## Power-law friction in closely-packed granular materials

Takahiro Hatano

Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo, Tokyo 113-0032, Japan

(Dated: February 8, 2022)

In order to understand the nature of friction in dense granular materials, a discrete element simulation on granular layers subjected to isobaric plain shear is performed. It is found that the friction coe cient increases as the power of the shear rate, the exponent of which does not depend on the material constants. U sing a nondimensional parameter that is known as the inertial number, the power law can be cast in a generalized form so that the friction coe cients at dierent con ning pressures collapse on the same curve. We show that the volume fraction also obeys a power law.

Friction is one of the oldest problem s in science because it dom inates various phenom ena in our daily life. In particular, dynam ics of granular ow, which is ubiquitous in earth sciences and engineering, is governed by a law that describes behavior of the friction coe cient (ratio of the shear stress to the norm al stress). Such exam ples are avalanche, landslide, debris ow, silo ow, etc. In addition, the nature of friction on faults, which plays a key role in earthquake m echanics [1, 2], is also attributed to that of granular rock because fault zone consists of layers of ne rock particles that are ground-up by the fault m otion of the past. To nd a suitable law of friction in granular m aterials under a speci c condition is thus an essential problem.

A lthough the frictional properties of granular m aterials are so in portant, our understanding is still limited. In the context of earthquake mechanics, slip velocity (or shear rate) dependence of friction coe cient, which is equivalent to rheology under constant pressure condition, is a matter of focus [2]. In experiments on thin granular layers that are sheared at relatively low sliding velocities ranging from nm /s to mm /s, the behavior of the friction coe cient can be described by a phenom enological law in which friction coe cient logarithm ically depends on the sliding velocity. This is known as the rate and state dependent friction (RSF) law [3]. Note that the RSF law also applies to friction at interfaces between two solids, as well as that in granular layers. A lthough the R SF law applies well to lower speed (creep-like) friction, it is violated in high speed friction. For example, several experin ents indicated nonlogarithm ic increase of the friction coe cient in granular layers at higher sliding velocities [4, 5, 6]. The same tendency was also observed in experim ents on friction between two sheets of paper [7, 8]. However, at this point, we do not know any friction law that is valid at such higher velocities.

Several recent attempts to understand the nature of friction in granularm edia underhigh shear rates are noteworthy here. Jop and the coworkers presented a simple friction law that describes ow on inclined planes [9], based on massive simulations and experiments [10]. Although their friction law seems feasible, it involves rather dilute ow and its applicability to denser and slower ow (e.g. quasistatic ow) is not clear. Da C nuz et al. [11] perform ed an extensive simulation that focused on dense and slow regime and found a friction law that does not contradict that of Jop et al. However, because da C nuz et al. involved a two dimensional system, e ect of the dimensionality m ay be questioned. In particular, in quasistatic regime where the nature of interparticle contacts plays an esential role in rheology, the e ect of dimensionality should be seriously investigated.

In this Rapid C om munication, we perform a three dimensional simulation in order to understand the nature of friction in a slowly-sheared dense granular material. O ur particular interest is a dense granular matter under high con ning pressure (e.g. tens of M Pa) which is roughly corresponds to a typical con guration of faults at seism ic slip. Note that the RSF law is violated in such a situation. A new law is reported in which friction coe cient increases as the power of shear rate.

