# Quantum transport properties of two-dim ensional electron gases under high m agnetic elds

Thierry Champel<sup>1,2</sup> and Serge Florens<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut fur Theoretische Festkorperphysik, Universitat Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique et M odelisation des M ilieux Condenses, CNRS et Universite Joseph Fourier,

25 Avenue des Martyrs, BP 166, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

Institut fur Theorie der Kondensierten Materie,

Universitat Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Institut Neel, CNRS et Universite Joseph Fourier,

25 Avenue des Martyrs, BP 166, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

(D ated: January 11, 2022)

We study quantum transport properties of two-dimensional electron gases under high perpendicular magnetic elds. For this purpose, we reform ulate the high-eld expansion, usually done in the operatorial language of the guiding-center coordinates, in terms of vortex states within the framework of real-time G reen functions. These vortex states arise naturally from the consideration that the Landau levels quantization can follow directly from the existence of a topological winding number. The microscopic computation of the current can then be performed within the K eldysh form alism in a systematic way at nite magnetic elds B (i.e. beyond the semi-classical limit B = 1). The form alism allows us to de ne a general vortex current density as long as the gradient expansion theory is applicable. As a result, the total current is expressed in terms of edge contributions only. We obtain the rst and third lowest order contributions to the current due to Landau-levels mixing processes, and derive in a transparent way the quantization of the H all conductance. Finally, we point out qualitatively the importance of inhom ogeneities of the vortex density to capture the dissipative longitudinal transport.

PACS num bers: 73.43.-f,72.15.Rn,73.50.Jt

I. IN TRODUCTION

As super uidity and superconductivity, the integer quantum Halle ect is a spectacular manifestation of the quantum nature at a macroscopic scale. Interestingly, these three phenom ena share the same property of disappearance of the dissipation at low temperatures. In the two-dimensional electron gases in the regime of the quantum Halle ect, the vanishing of the longitudinal magnetoresistance  $R_{xx}$  for a range in magnetic elds, is accompanied by another remarkable feature which is the form ation of plateaus of the Hall conductance  $G_{xy}$  with a robust quantization<sup>1</sup> in integralmultiples of  $e^2$ =h.

There is now a consensus<sup>2,3,4</sup> that the existence of  $G_{xy}$ plateaus is intimately connected with the localization mechanism which causes the vanishing of dissipation. In a phenom enological picture the localized states that do not contribute to the longitudinal transport play the role of a reservoir for electrons which allows the chem ical potential to sit between Landau levels "<sub>n</sub> = (n + 1=2)~!<sub>c</sub> (here  $!_{c}$  is the cyclotron pulsation). On the other hand, delocalized states are supposed to exist only within small energy bands centered around the energies "n . A s long as the chem ical potential lies within the region of localized states, the Hall conductance  $G_{xy}$  is expected to remain constant and is related to the number of led bands of delocalized states below the chem ical potential. The observation of wide plateaus implies consequently a large density for the localized states with a much more signi cant spread in energy than for the density of delocalized

states. The density of states with a mobility gap is therefore the essential condition for the quantum Halle ect.<sup>2</sup>

theoretical M any di erent approaches<sup>5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17</sup> have been proposed to explain the quantum Halle ect, which can be divided in several classes. The most fruitful treatments, such as Laughlin's gauge argument,<sup>5</sup> and the edge state picture,6,16,17 provide an explanation for the quantization of the Hall conductance, but rely on the assumption that there exist localized states in the bulk. D irect diagram m atic calculations have been developed as well. Models with point-like in purities are technically m anageable,<sup>7</sup> but require the arti cial pinning of the chem ical potential within gaps in the density of states to account for the existence of quantized H all plateaus. Further developments to include nite-range disorder within the G reen functions diagram m atics were pursued, but appear more complicated, since it is needed to incorporate more and more diagrams with increasing strength of the magnetic eld.<sup>18</sup>

It is worth m entioning that the standard procedure<sup>19</sup> that consists in averaging over in purity positions is in fact questionable in high magnetic elds. Indeed, it is norm ally justiled by the physical assumption of random ness after successive collision events. However, instead of the chaotic random walk, the electronic motion is relatively regular for high magnetic elds and smooth disorder potential. At the classical level, this leads to the breakdown of the D rude-Lorentz form ula.<sup>20</sup> Q uantum mechanically, this idea has led to the most simple

and illum inating approach to explain the existence of Hall. plateaus, the guiding-center picture,<sup>3,10,11,12,13,14,15,21,22</sup> which is a high-eld approximation. Within this "sem iclassical" description, the quantized cyclotron m otion energy becom es a constant ofm otion when the disorder potential is sm ooth and varies less than the Landau levels separation (in order to have negligible Landau levelsm ixing). The reason for this is an ine ective energy exchange between the two degrees of freedom, the fast cyclotron motion, and the slow guiding center motion. W ithout such an exchange, the strong eld localization is then associated with the drift motion of the guiding center of the cyclotron orbit along contours of constant disorder potential. The delocalization of electronic states is then due to the percolation of equipotential lines, that occurs at a single critical energy. The localization is thus determ ined in term s of the topology of the equipotential lines, and the resulting spectral properties vindicate the mobility gap argument for the appearance of the quantum Halle ect in high elds.

The computation of quantum transport beyond the B = 1 lim it has how ever not been achieved in a system atic way.<sup>3,11,12,14,15</sup> Because the matrix elements of the current density relate adjacent Landau levels, the mixing of Landau levels (which is absent at in nite magnetic eld) needs thus to be taken into account in a rigorous way. In this paper, we reform ulate the high eld expansion in the fram ework of real-time G reen functions using a particular set of vortex eigenstates. The fram ework developed in our paper, although form ally equivalent to the guiding center approach, allows a straightforward and system atic calculation of quantum transport at nite magnetic elds B (i.e. beyond the sem i-classical  $\lim it B = 1$  ), and leads to a transparent derivation of both the dissipation less longitudinal conductance and the quantized Hallresistance. We also show starting from our bulk calculation that the conductance can be expressed in terms of edge contributions, thus providing a connection between the high-eld approaches and the edge state picture. This result is found up to the third order in processes mixing the Landau-levels, and may be a general result valid at all orders as long as the gradient expansion theory is applicable. We interpret this as a fundam ental manifestation of the robustness of the electronic vortex states, which gives rise to strong localization properties at large but nite magnetic elds and in long-range disorder.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we consider the simple problem of a single charged particle in a magnetic eld. We ist analyze within a gauge-independent framework the origin of the Landau levels energy quantization in terms of the wave-particle duality. Such a prelim inary discussion is needed because this origin is usually obscured by the choice of a gauge and the high degeneracy of the energy levels. We derive a basis of eigenstates in a way which shows that the energy quantization follows only from the single-valuedness of the wavefunction, i.e. from a topological condition. In Sec. III, by using this basis of vortex states, we introduce within the framework of the real-time G reen functions the high-eld expansion that allows to treat a smooth disorder. In Sec. IV we study them odynam is properties, and especially compute the dependence of the chem ical potential on the magnetic eld, that is in portant to determ ine the width of the H all plateaus for dierent tem peratures and disorder broadenings. Quantum transport properties in high magnetic elds are investigated in Sec. V.W e discuss and sum marize our work in Section VI. Some of the technical details such as the derivation and the resolution of the kinetic equation have been collected in the appendices.

# II. PRELIM INARIES

In this Section, we consider a single electron of e ective m ass m and of charge e = jej con ned in a (xy) two-dimensional plane under a perpendicular magnetic eld B (pointing in the z direction). The in uence of an impurity potential is postponed to Section III. The ham iltonian has the form

$$H_0 = p \frac{e}{c} A^2 = 2m = i \sim r + \frac{jej}{c} A^2 = 2m$$
 (1)

where A is the vector potential which is determ ined from the equation

$$r \quad A = B = B2:$$
 (2)

The vector potential is de ned by this way up to the gradient of an arbitrary function (r) (i.e. a gauge factor). Here, for simplicity, we do not consider the spin degree of freedom and disregard therefore the Zeem an splitting term. The wave functions and the energy eigenvalues E are derived from the stationary Schrodinger's equation

$$H_0 = E : (3)$$

Because it is the vector potential A rather than the magnetic eld B which appears in H  $_0$ , there is some freedom in solving Eq. (3). In the next two subsections we introduce the two most known ways presented in textbooks to solve it, before analyzing the question of the origin of the quantization of the energy spectrum.

#### A. Translation-invariant eigenstates

The traditional way<sup>23</sup> to solve the eigen-problem (3) is to introduce the Landau gauge, for which the vector potential has the form  $A = xB \uparrow$ . The ham iltonian is then also obviously invariant by translation in the y direction so that the wave function is sought under the form

$$(x;y) = f_p(x) \exp(ipy)$$

with p real. The function  $f_{\rm p}\left(x\right)$  obeys the di erential equation

$$f_{p}^{00}(x) + \frac{2m}{2}E = p + \frac{x}{l_{B}^{2}} = f_{p} = 0$$
 (4)

typical of an harm onic oscillator. Here we have introduced the magnetic length

$$l_{\rm B} = \frac{p}{\sim c= j_{\rm B} \beta}; \qquad (5)$$

which is related to the quantum of magnetic  $\,$  ux  $\,_0$  as follows

$$_{0} = 2 l_{B}^{2} B = \frac{hc}{jej}$$
 (6)

Introducing the new coordinate  $x^0 = x$   $x_0$  with  $x_0 = p_B^2$ , a solution of (4) is sought under the form

$$f_{p}(x) = \exp - \frac{x^{02}}{2l_{B}^{2}} h(x^{0})$$

where  $h(x^0)$  is expanded in power series. The condition that  $f_p ! 0$  for x ! 1 imposes that the function h is a polynom ial of order n and leads to the well-know n result of the quantization of the energy spectrum

$$E_{n,p} = ~!_{c} n + \frac{1}{2}$$
; (7)

where  $!_c = j_c \beta = m c$  is the cyclotron pulsation. Here the energy E is independent of the quantum number p. This expresses an important degeneracy of the energy levels. Finally, the wave functions are thus labeled by the quantum numbers n and p and are written as

$$_{n,p}(x;y) = e^{ipy} exp - \frac{(x x_0)^2}{2l_B^2} H_n \frac{x x_0}{l_B}$$
 (8)

with H<sub>n</sub> the Herm ite polynom ial of degree n. W ithin this basis, the moduli  $j_{n,p}(x;y)$  jhave the property of being translation invariant in the y direction, and the eigenstates  $n_{,p}(x;y)$  are not square-integrable in the plane.

