Hofstadter butter ies of bilayer graphene

Norbert Nem ec and Gianaurelio Cuniberti

Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany

(D ated: Septem ber 29, 2021)

We calculate the electronic spectrum of bilayer graphene in perpendicular magnetic elds nonperturbatively. To accome odate arbitrary displacements between the two layers, we apply a periodic gauge based on singular ux vortices of phase 2. The resulting Hofstadter-like butter y plots show a reduced symmetry, depending on the relative position of the two layers against each other. The split of the zero-energy relativistic Landau level diers by one order of magnitude between Bernal and non-Bernal stacking.

PACS numbers: 73.22.-f,71.15Dx,71.70Di,81.05JW

A fter the theoretical prediction of the peculiar electronic properties of graphene in 1947 by W allace¹ and the subsequent studies of its magnetic spectrum $r^{2,3}$ it took half a century until single layers of graphene could be isolated in experim ent⁴ and the novelm esoscopic properties of these two-dimensional (2D) Dirac-like electronic systems, e.g., their anom alous quantum Halle ect, could be measured.^{5,6,7} Inspired by this experimental success, graphene has become the focus of num erous theoretical works.^{8,9,10,11,12} For bilayers of graphene, an additional degeneracy of the Landau levels and a Berry phase of 2 were predicted to lead to an anom alous quantum Halle ect, di erent from either the regular massive electrons or the special D irac-type electrons of single-layer graphene,¹³ which was con med in experiment shortly afterwards¹⁴ and used for the characterization of bilayer sam ples.15

The low-energy electronic structure of a single layer of graphene is well described by a linearization near the corner points of the hexagonal Brillouin zone (K points), resulting in an e ective H am iltonian form ally equivalent to that of m assless D irac particles in two dimensions.¹⁶ A related H am iltonian can be constructed featuring a supersym m etric structure which can be exploited to derive the electronic spectrum in the presence of an external m agnetic eld.¹⁷ The level at zero energy, characteristic for any supersym m etric system, m aps directly to a special half-led Landau level xed at the Ferm ienergy F_r , henceforth called the supersym m etric Landau level (SU – SYLL).

In this Rapid C om munication, we use the nonperturbative m ethod pioneered in 1933 by Peierls¹⁸ for the im – plem entation of a magnetic eld in a model, which led H ofstadter, in 1976, to the discovery of the fractal spectrum of 2D lattice electrons in a magnetic eld.¹⁹ Since its discovery, various aspects of the so-called H ofstadter butter y have been studied,^{20,21} particularly in relation to graphenelike honeycom b structures.^{12,22,23} Featuring a large variety of topologies, all these systems have in com m on that the atom s inside the unit cell are located on discrete coordinates. All closed loops have com m ensurate areas, and the atom ic network is regular enough that the magnetic phases of all links can be determ ined individually w ithout the need of a continuously de ned gauge eld. For bilayer graphene, such a direct schem e



FIG. 1: (Color online) Hofstadter butter y of a bilayer graphene in the Bernal stacking con guration. The band structure at zero magnetic eld is rotationally symmetric in good approximation for an area around the K point and shows a split into four massive bands, with the two middle ones touching at E_F . The density of states (DOS) of a nite-width ribbon [a pair of (200,0) zigzag ribbons] in the same con guration shows the SUSYLL emerging at nite magnetic eld. The split of the SUSYLL (discussed below) is not visible due to the limited resolution of the plot.