In the following we describe the computationalm odel of granular layers. The individual constituents are assum ed to be spheres, and their diam eters range uniform ly from 0:7d to 1:0d. The interaction force follows the discrete element method (DEM) [12]. Consider a grain i of radius R<sub>i</sub> located at r<sub>i</sub> with the translational velocity v<sub>i</sub> and the angular velocity i. This grain interacts with another grain j whenever overlapped; i.e.  $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} \mathbf{j} < \mathbf{R}_i + \mathbf{R}_j$ , where  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i$   $\mathbf{r}_j$ . The interaction consists of two kinds of forces, each of which is norm al and transverse to rij, respectively. Introducing the unit norm al vector  $n_{ij} = r_{ij} = jr_{ij}j$  the norm al force acting on i, which is denoted by  $F_{ij}^{(n)}$ , is given by  $[f(_{ij}) + n_{ij} \underline{r}_{ij}]n_{ij}$ , where  $_{ij} = 1 \dot{r}_{ij} \dot{f}(R_i + R_j)$ . A function f ( ) describes elastic repulsion between grains. Here we test two models: f() = k (the linear force) and f() =  $k^{3=2}$  (the Hertzian force) [13]. Note that the constant  $k=d^2$  is on the order of the Young's modulus of the grains. In order to de ne the transverse force, we utilize the relative tangential velocity  $v_{ij}^{(t)}$  dened by  $(\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} \quad \mathbf{n}_{ij} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}) + (\mathbf{R}_{i} \quad \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{R}_{j} \quad \mathbf{j}) = (\mathbf{R}_{i} + \mathbf{R}_{j}) \quad \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and introduce the relative tangential displacem ent vector  $_{ij}^{(t)} = _{noll}^{K} dt v_{ij}^{(t)}$ . The subscript in the integral indicates that the integral is performed when the contact is rolling; i.e.,  $j_{ij}^{t} j < k_{t}$  or  $j_{ij}^{t} < 0$ . Then the tangential force acting on the particle i is written as min  $(\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} = \underline{\mathbf{j}}_{ij} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{k}_t \xrightarrow{(t)}_{ij}) \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}_{ij}$  in the case that = 0, the tangential force vanishes and the rotation of particles does not a ect the translationalm otion. The parameter values adopted in the present simulation are given in TA -

TABLE I: The param eters of the discrete element simulation.

	n						
polydispersity	Ρ	d=km	k <sub>t</sub> d		E	$d^2 = k$	
30 %		1	0.005	0-0.6 3:8	10	<sup>5</sup> –1:1	10 2

BLE I.

The con guration of the system minics a typical experim ent on granular layers subjected to simple shear. Note that there is no gravity in the system . The system spans  $L_x$   $L_y$   $L_z$  volume, and is periodic in the x and the y directions. W e prepare two system s of di erent aspect ratio, each of which contains approxim ately 10,000 particles: 25d 25d 8d, and 15d 15d 25d. As we shall discussed later, the di erence of the aspect ratio does not a ect the rheology. In the z direction, there exist two rough walls that consist of the same kind of particles as those in the bulk. The particles that consist walls are random ly placed on the boundary and their relative positions are xed. The walls are parallel to each other and displaced antiparallel along the y axis at constant velocities V=2, while they are prohibited to move along the x axis. One of the walls is allowed to move along the z axis so that the pressure is kept constant at P . Using the mass of the wall M  $_{\rm w}\,$  that is de ned as the sum of the masses of the constituent particles, the z coordinate of the wall Z<sub>w</sub> is described by the following equation of motion;  $M_w Z_w = F_z P S$ , where F denotes the sum of the forces between the wall particles and the bulk particles, and S denotes the area of the wall. Then the z component of the velocity of the wall particles is given by  $\mathbb{Z}_{w}$ . Note that the friction coe cient of the system is de ned by  $F_v = PS$ .

The system reaches a steady state after a certain am ount of displacement of the walls. We judge that the system reaches a steady state if each of the following quantities does not show apparent trends and seems to uctuate around a certain value. The monitored quantities are the friction coe cient, the z coordinate of the wall (i.e., the density), and the granular temperature. A lso snapshots of the velocity pro le are observed to ensure the realization of uniform shear ow. We con m that the transient behaviors of the friction coe cient and of the volum e increase are quite sin ilar to those observed in experiments. Here we do not investigate such transients and restrict ourselves to steady-state friction.

Because uniform shear ow is unstable in a certain class of granular systems, we must check the internal velocity proles at steady states. There is a strict tendency that shear ow is localized near the walls in the case that the con ning pressure is small and/or the sliding velocity is large. This kind of spatial inhom ogeneity is rather ubiquitous in granular ow, and is extensively investigated [14, 15]. In our simulation, uniform shear ow is realized at lower sliding velocities and higher con ning pressures. Here we discuss exclusively the case in which uniform shear is realized. In this case, the shear rate is proportional to the sliding velocity of the walls; i.e.,  $= V = L_z$ .