### B. Rotation-invariant eigenstates

Instead of the Landau gauge, one can use the sym m etrical gauge for which the vector potential is A = B = r=2. Introducing the polar coordinates (r; ), one can straightforwardly see that the ham iltonian H<sub>0</sub> is invariant by any rotation around the origin. Then a convenient form for the wave function is

$$(\mathbf{r}; ) = f_{m} (\mathbf{r}) \exp(im)$$
(9)

with m a positive or negative integer, condition required by the single-valuedness of the wave function. The function  $f_{\rm m}~(r)$  obeys the one-dimensional dimensional dim

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr} r\frac{df_{m}}{dr} + \frac{2m}{r}\frac{E}{r^{2}} - \frac{m}{r} + \frac{r}{2l_{B}^{2}} f_{m} = 0;$$
(10)

W e search the function  $f_{\mbox{\scriptsize m}}$  under the form

$$f_m(r) = r^{j_m j} e^{r^2 - 4l_B^2} g_m(r)$$
: (11)

ı

The function  $g_m$  is then given by the equation

$$\frac{d^{2}g_{m}}{dr^{2}} + \frac{2jn j+1}{r} \frac{r}{l_{B}^{2}} \frac{dg_{m}}{dr}$$

$$+ \frac{2m}{r^{2}} E \frac{-l_{c}}{2} (m + jn j+1) g_{m} = 0: \quad (12)$$

U sing the change of variable z =  $\ r^2 = 2 l_B^2$  , the equation is rew ritten in the form

$$z \frac{d^{2} g_{m}}{dz^{2}} + (jn j + 1 z) \frac{dg_{m}}{dz} + \frac{E}{\sim ! c} \frac{1}{2} (m + jn j + 1) g_{m} = 0; \quad (13)$$

The con uent hypergeometric function (;;z) obeys the same kind of equation

$$z\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + (z)\frac{d}{dz} = 0$$
: (14)

There exist two linearly independent solutions to this differential equation (;;z) and  $z^{1}$  (+1;2;z). The second solution diverges for z = 0 and is therefore not physically acceptable. Furtherm ore, for z ! 1

$$(;;;z) = \frac{()}{()}e^{z}z$$

with (z) the gamma function. Using the fact that the product  $rf_m$  (r) has to be square-integrable, we obtain that necessarily

$$\frac{1}{()} = 0$$

which leads to = lwhere lis a positive integer. Then the con uent hypergeom etric functions ( l; jn j+ 1;z) are proportional to the generalized Laguerre polynom ials  $L_1^{jn j}(z)$  of degree l. This results in the energy quantization

$$E = ~!_{c} l + \frac{1}{2} [j_{m} j + m] + \frac{1}{2} = ~!_{c} n + \frac{1}{2}$$
 (15)

with n = 1 + (jn j + m) = 2. The corresponding wavefunctions are labelled by the quantum numbers m and 1

$$m_{j1}(\mathbf{r}; ) = e^{im} \qquad \frac{r}{2l_B} \qquad e^{r^2 = 4l_B^2} L_1^{jn_j} \frac{r^2}{2l_B^2} :$$
(16)

Here, the moduli  $j_{m;l}(r; )j$  are rotation-invariant. In contrast with the form erbasis, the present eigenstates are square-integrable in the plane and m ay thus correspond to physical states. The solutions (16) describe states for which there is an equally probable distribution of orbits whose centers lie on a circle<sup>24</sup>.

# C. Origin of the energy quantization?

At this stage, let us compare the origin of the energy quantization in the two di erent derivations. In the rst case, the quantization originates from the vanishing of the wave function far from the origin in the x direction. A coording to the second derivation, two integral numbers contribute to the energy quantization: the rst integer (m) results from the condition of single-valuedness of the wave function, while the second integer (1) stems from the condition of square-integrability.

From this com parison, the existence of a physical interpretation for the energy quantization is not clear. How – ever, a clue for an unam biguous origin of this quantization seem s to be provided by sem i-classical arguments. A coording to the classical equations of motion, a single electron con ned to a plane and in the presence of a perpendicularm agnetic eld follows a circular orbit of radius  $R_c = v=!_c$  where v is a constant velocity. The classical kinetic energy can thus be written as

$$E = \frac{1}{2}m \quad v^{2} = \frac{1}{2}m \quad (R_{c}!_{c})^{2} = ~!_{c} - \frac{c}{0}$$
(17)

with  $_{c} = R_{c}^{2}B$  the magnetic ux enclosed by the trajectory. Making the correspondence between this classical expression and the quantum expression Eq. (7) or (15)] of the kinetic energy, we get in the sem i-classical limit n 1

$$_{c} = n_{0}$$
: (18)

In this lim it, the energy quantization can thus be interpreted as a consequence of the quantization of the ux enclosed by the cyclotron trajectory. Furtherm ore, the ux enclosed by the trajectory can be seen in semi-classical terms as the phase accum ulated during a cycle. We thus m ay wonder how the energy quantization is related to the phase of the wavefunction in fully quantum -mechanical terms. In subsection IIE, we shall present a third derivation, which has the advantage to show explicitly how the energy quantization arises only from a topological condition in the point of view of the electron's wave-particle duality.

### D. Gauge-invariant form ulation

In order to understand the di erence between the two bases derived previously and the role of the gauge, it is useful to work in a gauge-invariant form alism. An important consequence of the presence of a magnetic eld is that the ham iltonian  $H_0$  is always complex whatever the gauge chosen for the vector potential. This implies the existence of a non-trivial phase for the wave function. Let us thus seek in the form

$$= f \exp(i')$$

with f and ' two real functions. Substituting this form in the equation (3) we get the system of coupled equations

$$r f^{2} r' + \frac{2}{0}A = 0$$
 (19)

f + r' + 
$$\frac{2}{_{0}}A^{_{2}}f = \frac{2m}{_{\sim}^{2}}f$$
 (20)

where the functions ' and f have to be determ ined togetherw ith the energy E. N ote that in a hydrodynam ical picture<sup>25</sup> of quantum mechanics (see also e.g. Ref. 26),  $f^2$  plays the role of the density of a ctuous uid and Eq. (19) is nothing else than the equation of continuity for the current probability in the stationary case.

The explicit expression of the phase ' of the wave function depends on the choice of a gauge. Note that how - ever the system (19)-(20) is written in a gauge-invariant form. Indeed, if we consider the gauge transform ation  $A \ ! \ A^0 = A + r$ , the phase transform s as

$$' ! '^{0} = ' \frac{2}{0} :$$
 (21)

The gauge is thus reabsorbed additively in the expression (21) of the phase factor. A coordingly, the phase factor can be typically divided into two parts

a part ' $_{gd}$  which is gauge dependent, and a part ' $_{gi}$  which is gauge independent. Let us denote the com bination of the phase gradient and the quantity 2 A =  $_0$  by the gauge-invariant wavevector K, i.e.

$$K = r' + \frac{2}{0}A$$
 : (22)

Eqs. (19)-(20) are then rew ritten as

f

fr K + 2r f K = 0; (23)  
+ K<sup>2</sup> 
$$\frac{2m E}{2}$$
 f = 0: (24)

The coupling of the continuity equation (23) with the Eq. (24) was bypassed in the form enderivations of the energy

eigenvalues by invoking the choice of a gauge and sym – metry considerations. However, it is clear here that it is possible to get the energy quantization without specifying a gauge but rather by choosing the vectors K .

Indeed, for the translation-invariant states, we im pose that the function f depends only on the variable x. If we im pose in addition r K = 0, we obtain from Eq.2 $\beta$ ) that K k y. Then, the vectors K are fully determ ined from Eq. (22) which yields r K = 2 B  $2 = _0$  in the absence of phase singularities. A fler integration, we obtain that

$$K = p + \frac{2 xB}{0} \hat{\gamma}; \qquad (25)$$

where p is a constant of integration which is obviously gauge-independent. Substituting this ow for K in Eq. (24), we get the gauge-independent Eq. (4) yielding the energy spectrum.

For the rotation-invariant states, we impose that f depends only on r and r  $K = 0. Eq.2\beta$  yields straight-forwardly that

In the presence of a phase singularity at the origin, we have

$$r K = 2 B 2 = 0 + 2 m$$
 (r) 2; (27)

with m a positive or negative integer ensuring the single-valuedness of the wave function . The form (27) together with the condition (26) determ ines also an adm issible ow of K given by

$$K = \frac{m}{r^2} + \frac{B}{0} 2 r$$
: (28)

In the sym m etrical gauge, the phase associated with this ow is given by  $' = '_{gi} = m$ , the gauge-dependent part  $'_{gd}$  being simply a constant.

Therefore, contrary to what could be inferred from the derivations IIA and IIB, it is not necessary to choose a gauge to write down the Schrodinger's equation explicitly. Furthermore, the real di erence between the translation-invariant states and the rotation-invariant states is not the gauge because both kind of states can be obtained in any gauge. The realdi erence is in the choice of the ow of K, which is intim ately related to the sym m etry of the probability density  $f^2$ . The set of quantum num bers labelling the eigenstates arises directly from this choice. For instance, the vector K can be either translation invariant or rotation invariant. As a result, there are many possibilities to choose other ows of K satisfying the system of equations (23)-(24). It is somehow rem arkable that whatever this choice, the energy rem ains quantized as  $(n + 1=2) \sim !_c$ .

#### E. Vortex eigenstates

In absence of any potential, the electron follows classically a periodic cyclotron motion around an arbitrary center R . At the quantum level, the translation invariance of the ham iltonian H $_0$  re ects precisely the degeneracy of the kinetic energy with respect to the position of the center, while its rotation invariance corresponds to the cyclotron motion. A pparently, the form er eigenstates (8) or (16) do not re ect the symmetry of the cyclotron motion around an arbitrary point R so that the consideration of the classical lim it is rather tricky. Since they do not correspond to the classical picture of the motion, it is di cult to appreciate the wave-particle duality.

Let us thus try to construct a basis of eigenstates which has the right properties. For this purpose, we impose that the probability density  $f^2$  has the same symmetry as the cyclotron motion, i.e. is a function of jr R jonly. As previously, we take the freedom of having r K = 0. Then, we get from Eq. (23) that

It is straightforward to see that the ow

$$K = \frac{m}{r + R^2} + \frac{B}{0} - 2 (r - R)$$
 (30)

is admissible from the point of view of the coupling of Eqs. (23)-(24). Here, we have deliberately located the phase singularity at the arbitrary position R (rather than at the origin as in IIB).

Substituting the form (30) in the Eq. (24) we obtain a di erential equation for f which is similar to Eq. (10) after introducing the new cylindrical coordinates de ned by = jr R j and = arg (r R). The function

$$f_{m,R}(r) = e^{m} e^{2^2 - 4 l_{R}^2}$$
 (31)

with m 0 is a simple solution of this di erential equation yielding the energy quantization

$$E_m = -!_c m + \frac{1}{2}$$
 : (32)

At this stage, it is not needed to entirely solve the differential equation (10) as done in IIB because we have already determ ined by this way enough states. For the sam e reason, we have restricted ourself to positive values of the integer m.

Then, considering Eq. (30) and taking the symmetrical gauge A = B r=2, we deduce that the phase-gradient takes the form

$$r' = m \hat{z} - \frac{r R}{jr R^2} - \frac{\hat{z} R}{2l_B^2}$$
: (33)

W e obtain that the norm alized wave functions labelled by m and R = (X ; Y ) are fully expressed in the symmetrical gauge as

$$m_{R}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{C_{m}}{l_{B}} \frac{r}{P} \frac{R}{2l_{B}} e^{im \arg(\mathbf{r} R)} e^{\frac{(\mathbf{r} R)^{2} 2i\frac{2}{2}(\mathbf{r} R)}{4i_{B}^{2}}}$$
$$= \frac{C_{m}}{l_{B}} \frac{Z}{P} \frac{R}{2l_{B}} e^{\frac{jzj^{2}+j2j^{2} 2Zz}{4i_{B}^{2}}} (34)$$

with  $C_m = 1 = \frac{p}{2m!}$ , z = x + iy, and Z = X + iY. For practical convenience, we use henceforth the D irac notation

We now argue that the set of eigenstates jn; R i with m restricted to positive values only (m 0) form s a sem i-orthogonal basis. The overlap between two states is calculated in Appendix A, with the result:

$$lm ; R jn ^{0}; R ^{0}i = _{m m} \circ e \xrightarrow{(R R ^{0})^{2} 2i 2 (R R ^{0})}{41_{B}^{2}} : (35)$$

A coording to (35), the states jn; R i are orthogonal with respect to the quantum number m, but not with respect to the vortex positions R. However, the overlap is alm ost zero when the distance between two vortex positions exceeds a few magnetic lengths. Let us prove that the set of vortex states (34) is complete. We have

$$\overset{Z}{d^{2}R} \underset{m=0}{\overset{K^{1}}{hrjm}; R i hm; R jr^{0}i}{hrjm}; R i hm; R jr^{0}i = \frac{d^{2}R}{2} \frac{\chi^{1}}{1_{B}^{2}} \frac{\chi^{1}}{m} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{z^{0}}{p} \frac{Z}{2 l_{B}} \qquad \overset{m}{p} \frac{Z}{2 l_{B}} \qquad \overset{m}{p}$$

Recognizing the developm ent into series of the exponential function, we perform st the sum over m to get

$$\overset{Z}{d^{2}R} \bigwedge_{m=0}^{t} \text{hrjm}; R \text{ ilm}; R \text{ jr}^{0} i = e^{\frac{jz \, j^{2} + jz^{0} j^{2} - 2z^{0} z}{4 l_{B}^{2}}} \frac{Z}{2 l_{B}^{2}} e^{i \text{Im} \frac{z - (z - z^{0})}{l_{B}^{2}}};$$
(37)

The remaining integrations over the vortex coordinates R yield straightforwardly

<sup>Z</sup>  

$$d^{2}R$$
  $hrjn; R ihm; R jr^{0}i = 2 l_{B}^{2}$  (r r<sup>0</sup>): (38)  
<sub>m = 0</sub>

U sing that  $(r r^0) = hrjr^0i$ , inserting from the left jri and from the right  $hr^0j$  integrating over r and  $r^0$ , and using the completeness relation for the states jri, we get from this expression (38) the completeness relation

$$\frac{d^{2}R}{2 l_{B}^{2}} \int_{m=0}^{X^{1}} j_{R} ilm ; R j = 1:$$
(39)

W e see that the set of states is complete if we associate the area 2  $l_B^2$  with the vortex coordinates X and Y. W e deduce that the degeneracy of the energy levels is equal to (2  $l_B^2$ ) <sup>1</sup> per unit area (i.e. for a nite system it corresponds to =  $_0$  where is the totalm agnetic ux in the system), in agreem ent with the standard derivations m aking use of orthogonal sets of eigenstates.