for implementing a magnetic eld is possible only for highly symmetric con gurations like Bernal stacking^{13,24} To handle more general con gurations, such as continuous displacements between the layers, it is in general unavoidable to choose a continuously de ned gauge that

xes the phase for arbitrarily placed atom s. The di culty that arises can be seen in mediately: For any gauge eld that is periodic in two dimensions, the magnetic phase of a closed loop around a single unit cellmust cancel out exactly, corresponding to a vanishing totalmagnetic ux. C onversely, this means that any gauge eld that results in a nonzero hom ogeneous magnetic eld will invariably break the periodicity of the underlying system. A possible way to bypass this problem is based on dening a magnetic ux vortex, here oriented in the z direction and located in $(x_0; y_0)$, as^{25,26}

$$B (x;y;z) = _{0} (x x_{0}) (y y_{0})e_{z};$$

where $_0 = h=e$ is the ux quantum. Physically, such a vortex is equivalent to a vanishing magnetic eld, since it leaves the phase of any possible closed path unchanged modulo 2. One possible gauge eld resulting in such a single ux vortex can be written as

A (r) =
$$\frac{0 (e_z r)}{2 i e_z r_1^2}$$
:

Finding a periodic gauge follows straightforwardly. To the hom ogeneous magnetic eld, we add a periodic array of ux vortices with a density such that the average magnetic eld is exactly zero. For the resulting eld, which is physically equivalent to the original, it is now possible to nd a gauge eld with the same periodicity as the array of vortices. If the underlying system is periodic and the array of ux vortices has commensurate periodicity, there exists a supercell where the magnetic Ham iltonian is periodic. O ne possible periodic gauge that is especially advantageous for num erical in plem entation consists in a two-dimensional periodic system with lattice vectors a_x and a_y . The reciprocal lattice vectors (scaled by 2) are a_i such that $a_i a_j = i_j$. The magnetic eld is $B = a_0 (\alpha_x - \alpha_y)$ with 'integer. The usual linear but aperiodic gauge for this eld would be A_{lin} (r) = 1_0 (r a_x) a_y . A periodic gauge can now be de ned as:

$$A (\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{v}_0 \llbracket \mathbf{r} \ \mathbf{a}_x \rrbracket (\mathbf{a}_y \qquad (\llbracket \mathbf{r} \ \mathbf{a}_y \rrbracket) \mathbf{a}_x)$$

where [] denotes the fractional part of a real number. To make sure that the phase of every link between two atom s is well de ned, the gauge eld is displaced by an in nitesim al am ount such that every atom is either left or right of the divergent line.

The Ham iltonian without magnetic eld based on a tight-binding parametrization originally used for multiwalled carbon nanotubes^{23,27} consists of a contribution for nearest neighbors within a layer hi; ji and one for pairs of atom s located on di erent sheets lhi; jii:

$$H = \begin{array}{ccc} X & X & X \\ \underset{i;j}{\text{intra}} c_{i}^{y} c_{j} & \underset{i;j}{\text{inter}} c_{i}^{y} c_{j}; \\ \\ \underset{hi;ji}{\text{hi};ji} & \underset{hi;jii}{\text{hi};jii} \end{array}$$

In absence of a magnetic ed, the intralayer hopping is xed to $_{i;j}^{intra} = _{0} = 2.66 \text{ eV}$, while the interlayer hopping depends on the distance only,

$$i_{i;j}^{inter} = \exp \frac{a \dot{r}_i r_j j}{j}$$
;

with = $_0=8$, a = 3:34 A, and = 0:45 A. A cuto is chosen as r_{cuto} = a + 5. Following the Peierls substitution,¹⁸ the magnetic eld B is now in plemented by multiplying a magnetic phase factor to each link between two atom si and j:

$$i;j(B) = i;j(B = 0) \exp i \frac{2}{0} \int_{r_i}^{Z_{r_j}} A_B(r) dr;$$



FIG. 2: (Color online) Hofstadter butter y of a bilayer graphene in two di erently shifted con gurations. Top panel: AA stacking (two layers exactly aligned). The band structure for this highly sym m etric stacking (sam e rotational sym m etry as for Bernal stacking in Fig. 1) shows the single-layer cone sim ply split up in energy. Bottom panel: Interm ediate position between Bernal and AA stacking. The rotational sym - m etry is broken and the bands split into two cones at di erent o sets from the K point and di erent energies. The straight lines overlaid at the energy m inim um and m axim um are the regular Landau levels of the m assive bands. N ear E $_{\rm F}$, one can m ake out the parabolic traces of the relativistic Landau levels and the horizontal lines of the SU SY LLs (see text). Insets at the lower right of each panel: DOS of a nite-width ribbon shows the corresponding behavior in each case.