We investigate the behaviors of the friction coe cient of the system,  $F_y=PS$  M. The control parameters that a ect the friction coe cient are the shear rate and the pressure P. It is useful to represent the control parameters in term s of nondimensional numbers, because the friction coe cient is a nondimensional number and hence must be a function of nondimensional numbers. Thus and P are recast in the following form s; I =

 $m = P d and = P d^2 = k$ . In particular, the form er is referred to as the inertial num ber [16], which dom inates the frictional behavior of granular ows. Hereafter we discuss the nature of friction taking advantage of these nondim ensional num bers.

In order to grasp the main point of our result, it is convenient to begin with the frictionless particles; i.e.,

= 0. Recall that we test two models, each of which has di erent interaction: the H ertzian contact model and the linear force model. As shown in FIG . 1, friction coe - cients of these two models are collapsed on the following master curve.

$$M = M_0 + sI;$$
 (1)

where M<sub>0</sub> denotes the friction coe cient for ! 0. Here M<sub>0</sub> ' 0.06. Note that the e ect of the inertial number is expressed by a power law, sI, where = 0.27 0.05. The prefactors is approximately 0.37 in the linear force model, while it is somewhat larger (s ' 0.45) in the Hertzian contact model.

In order to check the universality of Eq. (1), we wish to con m independence of our results on the details of the model. First we discuss the e ect of the tangential force between particles. In FIG .2, shown are the friction coe cients of the models in which = 0.2 and 0.6. It is noteworthy that they range from 0.3 to 0.4, which are not signi cantly discrepant from those obtained by an experiment on spherical glass beads [18]. More importantly, the friction coe cients again obey Eq. (1) with ' 0.3 regardless of the value of and the force model (the linear or the Hertzian). Indeed the friction coe cients of the both models are alm ost the same. We also remark that the factor s does not depend on ; s = 0.33 0.03 for = 0, 0.2, and 0.6.

On the other hand, M<sub>0</sub> depends on . In the linear force model, M<sub>0</sub> ' 0:06 for = 0, while M<sub>0</sub> ' 0:26 for = 0.2, and M<sub>0</sub> ' 0:4 for = 0:6. Similar dependence was also observed in Refs. [11, 19]. Note that M<sub>0</sub> also depends on the force model in the case that = 0; M<sub>0</sub> ' 0 in the Hertzian model while M<sub>0</sub> is not negligible in the linear model. A lthough M<sub>0</sub> looks like the static friction coe cient, note that it is de ned in the ! 0 limit and di erent from the static friction coe cient, above which a static system begins to ow. In order to distinguish the two concepts, M<sub>0</sub> is referred to as dynamic yield strength. The di erence is in portant when we consider the stability of slip, as will be discussed in the last paragraph of



FIG.1: The friction coe cients M in the models without tangential force, i.e., = 0. The horizontal axis I denotes the nondimensional shear rates,  $V=L^{2}$  m =P d. The shape of the symbols and the con ning pressure are in one-to-one correspondence: the squares to = 3.8 10<sup>5</sup>, the circles to = 1.9 10<sup>3</sup>, the triangles to = 3.9 10<sup>3</sup>, and the diam onds to = 1.1 10<sup>2</sup>. The layer thickness  $L_z=d'$  8 for the squares and the circles, while  $L_z=d'$  26 for the triangles and the diam onds. The solid lines denote Eq. (1) with = 0.3. (a) Friction coe cient of the Hertzian force m odel.

this R apid C om m unication.