We have therefore presented a third way of derivation of the eigenvalues and eigenstates for the problem of a charged particle in an uniform magnetic eld. We nd that the energy eigenvalues (32) are degenerate with respect to a continuous quantum number, namely the positions R, which here correspond to the locations of a phase singularity. In the limit of in nite magnetic eld only, the vortex location R corresponds to the classical guiding center coordinates. It is worth noting that, contrary to previous standard derivations, we did not resort to any condition of square-integrability to obtain the quantization of the energy levels. Here, the quantization of the kinetic energy stems only from the quantization of the angular momentum (de ned with respect to the position R). In terms of the wave-particle duality, the mechanism at hand is clearly the interference of the electronic wavefunction with itself due to the completion of a circular orbit. The eigenstates correspond to vortices located at the positions R . Their non-orthogonality with respect to R rejects the quantum indeterm inacy (2  $l_B^2$  according to the completeness relation) in the positions of the vortices. Eq. (39) constitutes an essential relation that allows to expand any wave function into a superposition of the vortex states in ;R i.

Because one of the quantum numbers (m) results only from the single-valuedness of the wave function, i.e. stem s from a topological constraint, it is sensitive to bcalperturbations only on the scale of the magnetic length around the vortex positions R . This property helps for example to capture the interplay of a con ning potential and the Landau levels in a straightforward manner. W ithin the vortex states basis, it is clear that only the states whose vortex positions lie near the edges will be a ected by the con nem ent. As a consequence, a deviation of the spectrum from the Landau levels may be only signi cant near the edges. Sim ilar conclusions concerning the spectrum of nite systems can be reached after thorough calculations for particular models as the Teller model (con nem ent by hard walls) or the model of elastic con nem ent (for a discussion, see e.g. Ref. 3). On the other hand, the bulk states are not a ected by the conning potential, which justi es the comm on disregard of the in uence of edges when com puting therm odynam ical quantities of m acroscopic system s. One important advantage of the present basis is therefore to allow a separate quantum treatment of the edges and of the bulk. Despite the lack of orthogonality, we shall show in the next Section that the basis of vortex states is also very convenient for the treatment of any random potential that varies sm oothly on the scale of the magnetic length in high magnetic elds.

Finally, we note that the set of states (34) has been derived previously<sup>27,28</sup> in the literature within di erent approaches and contexts, namely the coherent states formalism developed by Glauber<sup>29</sup> (for a review on the theory of coherent states see e.g. R ef. 30). Indeed, the states (34) can be identied as coherent states of a charged particle in a magnetic eld and they naturally obey the algebra<sup>29,30</sup> expected for these states (the algebra is characterized by the identities (35) and (38)). A peculiarity here is that the vortex states (34) are both coherent states (this property com es out through the degeneracy quantum number R - the vortex location) and eigenstates of the ham iltonian (the eigenvalues are associated with the quantum numberm).<sup>31</sup> The topological nature of the energy quantum num berm, that is fundam ental to capture the quantum transport properties in nite magnetic elds as will be developed in the present paper, has seem ingly not been recognized in these approaches.<sup>27,28</sup> The set of states (34) has already been used for the calculation of the partition function  $^{21}$  and the W igner function.  $^{28}$ W e shall present a system atic expansion using this set in the fram ework of the real-time G reen functions to study both therm odynam ic and quantum transport properties in high magnetic elds.

III. EFFECT OF A SM OOTH POTENTIAL ON LANDAU LEVELS IN HIGH MAGNETIC FIELDS

#### A. M atrix elements of the potential

In this Section, we consider that the electron feels, in addition to the perpendicular magnetic eld, the presence of a potential V (x;y). The corresponding H am iltonian is therefore

$$H = H_0 + V(x;y);$$
(40)

where H $_0$  is the kinetic part introduced in the form er Section. The matrix elements of the potential V expressed in the sem i-orthogonal basis jm; R i of eigenstates of H $_0$  are given by

$$Z_{m;m} \circ (R; R^{0}) = d^{2}rV (r)_{m;R} (r)_{m';R} \circ (r):(41)$$

W e need to arrange the expression of these matrix elements by making som emanipulations. For this purpose, we shall consider that V (R) can be expanded in a Taylor series

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{X^{1}}{\sum_{j=0}^{j} j!} [\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{u}]^{j} V(\mathbf{u}) = 0 \qquad (42)$$

Introducing the relative coordinates  $d = (R^0 R)=2$ and the center of m ass coordinates  $c = (R^0 + R)=2$ , we rst m ake the change of variable  $r^0 = (r c id 2)=2I_B$ 

$$Z Z = V_{m,m^{\circ}}(\mathbf{R};\mathbf{R}^{0}) = 2C_{m}C_{m^{\circ}}h\mathbf{R};\mathbf{R}^{0}i dx^{0} dy^{0} k^{0} iy^{0}f^{m} k^{0} + iy^{0}f^{m^{\circ}}V = 2l_{B}r^{0} + c + id \hat{z} e^{(x^{\alpha} + y^{\alpha})}$$
(43)

where hR  $\frac{1}{p}$  i = hm; R jn; R  $i_i$ , and x<sup>0</sup> (respectively y<sup>0</sup>) lies in the complex plane on the line de ned by  $z = id_y = 2d_y$ ( $z = id_x = 2d_y$ ). The potential term is then expanded in Taylor series around the complex point c + id 2

$$\nabla \sum_{j=0}^{p} z_{l_{B}} r^{0} + c + id \quad \hat{z} = \frac{x^{1}}{j} \frac{(p^{0} \overline{z}_{l_{B}})^{j}}{j!} [r^{0} r_{u}]^{j} \nabla (u) = c + id \hat{z}$$
(44)

Now, if the length scale characterizing the range over which the potential V varies signi cantly is typically bigger than the magnetic length  $l_{\rm b}$  (this occurs for any nonpathological potentials in su ciently high magnetic elds), the series (44) converges for all nite x<sup>0</sup> and y<sup>0</sup> and represents a function which is analytic throughout the com plex plane with respect to these two com plex variables. U sing this analycity property, the contours of integration can be deform ed to the real axes. Then, noting that

 $2\mathbf{r}^{0} \quad \mathbf{r}_{u} = (\mathbf{x}^{0} \quad \mathbf{i}\mathbf{y}^{0}) \quad \mathbf{0}_{x} + \mathbf{i}\mathbf{0}_{y} + (\mathbf{x}^{0} + \mathbf{i}\mathbf{y}^{0}) \quad \mathbf{0}_{x} \quad \mathbf{i}\mathbf{0}_{y} \quad \mathbf{i}$ (45)

with u = (x; y), and using the binom ial theorem, we get after integration that the matrix elements of the potential can be written as

$$V_{m,m} \circ (\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R}^{0}) = \frac{\overset{(1)}{x} \overset{(1)}{x}}{\underset{j=0 \ k=0}{\overset{(1)}{x}} \frac{h \mathbb{R} \overset{(1)}{x}}{\mathbb{R}^{1}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}} \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}} \frac{(m+k)!}{\mathbb{P} \frac{m}{m} \frac{m^{0}}{1}!} \overset{(1)}{m \frac{m^{0}}{1}!} \overset{(1)}{m \frac{m^{0}}{1}!} \overset{(2)}{m \frac{m^{0}}{1}!} \overset{(2)}{(1+k)!} \overset{(2)}{(1+k)!}$$

Then, using the fact that c + id = R + d + id = 2 and applying once again the Taylor expansion to have the potential and its higher order derivatives taken at the point R only, we reorganize the di erent term s appearing in the series (46) with respect to the order q of the derivatives of the potential V as

$$V_{m,m} \circ (\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{R}^{0}) = h\mathbf{R} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^{0} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{V}_{m,m}^{(q)} \circ (\mathbf{R}; \mathbf{R}^{0}):$$

$$(47)$$

The leading contribution to the series is

$$V_{m \ m}^{(0)} \circ (R \ R \ ) = V \ (R \ ) \ m \ m \ \circ :$$
 (48)

For the subsequent calculations (Section V), we shall need also the expression for q = 1 which can be written as

$$V_{m,m}^{(1)} (R; R^{0}) = \frac{(R^{0} R)}{r} rV(R) i \frac{2}{2} \frac{(R^{0} R)}{2} rV(R) _{m,m^{0}} + \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{m} \frac{1}{2} f(R_{x}V(R)) + \frac{1}{2} f(R_{y}V(R)) + \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{m} \frac{1}{2} f(R_{x}V(R)) - \frac{1}{2} f(R_{y}V(R)) + \frac{1}{2} f$$

W e are now in a position to analyze the peculiarities of a sm ooth potential in the high magnetic eld regime. D ue to the exponential cuto factor hR  $\beta$  <sup>0</sup>i, the matrix elements  $V_{m,m} \circ (R; R^{0})$  are non negligible only when R<sup>0</sup> is located at a distance from R which is typically sm aller than <sup>2</sup>2l<sub>B</sub>, i.e. the modulous jdj is at best of the order of l<sub>B</sub>. We deduce that

$$V_{m \ \text{fm}}^{(q)} (R \ \text{;} R^{0}) \qquad \frac{\underline{l}_{R}}{2} \quad V(R) \qquad (50)$$

where is the length scale characterizing the spatial variations of V around the point R. Therefore, when the potential V varies sm oothly on the scale of the magnetic length  $l_{\rm B}$ ,  $l_{\rm B}$ , we have the inequalities

$$V_{m \ m}^{(0)} (R \ r R^{0}) = V_{m \ m}^{(1)} (R \ r R^{0}) = V_{m \ m}^{(2)} (R \ r R^{0}) = V_{m \ m}^{(2)} (R \ r R^{0}) ::::$$

This shows that we have ordered in the series (47) the different contributions to  $V_{m \ m} \circ (R \ ;R^{0})$  by their magnitude of relevance (characterized by q). In the next subsection, we exploit this fact to build a system atic gradient expansion within the form alism of G reen functions.

Finally, it is worth noting that the vortex states (34) are labeled by the continuous index R, and therefore the set jn; R i is over-complete in the H ilbert space that has a countable basis. Nevertheless, according to the completeness relation (39) it is possible to expand any arbitrary state or operators in the vortex states representation. This is precisely what we have done here for the potential. Still, it is in principle necessary to be careful at this level because the vortex states are obviously not linearly independent of one another, and thus the expansion of V in terms of these vortex states may be not unique. How ever, clearly, the present expansion (47) which is only valid for a sm ooth potential in the high magnetic eld regime (m ore precisely the condition is related to the criterion of convergence of the series (44)) is

unam biguous: there is a unique correspondence between the m atrix elements and the operator V. In the fram ework of the coherent states theory<sup>29,30</sup> the unicity of the expansion is provided by the constraint that the expansion coe cents depends analytically upon the continuous (com plex) quantum number labelling the states. Here, we have used explicitly the same condition in the course of the derivation (between Eq. (43) and (46)) of the m atrix elements of the potential. Namely, the criterion of convergence of the Taylor expansion of the potential has im plied the analycity of the function V, what renders the expansion unique.

