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#### Abstract

W e extend the analysis of the conductance uctuations in disordered m etals by A trshuler, $K$ ravtsov, and Lemer (AKL) to disordered superconductors w ith broken tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry in $d=(2+$ ) dim ensions (sym metry classes $C$ and $D$ of $A$ ltland and $Z$ imbauer). U sing a perturbative renorm alization group analysis of the corresponding non-linear sigm a model (NL M) we com pute the anom alous scaling dim ensions of the dom inant scalar operators with 2 s gradients to one-loop order. W e show that, in analogy w ith the result of A K L for ordinary, m etallic system s (W igner-D yson classes), an in nite num ber of high-gradient operators would becom e relevant (in the renorm alization group sense) near two dim ensions if contributions beyond one-loop order are ignored. W e explore the possibility to com pare, in symm etry class D, the $=(2 \quad d)$ expansion in $d<2 \mathrm{w}$ ith exact results in one dim ension. The m ethod we use to perform the one-loop renor$m$ alization analysis is valid for general sym $m$ etric spaces of $K$ ahler type, and suggests that th is is a generic property of the perturbative treatm ent of NL M s de ned on $R$ iem annian sym m etric target spaces.


## I. $\quad \mathbb{N} T R O D U C T I O N$

A. C onductance uctuations and the non-linear sigm a m odel

The non-linear sigm a m odel ( $\mathbb{N}$ L M) provides, as rst proposed by F.W egner, $\frac{1}{1}$ an e cient fram ew ork w thin which to form ulate (A nderson-type) localization transitions of noninteracting quantum $m$ echanical particles sub ject to (static) random potentials. This provides som e ofthe sim plestm odels ofelectrons in disordered solids. In a sem inal piece of work, A ltshuler, $K$ ravtsov and Lemer (AKL) ${ }^{2,3}$ showed in the 1980's how to inconporate the im portant phenom enon of conductance uctuations $s^{4,5,6}$ into this fram ew ork. W ithin a perturbative renorm alization group ( R G) analysis of the weakly coupled NL M in $d=2$ (or $d=2+$ ) spatialdim ensions, i.e., in the regim e of large (dim ensionless) conductance $g$, these authors stressed the im portance of term $s$ in the low-energy e ective action of the NL M which possess an arbitrary large num ber ( $=2 \mathrm{~s}$ ) of spatial derivatives but do not violate any sym $m$ etries. These term $s$ are called $\backslash$ high-gradient operators" and are generalizations of the kinetic term in the NL M which has two derivatives $(2 s=2)$. Highgradient operators are highly irrelevant in the RG sense by \power counting" (i.e., w ithout inconporation of uctuation corrections). Speci cally, A K L and Yudson studied the anom alous (RG) dim ensions of these operators to one-loop order in the uctuation corrections in $d=2$ and in the $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}d & 2\end{array}\right)$-expansion for $d>2,3,7,8$ It $w$ as found that (i) : these operators w ould becom e strongly relevant (in the RG sense) for a large enough num ber 2 s ofderivatives, in spite of their strong irrelevance by pow er counting, if contributions beyond one-loop order are ignored, and that (ii): they would (consequently) dom inate the
cum ulants of the conductance of su ciently large order 2 s , in a sam ple of (large) linear size L. B ased on these observations A K L w ere led to argue that for large m ean (dim ensionless) conductance $g$, the $m$ ain weight of the probability distribution of the conductance arises from a narrow peak at $g=g$, while there exist anom alously long tails (close to being log-norm al). The latter arise from the high m om ents of the conductance, which are dom inated by the above-m entioned high-gradient operators appearing in the low-energy e ective action. U pon approaching the regim e of stronger disorder (sm aller m ean conductance g), and in particular upon approaching a $m$ etal-insulator transition, the probability distribution becom es increasingly broad. AKL nd sim ilar behavior for the probability distributions of other observables, including, e.g., the localdensity of states (LD O S), current densities, and long-tim e tails of relaxation currents. Very recently, 9,10 the basic observations of AKL for the LD O S were con $m$ ed in a chiralsym $m$ etry class (nom enclature of $R$ efs. 11 and 12), and were analyzed $m$ ore com pletely in this case.

Ten years ago, in another sem inal piece of work, $Z$ imbauer, 11 and A ltland and Zimbauer ${ }^{12}$ (AZ) provided a general classi cation scheme for the behavior of non-interacting quantum $m$ echanical particles subject to random potentials, on length scales much larger than the $m$ ean free path in term $s$ of a total of ten sym $m$ etry classes. ${ }^{\frac{13}{3}}$ In every realization of disorder, the $H$ am iltonian has the sam e sym $m$ etries as a corresponding ensemble of random $m$ atrices. This encom passes the three previously known so-called $\backslash W$ igner-D yson sym m etry classes" relevant for the physics of A nderson localization of electrons in disordered solids (corresponding to \orthogonal, unitary, and sym plectic" random $m$ atrix ensem bles) 14 A $\mathbb{H}$ land and $Z$ imbauer's exten-
sion includes four novel sym $m$ etry classes describing the (A nderson-like) localization physics of non-interacting B ogoliubov-De Gennes (BdG) quasiparticles within a $m$ ean- eld treatm ent of pairing in disordered superconductors. (Four sym $m$ etry classes arise since $S U$ (2) spinrotational, or tim e-reversal invariance $m$ ay be present or absent.) A s param eters are varied, the wave functions of the ( BdG ) quasiparticles $m$ ay be extended or localized due to disorder, and (A nderson localization type) transitions betw een such phases m ay occur. Since BdG quasiparticles do not carry a conserved electric charge, the di erence betw een phases of localized and extended quasiparticle wave functions $m$ anifests itself not in the electrical conductivity, but instead in the therm al conductivity, and if spin is conserved, also in the spin conductivity spin ${ }^{15}$ In com plete analogy $w$ ith the uctuations of the electrical conductance in a nite sam -
 sym $m$ etry classes), the system ofB dG quasiparticles also exhibits uctuations of the appropriate conductance in a nite-size sample. These are the uctuations of the therm al conductance (divided by tem perature $T$ ), or of the spin conductance. H enceforth, for notational convenience, we w ill refer to these quantities sim ply as \the conductance", and denote the corresponding \dim ensionless conductance" again by the sym bolg.

Just as for disordered $m$ etals (i.e., for the $W$ ignerDyson symm etry classes), the NL M also provides an e cient fram ew ork to form ulate (A nderson-type) localization physics ofB dG quasiparticles in superconductors. In this article we focus on system $s$ where tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry is broken in every realization of disorder: the corresponding AZ sym m etry classes are conventionally called \class D" if SU (2) spin-rotational invariance is broken and \class C " if it is conserved, in every disorder realization $\frac{16}{1}$ In parallel, and for com parison, we w ill also discuss here localization physics of ordinary electrons in the absence of tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry, which is described by the $W$ igner-D yson sym $m$ etry class conventionally called \unitary class" or \class A ". (See also Table团.) W hen disorder averaging is im plem ented using ferm ionic replicas, the target $m$ anifolds of the resulting $N L M$ s are the sym $m$ etric spaces $O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$ for sym $m$ etry class $D 1^{17}$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ for sym $m$ etry class $\mathrm{C} \frac{18}{} \mathrm{~W}$ e rem ind the reader that for the fam iliar unitary sym metry class A, the corresponding target space ${ }^{19}$ is $\mathrm{U}(2 \mathrm{~N})=\mathbb{U}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \mathrm{U}(\mathbb{N})]$. (In all three cases the num ber N of replicas is taken to zero at the end of calculations.)

The aim of this paper is to investigate perturbatively, in the spirit of the original work of AKL for ordinary $m$ etals, the conductance uctuations for the novel AZ sym $m$ etry classes D and C, i.e., for BdG quasiparticles in superconductors lacking tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry, in the absence (class D ) or presence (class C) of spin-rotational sym $m$ etry. O ur $m$ ain results are brie y sum $m$ arized in Sec.IB below . W e also point out that it m ight be possible in the case of sym $m$ etry class $D$ to $m$ ake contact $w$ ith exact nonperturbative results obtained in $d=1$ dim en-


TABLE I: Ferm ion ic replica target spaces of the NL M describing weakly disordered superconductors and $m$ etals when tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry ( $T$ ) is broken. Sym m etry classes D, $C$, and A refer to the sym $m$ etric spaces de ned by the RMT lim its of the $N L M$. The num ber of replicas $N$ is $N$ for the tw $\circ$ BdG sym $m$ etry classes whereas it is $p=q=N$ for the unitary sym $m$ etry class. The index $\#=0 ; 1$ distinguishes the behavior of the beta function for the NL M in sym m etry classes D , C , and A.
sions from the so-called D orokhov-M ello-P ereyra-K um ar (Fokker $P$ lanck) analysis ${ }^{20}$ of the probability distribution of the conductance.

W e end this section by recalling, as an aside, that the NL M s in all three sym m etry classes, D , C , and A, share certain essential features associated with tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry breaking. $F$ irst, in $d=2$ dim ensions, all three target $m$ anifolds allow for a topologicalterm (a term that does not $m$ odify the equations of $m$ otion), the so-called \theta- or P ruisken term ". Its presence is responsible for the appearance of therm al, spin, or charge $H$ all insulating phases, and corresponding plateau transitions in $d=2$ dim ensions $18,21,22,23,24$ Second, the target $m$ anifold for symmetry class $D$ stands out in that it is not sim ply connected $11,25,26$ C onsequently, there are certain discrete $Z_{2}$ dom ain $w$ allexcitations that $m$ ay give rise to a rich phase diagram for certain types ofdisorder.23,27,28 In this paper we shall ignore the physics associated with such $Z_{2}$ dom ain walls, which requires an extension of the NL M description in symm etry class D. These features are not present in certain realizations of this sym $m$ etry class, on which we focus in this paper. Finally, all three target spaces have in com $m$ on that they are $K$ ahler $m$ anifolds from a geom etricalpoint of $v i e w . T h i s m$ eans that each target space de nes a com plex manifold endowed with a Herm itian metric derived from a Kahler potentiall ${ }^{29}, 30 \mathrm{~T}$ his property w ill allow us to perform the perturbative RG analysis in a uni ed way for sym $m$ etry classes D , C , and A. (See Sec. ID for a brief sum $m$ ary.)

$$
\text { B. Sum } m \text { ary of } m \text { ain results }
$$

W e begin $w$ ith the sum $m$ ary of our $m$ ain results by quoting the standard one-loop result ${ }^{32,36}$ for the (RG) beta function ${ }^{33}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\quad t+\mathbb{N} \quad \#) t^{2}+o\left(t^{3}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $d=2+$ spatial dim ensions, obeyed by the dim ensionless coupling constant $t$ of the relevant NL M [dened in (2.1b) below] whose inverse is proportional to the $m$ ean dim ensionless conductance $g$, once the replica


F IG . 1: Infrared ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) renom alization group ( RG ) ow s for sym $m$ etry classes $C$ and $D$ after taking the replica lim it depending on whether dim ensionality of space $d$ is larger or sm aller than 2. The open circle at $t=0$ is the $m$ etallic xed point. The led circle at $t$ is the $m$ etal-insulator critical point in sym m etry class $C$ whereas it describes a stable xed point for sym $m$ etry class $D$. Up to one loop, $t=j j w h e r e$
$:=\mathrm{d} 2$ is positive for sym $m$ etry class $C$ while it is negative for sym $m$ etry class D.
lim it $N$ ! 0 has been taken. To treat the sym m etry classes D , C , and A on equal footing, we have introduced the replica index $N$ that takes the value $N$ for sym $m e-$ try classes $D$ and $C$ and $p+q$ for sym $m$ etry class $A$. The index \# is also needed to distinguish the one-loop beta function for the NL M corresponding to sym $m$ etry classes D (\# = +1), symmetry classes C (\# = 1), and sym m etry classes A (\# = 0). The correspondence between the pair ( $\# ; N$ ) and the sym $m$ etry classes $D, C$, and $A$ is sum $m$ arized in $T a b l e$. The beta function in Eq. (1.1) possesses a trivial xed point,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=0 \tag{1.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is infrared ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) stable for $d=2+>2$ (i.e., $>0$ ), while it is $\mathbb{R}$ unstable when $d=2+<2$ (i.e., < 0). Upon taking the replica $\lim$ it $N$ ! 0 this describes a di usive $m$ etallic phase. $W$ henever $0<$
$=(\mathbb{N} \quad \#)<1$, there is a nontrivial zero $t$ of the beta function (1.1)34,35,36,37 at

$$
\begin{equation*}
t:=\frac{}{N \quad \#}+O\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{1.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sym m etry classes $C$ and $A$ this describes in the replica lim it and in $\mathrm{d}=2+\quad>2$ dim ensions, a $m$ etalinsulator transition separating a $m$ etallic from an insulating phase. For sym $m$ etry class $D$, on the other hand, this describes (in the replica lim it) a stable xed point ( $\backslash$ criticalphase") in $d=2 \quad j j<2$ dimensions. $T$ he $\mathbb{R}$ ows for symmetry classes $C$ and $D$ are sum $m$ arized in Fig. 1. A possible evolution of the stable xed point in sym $m$ etry class $D$ for dim ensionality $d=\left(\begin{array}{lll}2 & j\end{array}\right)$ betw een one and two dim ensions, to be discussed in the follow ing subsection, is sketched in F ig. 2].

In this paper we nd the dom inant anom alous scaling dim ension $\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{s})}$ am ong all high-gradient operators con-


FIG. 2: Possible evolution of the stable xed point for sym $m$ etry class $D$ in $d=2 \quad j j<2 d i m e n s i o n s$ betw een $d=1$ and $d=2$ (after taking the replica lim it). In this sketch it is assum ed that the zero $t=j j+O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ of the beta function, found to one-loop order in the expansion in $d=2$ j $j$ dim ensions, de nes a curve of stable critical points which intenpolates betw een the $m$ etallic phase in $d=2$ dim ension (at zero coupling $t=0$ ), and the behavior of the corresponding sym $m$ etry class $D$ theory in $d=1$ dim ension, which can be solved exactly.
taining 2s gradients, to one-loop order. These are

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{(s)}=2 s 2\left(s^{2} s\right) t+O\left(t^{2}\right) \\
& \text { for } U(p+q)=[U(p) \quad U(q)] ;  \tag{1.3a}\\
& x^{(s)}=2 s 2\left(s^{2} s\right) t+O\left(t^{2}\right) \\
& \text { for } \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N}) \text {; }  \tag{1.3.b}\\
& x^{(s)}=2 s \quad\left(s^{2} \quad s\right) t+O\left(t^{2}\right) \\
& \text { for } S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N}) \text {; } \tag{1.3c}
\end{align*}
$$

when evaluated in the vicinity of the zero-coupling xed point (12a) where $t$ is sm all. At the corresponding xed points in $d=2+$ dim ensions, Eq. ( 2 lb ), these anom alous scaling dim ensions becom e, consequently [for generic num bers of replicas, ( $\mathrm{p} ; q$ ) or N ]

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
x^{(s)}=2 s & 2\left(s^{2} \quad s\right) \frac{p}{p+q}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \\
& \text { for } U(p+q)=\mathbb{U}(p) \quad U(q)] \\
x^{(s)}=2 s \quad 2\left(s^{2} \quad s\right) \frac{1}{N+1}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \\
& \text { for } S p(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N}) ; \\
x^{(s)}=2 s \quad & \left(s^{2} \quad s\right) \frac{}{N \quad 1}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \\
& \text { for } S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N}) ; \tag{1.4c}
\end{array}
$$

Equations (1.3a) and (1.4a) were derived a long tim e ago by Lemer and $W$ egner. 38,39 E quations (1.3) and (1.4) are, otherw ise, new and are the $m$ ain results of this paper.

