Long-Range E ects in Layered Spin Structures

A lessandro C am pa¹, R am az K hom erik $i^{2,3}$, D avid M ukam el⁴, Stefano R u δ

⁽¹⁾Com plex Systems and Theoretical Physics Unit, Health and Technology Department,

Istituto Superiore di Sanita, and INFN Rom al Gruppo Collegato Sanita, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161 Rom a, Italy

Universita di Firenze and INFN, via s. Marta, 3, 50139 Firenze, Italy

(3) Departm ent of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, 0128 Tbilisi, Georgia

⁽⁴⁾Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

We study theoretically layered spin system swhere long-range dipolar interactions play a relevant role. By choosing a speci c sample shape, we are able to reduce the complex H am iltonian of the system to that of a much simpler coupled rotator model with short-range and mean-eld interactions. This latter model has been studied in the past because of its interesting dynam ical and statistical properties related to exotic features of long-range interactions. It is suggested that experiments could be conducted such that within a speci c temperature range the presence of long-range interactions crucially a ect the behavior of the system .

PACS num bers: 75.10 H k; 05.70 .- a; 64.60 .C n

M any of the forces that we see in the universe have a long-range nature where in d dim ensions a pairw ise inter-1=r^{d+ s} with action potential decays as V (r) d s 0. Examples include gravitational interactions, C oulom b and magnetic forces. Now adays there is a vast literature which describes various exotic nonlinear and statistical properties of many-body system swith long-range interactions such as disagreem ent of predictions of m icrocanonical and canonical ensembles, negative speci cheat and tem perature jum ps, etc. [1]. How ever, most of these studies are purely theoretical and there have been only few suggestions on how to test experimentally all these peculiarities. One example is the astrophysical observation of negative speci c heat 2] which is an outcom e of the truly long range nature of the gravitational force. In addition, it has been suggested that systems composed of a sm all num ber of particles can show negative speci c heat [3] due to non-additivity even when the interaction is short range. This has been veried experimentally in nuclear collisions [4], atom ic sodium clusters [5] and in m olecular clusters [6]. How ever no experim ental test of these predictions has been carried out for a laboratory system with long range interactions.

This Letter aim s at proposing testable e ects of dipolar magnetic forces, whose long-range nature is a consequence of the cubic decay law of the potential V (r) $1=r^3$. This law results in a strong dependence of the dipolar energy on the sample shape (see e.g. Ref. [7]). However, in ordinary magnetic systems, dipolar energy is about a thousand times smaller than Heisenberg exchange interactions between nearby spins. Therefore, in most cases the role of long-range forces is to introduce som e anisotropy which determ ines the ordering direction in the magnetic sample. On the other hand, in nuclear magnets, where magnetic order is fully de ned by dipolar interaction, one has to go down to nano K elvin tem peratures to observe ordering [8].



FIG.1: Left graph: schem atic arrangem ent of spin s = 1=2 Cu⁺² ions in a (C H₂ + 1N H₃)₂CuC l₄ layered compound. Right graph: Suggested form of the sample which allows the observation of the spontaneous ips of the magnetization vector (thick arrows at them iddle) which are predicted by H am iltonian (7) to which the microscopic model (1) e ectively reduces.

In this Letter, we propose to examine more closely magnetic layered spin structures (e.g. $(C H_{2+1}N H_{3})_{2}C uC l_{4}$ [9]) in which the electric m agnetic interaction between electronic spins is predom inantly dipolar. In particular, we exam ine rod shaped layered spin structures, whose m icroscopic H am iltonian can be e ectively reduced to that of a one dimensional coupled rotator model with both nearest neighbor coupling and a dominant mean-eld interaction term resulting from the dipolar forces. We suggest that these com pounds provide a system in which exotic phenom ena that characterize coupled rotator models with both short and long-range couplings [10, 11] could readily be observed. Here, we propose to verify experim entally the

 $^{^{(2)}\}text{D}$ ipartim ento di Energetica \S. Stecco" and CSDC,

presence of all-to-all m ean- eld couplings by m on itoring the time-scales of spontaneous magnetization ips below the magnetic transition. Similar e ects of magnetization reversals could in principle take place in systems with only short-range interactions [12]. However, here we propose to explore the experimental conditions in which collective reversals are driven by the presence of long-range interactions, and therefore their existence becom es strongly dependent on the shape of the sam ple. Moreover, the average reversal time is expected to grow as the exponential of the volum e of the sam ple as opposed to the case of short range interactions where it grows only as the exponential of the surface area [12]. In addition, magnetization reversals due to long range interactions are expected to be sharper, since they involve at once the entire sam ple.