#### B. Green functions form alism

To investigate them odynam ic and transport properties of the two-dimensional electron gas in a smooth potential V and in high magnetic elds, we shall use the form alism of real-time G reen functions. Retarded, advanced and K eldysh G reen functions are respectively dened as (see e.g. Refs. 34 and 35)

$$G^{K}(x_{1};x_{2}) = i(t_{1}, t_{2}) (x_{1}); y(x_{2}) (51)$$

$$G^{A}(x_{1};x_{2}) = i(t_{2} t_{1}) (x_{1}); y(x_{2})$$
 (52)

$$G^{K}(x_{1};x_{2}) = i (x_{1}); {}^{y}(x_{2})$$
 (53)

where [;] m eans the commutator, f;g the anticommutator, and is the Heaviside function. The G reen functions relate the eld operator (x) of the particle at one point  $x_1 = (r_1; t_1)$  in space-time to the conjugate eld operator  $y(x_2)$  at another point  $x_2 = (r_2; t_2)$ . The eld operators  $(x_1)$  and  $y(x_2)$  are expressed in term s of the eigenfunctions (r) and eigenvalues " as

$$(x_1) = c (r_1) e^{i't_1}$$
 (54)

$${}^{y}(\mathbf{x}_{2}) = c^{y} (\mathbf{r}_{2}) e^{\mathbf{i}^{*} \mathbf{t}_{2}}$$
 (55)

where c<sup>y</sup> and c are respectively the creation and destruction operators.

In the absence of the potential V, the G reen functions can be written explicitly. We denote them by G<sub>0</sub>. Due to its relevance for the high m agnetic eld expansion theory, we shall use the basis of eigenstates j i = jn; R i derived in Section ILE, which is complete with the prescription (see the closure relation (39))

$$X = \frac{X^{1} Z}{m = 0} \frac{d^{2}R}{2 L_{B}^{2}} :$$
(56)

The dierent G reen functions G  $_{\rm 0}$  are thus given by

$$G_0^R(x_1;x_2) = i(t)$$
 (r<sub>1</sub>) (r<sub>2</sub>)e<sup>i''t</sup>; (57)

$$G_0^A(x_1;x_2) = i(t)$$
 (r<sub>1</sub>) (r<sub>2</sub>)e<sup>i"t</sup>; (58)

$$G_0^K(x_1;x_2) = i (2n_F()) 1) (r_1) (r_2)e^{i''t}$$

where  $t = t_1 \quad t_2$  and

$$n_{\rm F}$$
 ( ) =  $hc^{\rm Y}c$  i =  $\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-T)}$  (60)

is the Ferm i-D irac distribution function with = " and " =  $(m + 1=2) \sim !_{c}$ .

Furtherm ore, instead of working in the representation position jri, we shall henceforth work in the representation j i where the G reen functions take a simpler form due to the sem i-orthogonality property of the basis

$$G_0^R(_1;t_1;_2;t_2) = i(t)h_1j_2ie^{i''_1t};$$
 (61)

$$G_{0}^{A}(_{1};t_{1};_{2};t_{2}) = i(_{1}t_{1})h_{1}j_{2}ie^{i''_{1}t};$$
(62)

$$G_0^{\text{A}}(1;t_1;2;t_2) = i(2n_F(1))h_1j_2ie^{-1}(63)$$

The G reen functions are diagonal with respect to the energy quantum number m but not with respect to the degeneracy quantum number R. A fler Fourier transformation with respect to the time di erence t, the G reen functions are written in the energy (!) representation as

$$G_{0}^{R}(1; 2) = \frac{m_{1}m_{2}hR_{1}R_{2}i}{!m_{1}+i};$$
(64)

$$G_{0}^{A}(_{1};_{2}) = \frac{m_{1}m_{2} hR_{1}R_{2}i}{! m_{1} i};$$
(65)

$$G_{0}^{K}(_{1};_{2}) = 2i \tanh \frac{!}{2T} h_{1}j_{2}i(! m_{1}):$$

(66)

For m any calculations with the basis j i, it is useful to note that within each eigensubspace, we have the follow - ing identity

$$\frac{d^{2}R}{2 \ l_{B}^{2}} \text{ Im ; R }^{0} \text{ jn ; R ilm ; R jn ; R }^{\infty} \text{ i = lm ; R }^{0} \text{ jn ; R }^{\infty} \text{ i}$$
(67)

which can be interpreted as a closure relation that holds in the xed m eigensubspace

<sup>Z</sup> 
$$\frac{d^2 R}{2 l_B^2}$$
 jn ; R ilm ; R j= 1<sub>m</sub> : (68)

# C . H igh m agnetic elds gradient expansion: retarded and advanced G reen functions

The retarded and advanced G reen functions in the presence of the perturbation V are obtained from the D yson equation, which takes the form in the energy (!) representation (for m ore details see A ppendix B.1)

$$(! _{m_{1}} i) G_{1;2}^{R;A} (!) = h_{1}j_{2}i + X _{1;3} G_{3;2}^{R;A} (!):$$

Note that the quantum-kinetic equation obeyed by the Keldysh Green function  $G^{K}$  in the representation j i is derived in the Appendix B.1. The function  $G^{K}$  important for quantum transport properties is determined in the Appendix B 2.

Here V includes both the scalar electrostatic potential and the inpurity potential. The explicit form of V does not matter at this step. We only assume that V is a smooth potential in high magnetic elds. As mentioned above, the potential term V<sub>12</sub> is thus principally described by the rst terms in the series expansion (47). The retarded and advanced G reen functions are also sought as a series expansion of terms of the order of  $(l_B = )^q$ 

$$G_{1 2} = \begin{cases} X^{1} \\ G_{1 2} \end{cases} G_{1 2}^{(q)} :$$
(70)

Inserting the leading contribution to V  $_{1 2}$  in the D yson equation (69), the zero order G reen functions G  $^{(0)}$  (in the presence of the potential) are given by

$$(! \quad m_{1} \quad i) G_{1;2}^{(0)R;A} (!) = h_{1}j_{2}i + V_{1;3}^{(0)} hR_{1}R_{3}iG_{3;2}^{(0)R;A} (!)$$
(71)

with  $V_{1;3}^{(0)} = V(R_1)_{m_1,m_3}$ . The sum mation over  $_3$  is readily performed using the relation (68) so that we get

$$G_{12}^{(0)R;A}(!) = \frac{m_{1}m_{2}hR_{1}R_{2}i}{!m_{1}V(R_{1})i}:$$
(72)

We note that in the denom inator of the G reen functions (72), the potential can be taken either at the point R<sub>1</sub> or at the point R<sub>2</sub> since, due to the presence of the overlap  $hR_1 R_2$  in the numerator, V ( $R_1$ ) diers from V ( $R_2$ ) at best by a correcting term of the order of V  $l_B$  = which can be disregarded in the zero order expression of the G reen function. The absence at leading order of transitions between the quantum numbers m is a clear manifestation of their purely topological (and local) nature: they are immune to small perturbations. The leading e ect of the perturbation V is that the vortex state acquires a local potential energy V (R) which is responsible for a lifting of the Landau levels degeneracy with respect to the quantum number R.

The next order G reen functions G  $^{(1)}$  in the presence of the potential are given by

$$(! _{m_{1}} V (R_{1}) i) G_{1_{2}}^{(1)R;A} (!) = \bigvee_{3}^{X} V_{1_{3}}^{(1)} h R_{1} R_{3} i G_{3_{2}}^{(0)R;A} (!):$$
(73)

Inserting the expression (72) for G  $^{(0)}$  with the potential V in the denom inator taken at the point R <sub>2</sub>, and perform ing the sum m ation over R <sub>3</sub> in the right-hand side of (73) by considering that

$$\frac{d^{2}R_{3}}{2 l_{B}^{2}} R_{3} h R_{1} R_{3} h R_{3} R_{2} i = \frac{1}{2} [R_{2} + R_{1}] R_{2} R_{2} R_{1} ]h R_{1} R_{2} i;$$
(74)

we obtain

$$G_{1}^{(1)R;A}(!) = \frac{V_{m_{1}m_{2}}^{(1)}(R_{1};R_{2})R_{1}R_{2}i}{(! m_{1} V(R_{1}) i)(! m_{2} V(R_{2}) i)};$$
(75)

# IV. DENSITY OF STATES AND CHEM ICAL POTENTIAL

In this Section, we consider an equilibrium situation where the potential energy V (R) consists of an impurity potential only. Our goal is to investigate therm odynamical features such as the dependence of the chem ical potential on the magnetic eld under the regime of a sm ooth potential in high magnetc elds. For this purpose, we rst derive the energy dependence of the density of states.

#### A. Density of states

The density of states g (") at the energy " is obtained from

$$g(") = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}^{X} G_{;}^{R}(")$$
 (76)

where " = ! + . Inserting the zero order G reen function, the total density of states is straightforwardly given at leading order of the expansion theory by

$$g(") = \frac{X^{1} Z}{m = 0} \frac{d^{2}R}{2 l_{B}^{2}} (" (m + 1=2) \sim !_{C} V(R)): (77)$$

N ote that the next correction term to the density of states that we do not give here (although it can be derived in a system atic way rather easily from our form alism ) is provided by the second-order G reen function G  $^{\rm (2)}$  . Introducing

$$(V) = \frac{Z}{S} \frac{d^2 R}{S} (V - V (R))$$
 (78)

where  ${\rm S}\,$  is the total area of the system , the density of states takes the form

$$g(") = \frac{S}{2 \, l_{B}^{2}} \int_{m=0}^{X^{1} Z} dV \quad (V) \quad (" \quad (m + 1=2) \sim !_{c} \quad V):$$
(79)

The distribution of the amplitudes V depends on the model considered. If we assume a gaussian distribution to account for the e ect of a disordered potential present in the bulk

$$(V) = \frac{1}{2} e^{V^2 = 2^2};$$
 (80)

the density of states corresponds obviously to a sum of gaussian peaks

U sing the Poisson sum mation form ula



FIG.1: (color online) D ensity of states at " $_{\rm F}$  as a function of the inverse magnetic eld for various disorder broadening parameters . The solid curve is obtained for = 0.1" $_{\rm F}$ , the dashed curve for = 0.03" $_{\rm F}$ , and the dotted curve for = 0.01" $_{\rm F}$ .

we can express the density of states (per spin) in the form

$$g(\mathbf{"}) = g_0 \quad 1 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^{1} (1)^l \cos \frac{2 l}{\sim !_c} e^{2^{-2} l^2 (\frac{1}{\sim !_c})^2}$$
(83)

where  $g_0 = Sm = 2 \sim^2$  is the density of states (per spin) at zero magnetic eld. For illustration, we have plotted in Fig. 1 the density of states obtained for three di erent broadening parameters .