Taking the replica lim it of Eq. (1.4) yields the dom inant anom alous scaling dim ensions at the nontrivial xed points of the localization problems in $d=2+$ dim ensions ${ }^{34}$ In particular, in sym $m$ etry class $C$ one obtains, in the replica lim it, the one-loop anom alous scaling dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{s})}=2 \mathrm{~s} 2\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~s}\right)+\mathrm{o}\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{1.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

ofdom inant 2 s -gradient operators at the $m$ etal-insulator transition in $d=2+>2$ dimensions $(>0)$. On the other hand, in sym $m$ etry class $D$ in $d=2 \quad j<2$ dim ensions, the dom inant 2s-gradient operators have the anom alous scaling dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(s)}=2 s \quad\left(s^{2} \quad s\right) j j+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{1.5.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the stable, non-trivial xed point (in the replica lim it).

> C. D iscussion

W hen taken at face value, the one-loop expressions in Eqs. (1.3), (1.4), and (1.5) would appear to im ply that the anom alous scaling dim ension $\mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{s})}$ alw ays tums negative for su ciently large s. 40 If this behavior was to persist when all higher orders in are included, an innite num ber of high-gradient operators would be relevant at the nontrivial xed points of these NL M s, i.e., in nitely $m$ any coupling constants would have to be netuned to reach these nontrivial xed points. The perturbative treatm ent of these NL M swould then appear to contradict the belief that the underlying $m$ icroscopic m odels undergo continuous phase transitions whose approach obeys single-param eter scaling law s. Of course, higher order contributions in to these anom alous scaling dim ensions, not com puted in the present or earlier work, $m$ ay wellchange this property and could render the actualanom alous scaling dim ensions $x^{(s)}$ larger than the spatial dim ension $d=2+$, thereby $m$ aking them irrelevant. 41,42,43,44,45,46,47 [Indeed, sim ilar behavior is know n to occur in other random disordered system s (see e.g. Refs. 42 and 47). \{ See also the discussion in Ref. 46.]
$N$ onperturbative properties of the $m$ etal-insulator transitions in $\mathrm{d}=3 \mathrm{dim}$ ensions have been obtained num erically for the $m$ ost part.48,49,50,51 $T$ hey support the one-param eter scaling hypothesis for $m$ etal-insulator transitions in $d=3$ dim ensions, whose critical behaviors are presum ed to be described by the non-trivial xed point of the replica lim it of the NL M in the corresponding sym $m$ etry classes. W hether the apparent breakdow $n$ of one-param eter scaling due to the relevance of highgradient operators at one loop is an artifact of the $2+$ expansion or has a deeper $m$ eaning rem ains an outstanding problem for the description of A nderson localization using the NL M approach.

Sym m etry classes D and D III, on the other hand, m ay perhaps o er a som ew hat di erent opportunity for the follow ing reason. On the one hand, there exists a critical phase described by a stable xed point in $d=2$ j $j<$ 2 dim ensions whose properties are accessible perturbatively within the expansion. These include the properties of the conductance uctuations and of the highgradient operators discussed above. On the other hand, a critical phase of these $m$ odels is also know $n$ to exist in $d=1$ dim ensions. Therefore, one $m$ ight expect that the results obtained from the expansion in $d=2 \quad j j<2$ dim ensions would eventually, when $j=1$, tum into the
results obtained from the exact solutions of the m odels in $\mathrm{d}=1 \mathrm{dim}$ ensions, as is schem atically depicted in F ig. 2. If this was the case, then one could \test" the properties of the high-gradient operators and the nature of the conductance uctuations obtained from them follow ing the work of AKL, against exact results in $d=1$ dim ensions. Of course, as with all expansions, one would not be able to predict exactly the actual num erical values of critical exponents directly at $j j=1$. How ever, based on experience w th the expansion in, say, ordinary Landau-G inzburg theory, onem ight expect that the structure of the entire probability distribution of the conductance w ould evolve, in som e sense, \sm oothly" w ith , possibly all the way down to $d=1$ dimensions ( $j j=1$ ). Let us now pursue this possibility.

U sing perturbation theory of the NL M, AKL dem onstrate that in general (i.e., for any NL M) there are two contributions to the sth cum ulant of the (dim ensionless) conductance. (Below, we write the corresponding expressions appearing directly at the stable xed point in $d=2$ j jdimensions.) The rst, \standard" contribution reads ${ }^{52}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hhg}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{ii}_{\text {std }} /(\mathrm{g})^{2} \mathrm{~s} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ hgi is the $m$ ean conductance. H ow ever, when a high-gradient operator $w$ ith $2 s$ derivatives is added to the action of the NL M w ith coupling constant $z_{s}$, then there is an additional contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hhg}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{add}} / \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{L}{\mathrm{~L}}^{d x^{(s)}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

to this cum ulant. H ere, $L$ is the linear system size and ' the characteristic $m$ icroscopic length scale (the $\backslash m$ ean free path") for the disorder. We see that it is when $\mathrm{d} \mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{s})}>0$ that the additional contribution in Eq. (1.7) dom inates over the standard contribution in Eq. (1.6). $T$ his hence happens precisely when the high-gradient operator $w$ ith 2 s derivatives becom es a relevant operator in the action of the NL M.

N ow, A K L argue that, if one was to take the one-loop expressions for the anom alous scaling dim ensions of the high-gradient operators at face value, which for sym me try class D would am ount to the result in Eq. (1.5.b) derived by us in this paper, then for cum ulants of sufciently higher order $\left.s>\frac{2}{j} j 1+O()\right]$ the additional contribution would dom inate over the \standard" one, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{s}} \text { ii } A_{\mathrm{s}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{x}^{(s)}} ; \quad \mathrm{s}>\frac{2}{j}[1+O()] \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $L=$ ! ! 1 , where $A_{s}$ are certain am plitudes. Follow ing AKL, the lower cum ulants of order $s<\frac{2}{j} j[1+O()]$, on the other hand, would be determ ined by Eq. (1.6). In sum $m$ ary, the reasoning ofA K L im plies, when specialized to the stable xed point in sym $m$ etry class $D$ in $d=$
$2 \mathrm{j} j<2$ spatial dim ensions, the follow ing form of the conductance cum ulants

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
\mathrm{hhg}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{ii} & <(\mathrm{g})^{2 \mathrm{~s} ;} \quad \text { if } \mathrm{s}<\mathrm{s}_{0} ;  \tag{1.9a}\\
& : A_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{x}^{(\mathrm{s})} ; \text { if } \mathrm{s}>\mathrm{s}_{0} ;\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0} \quad \frac{2}{j}[1+O()] \tag{1.9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
d \quad x^{(s)}=j \frac{j^{2}}{j} \quad(2+j j) s+(2 \quad j j)+O\left(^{2}\right):(1.9 c)
$$

Let us now discuss the known exact results for the $d=1$ dim ensional case. Speci cally, the leading dependence of all cum ulants of the conductance of a very long but thick quasi one-dim ensional wire of length $L$, believed to be described by a NL M in $d=1$ dimensions, has been extracted exactly from the DM PK approach for sym m etry classes D and D III. 53,54,55 Forboth sym m etry classes, these cum ulants decay algebraically $w$ ith system size,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{h g^{s}} \text { ii / (L=`) }{ }^{1=2} ; \quad s=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the sam e exponent for all cum ulants ( $\backslash$ '" is again the $m$ ean free path). M oreover, the logarithm of the conductance exhibits the behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hhlngii / } \quad(L=)^{1=2} ; \quad \text { varlng } \quad L=': \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the typical conductance exhibits stretched exponential behavior, in contrast $w$ ith the $m$ ean conductance. This indicates a broad conductance distribution. For sym $m$ etry class D III the result (1.10) for the $m$ ean conductance has been reproduced by Lam acraft, Sim ons, and Z imbauer, ${ }^{56}$ using the one-dim ensionalN L M (which is a principal chiral $m$ odel for sym $m$ etry class D III) and instanton $m$ ethods.]

If one assum es that the exact results in $d=1$ describe the end point (at $=1$ ) of the line of critical points in 2 j jdim ensions (see Fio2), there are then tw o possibilities. $F$ irst, the one-loop relevance of high-gradient operators is an artifact of the -expansion and these operators are in fact irrelevant once all orders in the -expansion are taken into account (see Refs. 42, 46). Second, this is not the case and high-gradient operators are truly relevant. In this case, how ever, deducing the scaling of the cum ulants based on the one-loop anom alous scaling di$m$ ensions does not appear to be su cient to determ ine their scaling behavior. Indeed, in this case the running coupling constants $z_{s}$ of all the high-gradient operators in the e ective action of the NL M grow rapidly, m ore so the higher the num ber 2 s of gradients. U nder these conditions, then, there is no rationale to neglect the nonlinear coupling betw een the running coupling constants $z_{s}$ of the high-gradient operators as was done to reach
the conclusion (1.9), when extracting the scaling of the cum ulants of the conductance. In e ect, one needs to im plem ent a functionalRG analysis which can treat an in nite set ofrunning (and increasing) coupling constants on equalfooting. W e have perform ed such an analysis (on which wew ill report elsew here) for sym $m$ etry class A , but have so-far been unable to solve the resulting RG equations. Since in the present case the coupling constants $z_{s}$ of the high-gradient operators all becom e large, it is clear (as already $m$ entioned) that they $w$ ill not ow independently of each other in the $\mathbb{R}$ lim it, but will strongly $m$ ix $w$ ith each other under the RG ow. In this situation, their ow does not need to result in the breakdown of single param eter scaling. Indeed, a precisely analogous situation is encountered when com puting the local DOS of the two-dim ensionalrandom hopping problem $\frac{10}{10}$ in which case one-param eter scaling for the localD OS is recovered using a functionalRG analysis.

## D. Sum $m$ ary of the $m$ ethod of calculation

The RG schem e that we adopt in this paper is the $00-$ variant background eld method. 57 In Refs. 44 , 45 this m ethod was used to compute, up to two loop order, the RG ows of the high-gradient operators for the $O(\mathbb{N})=O(\mathbb{N} \quad$ 1) $N L M$, relevant to statistical $m$ odels such as the classical $H$ eisenberg $m$ agnet. The covariant background eld $m$ ethod consists oftw o key steps. First, the elds of the NL M are separated into short wavelength (\fast") and long wavelength (\slow") degrees of freedom where the slow degrees of freedom are de ned as the solutions to the classical equations of $m$ otion. Second, the fast elds are integrated out. W hen using this m ethod, no redundant operato $1^{58}$ is generated in the second step of the covariantbackground eld $m$ ethod, a great advantage $w$ hen one needs to consider $m$ ixing of $m$ any scaling operators by the RG transform ation (as we do here). A nother advantage is that explicit invariance under a coordinate reparam etrization of the group $m$ anifold is $m$ aintained, allow ing for a geom etrical form ulation at all stages of the calculation.

In this paper, we shall also extend the covariant background eld $m$ ethod to the cases when the target spaces of the NL M are Riem annian or $K$ ahler superm anifolds ${ }^{59}$ W e derive a m aster form ula, Eq. (4.31), in the $K$ ahler case for the RG ow ofhigh-gradient operators, allofw hich possess a vanishing covariant derivative. (See Eq. (C 19) for the super R iem annian ${ }^{59}$ and Eq. (C 40) for the super $K$ ahler ${ }^{59}$ cases, respectively. To obtain the corresponding form ula for the non-supersym $m$ etric cases (for ferm ionic replicated NL M, i.e., for com pact NL M) we set all factors $(1)^{p}$ to +1 , where $p=0 ; 1$ is here the grade in the supersym $m$ etric expression].60 $T$ his $m$ aster form ula is expressed solely in term s of the geom etrical data of the target $m$ aniffld and should apply to all 10 sym $m$ etry classes of $\mathrm{Z} Z 11,12$ but is not lim ited to them. $T$ he generality of the $m$ aster form ula suggests that high-
gradient operators are generically relevant to 1-loop order at the nontrivial xed point of a NL M de ned on a $R$ iem annian target space.

## E. G eom etric interpretation of high-gradient operators

A llhigh-gradient operators that enter the com putation of the cum ulants for the conductance can be expressed in term $s$ of tensor elds on the target $m$ anifold. W e will show that high-gradient operators togetherw ith the $m$ etric and curvature tensors on the target $m$ anifolds of the NL M form a fam ily of tensors w ith vanishing covariant derivative.

## F. Outline of the paper

This paper is organized as follow s. Section introduces the NL M on $K$ ahlerm anifolds for disordered superconductors $w$ th broken tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry as $w$ ell as for a disordered $m$ etal in the standard unitary symm etry class. Section III describes how to use the covariant background eld $m$ ethod to deduce perturbative RG ow S . T he dom inant anom alous scaling dim ensions for high-gradient operators are com puted in Sec.IV to one-loop order. W e relegate to appendioes som e key interm ediary steps in the derivation of Eq. (1.3). The $m$ aster form ula, Eq. (4.3), which expresses the RG transform ation law obeyed by high-gradient operators solely in term s of the geom etricaldata of the target $m$ anifold is derived in appendix C for any $R$ iem annian or $K$ ahlerian superm anifold on which the high-gradient operators have vanishing covariant derivatives.