The magnetic arrangement of the class of compounds (C $H_{2+1}NH_3$)₂CuCl₄ is schematically given in Fig. 1 (see for more details Refs. [13, 14]). The system is com – posed of ferrom agnetic layers with strong intralayer interactions, W, and a weak coupling, w, between the layers which is either ferrom agnetic for = 1 or antiferrom agnetic for > 1. This allows us to consider the three-dimensional spin system at temperatures or ener-

gies well below W as a quasi-one dim ensional ferrom agnetic or anti-ferrom agnetic spin chain consisting of classical spins, with each spin in the chain representing a whole layer. The forces in the system are provided by the exchange interactions between the e ective spins in the chain (short-range forces) and the dipolar interactions am ong all spins (long-range forces). The interlayer exchange interaction turns out to be comparable with the dipolar interactions making these systems excellent candidates for considering long-range e ects, even in the case of a sm all num ber of layers. Note that, as expected, the shape of the sam ple is rather in portant in system s with dipolar interactions. A particularly interesting case is that of ferrom agnetic interlayer coupling (=1) with a sam ple shape for which dipolar forces favor ferrom agnetic ordering such as in a rod cut along the layer planes (rod along axis z in Fig. 1). This case will be discussed in detail below .

These systems have been modelled previously including only the anisotropic contribution of the dipolar forces (see e.g. Ref. [13]) while the long-range character of these forces was neglected. At this stage we include fully the dipolar interactions and the H am iltonian reads

$$H = W \sum_{\substack{I_{i} < i_{i} j > \\ I_{i} < i_{i} j > \\ I_{i} < i_{i} j > \\ I_{i} < I_{i} = I_{i} > \\ I_{i} < I_{i} = I_{i} > \\ I_{i} < I_{i} = I_{i} > \\ I_{i} < I_{i} > \\ I_{i} > \\ I_{i} < I_{i} > \\ I_{i} > \\ I_{i} > \\ I_{i} < I_{i} > \\ I_{$$

1

where the st sum represents the intralayer exchange interaction (indices i and j refer to nearest neighbor spins within the same layer, while the indices I and J number the layers), $_{\rm B}$ is Bohr's magneton and r is the vector between the sites of the spins s_{Ii} and s_{Ji} . The param -(< 1) yield biaxial hard axes an isotropies eters and along the x and y axes, respectively. They are a result of the crystallographic forces and take into account out-of plane and in-plane anisotropies (note that the main contribution to the in-plane anisotropy com es from dipolar forces them selves, see below). The second sum stands for the interlayer exchange interactions (with i and j now referring to nearest neighbor spins in adjacent layers) and the last term describes dipolar interactions among all spins. According to [13, 14] W ' 10^4 w in the compounds $(C_1H_3NH_3)_2CuCl_4$. At low temperatures all spins in a single layer are ordered ferrom agnetically and therefore the spin vector \mathbf{s}_{Ii} could be considered as independent

of the index i, s_{I} \mathbf{s}_{ii} , and this represents the spin of a whole layer. This is justi ed only if one works below the ordering tem perature of a single layer, which approxin ately coincides with the intralayer exchange constant W . Under the additional condition nw < W (n is the num ber of spins in a single layer), in a certain tem perature range each layer will be ordered ferrom agnetically, while the transition to 3D ordering will be strongly affected by the existence of long-range dipolar forces (which are com parable with the short range interlayer exchange w). We consider the therm odynam ic properties of the system under such conditions. Applying the ordinary procedure to calculate the dipolar sum, one can divide it into a short-range contribution (restricting ourselves to consider the interaction between nearest neighbors) and a long-range one [7]. Then (1) can be rewritten in the following one-dimensional representation (see Ref. [15])

$$H = n B_{x} X^{N} S_{J}^{x^{2}} + B_{y} S_{J}^{y^{2}} 2!_{ex} S_{J}^{y} S_{J+1}^{y} + S_{J}^{z} S_{J+1}^{z} \frac{!_{M}}{N} S_{J}^{x^{2}} + \frac{!_{M}}{2N} S_{J}^{x} S_{J}^{y} ;$$
(2)

where $B_x = 4W$ (1) and $B_y = 4W$ (1) dene the hard axis anisotropies along x and y, respectively (the out-of plane anisotropy being much larger than the inplane one $B_x = B_y$), N is the number of layers, $!_{ex}$ is an elective exchange constant between the layers consisting of the sum of the exchange constant w between the neighboring spins of the dilerent layers and the contribution of dipolar forces between the same spins. Thus

$$!_{ex} = 2w \quad \frac{2 \frac{2}{B}}{r_a^3} \quad 2 \quad \frac{3q_a^2}{r_a^2} ;$$
 (3)