This result (83) for the density of states in high m agnetic elds and in the presence of a random potential with a large correlation length has been obtained within other theoretical approaches, such as the conventional perturbation theory,<sup>18</sup> the quasiclassical path integral form alism <sup>36,37</sup> or the high-eld expansion.<sup>21</sup> It should be noted that the obtention of a gaussian form for the Landau levels broadening in the conventional diagram matic technique is highly nontrivial (and obtained at the price of technical di culties, see Ref. 18) since this technique im plicitly assumes a Lorentzian broadening of the Landau levels. On the other hand, the Gaussian broadening is naturally derived from the high-eld expansions<sup>21</sup> and the quasi-classical path integral technique.<sup>36,37</sup>

### B. O scillations of the chem ical potential

O ne great advantage of form ulating the high-eld expansion in terms of real-time G reen functions is the insight into the quantum mechanical transport properties in high magnetic elds (see next Section). To study the dependence of the transport coe cients on the magnetic eld, it is important to determ ine rst the dependence of the chemical potential itself on the magnetic eld (the location of the chemical potential with respect to the Landau levels peaks when varying the magnetic eld is crucial to understand the width of the plateaus of the H all conductance in the integer quantum H all e ect, see Section V). For this purpose we follow the derivation of R efs. 38 and 39 by calculating rst the grand canonical them odynam ic potential

$$= 2T \int_{0}^{Z_{+1}} d''g('') \ln 1 + e^{\frac{\pi}{T}} : (84)$$

Here the factor 2 accounts for the spin. Inserting the expression (83) for the density of states, and perform ing the integration over the energy by using an integration by parts (for details see Ref. 38), we get that at tem peratures T

where the eld non-oscillating part of the therm odynam - ical potential is

$$_{0} = g_{0}^{2}$$
 (86)

and the oscillating part is

$$= g_0 \frac{\sim^2 !_c^2}{2} \frac{X^1}{l_{l=1}} \frac{(1)^2}{l^2} \frac{1}{\sinh_{-1}} \cos_{-1} \frac{2 l}{\sim !_c} e^{2^2 l_c^2} (\frac{1}{1 + 1})^2$$
(87)

with  $_1 = 2^{-2} \text{IT} = -!_{c}$ . The number of electrons is expressed as

$$N = \frac{\theta}{\theta}_{T;B}$$
(88)

which yields

$$N = 2g_0 \frac{\sim!_c}{\prod_{l=1}^{X^1}} \frac{(1)^l}{1} \frac{1}{\sinh_{l}} \sin_{l} \frac{21}{\sim!_c} e^{2^{-2}1^2} (\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}})^2 + 2g_0 :$$
(89)

This equation determ ines the number of particles N as a function of (B;T) at xed . On the other hand, we can consider it in the therm odynam icallim it as the equation for the chem ical potential as the function of (B;T) at a xed number of particles N. Introducing the Ferm i energy " $_{\rm F}$  = N =2g<sub>0</sub>, the dependence of the chem ical potential on the tem perature T and the magnetic edd B has then to be found from the self-consistent equation

$$= \mathbf{"}_{F} \quad \frac{\sim !_{c}}{\prod_{l=1}^{X^{\perp}} \frac{(1)^{l}}{l} \sin \frac{2 1}{\sim !_{c}} \frac{1}{\sinh 1} e^{2^{-2} 1^{2} (\frac{1}{1 - 1})^{2}}$$
(90)

Here the parameter , which is independent of the magnetic eld, characterizes the global variations of the potential amplitudes in the bulk. For systems with dimmensions exceeding the magnetic length  $l_B$  by several order of magnitudes the ratio =~! c can in principle take values bigger than unity if the magnetic eld is not too



FIG.2: (color online) O scillations of the chem ical potential as a function of the inverse magnetic eld (through the ratio " $_{\rm F} = \sim !_{\rm c}$ ) for various disorder broadening parameters (same values as in Fig. 1; we have used the same convention for the correspondence between the curves and the parameters). The plot is made for the tem perature T = " $_{\rm F}$  =200. For large broadening, the oscillations are dam ped.

high or/and if the system is su ciently disordered (so that the spread of the potential am plitudes exceeds the cyclotron energy). In this case, there is a signi cant density between the Landau levels and we see from Eq. (90) that the chem icalpotential depends weakly on them agnetic eld as

$$\mathbf{"}_{\rm F} + \frac{\sim !_{\rm c}}{-!_{\rm c}} \sin \frac{2 \, \mathbf{"}_{\rm F}}{\sim !_{\rm c}} \, \frac{1}{\sinh \, 1} e^{2^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{\sim !_{\rm c}}\right)^2} : \quad (91)$$

In the opposite regime  $\sim !_{c}$ , the density of states consists of very sharp bands peaked on the Landau levels, and Eq. (90) yields that at low temperatures the chem ical potential is pinned to a value close to "n for all m agnetic elds except for the integral values of " $_{F} = \sim !_{c}$  where it experiences a sharp jum p.

To con m these trends at a more quantitative level, we have solved num erically the self-consistent equation (90). In Fig. 2, we have represented the oscillations of the chem ical potential as a function of the inverse m agnetic eld for three di erent values of the disorder param eter

(for convenience, we have used the same values for as in the plot of the density of states, Fig. 1). The characteristic saw tooth variation (dotted and dashed curves) is obtained for a vanishing density of states between the Landau levels. On the other hand, the oscillations of the chem ical potential around its zero eld value (" $_{\rm F}$ ) are sm oothed and damped in the case of a signi cant overlap between the Landau levels.

# V. QUANTUM TRANSPORT PROPERTIES

#### A. Derivation of the current

We consider the problem of electrons con ned in a H all bar of nite width  $L_y$ . The edges are located at the positions  $Y = L_y=2$  and are included in our form alism by a rigid con nem ent brought about by in nitely high walls. In experimental devices, a current  $I_x$  is applied along the longitudinal x direction and induces a transverse voltage di erence  $U_H$  (H all voltage). The ham iltonian considered for the bulk of the sample is given by (40) where the potential term V (r) includes both the in purity potential and the electrostatic potential (we rem ind that the explicit form of the potential is not needed: we just assume that V varies sm oothly on the scale of the magnetic length).

W e determ ine the total current I from the bulk G reen functions. It is expressed as

$$I = \frac{i}{L_{x}} \sum_{i=0}^{X} \frac{d!}{2} G^{i} G^{i} (!) h^{0} \hat{J}_{j} i$$
 (92)

where  $L_{\rm x}$  is the longitudinal length of the sample. The matrix elements of the current density operator  $\hat{j}$  are given by (see Appendix C)

$$h^{0}\underline{\hat{n}}_{jj} i = \frac{ie h^{0} R^{0} R^{j}}{\underline{p}_{m}} i p \frac{p}{\underline{m}} m^{m} m^{0+1} p^{\underline{m}_{0}} m^{0} m^{0} m^{+1} p^{m} p^{-1} p^{$$

and

$$G^{<} = \frac{1}{2} G^{K} (G^{R} G^{A}) :$$
 (94)

We have established in Appendix B that the identity

$$G^{K} = tanh(!=2T)(G^{R} G^{A})$$
 (95)

that relates the K eldysh G reen function to the retarded and advanced G reen functions at equilibrium is also valid at any order of the expansion theory in the stationary regime. This result corresponds to the realization of a nonequilibrium regimewith a local (hydrodynamic) equilibrium. Therefore

$$G^{<} = n_{F} (!) G^{R} G^{A} :$$
 (96)

It is straightforward to see that the leading order G reen functions G  $^{(0)}$  which are diagonal with respect to the quantum numberm do not contribute to the current since this latter involves only terms that couple the quantum numbers m<sup>0</sup> and m 1. As emphasized in the Introduction, it is thus necessary to calculate the rst contribution introducing Landau levels mixing in order to determ ine the quantum transport properties, i.e. it is required to work beyond the sem i-classical lim it B = 1 (unlike spectral properties that can be already obtained in the absence of Landau levels mixing). Then, inserting the rst order G reen functions G  $^{(1)}$  , and perform ing the sum s over ! , R  $^0$  and m  $^0,$  we get the sim ple expression

$$I = \frac{e}{h} \frac{{{{X}}^{1}} Z}{{{L_{x}}}} \frac{{{d}^{2}R}}{{{L_{x}}}} n_{F} (m + V(R)) r V(R) \quad 2: (97)$$

Before deriving the quantization of the Hall conductance, let us comment on this expression. We see that a current exists only in the presence of gradients of the potentialV. By disregarding the quantization of the cyclotron motion and therefore the sum over Landau levels, we obtain a form ula for the current that is sim ilar to the form ula that would be obtained classically by solving the Boltzm ann equation together with the equations of motions in the limit of in nite magnetic elds (see Ref. 14). In the lim it B ! 1 the current is described only in terms of the drift of the center of the cyclotron orbit (in this lim it the positions of the center and of the electron are in fact the same since the cyclotron radius vanishes). In our quantum -m echanical picture, a current is obviously associated with a drift of the vortex location only. The contribution of the orbital currents (induced by the magnetic eld) to the total current is taken into account through the sum over the integral quantum num bersm and the quantization of the spectrum into Landau levels. Due to this quantization of the phase circulation around the vortex that confers in a way a quantum robustness to the cyclotron motion, the above current formula holds at nite magnetic elds in any potential that varies sm oothly at the scale of the magnetic length. We propose now a transparent derivation of the quantization of the Hall conductance that diers from that presented in the Ref. 14 (although the starting form ula (97) is sim ilar).

The crucial and remarkable point is that from the formula (97) we can form ally extract a vortex current density j(R) (we remaind that here R corresponds to the vortex position, and not to the electron position)

$$j(R) = \frac{e}{h} \sum_{m=0}^{K^1} n_F (m + V(R)) r V(R) 2; \quad (98)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$j(R) = \frac{e}{h} \sum_{m=0}^{K^1} r [N_F (m + V(R))] 2$$
(99)

where

$$N_{\rm F}$$
 (") = " + T  $\ln n_{\rm F}$  (") (100)

is an antiderivative of the Ferm i-D irac function  $n_F$  ("). We can easily check that the current density (99) obeys the continuity equation r = 0.

The current owing in the longitudinal direction is then given by

$$I_{x} = \frac{e}{h} \sum_{m=0}^{Z} X_{m}^{X^{1}} \Theta_{Y} N_{F} (m + V (R)) :$$
 (101)

W e can readily integrate this expression with respect to the coordinate Y (which is delimited by  $jY j < L_y=2$  due to the rigid con nem ent) so that the current becomes

$$I_{x} = \frac{e}{h} \frac{X^{1}}{m_{m} = 0} \mathbb{N}_{F} (m_{m} + V_{+}) \mathbb{N}_{F} (m_{m} + V_{-})$$
(102)

with V = V (X ;  $L_y=2$ ). Rem arkably it turns out from this integration that the bulk states do not form ally contribute to the current. This property is a consequence of the continuity equation obeyed by the vortex current density in two-dim ensions. Furtherm ore, we see that the opposite edges (+ and -) contribute to the current with an opposite sign. This sign confers a chirality on the edges. Here, we recover from a bulk approach the importance of the edges for the transport properties in high m agnetic elds that had been pointed out by several authors.<sup>6,16,17</sup>

Besides, from boundary requirements, we have to in pose the condition that the current density owing in the y direction vanishes at the edges

$$j_y = \frac{e}{h} \frac{X^1}{m_{=0}} n_F (m_{+} + V(R)) Q_X V(R) = 0:$$
 (103)

We obtain from this boundary condition that the edges  $Y = L_y=2$  are at constant potentials ( $e_X V = 0$ ). Let us take e.g.  $V = eU_H = 2$  where  $U_H$  is the Hall voltage. O bviously, the longitudinal conductance  $G_{xx}$  is then zero. D i erentiating the current expression (102) with respect to the voltage  $U_H$ , we obtain the di erential Hall conductance (de ned by  $G_{yx} = dI = dU_H$ )

$$G_{yx} = \frac{e^2}{2h} \frac{X^1}{m_{m=0}} [n_F (m_{m} + V_{+}) + n_F (m_{m} + V_{-})] (\pm 0.04)$$

In the linear response  $U_{\rm H}$  ! 0, we get straightforwardly

$$G_{yx} = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{\dot{X}^1}{m_{m=0}} n_F ("_m ); \qquad (105)$$

$$G_{xx} = 0$$
: (106)

At zero tem perature, the H all conductance is therefore quantized as  $G_{yx} = ne^2 = h$  as long as the chem icalpotential  $"_n < < "_{n+1}$ . This quantization is clearly associated with a vanishing longitudinal conductance  $G_{xx} = 0$ .

As shown in the previous Section, the chem ical potential can e ectively take values intermediate between the Landau levels "n when varying the magnetic eld because of the broadening of the density of states caused by the distribution of am plitudes of the in purity potential V in the bulk. In the non-linear regime ( $U_{\rm H} \notin 0$  in the right-hand side of the equation (104)), we see that the H all conductance can still be quantized at zero tem perature as long as both edge states are led or empty, i.e. when the chemical potential lies above or below the pair of edges levels.