## II. NL M FOR W EAKLY D ISORDERED SUPERCONDUCTORS

A. NL M on K ahler m anifolds

W e start from the NL M description for the symmetry classes D , C and A of A nderson localization in term s of ferm ionic replicas. A ltematively, one can use either bosonic replica or supersym $m$ etric $m$ ethods to perform the disorder average. T hese three options are equivalent as far as perturbative RG com putations are concemed. The eld entering the NL M is a map from the base space, a d-dim ensionalE uclidean space $R^{d}$, to the target $m$ anifold $M$ de ned by the pattem of sym $m$ etry breaking. The target $m$ anifold has a well-de ned naturalm etric $g$. A classi cation ofall the targetm anifolds of $L$ L M relevant to the problem of A nderson localization applied to non-interacting quasi-particles were identi ed in the sem inalw ork of $Z$ imbauer, and A thland and $Z$ imbauer ${ }^{11}$ For the cases of interest in this paper, i.e., disordered superconductors $w$ th broken tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry, the
target spaces of the relevant N L M tum out to be K ahler m anifolds which are the Hem itian sym $m$ etric spaces SO ( 2 N ) $=\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{N})$ for sym $m$ etry class $D$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ for sym $m$ etry class $C{ }^{61} T$ he target space of the $N L M$ that describes a disordered $m$ etal when tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry is broken is the $H$ em itian sym $m$ etric space $\mathrm{U}(2 \mathrm{~N})=\mathbb{U}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \mathrm{U}(\mathbb{N})]$, which is isom onphic to the com plex G rassm annian $G_{2 N ; N}(C)$

W thin the $m$ esoscopic com $m$ unity, the usual representation of the NL M in symmetry classes D, C, and A is in term $s$ of $m$ atrices belonging to the relevant sym $m$ etric spaces, \the $Q-m$ atrix representation" after the sem inal work by $E$ fetov et $a l$ in $R$ ef. 19. This representation em phasizes the pattem of sym $m$ etry breaking but quickly becom es cum bersom e when perform ing an RG analysis of the ow of com posite operators. W e opt instead to em phasize $m$ ore directly the geom etricalproperties of the target spaces, nam ely the fact that they are exam ples of $K$ ahler $m$ anifolds for sym $m$ etry classes $D$, $C$, and $A$. W e refer the reader to appendix $\AA$ for a relation betw een the representation of the NL M that we shall introduce below and the $m$ ore com $m$ on $Q m$ atrix representation thereof.

We now present the de nition of NL M on a Kahler m anifold starting from a NL M on a 2 M -dim ensional $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold ${ }^{30,31}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g})$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =\mathrm{D}[] e^{S[]} ; \\
S[] & =\frac{1}{4}_{r}^{\mathrm{Z}_{A B}() @ \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~B}^{A}:} \tag{2.1a}
\end{align*}
$$

O ur conventions are here the follow ing. W e reserve the greek alphabet to label the d coordinates $r$ where $R=1 ;{ }_{R}:$; d ofr $2 R^{d}$, and use the short-hand notation $r \quad j r>a^{d} d^{d} r=a^{d} 2$ for the integration over $R^{d} w$ ith the short-distance cut-o a. The dim ensionless coupling constant of the NL M is here denoted by $t$. The dim ensionless conductance shares w ith ( $a^{d}{ }^{2} t$ ) ${ }^{1}$ the sam e engineering dim ension. To each point $r$ from the base space we have assigned a point $p$ on the $m$ anifold $M$ with the coordinates ( ${ }^{A}$ ) $2 R^{2 M}$. In the de nition of the $N L M$ on a $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold, Eq. (2.1), the 2 M 2 M com ponents of the $m$ etric tensor eld $g$ of rank $(0 ; 2)$ are represented by $\left(g_{A B}\right)$. W e reserve the capital latin alphabet to label the 2 M coordinates $\mathrm{A}=1 ;:: ; 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ofp 2 M .
$T$ he com ponents of the $m$ etric tensor eld $g_{A B}$ on the $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold ( $M$; $g$ ) are real and sym $m$ etric under the exchange of the indices

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A B}=g_{B A} ; \quad A ; B=1 ;::: ; 2 M: \tag{2.1c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here is a distinction betw een upper/ low er capital latin indioes. The com ponents of $g^{1}$ are denoted by $g^{A B}$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A C} g^{C B}={ }_{A}{ }^{B} ; \quad g^{A C} g_{C B}={ }^{A}{ }_{B} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on for $A ; B ; C=1::: ; 2 M$. On the other hand, there is no distinction betw een upper and low er greek indiges and we w ill alw ays choose them to be low er indiges.

A H erm titian $m$ anifold $(M, g)$ is a $2 M$-dim ensionalR iem annian m anifold endow ed w ith a rank $(1 ; 1)$ tensor eld $J$ that is de ned globally on $M$ and is called a com plex structure. O n a H erm itian m anifold, we can alw aysm ake a coordinate transform ation from $\left({ }^{A}\right)$ to ( $x^{a} ; y^{a}$ ) that satis es, for each point p 2 M ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p} \frac{@}{@ x^{a}}=\frac{@}{@ y^{a}} ; \quad J_{p} \quad \frac{@}{@ y^{a}}=\frac{@}{@ x^{a}} ; \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{a}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{M}$. It is then natural to introduce com plex coordinates ( $z{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{z}^{\text {a }}$ ) through

$$
z^{a}:=x^{a} \quad \text { y }^{a} ; \quad z^{a}:=x^{a}+\text { y }^{a} ; \quad a=1 ;::: ; M:(2.4)
$$

A NL M on a Herm itian $m$ anifold ( $M, g$ ) is thus de ned by the partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z} & :=\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}]} ; \\
\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}] & ={\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) @ \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{b} @ z^{\mathrm{a}} ;}(2.5 \mathrm{~b})}^{\text {(2.5a) }} \tag{2.5a}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have assigned to each point $r$ from the base space a point $p$ on the $m$ anifold $M w$ th the coordinates $\left(z^{a} ; z^{a}\right) 2 C^{M}$. Components of tensor elds on $M$ have now two types of indices, holom onphic ( $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ;:::$ ) and antinolom orphic ones (a;b;:::). H olom orphic indices can be contracted w ith holom orphic com ponents of the coordinates $z^{a}$ whereas antinolom orhic ones with $z^{a}$, the com plex conjugate of $z^{a}$. In the de nition of the NL M on a Herm itian manifold, Eq. (2.5), the M M components of the $H$ erm itian $m$ etric tensor eld $g$ of rank $(0 ; 2)$ are represented by $\left(g_{a} b\right)$. We reserve the latin alphabet to label the M holom orphic and antinolom orphic coordinates $z^{a}$ and $z^{a}$ where $a ; a=1 ;::: ; M$, respectively, of p 2 M . The use of a capital latin letter on a Her $m$ itian $m$ anifold, say A, as an index denotes either an holom onphic ( $A=a$ ) or an antiholom onphic ( $A=a$ ) index.
$T$ he com ponents of the $m$ etric tensor eld $g_{A B}$ on the $H$ erm itian $m$ anifold $(M ; g$ ) are sym $m$ etric under the exchange of the indices and H erm itian

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A B}=g_{B A} ; \quad\left(g_{A B}\right)=g_{A B} \tag{2.5c}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{a}$ ora $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{borb}, \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{a}$ ora, and $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{b}$ or $b$ running from 1 to $M$. The com ponents of $g^{1}$ are denoted by $9^{\text {AB }}$ and satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{A C} \quad g^{C}{ }^{B}={ }_{A}{ }^{B} ; \quad g^{A C} \quad g_{C} B_{B}={ }_{B}^{A} ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on for $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{M}$.
A $K$ ahler $m$ anifold $(M ; g)$ is a $H$ erm itian $m$ anifold whose Herm itian metric can be derived from a $K$ ahler potentialK (z ;z),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}(z ; z)=@_{a} \varrho_{b} K(z ; z) ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}=1::: ; \mathrm{M}$. N ot all H em itian m anifolds are K ahlerian.

The Kahler manifolds corresponding to the Hem itian sym $m$ etric spaces $U(p+q)=[U(p) \quad U(q)]$ for sym $m$ etry class $A, S p(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ for symmetry class $C$, and $S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$ for symmetry class $D$ are speci ed once the corresponding $K$ ahler potentials have been constructed. To this end, we begin by param etrizing the com plex G rassm annian $U(p+q)=[U(p) \quad U(q)]$ for sym $m$ etry class A by the stereographic coordinates de ned by the independent set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Aa} ;^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{Aa}} ; \mathrm{A}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{a}=1 ;::: ; q 9} \tag{2.8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

ofp $q$ com plex-valued $m$ atrices ${ }^{62,63,64} \mathrm{~W}$ th this coordinate system, the $K$ ahler potential on $U(p+q)=\mathbb{U}(p)$ $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{q})]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K\left(r^{\prime}\right)=c \ln \operatorname{det} I_{q}+{ }^{\prime} r \tag{2.8.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $c$ is an anbitrary constant (the scale of the $m$ etric) which is chosen to be unity in the follow ing. (A nother choice for c just am ounts to a rescaling oft.) The K ahler m etric induced by the K ahler potential (2.8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{(\mathrm{A} a)(\mathrm{Bb})}\left({ }^{\prime} \quad ;^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{BA}} \tag{2.9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced $q \quad q$ and $p \quad p$ Herm itian $m$ atrices,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{ab}} & =\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{y}^{1}{ }^{1} ; \quad \mathrm{ab} ; \quad \mathrm{b}=1 ;::: ; q^{\prime} \text { (2.9b) } \\
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{AB}} & =\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}+r_{\mathrm{AB}}^{1} ; \quad \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{p}:(2.9 \mathrm{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he cases of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ for sym $m$ etry class $C$ and SO ( 2 N ) $=\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{N})$ for sym $m$ etry class $D$ are obtained by setting $p=q=N$ and by im posing the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime}+\#^{\prime T}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the complex G rassm annian $U(p+q)=[U(p) \quad U(q)]$ where \# = 1 for $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N}$ ) (symmetry class C) and \# = +1 for $S O(2 N)$ (symmetry class $D)$. Due to the constraint (2.10), independent entries of $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{A}}$; $^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{Aa}} ; \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{a}=$ $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} g$ can be chosen to be those $w$ th the indices A a for $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})$ (symmetry class $C$ ) and $A<a$ for SO (2N) (sym m etry class D), say. In tum, the $m$ etric for $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ (symm etry class $C$ ) and the $m$ etric for SO (2N )=U (N ) (sym m etry class D ) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}_{(\mathrm{A} a)(\mathrm{B} \mathrm{~b})}\left({ }^{\prime} \quad ;^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{Aa} \text { Bb } S_{(\mathrm{Aa)}(\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{b)}}^{\#} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{AB}} \text {; } \tag{2.11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Aa }=1 \quad{ }_{\text {Aa }}=2 \text {; } \tag{2.11b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{ab}}:=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1} ; \quad \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}:(2.11 \mathrm{e})
$$

O bserve that $Z$ in Eq. (2.9b) and $Y$ in Eq. (2.9d) are not independent anym ore because $Y=Z^{T}$ when $p=q=N$ and the constraint (2.10) holds. By a slight abuse of notation we will som etim es refer to the com plex G rass$m$ annian case by $\#=0$.

## B . H igh-gradient operators

T he sem inal contribution by A ltshuler, $K$ ravtsov, and Lemer, ${ }_{r}^{2}$ was to observe that the perturbative RG ow obeyed by the cum ulants of the conductance necessarily involves an in nite num ber of com posite operators and to identify a criterion for the selection of this in nite fam ily of com posite operators. The selection criterion is that the ow must be closed w ithin a fam ily of com posite operators characterized by the $m$ ost dom inant scaling dim ensions. A pplied to the three cases at hand, we thus seek a fam ily of com posite operators that transform as scalars under reparam etrization of the target $m$ anifold and contain as $m$ any derivatives as possible sub ject to the condition that their anom alous scaling dim ension be dom inant. W e are led to the fam ily of high-gradient operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\text {IJK }}:::(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) \oint \underbrace{\mathrm{I} @ z^{J} @ z^{K}}_{2 \mathrm{z}}\}^{\prime} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\text {IJK }}::(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})$ is a tensor on the target $m$ anifold w th s holom orphic and antinolom orphic indioes; we do not yet specify how the greek indiges m ust be contracted. A theugh com posite operators containing derivatives of the form $@^{n} z$ ( $n$ ) are generated by the RG ow for the cum ulants of the conductance they are neither expected to contribute to the dom inant RG anom alous scaling dim ensions, ${ }^{38}$ nor needed to close the RG ow s of the high-gradient operators (2.12) as we shall see below .

As the simplest example of a high-gradient operator belonging to the fam ily (2.12) is the Lagrangian $g_{I J} @ z^{J} @ z^{I}$ of the NL M action. This is in fact the only scalar under both reparam etrization of the target $m$ anifold and global rotations in the base space $w$ ith $s=1$ that belongs to the fam ily (2.12). To see this, observe that the num ber of independent tensors w ith tw o indices on the target $m$ anifold is related to that of independent bilinear form sin the group (coset). For sim ple Lie groups (and their analogues for cosets), there is only one such bilinear form (the C asim ir), and hence $g_{I J}$ is uniquely
xed. The scaling ow obeyed by $g_{I J} @ z^{J} @ z^{I}$, controls the scaling ow obeyed by the $m$ ean conductance, i.e., the rst cum ulant of the conductance once the replica lim it has been taken.

Life already becom es m ore com plicated if we seek all the high-gradient operators $w$ ith $s=2$ in the fam ily (2.12). On a generic com plex $m$ anifold $m$ ore than 2 independent tensors of rank $(0 ; 4)$ are available,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{I J} g_{K L} ; \quad R_{I J K L} ; \quad R_{I J M N} R^{M N}{ }_{K L} ; \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on, where $R_{I J K ~ L}$ is the curvature ( $R$ iem ann) tensor on the target $m$ anifold. Fortunately, the situation is sim pler for the G rassm annian since we only need to contend $w$ ith tw o independent tensors of rank $(0 ; 4)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{(A a)(B b)}^{(1)} S_{(C \text { c) (D d) }}^{(1)}=Z_{a b} Y_{B_{A}} Z_{c d} Y_{D C C}:(2.14 b)
\end{aligned}
$$

[See Eq. (1.9) .]
O bserve that $S_{A B}^{(1)}$ is nothing but the $m$ etric tensor $g_{A B}$.