FIG.3: (C olor online) E volution of the split of the supersymmetric Landau level as a function of the displacement between the two graphene layers. Top panel: M agnitude of the split for displacements in two directions. The light spots correspond to Bernal stacking where the level is nearly degenerate. Bottom panel: Same data along a cut at y = 0. The small remaining split at the Bernal stacking conguration originates in the long-range interlayer hoppings contained in the parametrization. The small discontinuities are caused by the cuto $r_{\rm cut}$. The calculation here was done at = 0=256, but proved to be independent of the magnetic eld for values up to 0.05 $_0$.

where the integral is computed on a straight line between the atom ic positions r_i and r_j .

For the bilayer graphene, we arrive thus at a periodic H am iltonian with a two-dimensional unit cell containing four atoms and spanning the area of one hexagonal graphene plaquette: $A_{plaquette} = (3^{\circ} \ \overline{3}=2)d_{CC}^{2}$, where $d_{CC} = 1.42 \text{ A}$ is the intralayer distance between neighboring carbon atoms. The e ect of a perpendicularm agnetic eld, measured in ux per plaquette = $A_{plaquette}B$, can be calculated for commensurate values = $(p=q)_{0}$ (p, q integers) by constructing a supercell of q unit cells. The corresponding B loch H am iltonian H (k) is a 4q 4qm atrix that can be diagonalized for arbitrary values of k in the two-dimensional B rillouin zone of area $4^{2}=qA_{plaquette}$.

To obtain the butter y plots as displayed in Figs.1 and 2, we chose 0 6 p 6 q = 512, reducing the fraction p=q for e ciency. For each value of the density of states was calculated from a histogram over the spectral values for a random sampling of k over the Brilbuin zone. The number of sampling points was chosen individually for di erent values of p to achieve convergence. In Figs.1 and 2, the Hofstadter spectra of three di erently aligned

graphene bilayers are presented. The Bernal stacking (Fig. 1) stands out, as it is the con guration of layers in natural graphite.^{24,28} A Itemative con gurations like AA stacking were found in ab initio calculations to be energetically unfavorable,²⁹ they can, how ever, be thought of as either mechanically shifted samples or sections of curved bilayers (e.g., sections of two shells in a large multiwall carbon nanotube) where the alignment unavoidably varies over distance. Compared to the Hofstadter butter y of a single sheet of graphene r_{l}^{22} two asymmetries are visible in all three plots: The electron-hole symmetry (E \$ E) is broken down by the interlayer coupling already at zero magnetic eld: while the low est-energy states of a single graphene layer have constant phase over all atom s and can couple e ciently into symmetric and antisymmetric hybrid states of the bilayer system, the states at high energies have alternating phases for neighboring atom s, so interlayer hybridization is prohibited by the second-nearest-neighbor interlayer coupling. For low magnetic elds, two sets of Landau levels can therefore be observed at the bottom of the spectrum, indicating a split of the massive band of graphene at the point $(E_{m in}^{0} = 3_{0}, m_{0} = 2^{2} = 3_{0} d_{CC}^{2})$ into two bands at di erent energies and with di erent e ective masses E⁰_{min} 1:1eV,m $m_0 = (1 \ 2:1 = 0)$, indepen-E_{min} dent of the relative shift of the two layers; see the straight lines overlaid in the bottom panel of Fig. 2]. At the top of the spectrum, where the split is prohibited, only one degenerate set of Landau levels appears, as in single-layer graphene. The original periodic symmetry along the B-

eld axis at one ux quantum per graphene plaquette is broken down due to the smaller areas form ed by interlayer loops. The breaking of this symmetry is comparably small in the AA stacking con guration (Fig.2, top) where loops of the full plaquette area are dom inant. In the two other con gurations smaller loops are more dom inant, so the periodicity is perturbed more severely. In the intermediate con guration (Fig.2, bottom), the fractal patterns appear slightly smeared out for high magnetic elds, due to the reduced symmetry of the system.