It is in portant to notice that the data at di erent conning pressures collapse on the same curve by virtue of the inertial number. This suggests that the friction coe cient of a dense granular material does not depend on , as long as it is small (in the present simulation 10 <sup>5</sup> 3:8 1:1 10<sup>2</sup>). Indeed, da Cruz et al. [11] found that the friction coe cient is independent of ( <sup>1</sup> in their notation) up to 2:5 10 <sup>2</sup> in a two dimensional system. Therefore the independence on is very likely within the accuracy of these simulations. W hile one can still expect that the dependence m ay appear for larger, such a case that 0:1 is m eaningless as a model of a granular material. Note that roughly corresponds to the average overlap length of contacts divided by the particle diam eter; namely. the average strain of individual particles.

Then we discuss the e ect of inelasticity that is modeled by the viscous coe cient . The corresponding nondimensional number ~ is dened by d=km. We not that decrease of ~ reduces the friction coe cient in the region where I > 0:01, while the frictional strength



FIG. 2: The friction coe cients in the models with tangential force ( = 0.2, 0.6). The shape of the symbols and the con ning pressure are in one-to-one correspondence as in FIG.1. The blank symbols denote the friction coe cient of the linear force model with = 0.2, while the symbols of vertically-striped pattern denote that of = 0.6. The circles of horizontally-striped pattern denote the H ertzian force model with = 0.2. The lines denote Eq. (1) with = 0.3.

is independent of ~ for sm aller I region. This behavior is consistent with those obtained in Refs. [11, 19]. Nevertheless, it can be still described by Eq. (1) with s being a sm aller value. For example, the friction coe cient of a system in which ~ = 0.05 is described by s ' 0.27 with almost the same values of M<sub>0</sub> and . However, the functional form of s(~) is not clear at this point.

From the discussions so far, we can conclude that the details of the present model do not a ect the validity of Eq. (1), which is the main result of this study. Im - portantly, the exponent seem s to be universal; it is approximately 0.3 regardless of the details of the model and the control parameters. The velocity-strengthening nature of this friction law does not contradict experiments [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In addition, it illustrates universality of power-law in rheological properties of random media [20] including foam s [21] and hum an neutrophils [22]. In the following we discuss four in portant points that are peripherally related to the main result.

First, we discuss the dependence of the volum e fraction to the inertial number. Surprisingly, decrease of the volum e fraction caused by shear ow is also described by a power-law.

$$_{0} = s_{2}I;$$
 (2)

where  $_0$  is the volume fraction in the ! 0 lim it. N ote that the constants  $s_2$  and do not depend on the details of them odel. Figure 3 shows that all of the data obtained in ourm odel collapse on Eq. (2) with  $s_2 ' 0.11$  and  $= 0.56 \quad 0.02$ . This dilatation law also illustrates ubiquity of power-law in granularm aterials.

The next point we wish to discuss is the relation between the present result and power-law rheology in system s at constant volum e. In particular, X u and O 'H em [23] found a power-law relation between the shear stress and the shear rate in a two dimensional granular material consisting of frictionless particles. They estim ated



FIG. 3: The dilatation law. Decrease of the volume fraction = 0 is plotted as a function of the inertial number. Note that 0 is the volume fraction in the ! 0 limit, which is estimated by extrapolation. The symbol legends are the same as those in FIGS.1 and 2. The line denotes Eq. (2).

the exponent to be 0:65. However, at constant volum e condition, the pressure also depends on the shear rate so that the behavior of the friction coe cient is generally di erent from that of the shear stress. Therefore, powerlaw friction in systems under constant volum e condition are not directly related to the present result. See Ref. [24] for m ore detailed discussions on this subject.

The third point we wish to discuss is the e ect of dimensionality. In contrast to the present study, da C ruz et al. [11] obtained a linear friction law in a two dimensional system. The di erence m ay be attributed to the dimensionality of the systems, which a ect the nature