It is worth stressing here the di erence with the spectral arguments mentioned in the Introduction that are



FIG.3: (color online) H all conductance as a function of the inverse m agnetic eld for various disorder broadenings. Here the tem perature is  $T = "_F = 200$ . The three disorder param – eters considered are the same as in the Figs. 1 and 2 (we have follow ed the sam e convention for the curves). The nicest plateaus are obtained for the largest broadening param eter.

usually provided to explain the absence of dissipation in high magnetic elds. We see from the present microscopical quantum derivation of transport properties that the key result leading to the vanishing of  $G_{xx}$  and to the quantization of  $G_{xy}$  is the expression of the total current in terms of the edge states only. Such a property has straightforwardly resulted from the possibility to de ne a vortex current density at high but nite magnetic elds. We thus deduce that the existence of electronic vortex states, rather than the presence of a disordered potential, is responsible for the high- eld localization properties. In fact, the bulk disordered potential plays a role only at the therm odynam ical level through the oscillations of the chem ical potential with the magnetic eld, as will be developed now.

#### B. Study of the width of H all plateaus

To study the dependence of the plateaus widths on the tem perature or the disorder broadening, it is crucial to take into account the dependence of the chem icalpotential on the magnetic edd. For this purpose we have com – puted num erically the H all conductance given by the form ula (105) including the self-consistent solution for the chem icalpotential determ ined from the equation (90).

For a given nite temperature, the plateaus become narrower when decreasing the inpurity broadening parameter, as can be clearly seen in Fig. 3. This paradoxal trend is well known experimentally and led to the conclusion that the dirtiness of the sample is crucial for the integer quantum Hall e ect. Besides, the quantum Hall e ect does not occur in samples with strong disorder. The important condition to understand this limitation by the disorder is the condition for the high-eld expansion.



FIG. 4: (color online) Hall conductance as a function of the inverse magnetic eld for various temperatures. Here the disorder broadening parameter = " $_{\rm F}$  =10 corresponding to a relatively at density of states (see Fig. 1). The widest Hall plateau is obtained for the lowest temperature, here T = " $_{\rm F}$  =80. The width of the plateau shrinks with increasing temperature. The other curves correspond to the higher temperatures T = " $_{\rm F}$  =40, T = " $_{\rm F}$  =20, and T = " $_{\rm F}$  =10.

The expansion is roughly valid provided that the characteristic length of variation of the impurity potential is typically bigger than the magnetic length. This condition is fulled only for relatively high magnetic elds and sm ooth disordered potential. We note in Fig. 3 that on the other hand the disordered potential has to provide a su cient broadening of the density of states in order to get wide plateaus.

In Fig. 4, we study the tem perature dependence of the plateau width for a xed disorder con guration characterized by a nite . For high tem peratures, the plateaus are alm ost invisible and we recover the classical H all law for the transverse conductance. We see that the full plateau width is restored by decreasing the tem perature. This trend is found for any nite , even very small. Thus, a large density of states between the Landau levels as seem ingly offen the case in experiments<sup>2,3,40</sup> on the integer quantum H all e ect is not a necessary condition to observe wide plateaus of the H all conductance. To obtain wide H all plateaus, a small density of states between Landau levels just requires low er tem peratures. Nevertheless, at any nite tem perature the nicest plateaus are obtained for the broadest Landau levels.

This tendency can entirely be understood in terms of the location of the chem ical potential when varying the magnetic eld and thermal activation e ects. For this purpose, it is instructive to plot the chem ical potential divided by the cyclotron energy  $\sim$ ! c, see Fig. 5. We note that in the quasi-absence of states between the Landau levels, the ratio  $=\sim$ ! c remains very close to the value n + 1=2 for a wide range in magnetic elds. The chem ical potential is then separated from the energy of the edges contributing to the current by a very sm all energy gap, that gives rise at nite temperatures to an impor-



FIG. 5: (color online) The chemical potential over ~!<sub>c</sub> as a function of the magnetic eld. For a small broadening of the Landau levels (dotted curve), the ratio =~!<sub>c</sub> remains close to the value n + 1=2 for a wide range in magnetic elds. For a large broadening (solid curve), the chemical potential approaches the energy (n + 1=2)~!<sub>c</sub> only for the elds corresponding to "<sub>F</sub> = (n + 1=2)~!<sub>c</sub>. For the correspondence between the di erent curves and the chosen parameters, see Figs. 1, 2 and 3.

tant them al activation and a disappearance of the H all plateaus. On the contrary, for a signi cant overlap between the Landau levels, the deviation of the H all conductance from the quantized value by them alactivation e ects is considerably reduced over a m uch wider range of m agnetic elds, since the chem ical potential approaches the energy of the edges m ore rarely.

## ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{C}}}$ . H igher order contributions to the current

We have obtained the quantization of the Hall conductance by considering only the contributions of the rst order G reen functions to the current formula. In fact, our form alism allows to derive in a system atic and rigorous way higher order contributions. Our calculations (the details will be published elsewhere) have revealed that the next order term s in the current formula are provided by the third-order G reen functions. A s for the rst order calculation, it is again possible to de ne a vortex current density j(R). We nd after cum bersom e calculations that the current density due to third-order processes in Landau-levels m ixing is given by

$$j^{(3)} = \frac{e}{h} \frac{\dot{X}^{1}}{m_{e}} \frac{l_{B}^{2}}{2} r n_{F} (m + V) (m + 1) V + \frac{\dot{j} r V \dot{j}^{2}}{\sim ! c} \dot{2}$$
(107)

O by iously, this third-order contribution to the current density satis as the continuity equation r  $\binom{3}{J} = 0$ . By following the same derivation as presented for the rst process in Landau-levelsm ixing, we thus deduce that the total current is expressed only in terms of the edge contributions also at third-order.

In fact, from the expressions (92), (93) and (68), it is clear that it is possible to de ne a vortex current density at any order in the high- eld expansion as

$$j(R) = i \frac{X}{m_{fm}^{o}} \frac{d!}{2} G^{<}; \circ (!) h^{0} \frac{d}{m_{fm}} i : (108)$$

U sing the relation (96), the vortex current density can also be written quite generally in terms of the retarded and advanced G reen functions as

$$j(R) = \frac{X}{m_{fm}} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d!}{2} in_{F} (!) G^{R} G^{A} ; {}_{0} h^{0} \frac{f}{2} ii (109)$$

The possibility to de ne a vortex current density at any order in the high-eld expansion has very strong in plications. Provided that the continuity equation r = 0 is checked at any order (a general proof of it will be the subject of future work), we can conclude that the expression of the total current in terms of the edges contributions only is exact as long as the high-eld expansion is applicable. We interpret this exact result as a fundamental manifestation of the robustness of the electronic vortex states, which gives rise to strong localization features in the quantum transport properties.

# VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this Section, we would like to discuss some important issues concerning the quantum Halle ect in the light of the theory developed in the form er Sections.

# A. Longitudinal transport

W hen the Hall resistance  $R_{xy}$  jumps from one Hall plateau to the adjacent one, peaks in the longitudinal resistance  $R_{xx}$  appear.<sup>1,2</sup> A standard picture to explain such a behavior of the longitudinal conductance is that at zero tem perature the edge of the electron gas generally

w ill follow som e trajectory near the physical edge which is only on average parallel to it when the H all conductance is in the plateau region. As the step in the H all conductance is approached, the equipotentials have excursions ever deeper into the bulk of the sam ple, and at a critical value of the Ferm i energy, there is an equipotential contour that connects the two edges. This is supposed to be the origin of the peak in the diagonal conductance.

W ithin our present one-body approach, we have found that the longitudinal conductance is zero. The problem with this zero longitudinal conductance has resulted straightforwardly from the Eq. (103) that expresses the absence of current ow accross the outer surfaces. To our opinion, this physical boundary condition can not be questioned. Indeed, it is the essential physical condition by which the presence of boundaries is accounted in the purely classical derivation of the Halle ect (see e.g. Ref. 41). In a form alism making use of wavefunctions the presence of boundaries will be introduced with the condition that the wavefunction has to vanish at the edges. In a G reen functions form alism the edges are usually taken into account via boundary conditions on the current (see e.g. Ref. 42 concerning the theory of mesoscopic superconductivity with quasi-classical G reen functions). This is precisely the approach chosen in our theory, with the peculiarity here that the boundary conditions are applied on the vortex current instead of the electronic current. Such an approach assum es that there exists som e degree of freedom characterizing the G reen functions that is only com pletely determ ined through the boundary conditions. Coming back to the Dyson equation (69) this indeterm inacy of the Green functions is however hidden in the electrostatic part of the potential V.

We note that the transition between Hall plateaus falls within the description of quantum phase transitions. Clearly, the transition between the Hall plateaus is highly sensitive to any uctuations present in the system. We remark that the explanation of the longitudinal transport by uctuations of the electronic density has also been proposed in several works. 43,44,45,46 Recent experimenta $1^{47}$  and theoretica $1^{48}$  works have focused on the phenom enological relationship between the diagonal and the transverse resistances observed experim entally, namely  $R_{xx}$  / B  $dR_{xy}=dB$ . From these works it turns  $out^{47}$  that all  $R_{xx}$  features could be understood in terms of electron density inhom ogeneities (at least in the regim e of the fractional quantum Halle ect). Our theory has formulated the problem of transport in terms of vortex motion. However, inhom ogeneities of the vortex density have not been considered in our calculations.

The above discussion clearly calls for a proper treatment of the electrostatic problem. By including electronelectron interactions in our formalism, the inhomogeneities of the vortex densities translate into a selfconsistent determ ination of the local electrostatic potential (e.g. in a Hartree-Fock approximation) for which the boundary conditions on the current have to be imposed. This can be understood as spatial variations of the local chem ical potential (R) at local equilibrium. C learly, according to expression (105), such uctuations of the chem ical potential at the edges create, when approaching the transition points between two H all plateaus, parts of the sam ples characterized by a di erent quantization of the H all conductance. This autom atically im plies that the edges are no more equipotential lines, so that there exists a longitudinal voltage di erence. A detailed and quantitative study of this problem within our form alism is beyond the scope of the present paper and is therefore postponed for future work.

# B. Breakdown of the integer quantum Halle ect

The quantum Halle ect is known<sup>2,3</sup> to break down at low magnetic elds and for high current intensities. These limitations can be captured qualitatively within the condition of applicability of the high magnetic elds expansion theory. Indeed, the quantum Halle ect regime holds roughly as long as the potential V (which includes the electrostatic potential and the impurity potential) is sm ooth at the scale of the magnetic length, i.e.  $l_{\rm B}$ where is the typical length scale for the potential. An increase of the current intensity induces unavoidably an increase of the net gradient of the electrostatic potential, and thus a reduction of the characteristic length scale of the potential variations. Equivalently, the inequality l<sub>b</sub> breaks down in low magnetic elds since  $l_{\rm B}$  / B  $^{1=2}$  diverges when B ! 0. The quantitative determ ination of the critical values of current and ofm aqnetic eld seem s arduous since it supposes the accurate determ ination of the radius of convergence of the functional series (44) and of the high-eld expansion of the G reen functions.

# ${\tt C}$ . Relationship between the quantum Halle ect and the Shubnikov-de Haase ect

It is worth emphasizing that the low magnetic eld regime is usually characterized by another quantum effect, namely the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations of the longitudinal resistance  $R_{xx}$ , while the high magnetic eld regime corresponds to the quantum Hall e ect. In the Shubnikov-de Haas regime,  $R_{xx}$  presentsm inim a between the Landau levels that become deeper and deeper when increasing the strength of the magnetic eld, whereas there is a preformation of rough steps in the  $R_{xy}$  features. In the quantum Hall e ect regime,  $R_{xx}$  drops to zero. The passage from the Shubnikov-de Haas regime to the quantum Hall regime is relatively smooth. It suggests the existence of a physical relationship between these two quantum e ects.