W here q_a and r_a are the distances between nearest neighboring spins within the same layers and di erent layers, respectively. In the case of the considered compound $q_a = 5.25 \ 10^8$ cm and $r_a = 9.97 \ 10^8$ cm [14]. Finally the last two mean-eld term s come from the long-range part of dipolar forces. Moreover, $!_M = (4 = 3) (2 \frac{2}{B} = v_0)$ and v_0 is the volume of the unit cell of the lattice. Note that the nearest neighbor part of dipolar forces favors antiferrom agnetic ordering of the spins in neighboring layers, while the long-range component of the forces is ferror agnetic along z. The measured value for the out-of plane anisotropy is $B_x = 240 \text{ m K}$, while the in-plane anisotropy constant B_y is less than 5m K. The exchange constants is $!_{ex} = 4.8 \text{m K}$ (see e.g. Ref. [13]), and $!_M = 16 \text{m K}$. Thus, we have:

$$B_x = !_M = 15; B_y = !_M = 0.2; !_{ex} = !_M = 0.3:$$
 (4)

As is evident, the out-of plane anisotropic term is associated with a much larger energy scale than all the others and therefore we have neglected in (2) all the term swhich include the s_x component of the spins which emerge from dipolar or exchange interlayer forces.

W e now consider the torque equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{J}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{J}} \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{J}} ; \qquad (5)$$

$$\frac{d_{J}}{dt} = nB_{x}S_{J}^{x}$$
(6)

and approximate p = 1 (S_J^x)² 1. Then, substituting S_J^x from the last equation into H am iltonian (2), we obtain the following e ective H am iltonian, which includes only the angles J's, and their time derivatives

$$H^{0} = \frac{2H}{n!_{M}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{N}}{J=1} \frac{d_{J}}{dt}^{2} \frac{!_{ex}}{!_{M}} \frac{X^{N}}{J=1} \cos(J+1) + \frac{B_{Y}}{2!_{M}} \frac{X^{N}}{J=1} \sin^{2} J \frac{1}{2N} \frac{X^{N}}{J=1} \cos(J+1) + \frac{B_{Y}}{2!_{M}} \frac{X^{N}}{J=1} \sin^{2} J \frac{1}{2N} \frac{X^{N}}{J=1} \cos(J+1) + \frac{B_{Y}}{2!_{M}} \frac{X^{N}}{J=1} \cos(J+1) +$$

where the dimensionless time is introduced via the transformation t! the $B_x!_M$. The above model describes a system of coupled rotators with nearest neighbor and all-to-all mean-eld interactions.

In analogy with the similar system considered in R ef. [10], we can derive the therm odynam ic and dynam ical properties of the m odel (7). It follows that, in the energy range $0.3 < " < "_c = 0.376$, two maximal entropy states with opposite magnetization m = $\begin{pmatrix} m \\ c \end{pmatrix}$ are present and, in principle, for nite N, the system can ip between these states. Above the critical energy "c the system is characterized by a single maximum entropy state at m = 0. At "c a second order phase transition of the mean- eld type is present. The lower energy limit derives from the fact that, in the therm odynam ic limit (N ! 1), the energy " satis es the condition " > $m^2=2$!ex=!M. It follows that below

" = $!_{ex} = !_{M}$ ' 0:3 there is an ergodicity breaking region [11], where the system retains its magnetization direction for in nitely long time. If the system energy is slightly above the ergodicity breaking limit, magnetization ips are very rare. Simulating the system using torque equation (5) does not revealany ip for observable time scales, i.e. the system behaves like in the ergodicity breaking region.

Exam ining the dynam ics of the one-dimensional spin chain (2) at " = 0.2, su ciently above the ergodicity breaking region, the time evolution of the magnetization displays the expected behavior (see upper graph in Fig. 2). We then compute numerically the probability distribution function (PDF) of m, P (m), and present the data of (1=N) log (P (m)) as circles in the inset. These are com pared (m odub a vertical norm alization shift) with the analytical curve for the entropy derived for H am iltonian (7). The agreem ent is in pressively good, considering the



FIG. 2: Main plots: Numerical simulations of the one dimensional spin chain model (2), (5) with dimensional spin chain model (2), (5) with dimensional spin chain model (2), (5) with dimensional spin chains and above the second order phase transition energy " $_{\rm c} = 0.376$ predicted for H am iltonian (7). The number of layers in the simulations is taken N = 100. Insets show the corresponding entropy curves (solid lines) and data obtained from the PDF of the magnetization (open circles).

num erous approxim ations we have made when deriving Ham iltonian (7) from (2).

