. Hikam i, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 3312 (2001).
- ⁵⁵ P. W. Brouwer, A. Furusaki, C. Mudry, and S. Ryu, cond-mat/0511622 and BUTSURI60, 935 (2005).
- ⁵⁶ A. Lam acraft, B. D. Sim ons, and M. R. Zimbauer Phys. Rev. B 70, 075412 (2004).
- ⁵⁷ L.A lvarez-G aum e, D.Z.Freedm an, and S.M ukhi, Ann. Phys.134, 85 (1981).
- ⁵⁸ F. W egner in Phase transitions and critical phenom ena, volume 6, edited by C. Domb and M. S. Green, 8 (A cadem ic Press, London 1976).
- ⁵⁹ B. DeW itt, Supermanifolds, Second edition, (Cambridge University Press 1992).
- 60 T he antisym m etry of the R iem ann tensor R $^{\rm A}{}_{\rm BCD}$ has to be used.
- 61 A swe will not include dom ain walls in symmetry class D , we replace 0 (N) by its connected counterpart SO (N) in the following.
- ⁶² B.Zum ino, Phys. Lett. B 87, 203 (1979).
- ⁶³ K. Higashijim a, T. K im ura, and M. N itta, Nucl. Phys. B 623, 133 (2002).
- ⁶⁴ K.Higashijim a, T.K im ura, and M.N itta, Nucl. Phys.B 645, 438 (2002).
- ⁶⁵ K.H igashijim a and M.N itta, Prog. Theor. Phys. 105, 243 (2001).
- ⁶⁶ K.Higashijim a, E.Itou, and M.Nitta, Prog. Theor. Phys. 108, 185 (2002).
- ⁶⁷ S.Aoyam a and J.W .Holten, Nucl. Phys. B 258, 18 (1985).
- ⁶⁸ G.M. Shore, Nucl. Phys. B 334, 172 (1990).
- ⁶⁹ E. Brezin, S. Hikami, and J. Zinn-Justin, Nucl. Phys. B 165, 528 (1980).
- ⁷⁰ Here, we are considering c-type (com muting) tensor elds only. If the tensor eld itself is a-type (anticom muting), com ponents of the tensor eld pick up an extra statistical factor.⁵⁹
- ⁷¹ In this paper, we are always dealing with real c-type vector and tensor elds and their components in the so-called standard basis.⁵⁹