For symmetry class A and for given s 2 N , all the independent tensors $T_{\text {IJK }}$ ::: ofrank $(0 ; 2 \mathrm{~s})$ of the com plex G rassm annian can be expressed by taking the products of the building blocks,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{(A a)(b B)(C c)(d D):::}^{(1)}=Z_{a b} Y_{B C} Z_{c d} Y_{D E} \quad{ }_{Z A} Y \tag{2.15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ade of lpairs of $Z$ and $Y$, according to the decom position

$$
T_{I_{1} J_{1} K_{1}:::}={ }_{i}^{Y} S_{I_{i} J_{i} K_{i}::: ;}^{\left(I_{i}\right)} \quad X \quad I_{i}=s: \text { (2.15b) }
$$

For the cases of $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ (symmetry class C) and SO ( 2 N )=U ( N ) (sym m etry class D ) we need to replace Eq. (2.15a) by

A nother sim pli cation attached to the K ahler m anifolds for sym $m$ etry classes A, C, and D is that contraction betw een $S{ }^{\left(1_{i}\right)}$ and the $@^{I}{ }^{I}$ 's reduces to a trace,
where we have introduced

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}^{+}:=\mathrm{Y} @ r ; \quad \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Z} @ r \mathrm{Y} ; \tag{2.16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the com plex $G$ rassm annian (sym $m$ etry class A). For $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ (sym m etry class C ) and for $S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$ (sym $m$ etry class D ) one $m$ ust im pose the additional constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{A}^{+}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\# \mathrm{~A}^{+} ; \quad(\mathrm{A})^{\mathrm{T}}=\# \mathrm{~A}: \tag{2.16c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any $m$ ember $T$ of the fam ily of tensor elds constructed in Eq. (2.15) has the rem ankable property that it vanishes under the action of the covariant derivative on any one of its indices

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
r_{P} T_{I J K L}= & @_{P} T_{I J K L} & \\
& & Q_{P I} T_{Q J K L} & Q_{P J} T_{I Q K ~ L} \\
= & 0: \tag{2.17}
\end{array}
$$

Here, ${ }_{B C}^{A}$ are the com ponents of the Levi-C ivita connection whose non-vanishing entries are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{b c}^{a}=g^{a p} @_{c} g_{p b} ; \quad{ }_{b}^{a} c=g^{a}{ }^{p} @_{c} g_{p b}: \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is also true for the $N \mathrm{~L} M$ on the realG rassm annian $0(p+q)=[0(p) \quad O(q)]$ which is related to the sym plectic sym $m$ etry class of A nderson localization, and for the cases of sim pler target $m$ anifolds such as $O(\mathbb{N})=0(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$
or $C P^{n+m}$ 1jn for which the tensor elds $T$ are just products of the $m$ etric tensor. In all these cases, the fact that all high-gradient operators have vanishing covariant derivatives greatly sim pli es the com putation of their one-loop RG ows.

For a given num ber of derivatives, 2 s , there are $m$ any degenerate operators of the type (2.12) w ith $\mathrm{T}_{\text {IJK }}$ ::: given by Eq. (2.15.b) that share the sam e anom alous scaling di$m$ ensions $2 s$ at the $m$ etallic xed point. They are speci ed by the choice of $f l_{i} g$ in $E q$. 2.15.b) as well as that of the greek indices in the @ $\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{I}}$ 's. This degeneracy is, how ever, lifted in perturbation theory as we depart from the $m$ etallic xed point.

W e close this section by noting that it is more convenient to introduce the conform alindiges + and

$$
\begin{equation*}
@=@_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{i@}_{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of the Euclidean indiges $=x ; y$ in $2 \mathrm{~d}^{2,41}$

## III. THECOVARIANTBACKGROUNDFIED METHOD

## A. K ahler norm al coordinates for $N$ L M

Toperform theRG program, wedivide the elds (z ;z) in the NL M into slow ( ; ), and fast ( ; ), m odes. To this end, we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{a}=a^{a}+{ }^{a} ; \quad z^{a}={ }^{a}+{ }^{a} ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we require that ( ; ) satisfy the classical equations of $m$ otion. The fast $m$ odes ( ; ) represent the quantum uctuations around the classical background ( ; ). They are integrated out, thereby generating an e ective action for the slow m odes ( ; ). In practioe, this e ective action is com puted order by orderw thin an expansion, the so-called loop expansion, which is nothing but the cum ulant expansion. O ne draw back w th the separation (3.1) into fast and slow $m$ odes is that the perturbative expansion of the action in pow ers of the fast m odes ( ; ) with respect to the action evaluated at ( ; ) need not transform covariantly under reparam etrization of the $m$ anifold. This danger can be avoided by choosing K ahler nom alcoordinates ( $\mathrm{K} N \mathrm{C}$ ) in term s of which we denote the fast m odes by (! ;!). T he K N C coordinates are de ned by dem anding the $m$ anifest covariance of the $K$ ahler potentialw hen expanded in term sof (! ;!) 65,66 The perturbative expansion of the action in powers of the KNC about the background eld is then $m$ anifestly covariant, i.e., only covariant objects such as the $m$ etric, the $R$ iem ann tensor, the covariant derivative on the m anifold, and so on appear in the expansion, as we shall shortly see. O ne advantage of this RG schem e, the covariant background eld $m$ ethod, is that no redundant operator is induced upon renorm alization. T his is a very usefiulproperty when dealing $w$ ith them ixing of the com posite operators induced by an RG transform ation 45
related advantage is that there is no need to break the symm etry of the NL M to tame $\mathbb{R}$ divergences.

The relation between the generic param etrization ( ; ) and the K N C param etrization (! ; !) of the fast $m$ odes is non-linear. For exam ples,

$$
\begin{align*}
& @\left({ }^{a}+{ }^{a}\right)=@^{a}+D W^{a}  \tag{32a}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} R^{a}{ }_{c_{1} C_{2} C_{3}}(;) @ \quad{ }_{\mathrm{c}_{W}}{ }^{c_{2}} W^{c_{1}}+ \\
& g_{i j}(+\quad ;+)=g_{i j}(;)  \tag{32b}\\
& R_{i j k_{1} I_{1}}{ }^{W}{ }^{t_{W}}{ }^{k_{1}}+
\end{align*}
$$

As prom ised, the right-hand side is covariant under reparam etrization as the pair (D ;D ) denotes the holom orphic and antiholom onphic covariant derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
D \mathrm{w}^{j} & =r a^{w^{j} @ a} \\
& =@ w^{j}+{ }_{a b}^{j} w^{b} @ a ;  \tag{3.3a}\\
D w^{j} & =D w^{j} \\
& =r a w^{j} @ a \\
& =@ w^{j}+{ }_{a b}^{j} w^{b} @ \quad a ; \tag{3.3b}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, w ith the com ponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{b c d}^{a}=@_{d}{\underset{b c}{a} ; \quad R_{b c d}^{a}=@_{d}{ }_{b c}^{a} ; ~ ; ~}_{b} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R_{E D A B}=g_{E C} R_{D A B}^{C}$ and so on of the curvature tensor. The expansion of the action in powers of the KNC is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { S [ } \left.{ }^{+} \mathrm{Z} ;+\right] \quad \mathrm{S}[;]= \\
& +\frac{1}{2 t_{Z^{r}}} g_{i j}(;) D w^{j} D w^{i} \\
& \frac{1}{2 t} R_{i j j k l}(;) w W^{k} @{ }^{j} @ \quad i \\
& \frac{1}{2} w \quad{ }^{\mathrm{k}} @ \quad{ }^{j}{ }_{W} \quad \frac{1}{2} @ \quad W^{k}{ }_{W}{ }^{j} @ \quad \text { i } \\
& +\quad \text { : }  \tag{3.5}\\
& \text { B. C anonical form of the } m \text { etric tensor and } \\
& \text { vielbeins }
\end{align*}
$$

The covariant G aussian expansion (3.5) about an extrem a ( ; ) of the action can still be sim pli ed further by perform ing a local GL (M ; C) transform ation of the tangent space at $(;)$ that brings the $m$ etric tensor at ( ; ) to the canonical form. To this end, introduce the fast degree of freedom ( ; ) by the linear transform ation ${ }^{67,68}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{a}:=w_{a}^{a} e^{\hat{a}} ; \quad w^{a}=\hat{a}_{a}^{a} e^{a} ;  \tag{3.6a}\\
& \hat{a}:=w^{a}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{a}} ; \quad \mathrm{w}^{a}={ }^{\hat{a}}{ }_{a} e^{a} ; \tag{3.6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

by dem anding that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}(;) w^{b} w^{a}=\hat{a}_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{b}} \hat{a} \tag{3.6c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the transform ed $m$ etric is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}_{\hat{b}}=\operatorname{diag} I_{M_{1}} ; I_{M_{2}} ; M_{1}+M_{2}=M \text { : } \tag{3.6d}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two background dependent $m$ atrices ( $e^{\hat{a}}$ ) and ( $E^{a}$ ) from $G L(M ; C)$ that im plem ent this transform ation in the antiholom onphic sector are inverse to each other. T he sam $e$ is true of the $m$ atrices ( $\left.e^{\hat{a}}\right)$ and ( $e^{a}$ ) in the holom onph ic sector. T hese fourm atrices are called the vielbeins and must obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}{ }^{b} \hat{e}_{\hat{b}}{ }^{a} e_{a}={ }_{a \hat{b}} ; \quad \hat{a}^{\hat{b}} \hat{e}_{b}^{a} e_{a}=g_{a b} ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by condition (3.6d). From now on, latin letters with a hat refer to the coordinates of the $K$ ahler $m$ anifold in the vielbein basis (3.6) . Under transform ation (3.6) the covariant expansion (3.5) becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S [ } \left.{ }_{1}{ }_{Z} ;+\right] S[;]= \\
& +\frac{1}{2 t_{Z^{r}}}{ }_{\text {a }}^{\hat{b}} \hat{D}_{h}^{\hat{b}} \hat{D} \quad \text { a } \\
& \frac{1}{2 t}{ }_{r} R_{i j k l}{ }^{h}\left(\hat{I}_{\hat{i}} e^{l}\right)\left({ }_{\hat{k}}^{\hat{k}} e^{k}\right) @ \quad{ }^{j} @ \quad i
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} @ \quad{ }^{l}\left(\hat{k}{ }_{\hat{k}} e^{k}\right)\left({ }_{\hat{j}}^{\hat{j}} e^{j}\right) @ \quad i \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where yet another pair of covariant derivatives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{D^{2}}=@ \quad \hat{a} \quad \hat{b} \hat{b}(A)^{\hat{a}} ;  \tag{3.9a}\\
& \hat{D^{\prime}} \quad \hat{a}=@ \hat{b_{b}}(A)^{\hat{a}} ; \tag{3.9b}
\end{align*}
$$

have been introduced. The eld $A$ is the $U(M)$ gauge eld de ned through the $U(\mathbb{M})$ spin connection ${ }_{a}!{ }^{\hat{b}}{ }_{c}$, $a!\hat{b}_{c}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{a}!^{\hat{b}}{ }_{c}={ }_{a} e^{a}{ }_{h}{ }^{@_{c}}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{\beta}} ;  \tag{3.10a}\\
& { }_{a}!\hat{b}_{c}={ }_{a} A^{a}\left(@_{c a} e^{\hat{b}}\right) \quad r_{a c r} e^{\hat{\beta}} \text {; }  \tag{3.10b}\\
& a^{a} A^{\hat{b}}={ }_{a}!\hat{b}_{c} @ c^{c}+!_{a} \hat{b}_{c} @ \quad{ }^{c}: \tag{3.10c}
\end{align*}
$$

(If the $m$ etric is pseudo $R$ iem annian, $U(M)$ should be replaced by $U\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)$.] The em ergence of an $U(M)$ gauge structure originates from the fact that the vielbeins are not uniquely speci ed by condition 3.6d) . As the physical result cannot depend on the speci c choice of gauge, i.e., the speci c choice for the vielbeins, the dependence on the spin connection should occur through the $U(M)$ gauge invariant eld strength

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a }(\mathrm{F})^{\hat{\mathrm{b}}}= \\
& \text { @ a }(A)^{\hat{b}} \text { @ a }(A)^{\hat{b}}+{ }_{a}(A A)^{\hat{b}} \text { a }(A A)^{\hat{b}}= \\
& { }_{a} \Theta^{a} R_{a d c}^{r} @ \quad{ }^{c} @ d_{r} \Theta^{\hat{b}}+{ }_{a} \Theta^{a} R_{a d c}^{r} @ \quad{ }^{c} @ d_{r} \Theta^{\hat{b}}: \\
& \text { (3.10d) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## C. One-loop beta functions

W e are in position to integrate out the fast degrees of freedom for the com plex $G$ rassm annian, $\mathrm{SO}(2 \mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{U}(\mathbb{N})$, and $S p(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$. The partition function for slow and fast $m$ odes is

$$
\mathrm{Z}:=\mathrm{D}[\text {; }] \mathrm{D}[\text {; }] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}[~ ; ~ ; ~ ; ~}:(3.11 \mathrm{a})
$$

The action $S[$; ; $](=S[+\quad ; \quad+])$ is separated into three contributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[; ; \quad ;]:=S[;]+S_{0}[;]+S_{I}[; ; ;] \tag{3.11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{0}[$; $]$ is the free $G$ aussian part for the fast m odes, and $S_{I}[$; ; ; ] represents the interactions betw een the slow and fast $m$ odes. Integration over the fast $m$ ode ( ; ) is perform ed with the help of the cum ulant expansion
where

$$
h(\quad 0)=\frac{R^{R} D[;] e^{S_{0}[;]}( }{D[;] e_{0}[;]}:{ }^{\prime}(3.12 c)
$$