- K.Akiand P.G.Richards, Quantitative Seism ology 2nd ed., (University Science Books, M ill Valley, 2002).
- [2] C.H.Scholz, The mechanics of earthquakes and faulting, (C am bridge University Press, C am bridge, 2002).
- [3] C.Marone, Ann. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 26, 643 (1998).
- [4] M.L.Blanpied, T.E.Tullis, and J.D.W eeks, G eophys. Res.Lett. 14, 554 (1987).
- [5] B.D.Kilgore, M.L.Blanpied, and J.H.Dieterich, Geophys. Res. Lett. 20, 903 (1993).
- [6] M.L.Blanpied, T.E.Tullis, and J.D.W eeks, J.Geophys. Res. 103, 489 (1997).
- [7] T.Baumberger, F.Heslot, and B.Perrin, Nature 367, 544 (1994).
- [8] F. Heslot, T. Baum berger, B. Perrin, B. Caroli, and C. Caroli, Phys. Rev. E 49, 4973 (1994).
- [9] P. Jop, Y. Forterre, and O. Pouliquen, Nature 441, 727 (2006).
- [10] GDR M Di, Euro. Phys. J. E 14, 341 (2004).
- [11] F.da Cruz, S.Em am, M.Prochnow, J.-N.Roux, and F. Chevoir, Phys.Rev.E 72, 021309 (2005).
- [12] P.A. Cundalland O.D.L. Strack, Geotechnique 29, 47 (1979).
- [13] K.L.Johnson, Contact mechanics, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987).
- [14] P.R.Nott, M.Alam, K.Agrawal, R.Jackson, and S.

of contacts between particles. In particular, the angular distribution of the tangential force is strongly anisotropic in two dimensional systems, while such anisotropy is not observed in our three dimensional system. A coordingly, in the case of friction less particles, their system exhibited a friction law that is quite similar to ours.

As the fourth point of interest, we discuss relevance of our result to earthquake mechanics by comparing it to a friction law recently proposed by Jop et al. [9], which seems to be validated in experiments on inclined plane ow. We stress that such ow is characterized by relatively large inertial number (typically I  $> 10^{-1}$ ), while our simulation involves much smaller I values (I  $> 10^{-4}$ ) as shown in FIGS.1 and 2. In short, Eq. (1) involves much smaller I region than Jop et al. have investigated.

Such sm all inertial numbers correspond to a typical con guration of seism ic motion of faults. For example, in the case that d = 1 mm, V = 1 m/s,  $L_z = 4 \text{ cm}$ , and P = 100 MPa, the corresponding inertial number is 10<sup>4</sup>. However, one may wonder that the friction law Eq. (1) cannot lead to stick-slip motion of faults because the friction law found here is velocity-strengthening. Recall that we discuss exclusively stationary-state dynamic friction. Taking static friction into account, unstable slip is inevitable because static friction is always stronger than dynamic friction, which is mainly due to dilatation. Therefore power-law friction in stationary states does not contradict unstable slip on faults.

The author gratefully acknow ledges helpfuldiscussions with Hisao Hayakawa, Namiko Mitarai, Michio Otsuki, Shin-ichi Sasa, and Masao Nakatani.

Sundaresan, J.Fluid Mech. 397, 203 (1999).

- [15] K. Saitoh and H. Hayakawa, Phys. Rev. E 75, 021302 (2007).
- [16] C. Anœy, P. Coussot, and P. Evesque, J. Rheol. 43, 1673 (1999).
- [17] The num erical data such as the friction coe cient and the volum e fraction are determ ined as follows. A fler reaching a steady state, instantaneous uctuations of these quantities are recorded for a certain duration, in which the macroscopic strain of the system amounts to 40 %. The average and the mean square deviation in this period are employed as the mean value and the error bar.
- [18] J. L. Anthony, and C. M arone, J. Geophys. Res. 110, B 08409 (2005).
- [19] C.S.Cam pbell, J.Fluid Mech. 465, 261 (2002).
- [20] P.Sollich, Phys. Rev. E 58, 738 (1998).
- [21] A. D. Gopal and D. J. Durian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 188303 (2003).
- [22] M.A.Tsai, R.S.Frank, and R.E.W augh, B jophys.J. 65, 2078 (1993).
- [23] N.Xu and C.S.O'Hem, Phys.Rev.E 73,061303 (2006).
- [24] T. Hatano, M. Otsuki, and S. Sasa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76 023001 (2007).