The right insets of Figs. 1 and 2 display the spectra of (200,0) bilayer graphene nanoribbons,³⁰ each in a corresponding con guration, obtained by a method described before²³ that allows handling of continuous magnetic elds.³⁴ For low magnetic elds, these spectra are strongly in uenced by nite-size e ects¹. Only form agnetic elds larger than B $4_0 = d^2$, which for a rib-7 T, do the specbon of width d = 50 nm relates to tra of two-dim ensional bilayer graphene begin to em erge. P rom inent in all three insets are the dark, horizontal pairs of lines at the center, the supersymmetric Landau levels. W hile these represent discrete levels in two-dimensional graphene sheets, they are broadened by the nite width of the ribbon to a peak of the same shape as in carbon nanotubes.^{23,32} The mesoscopic character of these split SUSYLLs in dependence on the width W of the ribbon is captured by the functional form of the density of states:

$$(E;B;W) = f (E E_0)W;BW^2$$

where $E_{\ 0}$ is the position of the maximum .

Single-layer graphene is known to feature an anom alous supersymmetric Landau level at the Fermi energy.^{2,8,17} Neglecting Zeem an splitting, this level is fourfold degenerate (twice spin, twice valley) and half led. For bilayer graphene in Bernal stacking (Fig. 1) the SUSYLLs of the two layers have been shown to be protected by symmetry and to rem ain degenerate, giving in total an eightfold degeneracy.¹³ In Fig. 2, this degeneracy can be observed to be lifted for displaced bilayers, leading to a split of the SUSYLL into a bonding and an antibonding hybrid state in the two layers, each fourfold degenerate. The continuous evolution of the split for varying displacem ent of the two layers against each other is displayed in Fig. 3. The split reaches its maximum of E 0:3 eV for the AAstacking con guration and ism in im alfor Bernalstacking. For sim pler tight-binding param etrizations that take into account only rst-and second-nearest-neighbor interlayer hoppings, the degeneracy in the Bernal con guration is known to be exact.¹³ Here, in contrast, this degeneracy 0:01 eV due to interlayer hoppings of is split by E a longer range, sim ilar to the e ect caused by secondnearest-neighbor interactions within one layer.33

- ¹ P.R.W allace, Phys. Rev. 71, 622 (1947).
- ² J.W .M cC lure, Phys. Rev. 104, 666 (1956).
- ³ Y. Zheng and T. Ando, Phys. Rev. B 65, 245420 (2002).
 ⁴ K. S. Novoselov, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, T. J. Booth, V. V. Khotkevich, S. V. M orozov, and A. K. Geim, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. 102, 10451 (2005).
- ⁵ Y.Zhang, Y.W.Tan, H.L.Storm er, and P.K im, Nature 438, 201 (2005).
- ⁶ K.S.Novoselov, A.K.Gein, S.V.Morozov, D.Jiang, M.I.Katsnelson, I.V.Grigorieva, S.V.Dubonos, and A.A.Firsov, Nature 438, 197 (2005).
- ⁷ Y.Zhang, Z.Jiang, J.P.Sm all, M.S.Purewal, Y.W.Tan, M.Fazlollahi, J.D.Chudow, J.A.Jaszczak, H.L.Stormer, and P.Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 136806 (2006).
- ⁸ V.P.Gusynin and S.G.Sharapov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 146801 (2005).
- ⁹ C.L.K ane and E.J.M ele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 226801 (2005).
- ¹⁰ N.M.R.Peres, F.Guinea, and A.H.Castro Neto, Phys. Rev.B 73, 125411 (2006).
- ¹¹ F.Guinea, A.H.Castro Neto, and N.M.R.Peres, Phys. Rev.B 73, 245426 (2006).
- ¹² Y.Hasegawa and M.Kohm oto, Phys. Rev. B 74, 155415 (2006).
- ¹³ E.M cC ann and V.I.Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 086805 (2006).
- ¹⁴ K.S.Novoselov, E.McCann, S.V.Morozov, V.I.Faľko, M.I.Katsnelson, U.Zeitler, D.Jiang, F.Schedin, and A.K.Geim, Nat.Phys. 2, 177 (2006).
- ¹⁵ T.Ohta, A.Bostwick, T.Seyller, K.Hom, and E.Rotenberg, Science 313, 951 (2006).
- ¹⁶ D.P.D iV incenzo and E.J.M ele, Phys. Rev. B 29, 1685 (1984).