To evaluate the cum ulant expansion, we introduce the G reen function

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}(x)^{\hat{b}}(y)_{0} & =\hat{a \hat{b}} 2 t^{z} \frac{d^{d} k}{(2)^{d}} \frac{\left.e^{i k(x} y\right)}{k^{2}} \\
& =: \hat{a}^{\hat{b}} 2 \mathrm{tG}_{0}(x \quad y) ; \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $=a^{1}$ is the UV cuto . The leading contribution to the increm ent of the action of the slow $m$ ode ( ; ) resulting from integrating out the fast m ode ( ; ) w ithin the second-order cum ulant expansion is

$$
S[;]=G_{0}(0){ }_{r}^{R_{a b} @}{ }^{b} @ \quad{ }^{\mathrm{b}} ; \quad \text { (3.14) }
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b}:=R_{a c b}^{c}=R_{c a b}^{c} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $R$ iccitensor.
For sym $m$ etry classes $C$ and $D$, the $R$ iccitensor is proportional to the $m$ etric (the target space is an $E$ instein $m$ anifold) and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{(\mathrm{C} c)(E \text { e) }}=(\mathbb{N} \quad \#) g_{(\mathrm{C} C)(\mathbb{E} \text { e) }}: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z=D[;] e^{s[;]+s[;] ;} \\
& S[;]=h S_{I} i_{0} \frac{1}{2}^{h}\left(S_{I}\right)^{2} \quad 0 \quad S_{I}{ }^{2^{i}}+\quad \text {; } \tag{3.12b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from Eq. (3.14), the one-loop beta functions in $(2+) d$ for the NL M coupling $\quad(t)=d t=d l$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=t+(\mathbb{N} \quad \#) t^{2}+O\left(t^{3}\right): \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $d l$ is the in nitesim al rescaling of the ultraviolet cuto, $\mathrm{a}!\mathrm{ae}^{+\mathrm{dl}}$ for which $\mathrm{G}_{0}(0)=\mathrm{dl}=2$. This oneloop result agrees w ith the known three-, four-, and veloop results. $35,36,37$ A nother check com es from the group isom onphism $s^{36,63}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(2)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
U(1) & U(1)
\end{array}\right]^{\prime} \quad S O(4)=U(2) \\
& \text { ' } \mathrm{Sp}(1)=\mathrm{U}(1) \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{U}(4)=\mathbb{U}(1) \quad \mathrm{U}(3)]^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{SO}(6)=\mathrm{U}(3) \text {; } \\
& \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N}) \quad \text { ' } \quad \text { SO ( } 2 \mathrm{~N})=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{~N}): \quad \text { (3.18c) }
\end{aligned}
$$

O ne veri es that the beta functions $m$ atch if the couplings are appropriately rescaled for isom orphisms (3.18a) and (3.18b) and with the additional substitution $t$ ! $t$ for isom onphism $s$ (3.18d). The nontrivial zeros of the beta function in sym $m$ etry classes A, C, and D are

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<t=\frac{}{N \quad \#}+O\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

IV. ONE-LOOPRGEOR H IGH-GRADIENT
OPERATORS

## A. Strategy

We now tum to the computation of the dom inant anom alous scaling dim ension $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{s})}$ associated to any high-gradient operator $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{s})}$ of type (2.12), where the collective index i runs over any allowed choice for $\mathrm{fl}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ in Eq . 2.15.b and over the greek indices in @ $z^{I} @ z^{J} @ z^{K} \quad$. The one-loop anom alous scaling di$m$ ension $x_{i}^{(s)}$ of $O_{i}^{(s)}$ is extracted from the one-loop RG
transform ation law

Here, $O_{i}^{h}(z ; z)^{i}{ }_{k}^{(s)}$ denotes all the term $s$ that are of orderk in ${ }^{A}$ in the KNC expansion of $O_{i}^{(s)}(z ; z)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\quad) i=e^{S_{I}}(\quad 0) e^{S_{I}} 0 \tag{4.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is evaluated up to rst order in tdl [recalle q. (3.12d)]. O nce the $m$ ixing $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}^{(\mathrm{s})}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{(\mathrm{s})} \tag{4.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known, its eigenvalues ${ }^{n}{ }_{i}{ }_{i}{ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ yield the spectrum of anom alous scaling dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{(s)}=2 s \quad{ }_{i}^{(s)} t \tag{42b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the spectrum of anom alous scaling dim ensions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}^{(s)}:=\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{s})} \tag{4.2c}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the high-gradient operatoro ${ }_{i}^{(s)}$. A ny eigenoperator of Eq. (4.1) is relevant ( $m$ arginal, irrelevant) when its eigenvalue $y_{i}^{(s)}$ is positive (zero, negative). We are going to construct the eigenoperator ofE q. (4.1) w ith the largest eigenvalue of the $m$ ixing $m$ atrix $b$ (s) up to one-loop accuracy close to the $m$ etallic xed point.

To this end, we need to expand $O_{i}^{(s)}(z ; z)$ in the KNC. By taking advantage of the fact that all the covariant derivatives of the tensor $\mathrm{T}_{\text {IJ ::: }}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})$ vanish and that $h\left[\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{I}}\right]_{2} i=0$, we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IJ}:::}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) @ \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{I} @ z^{J}} \quad 2^{\mathrm{E}}={ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{IJ}:::}\right]_{2}^{\mathrm{E}} @{ }^{\mathrm{I} @} \tag{4.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, all we need are

$$
\begin{align*}
& @ z^{i}{ }_{1} @ z^{j}{ }_{1}=+ \text { tdl ; @ }{ }^{\mathrm{k} @} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{1}{ }^{j} \text {; }  \tag{4.3.b}\\
& \text { @ } z^{i}{ }_{1} @ z^{j}{ }_{1}=\text { tdl ; @ }{ }^{k} @ R^{i j}{ }_{1 k} \text {; }  \tag{4.3c}\\
& \text { @ } z^{i}{ }_{1} @ z^{j}{ }_{1}=+ \text { tdl ; @ }{ }^{k} @{ }^{1} R^{i}{ }_{k}{ }^{j}{ }_{1} \text {; } \tag{4.3d}
\end{align*}
$$

on the one hand and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{i}=1 \tag{4.3e}
\end{align*}
$$

on the other hand. Here, we are using the conform al B. RG transform ation indices de ned in Eq. (2.19) . N ote also that since holo$m$ orphic $r_{i}$ and antiholom onphic $r_{j}$ covariant derivatives do not comm bte in genefal, we have two distinct
representations for $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\text { IJK } & ]_{2}\end{array}\right.$.

The RG transform ation law (4.3) obeyed by any high-gradient operator for a NL M on the Herm itian sym metric spaces $U(p+q)=[U(p) \quad U(q)], S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$, and $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ becom es explicit w ith the help of the
(a) intra-trace form ulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\operatorname{tr} A M \operatorname{tr}(A N)+\#^{2} \operatorname{tr} A M^{T} A N \quad ;  \tag{4.4a}\\
& \operatorname{Dr} A^{+}{ }_{1} M \quad A \quad N_{1}^{E}=+\frac{2 \#^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tdl} ; \quad \operatorname{tr} A^{+} A \quad N \quad \operatorname{tr}(M) \quad \# \operatorname{tr} A^{+} A \quad N M{ }^{T} \\
& \text { \#tr } \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~N}+\operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{N}) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{MA} \mathrm{~A}^{+} \quad \text {; } \tag{4.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) inter-trace form ulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~A}{ }_{1} \mathrm{M} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{A}\right]_{1} \mathrm{~N} \quad=\frac{2 \#^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tdl} ; \quad \# \operatorname{tr} A \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{T}} A M \quad \operatorname{tr} A \mathrm{NA} M \\
& \operatorname{tr} A N A M+\# \operatorname{Ar} A{ }^{T} A M \quad \text {; }  \tag{4.5a}\\
& { }^{D} \operatorname{tr} A^{+}{ }_{1} M \quad \operatorname{tr} A \quad N_{1} N=\frac{2 \#^{2}}{2} \operatorname{tdl} ; \quad \operatorname{tr} A^{+} A \quad N M \quad \text { \#tr } A \quad A^{+} M N^{T} \\
& \text { \#tr } \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{M}+\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{~A}^{+} \mathrm{MN} \quad \text {; } \tag{4.5b}
\end{align*}
$$

and (c) diagonal contributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}{ }_{\mathrm{s} \text { ba }}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}\left(z ; z^{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{2}^{\mathrm{i}}=\operatorname{tdls}(\mathbb{N} \quad \#) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{bap}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{~b} ;) ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $M$ and $N$ represent anbitrary rectangular $m$ atriges of the proper size and the $m$ atrix-valued $A$ were de ned in Eq. (2.16). This result, that follow s from substituting the com ponents of the curvature tensor (3.4) when expressed in the stereographic coordinates (2.8) into the $m$ aster form ula (4.3), agrees $w$ ith the one-loop $R G$ result for sym $m$ etry class A (\# = 0) in Ref. 38 .
C. D iagonalization of the $R G$ equation $w$ ith in the
subspace of $m$ axim alnum ber of sw itches

As is shown in Appendix B, the RG transform ation law (4.3) has an upper (or lower) triangular structure when appropriate quantum num bers ( $\backslash$ num ber of sw itches") are de ned. Since the num ber of sw itches never increases under an RG transform ation, we can
solve the eigenvalue and eigenvector problem (4.3) for each subspace w ith a xed num ber of Sw itches. W e w ill lim it ourselves to operators $w$ th the $m$ axim al num ber of $s w$ itches, as the eigenscaling operator $w$ ith the $m$ ost dom inant RG anom alous scaling dim ension is known to be am ong them for sym $m$ etry class A 39 In this subspace, any operator can be expressed as a polynom ialof the ob-
jects

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{+}^{+} \mathrm{A}\right)^{1} ; \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{+}\right)^{1}{ }^{\circ} \quad \mathrm{l}=1 ; 2 ;:::^{\prime} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., conform al indioes in a single trace are com pletely altemating. It can also be show $n$ that the spaces spanned by $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{+}^{+} A\right)^{1} \quad l=1 ; 2 ;:::$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{+} A_{+}\right)^{1} \quad l=1 ; 2 ;:::$ are not $m$ ixed by the RG transform ation. W e can thus consider these tw o subspaces separately as long as we do not require com posite operators to be $H$ erm itian.

W e now com pute the action of the RG transform ation (4.3) on a com posite operator of the form

$$
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{frg}}^{(\mathrm{s})}=\begin{array}{llll}
r_{1} & r_{2} & \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}} & \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{L}}  \tag{4.8}\\
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{L}}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{lr}_{\mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{s}
$$

where all ${ }_{1}$ on the right-hand side are a short-hand notation for ob jects of type $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{A}_{+}^{+} \mathrm{A}\right)^{1}$ w thout loss of generality. Since the diagonal contributions (c) to the RG eigenvalues are trivial, we focus on the intra-trace contributions (a) and inter-trace contributions (b) for the m om ent. If we de ne the o -diagonal m ixing ma atrix $\mathbb{R}^{(s)}=\mathbb{R}_{f r g f r o g}^{(s)}$ by
i.e., we have only collected the o -diagonalcontributions (4.4) and (4.5) to the RG transform ation law obeyed by $O_{\text {frg }}^{(s)}(z ; z)$, the action of $R^{(s)}$ can be cast into the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{(s)}=\#_{k_{n}}^{X} k_{k}^{2} @_{k}^{@}+N_{k}^{X}{ }_{k} \frac{@}{@_{k}} \\
& +\operatorname{lin}_{\operatorname{X}} \quad 2 \ln \frac{@^{2}}{@_{1} \varrho_{n}} \\
& +\left(2 \quad \#^{2}\right)(1+n)_{1} \frac{@}{@_{1+n}} \text { : } \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The eigenvalue problem (4.10) can be solved by brute force for sm all $s$ in which cases we have veri ed that the sign of the eigenvalues of the 0 -diagonal $m$ ixing $m a-$ trix $\mathbb{R}^{(s)}$ for $S O(2 N)=U(N)$ are opposite to those for $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$. In particular, the largest eigenvalue of $\sum^{(s)}$ for $S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$ is given by $m$ inus the sm allest eigenvalue for $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$ and vioe et versa. This fact is consistent $w$ th the group isom orphism 3.18b), and the fact that the beta functions for the corresponding $N L M m$ atch upon the replacem ent $t$ !.

M ore generally, one veri es that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
(s)=2\left(s^{2} \quad s\right)+s(p+q) ; \quad(\#=0) ; & (4.11 a) \\
(s)=s^{2} & 2 s+s N ; \quad(\#=+1) ; & (4.11 b) \\
(s)=2 s^{2} \quad s+s N ; \quad(\#=1) ; & (4.11 c)
\end{array}
$$

is an eigenvalue of the o-diagonalm ixing operator $\prod^{(s)}$ for any given $s w$ th the eigenvector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{v}^{(\mathrm{s})}=\mathrm{Xfg}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}_{j}!\mathrm{j}^{r_{j}}}(j)^{r_{j}} ; \quad(\#=0) ; \\
& \mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{s})}=\mathrm{Xfg}_{\mathrm{frg}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}_{j}!\mathrm{j}^{r_{j}}}\left({ }_{j}\right)^{r_{j}} ; \quad(\#=+1) ; \quad(4.12 \mathrm{~b}) \\
& V^{(s)}=\mathrm{Xfg}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{2^{j} 1}{\mathrm{r}_{j}!j^{r_{j}}}\left({ }_{j}\right)^{r_{j}} ; \quad(\#=\quad 1):(4.12 \mathrm{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

As it is known that ${ }^{(s)}$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{P}^{(s)}$ in sym $m$ etry class A, we believe this to be also true for sym m etry classes C and D. If so, the largest RG eigenvalues for a given num ber s of gradients is given by, after adding the diagonal contributions (c),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{(\mathrm{s})}=(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{q})=2\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{~s}\right) ; \quad(\#=0) ; \\
& { }^{(s)}=\quad \text { (s) } \quad s(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=s^{2} \quad s ; \quad(\#=+1) ; \\
& { }^{(s)}=\quad(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{s}(\mathbb{N}+1)=2\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~s}\right) ; \quad(\#=1): \\
& \text { (4.13c) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$N$ ote that these results are valid up to one loop before taking the replica lim it. Equation (1.3) then follow s by com bining Eq. (4.13) w th Eq. 4.2b).
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> APPENDIX A: GROUP-THEORY VERSUS GEOMETRIC REPRESENTATIONSOF THE $N L M$

The purpose of this appendix is to bridge the geom etrical representation of the NL M used in this paper and the one in term s of the so-called $\backslash Q-m$ atrix".
$F$ irst, recall that an elem ent $Q$ in $U(p+q)=[U(p) \quad U(q)]$ can be w ritten as ${ }^{19}$

$$
Q=U^{1} U ; \quad=\operatorname{diag}\left(I_{p} ; I_{q}\right) ; \quad U 2 U(p+q):(A 1)
$$

A s noticed by Lemer and $W$ egner, ${ }^{38}$ high-gradient operators in the $Q-m$ atrix representation as well as the action of the NL M can be conveniently expressed in term s of the ob jects

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}:=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}^{+} \text {@ } \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{P} \tag{A2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=$ and the projectors $P$

$$
P \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & Q \tag{A2b}
\end{array}\right) ; \quad(P \quad)^{2}=P
$$

were introduced. A generic high-gradient operator is then built by taking a product of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{~A}^{+} \quad: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple, the action of the NLM is