If now one further increases the system energy above the critical one, " $_{c}$, m agnetization uctuates around zero and the corresponding PDF is presented in the lower graph of Fig. 2, together with the analytical prediction, again in very good agreem ent.

Let us conclude with a few remarks that are relevant for the experimental in plementation. Let us recall that, throughout this paper, we have considered a rod shaped sam ple. Moreover, as stated above, the reduction of the Ham iltonian to a one-dimensional rotator model holds only if nw < W. Thus, for the compound under consideration, n should be less than 10000. Hence, in a real experiment, one should exam ine sam ples of typical size n = 20 500 within a single layer and, e.g., N = 20 layers in total. In contrast, in spherical sam ples with about the same number of spins per layer, long-range e ects will be negligible and only short-range forces are left in the elective one-dimensionalmodel: no phase transition will be present and, therefore, magnetization ips will be absent.

In sum m ary, we have studied theoretically the layered spin structure (C H_{2 +1}N H₃)₂C uC l_4 and showed that, under certain conditions, it could be modeled as a system of coupled rotators with both short and mean-eld interactions. In experiments, this could be con med by the presence of magnetization ips for = 1 (ferrom agnetic interlayer short-range interactions). W hen > 1 the short-range interlayer coupling is antiferrom agnetic, while each layer is still ordered ferrom agnetically. For an appropriate choice of the short versus long-range coupling (which could be precisely controlled by changing the length of rod shaped sam ple), the latter com pounds could serve as a laboratory system for which various exotic phenom ena, such as ensemble inequivalence, negative speci cheat, tem perature jum ps, etc. [0, 16], characterizing system s with long-range interactions, could be observed.

W e would like to thank A J. Sievers form ultiple useful suggestions and discussions. R.Kh. acknow ledges support by M arie-Curie international incom ing fellow ship award (contract N o M IF1-CT-2005-021328) and USA CRDF Award # GEP2-2848-TB-06. We also acknow ledge nancial support of the Israel Science Foundation (ISF) and of the PRIN 05 grant on D ynam ics and therm odynam ics of system s with long-range interactions. We thank the N ewton Institute in C am bridge (UK) for the kind hospitality during the program m e \P rinciples of the D ynam ics of N on-Equilibrium System s" where part of this work was carried out.

- [1] T.Dauxois, S.Ru o, E Arim ondo, M.W ilkens, Dynam ics and Thermodynamics of Systems with Long Range Interactions, Lecture Notes in Physics, v. 602, Springer (2002).
- [2] T.Padm anabhan, Phys.Reports, 188, 285 (1990).
- [3] R M .Lynden-Bell, M ol. Phys. 86, 1353 (1995).
- [4] D'Agostino et al, Phys. Lett. B, 473, 219 (2000)
- [5] M .Schm idt et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1191 (2001).
- [6] F.Gobet et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 203401 (2001).
- [7] L D .Landau, E M .Lifshits, Course of theoretical physics.
 v.8: Electrodynamics of continuous media, 1st edition, London, Pergamon, (1960).
- [8] A.S. Oja, O.V. Lounasmaa, Rev. Mod. Phys. 69, 1 (1997).
- [9] M. Sato, A J. Sievers, Nature, 432, 486 (2004); JP.
 W rubel, M. Sato, A J. Sievers, Phys. Rev. Lett., 95, 264101 (2005); M. Sato, A J. Sievers, Phys. Rev. B, 71, 214306, (2005).
- [10] A.Campa, A.Giansanti, D.Mukamel, S.Ru o, Physica A, 365, 120 (2006).
- [11] D. Mukamel, S. Ru o, N. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. Lett., 95, 240604 (2005).
- [12] K. Brendel, G. T. Barkem a, H. van Beijeren, Phys. Rev. E, 67, 026119 (2003).
- [13] A.Dupas, K.LeDang, J.P.Renard, P.Veillet, J.Phys.

C:Solid State Phys., 10, 3399, (1977).

- [14] L.J. de Jongh, A.R. Miedem a, Adv. Phys., 23, 1, (1974).
- [15] L.Q.English, M. Sato, and A.J. Sievers, Phys. Rev. B, 67, 024403 (2003).
- [16] P. de Buyl, D. M ukam el, S. Ru o, A IP Conf. Proceedings, 800, 533 (2005).