In conclusion, we have developed a method that allows the nonperturbative in plementation of a magnetic eld in periodic systems with arbitrarily positioned atom s. A

orbital param etrization for graphitic interlayer interactions with arbitrary displacements was then used to calculate the Hofstadter spectrum of bilayer graphene in various con gurations, revealing common features like electron-hole symmetry breaking, and di erences, especially in the breaking of the magnetic- eld periodicity. A close book at the supersymmetric Landau level at low

elds near the Ferm i energy revealed a breaking of the previously found symmetry, resulting in a split of the level, depending on the lateral displacement of the two graphene layers against each other.

W e acknow ledge fruitful discussions with I.A dagideli, C. Berger, V.Faľko, F.Guinea and H.Schomerus. This work was funded by the Volkswagen Foundation under Grant No.I/78 340 and by the European Union program CARDEQ under Contract No.IST-021285-2. Support from the Vielberth Foundation is also gratefully acknow ledged.

- ¹⁷ M.Ezawa, unpublished, cond-mat/0606084.
- ¹⁸ R.Peierls, Z.Phys. 80, 763 (1933).
- ¹⁹ D.R.Hofstadter, Phys.Rev.B 14, 2239 (1976).
- ²⁰ C. Albrecht, J. H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, D. Weiss, V. Umansky, and H. Schweizer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 147 (2001).
- ²¹ J. G. Analytis, S. J. Blundell, and A. Ardavan, Am. J. Phys. 72, 613 (2004).
- ²² R.Rammal, J.Phys. (Paris) 46, 1345 (1985).
- ²³ N. Nem ec and G. Cuniberti, Phys. Rev. B 74, 165411 (2006).
- ²⁴ J.D.Bemal, Proc.R.Soc.London, Ser.A 106, 749 (1924).
- ²⁵ A.Trellakis, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 056405 (2003).
- ²⁶ W .Caiand G.Galli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 186402 (2004).
- ²⁷ P. Lambin, J. Charlier, and J. Michenaud, Electronic Structure of Coaxial Carbon Tubules (World Scienti c, Singapore, 1994), pp. 130(134, ISBN 981-021887-7.
- ²⁸ S. Hembacher, F. J. Giessibl, J. Mannhart, and C. F. Quate, Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. 100, 12539 (2003).
- ²⁹ M.Aoki and H.Am awashi, Solid State Comm. 142, 123 (2007).
- ³⁰ K.Nakada, M.Fujita, G.D resselhaus, and M.S.D resselhaus, Phys. Rev. B 54, 17954 (1996).
- ³¹ K.W akabayashi, M.Fujita, H.A jiki, and M.Sigrist, Phys. Rev.B 59, 8271 (1999).
- ³² H.W. Lee and D.S. Novikov, Phys. Rev. B 68, 155402 (2003).
- ³³ E.McCann, Phys. Rev. B 74, 161403(R) (2006).
- ³⁴ A dapting the conventional notation for carbon nanotubes, an (n,0) ribbon has a width of n hexagons and arm chair edges.