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\frac{1}{16 t_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{tr} @ Q @ Q} \\
& ={\frac{1}{4 t_{r}} \operatorname{tr}^{+} A \quad:}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Q} \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to establish explicitly the connection between the $Q$ matrix representation (A2) \{ (A 4) and the geom etrical representation in the stereographic coordinates (2.8), we param etrize $P^{+}$and $P=P^{+} Q$ in Eq. A 2b) through a $(p+q) \quad q m$ atrix $i$ according to ${ }^{69}$

$$
P^{+}=\quad y \quad X_{i=1}^{X^{q}} \quad i \quad y_{i}=X_{i=1}^{X^{q}} \quad i \quad i \quad \text { (A 5a) }
$$

where satis es the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=I_{q}: \tag{A5.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them atrix is thus a collection of orthonom alvectors
 the upper q q block of . W e write

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{E}\}^{q} \mid\left\{g_{q} \mathrm{~A}\right.}{{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{X} g_{p}} \tag{A6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{i}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{I j}, X_{i j}$, and ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{I j} X_{j k}$, are $(p+q) \quad q, p \quad q$, $q \quad q$, and $p \quad q, m$ atrioes, respectively, and the indices i, $I$, and run over the sets $i=1 ;::: ; q, I=1 ;::: ; p$,
$=1 ;::: ; p+q$, respectively. Next, we express $X$ in tem s of' by making use of the constraint (A 5b),

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ni}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{nm}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{mk}}={ }_{\mathrm{ik}} \quad\left(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Z}{ }^{1} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{q}}\right): \quad \text { (A 6b) }
$$

Here the Herm itian q $q$ m atrix $Z$ was introduced in Eqs. 2.9b) and (2.9d) . C onstraint A 6b) is satis ed ifX is chosen to be

$$
X^{y}=X=Z^{1=2}:
$$

(A 6c)
A generic high-gradient operators (A) in the $Q$-m atrix representation is thus expressed in term $s$ of the stereographic coordinate ( ${ }^{\prime} ;^{\prime}$ ) from Eq. [2.8). For exam ple, one veri es that the action of the NL M (A 4) reduces to Eq. (2.5) w ith the $m$ etric (2.9a) derived from the $K$ ahler potential (2.8) once the param etrization (A 5) w ith Eq. A 6) is used. Sim ilarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr} A^{+} A A^{+} A= \\
& \operatorname{tr} Z @ Y^{\prime} Y\left(C^{\prime}\right) Z @ Y^{\prime} Y(@ \quad \text { ) : (A 7) }
\end{aligned}
$$

O bserve that the altemating structure in $A^{+}$and $A$ in the high-gradient operators has a one-to-one correspondence to that in $Z$ and $Y$.

APPEND IX B: UPPER TRIANGULAR
STRUCTUREOFTHERGTRANSFORMATION

W e prove in this appendix that the RG transform ation law obeyed by high-gradient operators has an upper (or low er) triangular structure when appropriate \quantum " num bers ( $\backslash$ num ber of $s w$ itches") are de ned. A trace $m$ ade of an even product of $A$ ' $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{4} \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is said to have n number of SW itches from A to A when, dividing the sequence into pairs according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \quad \mathrm{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{3}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{4} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

there are $n$ pairs A A in the sequence. The num ber $n$ does not depend on the cyclic perm utations of the pairs A A. For a given trace of the form (B2) we can de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{tr}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}:} \quad \mathrm{n}: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e are going to show that, for the sym $m$ etry classes $A, C$, and D, the total num ber

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}:=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{tr}} \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

of sw itches in a com posite operator of type (2.12) never increases under the RG transform ation law (4.3). Here, the sum $m$ ation extends over the product over all traces of the form (B2) $m$ aking up the high-gradient operator. In other words, the RG transform ation law (4.3) is triangular w th respect to the quantum num ber (B4).

## 1. Sym m etry class A

W e begin w th sym m etry class A. This case (\# $=0$ ) was already treated in Refs. $38,39,43$ and is needed for symmetry classes $C(\#=1)$ and $D(\#=+1) . C$ onsider any string $L_{1} A A_{1}^{0} R_{1}$ and any string $L_{2} A A_{2} A_{2}^{2} R_{2}$ of 4 m atrices each that enter a high-gradient operator.
$F$ irst, we assum e that each string belongs to tw o distinct traces. W e seek the one-loop RG transform ation law obeyed by the num ber of Sw itches

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} ;{\underset{1}{0}+{ }_{2} ;{ }_{2}^{0}}^{2} \tag{B5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

contained in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{1} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{2}: \tag{B5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bym aking use ofEq. (4.5) with \# $=0$ we deduce that the
one-loop R G transform ation law obeyed by Eq. B 5.b) is

$$
\operatorname{tr} \stackrel{h}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{0}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \quad \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}=
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~A}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}  \tag{B6a}\\
& \text { tdl }{ }_{1} ; \quad \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}  \tag{B6b}\\
& +\operatorname{tdl} \underset{1}{ } ;{ }_{2}^{0} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}  \tag{B6c}\\
& +\operatorname{tdl} \underset{1}{0} ; \quad \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}  \tag{B6d}\\
& \text { tdl }{ }_{1}^{0} ;{\underset{2}{0}}_{0} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} \text { : } \tag{B6e}
\end{align*}
$$

Line B6ad contains $i_{2}^{0}+{ }_{2} ;{ }_{1}$ SW itches. Lines B6B to B60 contain $;{ }_{1}^{0}+{ }_{2} ;{ }_{2}^{0}$ Sw itches. Hence, only line B6a has the potential to increase the initial number B5a of switches. H owever, an increase over the initial number B5al of $S w$ itches is not possible in view of the identity $1_{1} ;{ }_{2}+{ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}^{0}+{ }_{1}^{2} ;{ }_{2}^{2}{ }_{1}^{0} ;{ }_{2}^{0}=$ $212{ }_{1} ;{ }_{1}^{0}{ }_{2} ; \sum_{2}^{0}$. Line B6a) only contributes if ${ }_{1}^{0}=1$ and ${ }_{2}^{0}=2$ both hold, i.e., when the initial number of $s w$ itches B5al is maximum (2). C onsequently, the num ber of Sw itches $21{ }_{1}{ }_{2}$ induced by line (B6a) equals the in itial number of sw itches 2 when ${ }_{1}=2$ while it decreases otherw ise.

Second, we assume that each string belongs to the sam e trace. W e seek the one-loop RG transform ation law obeyed by the num ber of sw itches

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 ;{ }_{1}^{0}+{ }_{2} ; \sum_{2}^{0} \tag{B7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

contained in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{0}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{R}_{2} \text { : } \tag{B7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of Eq. (4.4) with \# $=0$ one veri es again that the num ber of sw itches $n$ cannot increase after integrating over the fast $m$ odes up to one loop.

W e have proven that the RG ow sofhigh-gradient operators $m$ ade of any given num ber of Sw itches decouple from the RG ow $s$ of high-gradient operators $m$ ade of a lesser num ber of sw itches in sym $m$ etry class A. A s a corollary, the $m$ ixing $m$ atrix (4.1) is triangular in sym $m$ etry class A.

## 2. Sym m etry classes C and D

W e tum our attention to sym metry classes ${ }^{\#} j=1$, i.e., $S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{N})=U(\mathbb{N})$. W e rst consider the inter-trace form ula of the RG transform ation (4.5) applied to
where $\mathrm{M}:=\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}:=\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{1}$. It is su cient to consider the contributions proportional to \# in Eq. (4.5) as the rem aining term $s$ can be treated along the lines of symm etry class A. There are four such contributions
(a) (d):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2; } \quad 2_{2} \quad 1 ; 2 \quad 1 ; \quad 2 \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A} \underset{1}{0} \mathrm{M}\right) \text {; } \\
& \text { 1; }{ }_{1}^{0} \quad 1 ; 2 \quad 1 ; 2 \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A} \underset{2}{0} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{M}\right) \text {; }  \tag{B9a}\\
& \text { (B9b) } \\
& \text { 1; }{ }_{1}^{0} \quad{ }_{1}^{0} ;{ }_{2}^{0} \quad{ }_{1}^{0} ; ~{ }_{2}^{0} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right) \text {; } \\
& 2 ; \quad{ }_{2}^{0} \quad{ }_{1}^{0} ; 2_{2}^{0} ;{ }_{2}^{0} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{MA}_{1}^{+}\right) \text {: } \tag{B9d}
\end{align*}
$$

W e assign to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}:=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{0} \mathrm{M}\right) \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$

the quartet of num bers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} ; \mathrm{n}^{+} ; \mathrm{n}_{+} ; \mathrm{n}\right) \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ counts the total number of sw itches of type A A in A. In sym m etry classes D and C, we can com bine tro $=\operatorname{trO}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and the symmetry $(\mathrm{A})^{\mathrm{T}}=$ \#A , to infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} ; \mathrm{n}^{+} ; \mathrm{n}_{+} ; \mathrm{n}\right)=\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}_{+} ; \mathrm{n}^{+} ; \mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}\right): \tag{B12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s opposed to sym m etry class A, individual sw itches n are not separately conserved but the total number of sw itches

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{n} \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

will be show $n$ to be conserved.
W thout loss of generality we choose $=+$ in A. The total num ber of $\operatorname{sw}$ itches $\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}$and $\mathrm{n}^{+}$are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}=\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} \mathbb{N}\right] ; \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{n}^{+}=\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{N}\right] ;
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. The total num ber of sw itches $n_{+}$and $n$ follow from using $A=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{+}\right) \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+}\right)$ and are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{n}_{+}=\mathrm{n}+\mathbb{A}{\underset{1}{1}}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{A}_{1}^{+}}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+} \mathbb{A}{\underset{2}{0}}_{\mathrm{NA}_{2}^{+}}^{2} ; \\
& \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}\left[\mathrm{~A}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{n}\left[\mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{NA}_{2}^{+}\right] ; \tag{B14c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{tar} \mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{\mathrm{M}} \quad \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~N}
$$

(B 8) respectively.

The num ber of sw itches B14) in A changes under the RG transform ation ( 99) as follow s. The total num ber of sw itches $\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}$and $\mathrm{n}^{+}$from line B 9ab is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}[(\mathrm{a})] & \left.\left.=\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} \mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right] \\
& \left.\left.=\mathrm{n}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} \mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{1}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right] ;  \tag{B15a}\\
\mathrm{n}^{+}[(\mathrm{a})] & \left.=\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right] \\
& \left.=\mathrm{n}_{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{0} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right] ; \tag{B15b}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where we again $m$ ade use of the sym $m$ etry condition $(\mathrm{A})^{\mathrm{T}}=$ \#A , and the fact that the num ber of A in a trace is alw ays even. Sim ilarly, the total num ber of $S w$ itches $n_{+}$and $n$ from line B 9ad is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n}_{+}[(a)] & \left.\left.\left.=\mathrm{n}_{+} \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{A}_{1} \mathrm{MA}_{2}^{+}\right] \\
& \left.\left.=\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{N}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{n}_{+} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{A}_{1} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+}\right] ; \tag{B15c}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{n}[(\mathrm{a})]=$ (as above with ! ); (B 15d)
respectively. Thus, the total num ber of Sw itches changes from

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n}= & \left.\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{1}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{0}\right] \\
& \left.+\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{N}\right]+\mathrm{n}\left[\mathbb{A} 0_{1} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{n}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{NA}_{2}^{+}\right] \tag{B16}
\end{align*}
$$

to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{n}[(\mathrm{a})]= & \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{n} & \left.\left[\mathrm{~A}_{0}^{0} \mathrm{NA}_{2}^{+}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{0}^{0}\right]+\mathrm{n}^{+} \mathbb{M}\right] \tag{B17}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for the contribution from line B9al. The net change $n j(a)=n \quad n[(a)]$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \text { n } \\
& n j(a)=n^{+}\left[A^{+} A_{2}\right] \quad n\left[A_{2}^{0} A_{1}^{+}\right] \\
& +\mathrm{n}\left[\mathbb{A}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right] \quad \mathrm{n} \quad\left[\mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+}\right] \text {: } \tag{B18}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 2; }{\underset{2}{2}}_{1 ; 2}=1 \text {, we nd } \\
& n j(a)=\mathrm{XB}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{0}\right] \quad \mathrm{n}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}^{+}\right] \\
& \left.+\mathrm{n}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 \\
1
\end{array} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right] \quad \mathrm{n} \quad A_{0} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~A}_{1}^{+}\right] \\
& =0 \tag{B19}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the help of the prefactor $2 ; 0_{2} 1_{1} 2_{1 ;}$. W hen ${ }_{2} ;_{2}^{0}{ }_{2}{ }_{2}=1$, we nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n j(a)=\mathrm{XA}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}^{+}\left[\mathbb{A}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{A}_{2}^{0}\right] \quad \mathrm{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{0}^{+}{ }_{2}\right]
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \text { : } \tag{B20}
\end{align*}
$$

W e conclude that the total num ber of sw itches does not increase under the RG transform ation (B9a).
$R$ epeating the sam e analysis for the contributions (b)
(d) in Eq. B 9) y ields that the change in the totalnum ber of Sw itches is 0 .

W e leave it to the reader to verify that the sam e conclusion holds for the intra-trace form ula (4.4).

This completes the proof for the upper triangular structure of the $R G$ equation $w$ th respect to the total num ber of sw itches in sym $m$ etry classes $D$ and $C$.

APPENDIXC: RG FLOW SOF
H IG H - GRAD IENT OPERATORSFOR NL M ON SUPERMANIFOLDS

We derive in this appendix two \m aster form ulae" needed to perform the one-loop RG analysis of highgradient operators for $\mathrm{NL} M$ de ned on an arbitrary R ie$m$ annian or $K$ ahlerian superm anifold. M aster form ulae (C 19) and (C 40) are supersym $m$ etric versions ofE q. (4.3) and can be used for any target superm anifold once the geom etrical data of the $m$ anifold are known. An expansion in term s of nom al coordinate of tensor elds plays again an essential role. For the non-supersym $m$ etric $R$ ie$m$ annian case, an expansion in term $s$ ofR iem ann norm al coordinate (RNC) based on the notion of geodesics was used for the com putation ofthe beta function in allgenerality ${ }^{32,57}$ and the RG analysis of high-gradient operators for the special case of the $O(\mathbb{N})=0(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) N L M \underline{44} 45$. The RNC expansion can also be applied to Hem itian $m$ anifolds. For the (non-supersym $m$ etric) $K$ ahlerian case, how ever, one can also use the $K$ ahler potential to nd a norm al coordinate system, the $K$ ahler norm al coordinates ( $\mathrm{K} N \mathrm{~N}$ ) introduced in Refs. 65 and 66. In this Appendix, wew illgeneralize these norm alcoordinate expansions to the case of supersym $m$ etric target $m$ anifolds. A $l l$ the results that follow reduce to the non-supersym $m$ etric ones if we ignore the com m uting-anticom m uting nature of the o.b jects and ferm ionic sign factors.