The theory of the Shubnikov-de H aase ect for the twodimensional electron gas in the presence of a disordered potential has been developed a long time ago within the G reen functions form alism and the standard in purity av-

eraging procedure.<sup>49,50</sup> The oscillations of R<sub>xx</sub> are usually understood in term s of the oscillations of the density of states. W ithin this picture, the m inim a of R<sub>xx</sub> are related to the m in im a of the density of states. Theoretical derivations<sup>37,51,52,53,54</sup> of these oscillations making use of sem i-classical G reen functions provide a deeper interpretation concerning the physical origin of the Shubnikovde Haas e ect. W ithin this sem i-classical approach, the oscillations of Rxx and of Rxy are interpreted as interference e ects due to a fraction of electrons following classical periodic orbits, in close relation to the Gutzwiller's trace form ula<sup>55</sup> for the density of states.<sup>56</sup> This fraction  $P = \exp(2 R_c = 1)$  (where 1 is the mean free path) of electrons participating to magnetic quantum e ects in low elds<sup>57</sup> corresponds to the classical probability that the electron will complete a cyclotron orbit without having su ered a collision.<sup>58</sup> N ote that the disorder tends to reduce this probability and thus destroys these m agnetic interference e ects. This is in complete contrast with the weak localization e ects that are induced by the disorder and are suppressed by the application of a weak magnetic eld (see e.g. Ref. 59). Since the cyclotron radius dim in ishes with the magnetic eld, the fraction of circling electrons increases and the m inim a of  $R_{\,\rm xx}$  become m ore and more pronounced. In the lim it of in nite magnetic eld, we expect from the sem i-classical picture that all the electrons participate to these magnetic interference e ects.

In the present work, we have shown that the quantization of the orbital degree of freedom confers a robustness on the periodic cyclotron motion, so that the electronic states have a probability higher than the classical one (given above) of returning to a same given position. The ine ective energy exchange between the orbital degree of freedom and the vortex degree of freedom occurs consequently in any local region of sm ooth potential in high but nite magnetic elds, i.e. not only in the sem iclassical lim it B = 1. This property resulting from the topological character of the circulation quantum number m is illustrated by the possibility to de ne a vortex current density j(R) at any order of the high m agnetic elds gradient expansion. The electronic system enters into the quantum Hallregin ewhen all the electronic vortex states contribute to the magnetic interference e ects (strong localization). A coordingly, the Shubnikov-de H aas e ect can e ectively be seen as a precursor to the quantum Halle ect.

# D. Conclusion

The insensitivity to the type of impurities and the reproductivity of the experimental results independently of the type of host material has suggested that the quantum Halle ect is due to a fundamental principle. In the rst half of the paper, we have shown that the quantization of the energy spectrum into Landau levels is associated with the topological condition of the single-valuedness of the single-particle wavefunction. Electronic states can thus be characterized by vortex states whose nature comes out in the presence of a perturbation (for instance a disordered potential). As developed in the second half of the paper, the robustness of the vortex states is responsible for the remarkable quantum transport properties of a two-dimensional electron gas in high nite magnetic elds, namely the vanishing of the longitudinal conductance and the quantization of the H all conductance.

By reform ulating the high-eld expansion theory using a vortex states representation, we have rigourously derived within the form alism of real-time G reen functions a quantum mechanical expression for the current. W ithin our vortex representation, a current is obviously associated with a (hydrodynamic) vortex ow. We have shown that this quantum mechanical property is an exact result that holds as long as the high-eld expansion is applicable. We have demonstrated explicitly from a bulk derivation up to third order processes in Landau-levels mixing that the total current can be expressed entirely in terms of edge contributions. This rem arkable property together with boundary requirem ents leads directly to the absence of dissipation and to the quantization of the H all conductance.

We have emphasized that the density of states is not necessarily small between the Landau levels in the vortex regime. By computing the oscillations of the chemical potential with the magnetic eld, we have pointed out that at any nite temperature the widest widths for the H all plateaus are precisely obtained in the case of the broadest Landau levels, in agreement with experimental observations.

The quantum mechanical picture and the form alism developed in the paper are appealing to explain several issues concerning the quantum Hall e ect. We have already pointed out qualitatively the relationship between the Shubnikov-de Haas regime in low magnetic elds and the quantum Hallregime in higherm agnetic elds. Moreover, as also underlined, our form alism allows a system atic calculation of higher order contributions to the current. Finally, we hope that it will be possible to generalize the presented approach of the quantum transport to incorporate the interaction e ects between the electrons that appear to be crucial to capture the dissipative longitudinal transport.

# A cknow ledgm ents

Stim ulating discussions with M .H ouzet, T .Lofw ander, V P.M ineev, M .P letyukhov, and A .Poenicke are grate-fully acknow ledged.

In this Appendix, we calculate the overlap between the two vortex states jn ;R i and jn  $^{\circ}$ ;R  $^{\circ}$ i

$$Im ; R jn^{0}; R^{0}i = d^{2}r_{m;R} (r)_{m^{0}; R^{0}} (r):$$
(A1)

Making rst the change of variables u = r (R + R<sup>0</sup>)=2 and using the cartesian coordinates u = (x;y), we obtain

$$\lim_{\mathbf{R}} \lim_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{i} = C_{m} C_{m} \circ \frac{d^{2}u}{l_{B}^{2}} \frac{(\mathbf{x} + d_{x})}{\frac{1}{2}l_{B}} \frac{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{y} + d_{y})}{\frac{1}{2}l_{B}} \stackrel{\mathbf{m}}{=} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - d_{x}) + \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{y} - d_{y})}{\frac{1}{2}l_{B}} \stackrel{\mathbf{m}^{0}}{=} e^{\frac{(\mathbf{x} - id_{y})^{2} + (\mathbf{y} + id_{x})^{2}}{2l_{B}^{2}}} e^{\frac{(\mathbf{x} - d_{y})^{2} + (\mathbf{y} + id_{x})^{2}}{4l_{B}^{2}}}$$

$$e^{\frac{(\mathbf{x} - d_{y})^{2} + (\mathbf{y} + id_{x})^{2}}{4l_{B}^{2}}}$$

$$(A 2)$$

with  $d = (R^0 R)=2$ . We then make the shift of the complex arguments id, and id, in the x and y integrations respectively. Because the functions are analytic it is possible to deform the contour to the real axis so that

$$\operatorname{Im} ; R \text{ in } {}^{0}; R {}^{0} \text{i} = C_{m} C_{m 0} \qquad \frac{Z}{l_{B}^{2}} \qquad \frac{x \text{ iy}}{p \overline{2} l_{B}} \qquad \frac{x + \text{ iy}}{p \overline{2} l_{B}} \qquad e^{\frac{x^{2} + y^{2}}{2 l_{B}^{2}}} e^{\frac{(R - R^{0})^{2} 2 1 2 (R - R^{0})}{4 l_{B}^{2}}}; \qquad (A 3)$$

The remaining integrations yield the result

hm; R jn<sup>0</sup>; R<sup>0</sup>i = exp 
$$\frac{(R R^{0})^{2}}{4l_{B}^{2}}$$
 exp  $\frac{i2}{2l_{B}^{2}}$  m m<sup>0</sup>: (A4)

The overlap contains a phase factor resulting from the interference e ects between the states jn; R i and jn; R<sup>0</sup>i. The basis of vortex states becomes orthogonal with respect to the quantum number R only in the limit of in nite magnetic elds  $l_B$  ! 0.

In fact, from a superposition of the states jn ;R i with di erent vortex positions, it is possible to construct orthogonal sets of eigenstates at nite m agnetic elds. For example, the states

$$\begin{array}{c} Z_{+1} \\ m_{;X} = & dY_{m_{;R}} \end{array}$$
 (A 5)

obtained from the superposition of states  $j_n$ ; R i (expressed in the symmetrical gauge) with their vortex positions on the axis Y form an orthogonal set. Inserting the expression (34) of the vortex states we perform the integration over Y in Eq. (A 5) using the identity

$$Z_{+1}$$
  
 $X^{m} \exp (X)^{2} = \frac{p_{-}}{(2i)^{n}}H_{m}$  (i) (A 6)

where  $H_m$  is the Herm ite polynom ial of degree m . A fler calculation, we nd that the states (A 5) can be expressed as

$$_{m ; X} (r) = \frac{e^{\frac{X+2}{\beta l_{B}^{2}}}}{m ! (2)^{m-1}} e^{\frac{i y X}{2 l_{B}^{2}}} exp \quad \frac{(x X = 2)^{2}}{4 l_{B}^{2}} H_{m} \quad \frac{x X = 2}{l_{B}} ;$$
 (A7)

which is nothing else than the expression of the (non norm alized) Landau states (8) written in the symmetrical gauge. From the comparison between the expressions (A7) and (8), we can identify  $p = X = 2\frac{2}{K}$  and  $x_0 = X = 2$ .

# APPENDIX B:DERIVATION OF THE KELDYSH GREEN FUNCTION

In this Appendix we derive the equations obeyed by the G reen functions in the K eldysh space within the vortex states representation. The quantum kinetic equation for the K eldysh G reen function is then solved within the high m agnetic eld expansion theory.

# 1. Dyson equation in the Keldysh space

The G reen functions in presence of the perturbation V are obtained from the D yson equation

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_0 + \mathbf{G}_0 \mathbf{V} \mathbf{G} \tag{B1}$$

where  ${\tt G}$  designates the 2 x 2 m atrix in the K eldysh space de ned as

$$G' = \begin{array}{c} G^{R} & G^{K} \\ 0 & G^{A} \end{array} \qquad (B2)$$

Here V m ay contain a disordered potential and an electrostatic potential. Inserting the quantum num bers ji = jn; R i and considering that V is local in time, we have

$$\mathcal{G}(_{1};t_{1};_{2};t_{2}) = \mathcal{G}_{0}(_{1};t_{1};_{2};t_{2}) + dt_{3}\mathcal{G}_{0}(_{1};t_{1};_{3};t_{3})V_{_{3};_{4}}\mathcal{G}(_{4};t_{3};_{2};t_{2}): (B3)$$

By applying the time derivative to the Dyson equation, we thus obtain the system of equations for the three Green functions

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{1}} = m_{1} G^{R;A}(_{1};t_{1};_{2};t_{2}) = h_{1}j_{2}i(t_{1} t_{2}) + V_{1;3}G^{R;A}(_{3};t_{1};_{2};t_{2}); \qquad (B4)$$

$$i\frac{@}{@t_1} = {}_{m_1} G^K (_1;t_1;_2;t_2) = {}^X V_{1;_3}G^K (_3;t_1;_2;t_2);$$
(B5)

where we have used the equation of m otion for  $\mathbb{G}_0$ 

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t_{1}} = m_{1} \quad G_{0}(1;t_{1};2;t_{2}) = h_{1}j_{2}i(t_{1} t_{2})I \qquad (B6)$$

with I the unit matrix in the K eldysh space. From the other D yson equation ( $G = G_0 + GVG_0$ ) and by applying the derivation with respect to the time  $t_2$ , we get another equation for the K eldysh G reen function

$$\frac{i}{\partial t_2} = \frac{1}{g} G^{K} (1;t_1;2;t_2) = \frac{X}{G^{K}} G^{K} (1;t_1;3;t_2) V_{3;2}$$
(B7)

It is direct to see that the retarded and advanced G reen functions depend only on the time dimense  $= t_1 \pm t_2$ . Fourier transforming with respect to  $t_1 \pm t_2$ , we get the equations

$$(! _{m_{1}} i) G_{1;2}^{R;A} (!) = h_{1}j_{2}i + V_{1;3}G_{3;2}^{R;A} (!):$$
(B8)

As for the Keldysh component, we take the sum and the di erence of Eqs. (B5)-(B7), introduce the relative time  $t_1$  to and the time  $T = (t_1 + t_2)=2$ , and Fourier transform with respect to , which yields

37

$$(2! [m_{1} + m_{2}]) G_{1;2}^{K} (T;!) = V_{1;3} G_{3;2}^{K} (T;!) + G_{1;3}^{K} (T;!) V_{3;2}; \qquad (B9)$$

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta T} [m_1 \ m_2] \ G_{1;2}^{K} (T;!) = \frac{X}{V_{1;3}} G_{3;2}^{K} (T;!) \ G_{1;3}^{K} (T;!) V_{3;2} : (B10)$$