1. $N L M$ on $R$ iem annian superm an ifolds
a. N otations and conventions for R iem annian super$m$ anifolds $W$ ebegin $w$ th a quick review ofconcepts and
notation. W e refer the reader to $\mathrm{Ref}$.59 for a textbook on the geom etry of superm anifolds.

A tensor eld of rank ( $\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}$ ) on a superm anifold has r contravariant (upper) and s covariant (lower) indices, $T^{a b}{ }_{c}{ }^{d}:::$. On a superm anifold, com ponents of tensor elds can be either commuting or anticom $m$ uting supemum bers.

In order to de ne theirm utual statistics, the grade $(O)=0 ; 1$ is assigned to each object $O$ on a superm anifold, where $O$ can be a supemum ber, a supertensor eld, a component of a supertensor eld, etc. W hen the ordering of two objects $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is exchanged, a factor (1) ( $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ ) ( $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) arises, $\mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}=(1)^{\left(\mathrm{O}_{1}\right)}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{1}$ : In order to de ne the grade for the com ponent of a tensor eld of arbitrary rank, we rst assign a grade to each of its index. If index a corresponds to a com $m$ uting com ponent of the coordinates of a point on the superm anifold $(a)=0$, while $(a)=1$ otherw ise. For sim plic-止y, a statistical factor ( 1) ${ }^{\text {(a) }}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ is often abbreviated by $(1)^{a b}$, e.g., $X^{a} Y^{b}=(1)^{a b} Y^{b} X^{a}$. The grade of the com ponent $T^{a b}{ }_{c}{ }^{d}$ ::: of the tensor eld $T$ is then $\left(T_{c}^{a b}{ }_{c}^{d}:::\right)=(a)+(b)+(c)+(d)+70$

It is convenient to introduce a shifting rule for the left $m$ ost index of tensors,

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{b}:::} \mathrm{c:::}=\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{ab}:::_{c:: ;}}  \tag{C1a}\\
& \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b}:: \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}:::}}=(1)^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}::{ }_{c:::}:} \tag{C1b}
\end{align*}
$$

As with all other objects on a superm anifold, derivatives also carry a grade. Thus, derivatives from the left $@_{a}$ and the right $@_{a}$ need to be distinguished. They are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{a} f=(1)^{a(f)+a_{f} @_{a} ; ~} \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e f$ is a function over the $m$ anifold. In this appendix we will mainly use derivatives @ a and covariant derivatives $r a$ that act from the right.

Super transposition of $m$ atrices, denoted by , is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{j}}=(1)^{\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{i}+j)}{ }_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~K}^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; }  \tag{C3a}\\
& { }_{j} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=(1)^{\mathrm{i}+}{ }^{\mathrm{j}+\mathrm{ij}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }_{j} \text {; }  \tag{C3b}\\
& { }^{j} \mathrm{~N} \quad{ }^{j}=(1)^{i j}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~N}^{j} \text { : } \tag{C3c}
\end{align*}
$$

For illustration, we shall apply these rules to the com ponents $g_{a b}$ of the $m$ etric tensor eld, and com ponents $g^{a b}$ of the inverse of the $m$ etric tensor eld. From the shifting rule

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{a} 9^{b} & =g^{a b} ; & & { }_{a} g_{b}=(1)^{a} g_{a b} ;  \tag{C4a}\\
{ }_{a} g_{c}{ }^{c} 9^{b} & ={ }_{a}{ }^{b} ; & & { }^{a} g^{c}{ }_{c} g_{b}={ }^{a}{ }_{b}:
\end{align*}
$$

The supersym $m$ etry of the $m$ etric tensor eld im plies

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{a} g_{b} & =(1)^{a} g_{a b} \\
& =(1)^{a+a b} g_{b a} \\
& =(1)^{a+b+a b}{ }_{b} g_{a} ;  \tag{C5a}\\
{ }^{\mathrm{a} 9^{b}} & =g^{a b} \\
& =(1)^{a b} g^{b a} \\
& =(1)^{a b b} g^{a}: \tag{C5b}
\end{align*}
$$

A $n$ index of a com ponent $X^{a}$ of a supervector eld can be raised, low ered, and shifted according to the rules

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
{ }^{a} X=X^{a} ; & { }_{a} X=(1)^{a} X_{a} ; & \text { (C 6a) } \\
{ }_{a} X={ }_{a} g_{b}{ }^{b} X ; & X_{a}=X^{b}{ }_{b} g_{a} ; & \text { (C 6b) } \\
{ }^{a} X={ }^{a} g^{b}{ }_{b} X ; & X^{a}=X_{b}{ }^{b} g^{a}: & \text { (C 6c) }
\end{array}
$$

b. TheNL M on a Riem annian superm anifold $T$ he NL M on a Riem annian supem anifold is de ned by the partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =\mathrm{D}[] e^{\mathrm{S}[]} ; \\
\mathrm{Z}[] & ={\frac{1}{4 t}{ }_{r}^{\mathrm{Z}} @^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{a} g_{b}() @^{b} ;}^{\mathrm{b}} ; \tag{C7a}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{a}(r) 2 R_{c}^{M} \quad R_{a}^{N}$ represents the $M+N$ com ponents of the coordinates ofpoint $r$ on the target $m$ anifold, w th M refering to the num ber of com $m$ uting coordinates whereas $N$ that of the num ber of anti-com $m$ uting ones, 59 and $t$ is the NL M coupling constant.
c. The covariant background eld $m$ ethod and the super R iem ann norm alcoordinate expansion In the background eld $m$ ethod, the covariant vector eld ${ }^{a}(r)$ is separated into tw o parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{a}(r)=a^{a}(r)+{ }^{a}(r) ; \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereby ${ }^{a}(r)$ is assum ed to be a slow ly varying solution to the classicalequations ofm otion which transform s like a contravariant vector and ${ }^{a}(r)$ represents uctuations around the slow degrees of freedom ${ }^{a}(r)$.

T w o steps are needed to perform the one-loop RG program in a covariant fashion. First, we need to trade the expansion in term s of ${ }^{a}$, which is not covariant, for that of elds a that transform like contravariant vectors. Second, we need to rotate the RN C by a vielbein to evaluate the functional integral over the fast $m$ odes.

The RNC is based on the notion of geodesics on R iem annian m anifolds. Since alm ost all the notions on a $R$ iem annian $m$ anifold such as form $s$, connection, the $R$ ie$m$ ann tensor, geodesics, etc., have their counterpart on a R iem annian superm anifold 59 , it is straightforw ard to develop the super extension of the RNC expansion. The expansion of a covariant tensor eld $T$ in term s of the super RNC ${ }^{a}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " } \\
& T_{b_{1}}{ }_{1}(b+)=T_{b_{1}}{ }_{1}(b)+T_{b_{1}}{ }_{1} r_{b c}()^{c}+\frac{1}{2!} T_{b_{1}} \quad r_{1} C_{1} r_{c_{2}} \\
& \frac{1}{3}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{1}} T_{b_{1}} \quad{ }_{i 1^{b} b_{i+1}} \quad(b 1)^{\left(b_{i}+b\right)\left(b_{i+1}+\right.} \quad{ }^{1+b} R_{b_{i} c_{1} c_{2}}^{b}+(1)^{b_{i} c_{1}} R^{b}{ }_{c_{1} b_{i} c_{2}} \quad c^{c_{2}} c_{1}+\quad: \tag{C9}
\end{align*}
$$

The RNC expansion for @ $\left({ }^{a}+{ }^{a}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
@(a+a)=@ \quad a+{ }^{a} D+\frac{1}{3} R^{a}{ }_{c_{1} C_{2} C_{3}} @ \quad c_{3} c_{2} c_{1}+\quad ; \tag{C10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D is the right covariant derivative for ${ }^{\text {a }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{D}=@ a+{ }_{\mathrm{bc}} @ \quad \mathrm{c} b ; \tag{C11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and ${ }_{b}{ }_{b c}$ is a com ponent ofthe connection. For a R iem annian superm anifold the connection can be derived from the m etric. W ith the covariant expansion Eqs. (C 9b) and (C 10) the action is expanded in term $s$ of a according to
d. $V$ ielbeins on a $R$ iem annian superm anifold $T$ o integrate over the fast $m$ odes in the expansion (C 12), we rotate ${ }^{a}$ to ${ }^{a}$ w ith the linear transform ation

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{a} & ={ }^{a}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{a}}() ; & a & ={ }^{a}{ }_{\hat{a}} e^{a}() ;  \tag{C13a}\\
\hat{a} & ={ }^{\hat{a}} \hat{e}_{a}()^{a} ; & b & ={ }^{b} \hat{e}_{\hat{b}}()^{\hat{b}} ; \tag{C13b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{a} e^{\hat{a}}{ }_{a} e^{b}=a^{b} ; \quad \quad{ }_{a}^{a}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{b}}={ }_{a}^{\hat{b}} ; \\
& { }^{a} \hat{e}_{\hat{a}}{ }^{a} \hat{e}_{b}={ }^{a}{ }_{b} ; \quad{ }^{a} \hat{e}_{a}{ }^{a} \hat{e}_{\hat{b}}={ }^{a}{ }_{\hat{b}} ; \\
& (1)^{a(a+a)}{ }_{a}^{a} \epsilon^{a}={ }^{a} \hat{\theta}_{\hat{a}} ;  \tag{C14c}\\
& (1)^{a(a+\hat{a})}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{a}}={ }^{\hat{a}} e_{a} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, the vielbeins (and their inverse) et are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} \hat{E}_{a}^{a} g_{b}^{b} \hat{E}_{\hat{b}}=\hat{a} \hat{b} ; \quad{ }_{a} \hat{E}_{\hat{a} \hat{b}}^{\hat{b}} \hat{E}_{b}={ }_{a} g_{b} \tag{C15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{a}_{\hat{b}}=\begin{array}{cc}
D_{M} & 0 \\
0 & D_{N}
\end{array} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

O bserve that we are allow ing the bosonic part of to be pseudo $R$ iem annian, i.e., is allowed to have 1 as well as +1 as its diagonalelem ents. From now on, latin letters $w$ ith a hat refer to the coordinates of the $R$ iem annian m anifold in the vielbein basis.
$T$ he covariant expansion of the action in term sof ${ }^{a}$ is given by
where yet another covariant derivative from the right

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}^{\hat{D}} & =@ \hat{a}+{ }^{\hat{a}} A()_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{b}} ;  \tag{C16b}\\
{ }^{\hat{a}} \hat{D} & =@ \text { à } \hat{b} \hat{b} A()^{\hat{a}} \tag{C16c}
\end{align*}
$$

has been introduced together w ith the O Sp ( $M$ - $N$ ) spin connection [ifthe bosonic part of is pseudo $R$ iem annian, O Sp ( M N ) should be replaced by $O \operatorname{Sp}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ where $M_{1=2}$ is the num ber of 1 in the diagonalpart of $w$ ith $M_{1}+M_{2}=M_{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\hat{a}}\left(!_{c}\right)_{\hat{b}}:{ }^{\hat{a}} e_{a}^{h}(1)^{c \hat{b}}{ }^{a} \hat{E}_{\hat{b}} @_{c}+{ }_{c b}{ }^{b} \hat{e}_{\hat{b}} \tag{C16d}
\end{equation*}
$$

the O Sp (M N ) gauge eld

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\hat{a}}(A)_{\hat{b}}=(1)^{\hat{b} \hat{a}\left(!_{c}\right)_{\hat{b}} \mathrm{a}}{ }^{c} ;  \tag{C16e}\\
& { }^{\hat{c}}(\mathrm{~A})_{\hat{b}}=(1)^{\left.\hat{b}(\hat{a}+\hat{b})_{\hat{b}}^{(A}\right)^{\hat{a}} ;} \tag{C16f}
\end{align*}
$$

and the $\operatorname{OSp}(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{N})$ eld strength tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{\hat{a}}(\mathrm{~F})_{\hat{b}}= & @{ }^{\hat{a}}(\mathrm{~A})_{\hat{b}} @^{\hat{a}}(\mathrm{~A})_{\hat{b}} \\
& +{ }^{\hat{a}}(\mathrm{~A})_{e}^{e}(\mathrm{~A})_{\hat{b}}{ }^{\hat{a}}(\mathrm{~A})_{e}^{e}(\mathrm{~A})_{\hat{b}} \\
= & { }^{\hat{a}} \hat{e}_{\mathrm{a}} R^{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\mathrm{bcd}} @{ }^{\mathrm{d}} @{ }^{c}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \hat{e}_{\hat{b}}: \quad \text { (C 16g) }
\end{aligned}
$$

T he com ponents of the $R$ iem ann tensor are here $R^{a}{ }_{b c d} \cdot$
e. O ne-loop beta function $T$ he integration over the fast $m$ odes is perform ed $w$ ith the help of the cum ulant expansion. The e ective action for the slow $m$ odes is, up to one-loop,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& Z: D[] e^{s[]+S[] ;}  \tag{C17a}\\
& S[]=\frac{1}{2} G_{0}(0){ }_{r}^{Z} @^{i}(1)^{i} R_{i j} @^{j} \\
& \text {; (C 17.b) }
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}:=(1)^{k(i+1)+1} g^{k l} R_{l i k j} \tag{C17c}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $R$ iccitensor.
f. RG ows of high-gradient operators $T$ he covariant expansion in term s of the super RNC applies to the high-gradient operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ijk}:::() @{ }^{\mathrm{i}} @{ }^{j} @ \text { k }} \tag{C18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as w elland can be used to com pute their anom alous scaling dim ensions. W e shall assum e that all the covariant derivatives of the tensor eld $T_{i j k}:::()$ vanish. If so we infer the RG transform ation law

Thus, all we need are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D @ }{ }_{2}^{E}=\frac{t d l}{3} R^{i}{ }_{b c d} @ d c^{\text {d }} ; \tag{C19b}
\end{align*}
$$

on the one hand and

$$
T_{b_{1}} \quad(b)^{i}=\frac{\operatorname{tdl} 1}{2!} \frac{X^{1}}{i=1} T_{b_{1}} \quad{ }_{i=1}^{b b b b_{i+1}} \quad(b 1)^{\left(b_{i}+b\right)\left(b_{i+1}+\right.} \quad{ }^{+\dagger b} R_{b_{i} c_{1} c_{2}}^{b}+(1)^{b_{i} c_{1}} R_{c_{1} b_{i} c_{2}}^{b} \quad{ }^{c_{2}} g^{c_{1}} ; \text { (C 19d) }
$$

on the other hand. Here, we are using the conform al indices de ned in Eq. 2.19).
2. $N$ L $M$ on $K$ ahler superm anifolds
g. Notations and conventions for Herm itian superm anifolds Som e additional notation is needed to deal $w$ ith $H$ erm itian and $K$ ahlerian $m$ anifolds.