# 2. Derivation of Keldysh G reen function in the high magnetic eld expansion

As for the retarded and advanced G reen functions in Sec. IIIC, the K eldysh G reen function is searched under the form of an expansion in terms of the order of  $(L_B = )^q$ . The leading order contribution obeys the equation

$$(2! \quad [m_1 + m_2]) G_{1;2}^{(0)K}(T;!) = V_{1;3}^{(0)} hR_1 R_3 iG_{3;2}^{(0)K}(T;!) + G_{1;3}^{(0)K}(T;!) V_{3;2}^{(0)} hR_3 R_2 i;$$
(B11)

For the second terms in the right-hand side of these two latter equations, we can take the potential V at the point  $R_2$  instead of  $R_3$  since at leading order we disregard correcting terms of the order of  $l_B = .$  Therefore we get

$$(2! [m_1 + m_2] V (R_1) V (R_2)) G _{1;2}^{(0)K} (T;!) = 0; (B13)$$

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta T} [m_1 m_2] V(R_1) + V(R_2) G_{1;2}^{(0)K}(T;!) = 0:$$
(B14)

D isregarding higher order correction terms, this system for the zero order Keldysh G reen function can in fact be written as

(2! 
$$[m_1 + m_2] = 2V(R_1) G_{1;2}^{(0)K}(T;!) = 0;$$
 (B15)

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta T} [m_1 m_2] G^{(0)K}_{1;2}(T;!) = 0:$$
(B16)

The equation (B15) for the zero order K eldysh G reen function yields straightforwardly the following spectral dependence

$$G_{1;2}^{(0)K}(T;!) / (! [_{m_1} + _{m_2}]=2 V(R_1)):$$
(B17)

The di erential equation (B16) is readily integrated:

$$G_{1;2}^{(0)K}(T;!) = C_{1;2}(!) \exp(i[_{m2} _{m1}]T)$$
(B18)

where C  $_{1;2}$  (!) has to be determined from an initial condition. Taking into account that the perturbation V (including the external eld E) is switched on adiabatically with the equilibrium initial condition at T = 1

$$G_{1;2}^{(0)K}(1;!) = G_{0}^{K}(!) = 2i \tanh(!=2T)h_{1}j_{2}i(!_{m_{1}});$$
(B19)

we obtain

$$G_{1;2}^{(0)K}(T;!) = 2i \tanh(!=2T)h_1j_2i (! m_1 V(R_1)) = \tanh(!=2T) G^{(0)R} G^{(0)R} : (B20)$$

Therefore, at leading order the Keldysh G reen function is still diagonal with respect to the quantum numbers m .

We shall determ ine the higher order K eldysh G reen function G  $^{(q) K}$  within the stationary regime. Then, the rst order K eldysh G reen function is determ ined for example from the equation resulting from the sum of Eq. (B10) and (B9) which yields up to rst order in  $l_{B} =$ 

$$(! \qquad M_{1} \qquad V(R_{1})) G_{1;2}^{(1)K}(!) = \bigvee_{3}^{(1)} V_{1;3}^{(1)} hR_{1} R_{3} iG_{3;2}^{(0)K}(!): \qquad (B21)$$

Inserting the zero order G reen function and performing the sum over 3, we get

$$G^{(1)K} = \tanh(!=2T) G^{(1)R} G^{(1)R} :$$
 (B22)

We see that the structure of the Dyson equation for the Keldysh Green function of orders higher than one in the stationary regime is similar to the structure of the Dyson equation for the retarded and advanced Green functions at the same order. Consequently, we can deduce that the proportionality (B22) between the Keldysh Green function and the retarded and advanced Green functions holds at any order of the high-m agnetic eld expansion theory.

In this Appendix, we derive the matrix elements of the current density operator in the vortex states representation. In the space representation (jri), the current density operator is written as

$$\hat{J}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e}{m} - \frac{e}{i}\mathbf{r} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A} \quad : \tag{C1}$$

A dopting the sym m etrical gauge

$$A = \frac{B}{2} \qquad x = \frac{-c}{jej2l_B^2} \qquad x ;$$
 (C2)

we express the matrix elements of the current density operator as

$$\operatorname{Im} ; \operatorname{R} \operatorname{Im}^{\circ}; \operatorname{R}^{\circ} i = \frac{e^{-x}}{m} d^{2} r_{m; \operatorname{R}} (r) \quad \operatorname{ir} \quad \frac{1}{2 l_{\operatorname{B}}^{2}} x_{m^{\circ}; \operatorname{R}^{\circ}} (r) \qquad (C3)$$

$$= \frac{e^{\sim}}{p - \frac{1}{2m - 1}} \quad \stackrel{p}{\stackrel{m^{0}}{=}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{m^{0}}{m^{0}} \ln R \sin^{0} - \frac{1}{1; R^{0} i} \quad \stackrel{p}{\stackrel{m^{0}}{=}} \frac{p}{m^{0} + 1} \ln R \sin^{0} + 1; R^{0} i \qquad (C4)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-1}}{2m l_{B}} = \frac{p \frac{m^{0}}{m^{0}} m^{m} m^{0} 1}{p \frac{m^{0}}{m^{0}} m^{m} m^{0} 1} + \frac{p \frac{m}{m} m^{m} m^{0} m^{0} m^{0}}{m m^{0} m^{0} m^{0} 1} m^{0}; R^{0}; m^{0}; R^{0}; m^{0}; m^{0};$$

Note that these matrix elements of the current density operator in the vortex states basis couple adjacent Landau levels exactly as in the basis of Landau states.

- <sup>1</sup> K.v.K litzing, G.D orda, and M.Pepper, Phys.Rev.Lett. 45, 494 (1980).
- <sup>2</sup> The Quantum Halle ect, edited by R E.Prange and S M. Girvin (New York, Springer, 1987).
- <sup>3</sup> M. Janssen, O. Viehweger, U. Fastenrath, and J. Hadju, Introduction to the Theory of the Integer Quantum Hall E ect (VCH, Germany, 1994).
- <sup>4</sup> B.Huckestein, Rev.M od.Phys. 67, 357 (1995).
- <sup>5</sup> R.B.Laughlin, Phys.Rev.B 23, 5632 (1981).
- <sup>6</sup> B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 25, 2185 (1982).
- <sup>7</sup> P. Streda, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 15, L717 (1982).
- <sup>8</sup> D.J.Thouless, M.Kohmoto, M.P.Nightingale, and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 405 (1982).
- <sup>9</sup> R E. Prange and R. Joynt, Phys. Rev. B 25, 2943 (1982).
- <sup>10</sup> S.V. Iordansky, Solid State Commun. 43, 1 (1982).
- <sup>11</sup> S.A. Trugm an, Phys. Rev. B 27, 7539 (1983).
- <sup>12</sup> R F. Kazarinov and S. Luryi, Phys. Rev. B 25, 7626 (1982); S. Luryi and R F. Kazarinov, Phys. Rev. B 27, 1386 (1983).
- <sup>13</sup> R.Joynt and R.E.Prange, Phys.Rev.B 29, 3303 (1984).
- <sup>14</sup> S.M. Apenko and Yu.E. Lozovik, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 18, 1197 (1985).
- <sup>15</sup> B.Shapiro, Phys. Rev. B 33, 8447 (1986).
- <sup>16</sup> A H. M acD onald and P. Streda, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1616 (1984).
- <sup>17</sup> M .Buttiker, Phys.Rev.B 38, 9375 (1988).
- <sup>18</sup> M E.Raikh and T.V. Shahbazyan, Phys. Rev. B 47, 1522 (1993).
- <sup>19</sup> A A. Abrikosov, L P. Gorkov, and IE. D zyaloshinski, M ethods of Q uantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics

(Prentice Hall Inc., New Jersey, 1964).

- <sup>20</sup> M M .Fogler, A Yu.D obin, V J.Perel, and B J. Shklovskii, Phys.Rev.B 56, 6823 (1997).
- <sup>21</sup> S.M. Apenko and Yu.E. Lozovik, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 16, L591 (1983).
- <sup>22</sup> S.M. Apenko and Yu.E. Lozovik, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 17, 3585 (1984).
- <sup>23</sup> L.Landau, Z.Phys. 64, 629 (1930).
- <sup>24</sup> M H. Johnson and B A. Lippmann, Phys. Rev. 76, 828 (1949).
- <sup>25</sup> E.M adelung, Z.Phys. 40, 322 (1926).
- <sup>26</sup> T. Takabayasi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 69, 1323 (1983).
- <sup>27</sup> IA. Malkin and V.I. Man'ko, Sov. Phys. JETP 28, 527 (1969).
- <sup>28</sup> T B.M aterdey and C E.Seyler, Int.J. of M od. Phys. 17, 4683 (2003).
- <sup>29</sup> R J.G lauber, Phys. Rev. 131, 2766 (1963).
- <sup>30</sup> W -M .Zhang, D H .Feng, and R .G im ore, Rev.M od.Phys. 62,867 (1990).
- <sup>31</sup> Note that it is possible to construct states that are entirely coherent with respect to the two degrees of freedom (see Refs. 32 and 33). Contrary to the vortex states, theses states do not have the property to be eigenstates of the ham iltonian for the problem of an electron in a magnetic eld.
- $^{32}$  A .Feldm an and and A H .K ahn, Phys.Rev.1, 4584 (1970).
- <sup>33</sup> S.Varro, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.17, 1631 (1984).
- <sup>34</sup> G D. M ahan, M any-particle physics (P lenum P ress, N ew Y ork, 2nd edition, 1990).
- <sup>35</sup> J.Rammerand H.Smith, Rev.M od. Phys. 58, 323 (1986).

- <sup>36</sup> A.G. Aronov, E. Altshuler, A.D. Mirlin, and P.W ole, Europhys. lett. 29, 239 (1995).
- <sup>37</sup> A D. Mirlin, E. Altshuler, and P. W ol e, Ann. Physik 5, 281 (1996).
- <sup>38</sup> T.Cham peland V P.M ineev, Philos.M ag. 81, 55 (2001).
- <sup>39</sup> T.Champel, Phys. Rev. B 64, 054407 (2001).
- <sup>40</sup> J.P.E isenstein et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 55, 875 (1985).
- <sup>41</sup> A A . A brikosov, Fundam entals of the theory of m etals (E lsevier, A m sterdam , 1988).
- <sup>42</sup> Yu.V.Nazarov, Superlattices M icrostruct. 25, 1221 (1999).
- <sup>43</sup> IM .Ruzin, Phys. Rev. B 47, 15727 (1993).
- <sup>44</sup> D.B. Chklovskii and P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 48, 18060 (1993).
- <sup>45</sup> A M . D ykhne and IM . Ruzin, Phys. Rev. B 50, 2369 (1994).
- <sup>46</sup> S.H. Sim on and B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3278 (1994).
- <sup>47</sup> W .Pan et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 066808 (2005).
- <sup>48</sup> R. Ilan, N.R. Cooper, and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. B 73, 235333 (2006).
- <sup>49</sup> T.Ando, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 37, 1233 (1974).
- <sup>50</sup> T.Ando, A.B.Fowler, and F.Stem, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54, 437 (1982).

- <sup>51</sup> K.Richter, Europhys.Lett. 29, 7 (1995).
- <sup>52</sup> G.Hackenbroich and F.von Oppen, Europhys.Lett.29, 151 (1995).
- <sup>53</sup> G.Hackenbroich and F.von Oppen, Z.Phys.B 97, 157 (1995).
- <sup>54</sup> G.Hackenbroich and F.von Oppen, Ann. Physik 5, 696 (1996).
- <sup>55</sup> M C. Gutzwiller, Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics (Springer, New York, 1990).
- <sup>56</sup> The G utzw iller trace form ula leads also to an alternative sem i-classical derivation of the m agnetic quantum oscillations of the m agnetization (de H aas-van A lphen e ect) in low m agnetic elds.
- <sup>57</sup> The elastic scattering time is assumed within these sem iclassical theories to be independent of the magnetic eld, which in principle is valid for a two-dimensional electron gas only in low magnetic elds.
- <sup>58</sup> A.V. Bobylev, F.A. M aao, A. Hansen, and E.H. Hauge, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 197 (1995).
- <sup>59</sup> B.L.Altshuler, A.G. Aronov, D.E.Khmelnitskii, and A.L. Larkin, Coherent E ects in Disordered Conductors (M.IR, Moscow, 1982).