W ith the convention that complex conjugation of a composite objects reverts the ordering, $\left(\mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3 \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}_{1}\right)\right.$, it is useful to introduce sign factors associated to the operation ofcom plex conjugation. For covariant and contravariant holom orphic and anti-holom onphic vectors, the action of the com plex conjugation is dened by

$$
\left(V^{a}\right)=V^{a} ; \quad\left(V_{a}\right)=(1)^{a} V_{a}: \quad \text { (C 20a) }
$$

For tensor elds of interest in this paper, the com -
plex conjugation of a tensor eld is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{A}_{1}:::}: \quad \mathrm{i}= \\
& \text { (1) })^{r+s}(A)+\left(A_{r+1}+\quad \pm A_{s}\right) \quad T^{A_{1}::::: A_{r+s}} \text {; } \\
& \text { with } \underset{r+s}{ }(A)=P_{t ; u=1 ; t<u}^{q} A_{t} A_{u} 71
\end{aligned}
$$

The grade for an antinolom onphic index is equalto that of its holom onphic counterpart, (a)=(a).

For illustration, we shall apply these rules to the com ponents $g_{b}$ of the $m$ etric tensor eld. Combining the rule (C20.b) w th the supersym $m$ etry of the $m$ etric (C5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a} g_{b}=(1)^{a+b+a b}\left(a g_{b}\right) ; \quad a g_{b}=(1)^{a+b+a b}{ }_{b} g_{a} ; \tag{C21}
\end{equation*}
$$

we infer that the $m$ etric tensor eld is superherm itian

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{b a}=\left(g_{a} b\right): \tag{C22}
\end{equation*}
$$

h. The NL M on a Herm itian superm anifold The NL M on a Herm itian superm anifold is de ned by the partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& Z=D[z ; z] e^{s[z ; z]} ;  \tag{c23a}\\
& S[z ; z]:=\frac{1}{2 t_{r}^{Z}}{ }_{r}^{@} z^{a}{ }_{a} g_{b}(z ; z) @{ }^{b} z \text {; } \tag{C23b}
\end{align*}
$$

where ( $z^{a} ; z^{a}$ ) represents coordinates on the com plex superm anifold. For a $K$ ahlerian superm anifold, the $m$ etric is derived from the $K$ ahler potential $K(z ; z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{a b}=k(z ; z) @_{a} @_{b}: \tag{C24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, observe that the shifting rule for indiges (C 1b) and the relation betw een the left-and right-derivatives (C 2)
are com patible.]
i. The covariant background eld $m$ ethod and the super $K$ ahler normal coordinate expansion In the background eld method, the covariant vector eld $\left(z^{a} ; z^{a}\right)(r)$ is separated into tw o parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{a}={ }^{a}+{ }^{a} ; \quad z^{a}={ }^{a}+{ }^{a} ; \tag{C25}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereby ( ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) (r) is assum ed to be a slow ly varying solution to the classicalequations ofm otion which transform s like a contravariant vector and ( a; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) (r) represents uctuations around the slow degrees of freedom ( ${ }^{a}$; ${ }^{a}$ ) (r).

The expansion in term $\operatorname{sof}(;)$ is tradedo forone in term sofnorm alcoordinates (w ;w ), the super version of the KNC.T he super KNC expansion for a tensor eld of rank $(0 ; r+s)$ with $r$ holom onphic and $s$ antinolom orphic indioes $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{ }_{\mathrm{r}}$ bp ${ }_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{r}} \\
& (1)^{\left(a+b_{i}\right)\left(b_{i+1}+\right.} \quad{ }^{s+1} \dot{I}_{b_{1}} \quad{ }_{i}{ }^{b b a b_{i+1}} \quad{ }_{r} c p \quad{ }_{s} R^{a}{ }^{a} b_{i} k_{1} I_{1} W^{1} W^{k_{1}}+ \\
& \mathrm{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$X^{s}$

The super KNC expansion for @ $\left({ }^{A}+w^{A}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& @\left({ }^{a}+{ }^{a}\right)=@{ }^{a}+w^{a} D+\frac{1}{2} R^{a}{ }_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}(;) @{ }^{G_{W}{ }^{c_{2}} W^{c_{1}}+} \\
& \left.@ a^{a}+{ }^{a}\right)=@^{j}+{ }^{a} W D+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{a} R_{c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}}(;) @{ }^{c_{3}} W^{{ }^{\mathrm{E}}}{ }_{W}{ }^{q}+ \tag{C27}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $D$ is the right covariant derivative for ( $\mathrm{w}^{j}$; $\mathrm{w}^{j}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& w^{j} D=w^{j} r_{a} @^{a} \\
& =@ W^{j}+{ }^{j}{ }_{a b} W^{b} @ \quad{ }^{a} \text {; }  \tag{C28a}\\
& { }^{j_{W}} D={ }^{j} W r_{a} @ \quad{ }^{a} \\
& =@^{j_{W}}+{ }^{j}{ }_{a b}{ }^{W} \text { bo } \\
& =\left(w^{j} D\right) \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

(C 28b)
w ith $A_{B C}$ the coe cient of the connection that satis es $\left({ }^{a}{ }_{b c}\right)=(1)^{c+b+a(b+c)+b c} a_{b}{ }_{b} \quad[$ see C 20.b) ]. W ith the covariant expansion Eqs. (C26) and (C27) the action
is expanded in term $s$ of ( $\left.\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{a}} ; \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 t}{ }_{r} R_{i j k l} W^{W^{k} @}{ }^{j @} \quad i \\
& \frac{1}{2} W \text { @ }{ }^{k} @ \quad{ }_{W} \text { i } \quad \frac{1}{2} @ \quad W^{k} W^{j} @ \quad i \\
& +\quad \text { : } \tag{C29}
\end{align*}
$$

j. V ielbeins on a Herm itian superm anifold Introduce the fast degrees of freedom ( ; ) by the linear
transform ations

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
a & =w^{a}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{a}} ; \quad w^{a}={ }^{a}{ }_{a} e^{a} ; \\
a & ={ }^{a} e_{a}{ }^{a} w ; & { }^{b} w={ }^{b} e_{\hat{b}} \hat{b} ; \tag{C30b}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{a} & =w^{a}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{a}} ; \quad \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{a}}=\hat{a}_{\hat{a}} e^{a} ;(\text { (C 30c }) \\
\hat{a} & ={ }^{a} e_{a}{ }^{a_{w}} ; \quad{ }^{b_{w}}={ }^{b} e_{\hat{b}}{ }^{\hat{b}} ;(\text { C 30d })
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{a} A^{a}{ }_{a} e^{b}={ }_{a}{ }^{b} ; \quad{ }_{a} A^{a}{ }_{a} A^{\hat{b}}={ }_{a}{ }^{\hat{b}} ; \\
& { }^{a} \hat{e}_{a}{ }^{a} \hat{e}_{b}={ }^{a}{ }_{b} ; \quad{ }^{\hat{a}} \hat{e}_{a}{ }^{a} \hat{e}_{\hat{b}}={ }^{\hat{a}}{ }_{\hat{b}} ; \\
& (1)^{\hat{a}(\hat{a}+a)}{ }_{\hat{a}} e^{a}={ }^{a} e_{\hat{a}} ; \quad(1)^{a(a+\hat{a})}{ }_{a} A^{\hat{a}}={ }^{\hat{a}} e_{a} ; \\
& \text { (C 30g) } \\
& { }_{a} A^{\hat{a}}=(1)^{(a+a) a}{ }_{a} E^{\hat{a}} ; \quad\left({ }_{a} E^{a}\right)=(1)^{(a+\hat{a}) \hat{a}}{ }_{a} E^{a}:
\end{aligned}
$$

(C 30h)
$T$ hese de nitions of the vielbeins are equivalent to the non-supersym $m$ etic cases except the last two lines. T he vielbeins (and their inverse) et are de ned such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{a}{ }_{a} g_{b}{ }^{b} w=\hat{a}_{\hat{a} \hat{b}}^{\hat{b}} \tag{C30i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the canonical form of the $m$ etric is given by

$$
\text { a }_{\hat{b}}=\operatorname{diag} I_{M_{1}} ; I_{M_{2}} ; I_{N}: \quad \text { (C 30j) }
$$

N ote that we are allow ing the bosonic part of to be pseudo $R$ iem annian, i.e., is allow ed to have 1 as well as +1 as its diagonal elem ents. From now on, latin letters with a hat refer to the coordinates of the $H$ erm itian m anifold in the vielbein basis.

The covariant expansion of the action in term $s$ of ( ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {à }}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{S}\left[{ }_{\mathrm{Z}} \quad ; \quad+\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{i}]=\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{2 t_{Z^{r}}} \hat{D}_{h}^{\hat{D}}\right)_{\hat{a}} \hat{b} \hat{\phi} \hat{D}\right) \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2 t}{ }_{r}^{R_{i j k l}}{ }^{h} \hat{i}_{\hat{1}} A^{l}\right)\left(\hat{k}_{\hat{k}}^{\hat{k}}\right) e^{k}{ }^{j} @ \quad i \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{i}_{\hat{1}} e^{l}\right) @{ }^{k} @{ }^{j}\left(\hat{i}_{\hat{i}} \sum^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where yet another covariant derivative from the right

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{a}^{\hat{D}} & =@^{\hat{a}}+{ }^{\hat{a}}(\hat{A})_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{b}} ;  \tag{C32a}\\
\hat{a} \hat{D} & =@ \hat{a} \hat{b^{\hat{b}}}(\hat{A})^{\hat{a}} ;  \tag{C32b}\\
\hat{D}^{\hat{a}} & =@^{\hat{a}}+{ }^{\hat{a}}(\hat{A})_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{b}} ;  \tag{C32c}\\
\hat{D} \hat{a} & =@ \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{b}(A)^{\hat{a}}
\end{align*}
$$

(C 32d)
has been introduced together w the $\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} ; \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ gauge eld A. The gauge eld with di erent indexstructures are related by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\hat{a}^{\hat{D}} \hat{D}=\hat{a}^{\hat{D}} \hat{A}\right) \quad{ }^{\hat{a}}(\mathrm{~A})_{\hat{b}}=(1)^{\hat{b}(\hat{a}+\hat{b})}{ }_{\hat{b}}(\mathrm{~A})^{\hat{a}} ; \\
& \text { (C 33a) } \\
& \hat{(\hat{D}})=\hat{D} \quad \hat{a}) \quad \hat{b}(\hat{A})^{\hat{a}}=\hat{a}(\hat{A})_{\hat{b}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The gauge eld is skew superherm itian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{6}(\mathrm{~A})_{e}=e(\mathrm{~A})_{\hat{b}} \tag{C34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where indices of A are raised and lowered by . The explicit form of the gauge eld in term $s$ of the vielbeins is given by the $U\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} N\right)$ spin connection

$$
\begin{align*}
& a!\hat{b}_{c}={ }_{a} e^{a}{ }_{a} e^{\hat{b}} @_{c} \quad ;  \tag{C35a}\\
& \hat{a}!{ }^{\hat{b}}{ }_{c}={ }_{a} \hat{e}_{p_{h}} p e^{\hat{b}} a_{c} \\
& ={ }_{a} e^{a}\left(a e^{\hat{b}} @_{c}\right)(1)^{a+a r+(r+\hat{b}) c} \quad r_{a c r} e^{\hat{\phi}} \text {; }  \tag{C35b}\\
& \hat{a}!_{\hat{b} c}=(1)^{c(\hat{b}+\hat{a})} \hat{b}!_{\hat{a} c} ; \tag{C35c}
\end{align*}
$$

whereby

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(A)^{\hat{b}}=: a_{a}!\hat{b}_{c} @ \quad{ }^{c}+{ }_{a}!\hat{b}_{c} @ \quad{ }^{c}: \tag{C36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $U\left(M_{1} ; M_{2} N\right.$ ) eld strength tensor is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a }(\mathrm{F} \quad)^{\hat{b}}=@_{\text {a }}(\mathrm{A})^{\hat{b}} \quad @_{\text {a }}(\mathrm{A})^{\hat{b}} \\
& +{ }_{a}(A)_{e}^{e}(A)^{\hat{b}} \quad a(A)_{e}^{e} e(A)^{\hat{b}} \\
& =(1)^{a+a r}{ }_{a} A^{a} R^{r}{ }_{a d c} @ \quad{ }^{c} @ \quad d_{r} A^{\hat{b}} \\
& +(1)^{a+a r}{ }_{a} E^{a} R^{r}{ }_{\text {adc }} @ \quad{ }^{c} @ \quad{ }_{r}^{d} e^{\hat{6}}:(C \text { 37) }
\end{aligned}
$$

k. O ne-loop beta function $T$ he integration over the fastm odes ( ; ) is perform ed w th the help ofthe cum ulant expansion. The e ective action for the slow $m$ odes ( ; ) is, up to one-loop,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& Z: D[\text {; }] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{S}[\text {; }]+\mathrm{S}[\text {; ]; }} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& S[; \quad]=G_{0}(0) r_{r} R_{a b}{ }^{@}{ }^{b} @ \quad{ }^{a} \text {; (C 38b) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R_{i j}:=(1)^{\mathrm{k}+1+\mathrm{ill}} \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{kilj}}
$$

(C 38c)
is the $R$ iccitensor.

1. RG ows of high-gradient operators $T$ he covariant expansion in term $s$ of the super K N C applies to the high-gradient operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\text {IJK }}::(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}) @ \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{I}} @ \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{J}} @ z^{K} \tag{C39}
\end{equation*}
$$

as welland can be used to com pute their anom alous scaling dim ensions. W e shall assum e that all the covariant derivatives of the tensor eld $\mathrm{T}_{\text {IJK ::: }}(z ; z)$ vanish. If so we infer the RG transform ation law

$$
+\quad:
$$

Thus, all we need are
on the one hand and
$i=1$
on the other hand. Here, we are using the conform al indiaes de ned in Eq. 2.19).

Since a $K$ ahlerian superm anifold is a $R$ iem annian superm anifold, one can $m$ ake use ofeither the RNC orK N C expansion. Indeed, all the results in this appendix derived from the KNC expansion can actually be obtained
from the RNC expansion as well. A subtle thing here is that the RNC and KNC expansion for a tensor eld $\mathrm{T}_{\text {IJK }}::(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})$ and @ $\mathrm{z}^{\text {A }}$ are di erent. $65,66 \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, the RNC and KNC expansions are identicalw hen applied to the high-gradient operators (C39) and thus give us the sam e results.
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